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主論文
Unusual antiferromagnetic order and $c$-$f$ hybridization gap

in the Kondo semiconductor CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$
Abstract

Most cerium-based intermetallic compounds exhibit Kondo effects in the physical properties and thus are described as Kondo-lattice systems where conduction electrons hybridize with the 4$f$ states. A small number of Kondo-lattice systems possess a narrow gap in the $c$-$f$ hybridized bands at the Fermi level $E_F$. They are called as Kondo semiconductors (KSs) exemplified by CeNiSn, CeRhSb, CeRhAs, and Ce$_3$Bi$_4$Pt$_3$. Neither of them ordered magnetically as the Ce moments are quenched at low temperatures by the strong $c$-$f$ hybridization.

A new family of compounds Ce$_T$$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T =$ Fe, Ru, and Os) with the orthorhombic YbFe$_2$Al$_{10}$-type structure have been classified into the KSs because the electrical resistivity exhibits the thermal activation behavior, $\rho(T) \propto \exp(\Delta/2k_BT)$. The compounds with $T =$ Ru and Os, however, undergo antiferromagnetic (AFM) transitions at $T_N =$ 27.0 and 28.5 K, respectively. Here, it raised a question of why the $T_N$s for Ce$_T$$_2$Al$_{10}$ with small ordered magnetic moments of $\mu_{AF} =$ 0.3 – 0.4 $\mu_B$/Ce are higher than that for the Gd counterparts with 7 $\mu_B$/Gd. Furthermore, the magnetic moments are aligned along the $c$ axis although the magnetic susceptibility is largest for $B || a$ in the paramagnetic region.

In the present work, we aimed at understanding the mechanism of the unusual AFM order in Ce$_T$$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T =$ Ru and Os). The comparison of the magnetic and thermal properties between $T =$ Ru and $T =$ Os indicated that the system with $T =$ Os is located closer to the critical point where the unusual AFM order disappears. Therefore, we have selected CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ for our systematic studies. We have substituted La for Ce, Re and Ir for Os in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$, and measured the magnetic, transport, and thermal properties as well as the differential conductances.

The lattice parameters of the alloys in Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$, Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, and Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ do not change more than 0.3 % for $z \leq 1.0$, $2y \leq 0.2$, and $2x \leq$
0.3. Therefore, the effects of 4f/5d holes and 5d electrons doping in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ may be much stronger than the chemical pressure effect. The doped 4f-hole content is $z$ in Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$ whereas the 5d hole and 5d electron contents are $2y$ and $2x$ in Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ and Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, respectively.

The magnetization and neutron scattering measurements have revealed that the doping of 4f/5d holes and 5d electrons differently alter the 4f electron state in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$. In Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$, both the effective magnetic moment and paramagnetic Curie temperature $\theta_P$ hardly depend on $z$ up to 0.51, indicating the unchanged 4f state at high temperatures. With increasing $2y$ in Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, a broad maximum in $\chi_a(T)$ at around 45 K decreases and disappears at $2y = 0.1$. The magnitude of $\mu_{AF}$ decreases from 0.3 $\mu_B$/Ce for $2y = 0$ to 0.18 $\mu_B$/Ce for $2y = 0.06$. These decreases mean that the doping of 5d holes leads the 4f electron state to be delocalized. The doping of 4f/5d holes in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ maintain the direction of $\mu_{AF}$ along $c$ axis. With increasing $2x$ in Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, by contrast, the maximum in $\chi_a(T)$ at 45 K changes to a sharp peak with the fivefold increase in the height. For $2x = 0.16$, the direction of $\mu_{AF}$ is reoriented from the $c$-axis to the $a$-axis in concomitant with the increase of $\mu_{AF}$ to 1.0 $\mu_B$/Ce. These changes originate from the localization of the 4f state caused by doping 5d electrons in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$. Despite of the large increase in $\mu_{AF}$, $T_N$ decreases from 28.5 K for $2x = 0$ to 7.0 K for $2x = 0.3$. The opposite changes in $\mu_{AF}$ and $T_N$ with respect to $2x$ suggest that the inter-site AFM interaction between Ce moments depends on neither the magnitude nor direction of $\mu_{AF}$ with respect to the crystal axis.

As described above, the 4f/5d-holes and 5d-electron doping in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ changes the $c$-f hybridization in very different ways. Nevertheless, in all doped systems, the decrease in the transport gap $\Delta$ above $T_N$ is well correlated with the increase in the $\gamma$ value of the specific heat $C$. This relation indicates that the development of in-gap states at $E_F$ destroys the transport gap. Furthermore, we found the simultaneous suppression of $T_N$ and $\Delta$, suggesting the transport gap to be necessary for the AFM order at unusually high $T_N$. However, the $C/T$ data for the samples with $z = 0.2$ and $2x = 0.3$ exhibit weak magnetic anomalies at 11.6 and 7.0 K, respectively, although the thermal activation-type behaviors in $\rho(T)$ are no longer observed. Such a change into metallic behavior can be attributed to the appearance of mobile carriers in the in-gap states. The residual
density of states at $E_F$, $N(E_F)$, makes it difficult to judge the presence of hybridization gap from the resistivity data. The thermopower $S(T)$ is in general very sensitive to the energy dependence of the quasiparticle density of states $N(E)$ at the chemical potential. For CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$, $S(T)$ only along the $b$ axis bends at $T_S = 36$ K. It evokes a question why $S_b$ decreases above $T_N$. Therefore, we have performed the BJTS study of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = $ Fe, Ru, and Os) and La-, Re-, and Ir- substituted CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ because the break-junction tunneling spectroscopy (BJTS) may provide us with more direct information on the temperature dependences of the gap width and $N(E_F)$.

The differential conductances of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = $ Fe, Ru, and Os) have shown the development of two successive gaps $V_1$ and $V_2$ on cooling. We found that the gap widths at 4.4 K are well scaled by the Kondo temperature $T_K$ among the Ce-based Kondo semiconductors with orthorhombic structures. The scaling implies that $V_1$ and $V_2$ are $c$-$f$ hybridization gaps. For the three compounds, the values of zero-bias conductance [ZBC = $dI/dV(V = 0)$] are finite, confirming the residual $N(E_F)$. The ZBC is known to be proportional to the square of $N(E_F)$. The ZBCs for $T = $ Ru and Os bend downward on cooling below $T^* = 28$ and 36 K ($> T_N$), respectively, whose temperature agree with the bending temperature $T_S$ in $S_b$. Below $T_N$, another gap $V_{AF}$ opens, whose magnitude in CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$ with $T_N = 27.0$ K is 30% smaller than that in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ with $T_N = 28.5$ K.

Furthermore, we have studied the doping effect on the three gap structures in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$. As $z$ is increased to $z = 0.35$ in Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$, $T_N$ and transport gap in $\rho(T)$ disappear, while the differential conductance spectrum remains V-shaped. With increasing $2y$ to 0.04 in Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, by contrast, an upward cusp appears at zero-bias within the gap structures of $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$. For $2y = 0.1$, the upward cusp develops further and then all gap structures disappear. A similar cusp appears at the center of the gaps when $2x$ in Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ is increased to 0.08, but the upward cusp vanishes for $2x = 0.3$, keeping the V-shaped gap structure intact. In this manner, the doping of 4$f$/5$d$ holes and 5$d$ electrons in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ changes the spectrum in very different ways. Nevertheless, in all doping cases, the decrease of $V_1$ is well correlated with those of $T^*$, $V_{AF}$ and $T_N$, and anti-correlated with the increase of $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ as functions of $z$, $2y$, and $2x$. The asymmetric increase in $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ with respect to $2y$ and $2x$ resembles that in the $\gamma$ value. These findings imply that the three different types of doping in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ create in-gap
states at $E_F$, which destroy all gap structures and the AFM transition. Moreover, only in the doping region where $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$ coexist, we found the significant decrease in the ZBC on cooling below $T^*$ ($> T_N$). The $T^*$ decreases by doping of $4f/5d$ holes and $5d$ electron, whose behavior resembles the decrease in $T_S$ of $S_6$. These observations indicate that the unusual AFM order is proceeded by the decrease in $N(E_F)$ in the presence of hybridization gap.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 4f-electron systems

The lanthanides are a group of elements with atomic numbers from 57(La) to 71(Lu). These fifteen elements along with scandium and yttrium are called rare-earth elements. The lanthanides have different numbers of electrons in the 4f shell. The electronic states of the 4f electrons give rise to the magnetism in rare-earth based compounds. As shown in Fig. 1.1, the atomic charge density of 4f electrons is localized inside the closed 5s and 5p shells, whose electron states become itinerant in the intermetallic compounds [1]. The amplitude of the 4f-electron charge density has important consequences for the 4f electron states. The tail of charge density in the 4f electrons extends to a large distance, making the 4f-electrons are shielded incompletely. Thereby, the 4f electrons hybridize with conduction electrons (c-f hybridization).

The 4f-electron systems based on Ce and Yb exhibit a large variety of properties such as dense Kondo effect, heavy fermion behavior, valence fluctuation, and semiconducting behavior [2, 3, 4]. Tuning the c-f hybridization in controlled ways by changing composition, applying pressure or magnetic field allows the ground state to change from a long-range magnetically ordered state to a paramagnetic state.
1.2 Magnetic and transport properties of Kondo compounds

1.2.1 Antiferromagnetic compounds

In an antiferromagnetic state, the magnetic moments of atoms align in a regular pattern with neighboring moments pointing in the opposite direction. Figure 1.2 displays the magnetic susceptibilities $\chi_{\parallel}(T)$ and $\chi_{\perp}(T)$ in a typical antiferromagnetic compound [5], where $\chi_{\parallel}(T)$ and $\chi_{\perp}(T)$ stand for the cases with the magnetic field applied parallel and perpendicular direction for the ordered magnetic moments, respectively. Both $\chi_{\parallel}(T)$ and $\chi_{\perp}(T)$ obey the Curie-Weiss law above $T_N$, which is the Néel temperature. The Curie-Weiss law is expressed as

$$\chi = \frac{C}{T - \theta_P}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\theta_P$ is a paramagnetic Curie temperature and $C$ is a Curie constant, which is given by

$$C = \frac{N_A \mu_{\text{eff}}^2}{3k_B}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $N_A$ is Avogadro’s number, $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant, and $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective magnetic moment in the unit of $\mu_B$, Bohr magneton.

On cooling below $T_N$, $\chi_{\parallel}(T)$ drops continuously to the zero, whereas $\chi_{\perp}(T)$ become constant because of the ordered arrangements of moments. For the Ce-based antiferromagnets, however, $\chi(T)$ often shows anomalous temperature dependence due to competing interaction. In CePtSn [6], for example, $\chi(T)$’s along all orthorhombic principal axes decrease below $T_N = 7.5$ K (Fig. 1.3).

A magnetization curve as a function of magnetic field, $M(B)$, for a typical antiferromagnet is shown in Fig. 1.4. By applying a magnetic field parallel to the easy magnetization axis, $M(B)$ displays a discontinuous increase at a critical field $B_{sf}$ (metamagnetic transition). The transition is called a spin-flop transition, where the moments of the two sublattice rotate suddenly from the easy magnetization direction to the perpendicular direction. On further increasing $B$, $M(B)$ increases linearly with a continuous rotation of magnetic moments.
Figure 1.1: The radial components of atomic charge density for Ce with one 4f electron [1].

Figure 1.2: Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ for a typical antiferromagnet in magnetic field applied parallel and perpendicular to the easy axis [5].
Figure 1.3: Temperature dependence of $\chi(T)$ for the antiferromagnetic Ce compound CePtSn with the orthorhombic structure [6].

Figure 1.4: Magnetization curve $M$ vs $B$ applied along the easy magnetization axis. The spin-flop transition occurs at $B = B_{sf}$ [5]. The arrows indicate the moments of two sublattices.
1.2.2 RKKY interaction and Kondo effect

RKKY interaction

In rare-earth compounds, an indirect exchange interaction between the localized magnetic moments of $4f$ electrons act via the conduction electrons, which is known as the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction [1]. This interaction is derived on the assumption that the localized spins in the $4f$ shell interact on site with spin polarization of the conduction band. Figure 1.5 displays the schematic of the RKKY interaction between the localized magnetic moments. A localized magnetic moment on the $i$th site $S_i$ leads a spin polarization of conduction electrons. The spin polarization interacts with another magnetic moment $S_j$ on the $j$th site. Therefore, an indirect interaction occurs between the $S_i$ and $S_j$, and leads to a magnetic order in rare-earth compounds. Thereby, the RKKY interaction stabilizes the localized magnetic moment.

Figure 1.5: Schematic of the RKKY interaction between the localized moments (red arrows) and conduction electrons (blue arrows).

The RKKY interaction between the localized spins is expressed as

$$H_{\text{ff}} = - \sum_{ij} J(R_{ij}) \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j,$$

(1.3)

$$J(R_{ij}) \propto -\frac{2k_F R_{ij} \cos(2k_F R_{ij}) + \sin(2k_F R_{ij})}{(2k_F R_{ij})^4},$$

(1.4)

where $J(R_{ij})$ is the strength of the RKKY interaction between the localized spins $S_i$ and $S_j$. As shown in Fig. 1.6, $J(R_{ij})$ falls off as $R_{ij}^3$ and oscillates from positive to negative with increasing the distance $R_{ij}$ between neighboring spins (Eq. (1.4)). In rare-earth
compounds, the spin operator is not the good quantum number. Instead, $S_i$ in Eq. (1.3) needs to be replaced by the projection of the total angular momentum $J_i$ to $S_i$ i.e., $(g_J - 1) J_i$, where $g_J$ is the Landé g-value. Then, the Hamiltonian of RKKY interaction is given by

$$H_{ff} = -\sum_{ij} (g_J - 1)^2 J(R_{ij}) J_i \cdot J_j.$$  \hfill (1.5)

If the crystal field effect and Kondo effect are neglected, the magnetic transition temperature of a series of RE compounds caused solely by the RKKY interaction can be scaled by the de Gennes factor,

$$dG = (g_J - 1)^2 J(J + 1).$$  \hfill (1.6)

![Figure 1.6: The indirect exchange coefficient $J(R_{ij})$ as a function of the distance between neighboring magnetic electrons $R_{ij}$ \[1\].](image)

Furthermore, the characteristic temperature of the RKKY interaction, $T_{RKKY}$, is expressed as

$$k_B T_{RKKY} \propto |J_{cf}|^2 N_c(E_F),$$  \hfill (1.7)

where $J_{cf}$ is the exchange parameter between the $4f$ electron and conduction electrons and $N_c(E_F)$ is the density of states of conduction electrons at the Fermi energy $E_F$. The
$c$-$f$ exchange interaction $J_{cf}$ is given by

$$J_{cf} \propto \frac{<|V|^2>}{E_F - E_{4f}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.8)

where $V$ is the hybridization matrix element and $E_{4f}$ is the $4f$ level. By changing composition of the given compound or applying pressure, the magnitude of $J_{cf}$ can be tuned.

**Kondo effect**

The existence of localized moments in dilute alloys has an important consequence for the electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$, showing a shallow minimum at low temperatures. The increase at low temperatures is caused by the scattering of conduction electrons by the localized moments. The second-order perturbation of this interaction leads to $-\log T$ increase in $\rho(T)$ with decreasing temperature, as expressed by

$$\rho(T) = \rho_B[1 + 2J_{cf}N_c(E_F)\log\frac{W_{kB}T}{k_B}],$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.9)

where $W$ is the conduction band width. Figure 1.7 represents the temperature variations of the magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$, resistance $R(T)$, magnetic specific heat $C_m(T)$, and thermopower $S(T)$ [7]. On cooling a Ce dilute system such as La(Ce)B$_6$ below $T_K$ [8], the localized magnetic moment of the Ce ion is screened because the spins of conduction electrons couple antiparallel with the moment of $4f$ electrons. Therefore, $T\chi(T)$ decreases with decreasing $T$, and a broad maximum appears at a half of $T_K$ in both $C_m(T)$ and $S(T)$. The Kondo temperature $T_K$ is given by

$$k_B T_K = W \exp \left[\frac{-1}{J_{cf} N_c(E_F)}\right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.10)
Figure 1.7: Typical Kondo anomalies in the magnetic, transport, and thermal properties [7].
Doniach phase diagram

Magnetic ordering in heavy-fermion compounds is generally influenced by the competition between the RKKY interaction and the Kondo effect. The former stabilizes the localized magnetic moments, while the latter quenches the magnetic moments. As shown in Fig. 1.8, a simple picture for the competition is described by the Doniach phase diagram [9].

In the case of $T_K \ll T_{\text{RKKY}}$, the magnetic ground states with large moments are observed in Ce compounds such as CeIn$_3$ [10] and CeAl$_2$ [11]. As $T_K$ is increased to the order of $T_{\text{RKKY}}$, the Néel temperature $T_N$ passes through a broad maximum and then disappears at a quantum critical point (QCP). At QCP, non-Fermi-liquid (NFL) behaviors, $C/T \propto -\log T$, $\chi \propto (1 - \alpha T^{1/2})$, and $\rho \propto T$, have been reported in Ce-based compounds such as CeCu$_{5.9}$Au$_{0.1}$ [12] and Ce$_{1-x}$La$_x$Rh$_2$Si$_2$ [13]. The ground states of heavy fermion compounds CeRu$_2$Si$_2$ [14] and CeCu$_6$ [15] can be described by the Landau Fermi-liquid (FL) theory. On further increasing $T_K (T_K \gg T_{\text{RKKY}})$, a paramagnetic ground state becomes stable by the strong Kondo effect. The 4$f$ state in this region tends toward an intermediate valence state.

Recently, the Doniach phase diagram has been revised by means of the continuous time quantum Monte Carlo method combined with the dynamical mean-field theory [17]. The nature of the itinerant-localized transition for heavy fermion compounds has been studied based on the Kondo-Heisenberg lattice:

$$H = \sum_{k,\sigma} \xi_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} + J_K \sum_i \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_{ci} + \frac{J_H}{z} \sum_{(ij)} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j,$$

where $\xi_k = \epsilon_k - \mu$ with $\mu$ being the chemical potential, $J_K$ is the Kondo interaction between the localized electron spin $\mathbf{S}_i$ and conduction electron spin $\mathbf{s}_{ci}$, and $J_H$ is the Heisenberg interaction between the localized spins $\mathbf{S}_i$ and $\mathbf{S}_j$. Figure 1.9 shows the $J_K$ variations of Néel temperature $T_N$ and characteristic temperature $T^*_P$, which corresponds to the effective Fermi temperature. On cooling below $T^*_P$, $\rho(T)$ decreases due to the development of coherence in the Kondo lattice. The temperature at the peak of $\rho(T)$ is denoted as $T^*_P$ as shown in Fig. 1.9 (b). With increasing $J_K$, $T^*_P$ decreases and disappears at $J_K = J_{c2} = 0.16$, which is located inside the AFM phase. Moreover, $T_N$ increases toward $J_K = 0.23$, but suddenly decreases and disappears at $J_K = J_{c1} = 0.27$, where the
magnetic QCP exists.

Figure 1.8: The phase diagram based on the Doniach model [9]. $T_N$ (solid line) is the antiferromagnetic temperature, $T_K$ and $T_{\text{RKKY}}$ (dotted lines) are the characteristic temperatures of Kondo effect and RKKY interaction described by Eqs. (1.7) and (1.10), respectively. Non-Fermi liquid behaviors appear at the quantum critical point (QCP). On the right side, the Fermi liquid state recovers [2, 3, 4].

Figure 1.9: (a) Temperature versus Kondo interaction $J_K$ phase diagram based on the Kondo-Heisenberg lattice model [17]. The number of conduction electron and Heisenberg exchanges are fixed as $n = 0.95$ per site and $J_H = 0.025$, respectively. (b) Temperature variation of the electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ at various $J_K$. The dotted arrow shows the change of $T_F^*$ with decreasing $J_K$. 
1.2.3 Heavy-fermion compounds

Most heavy-fermion compounds are cerium-, ytterbium-, and uranium-based compounds, which are described as Kondo-lattice systems. The strong correlation of $4f$ electrons with conduction electrons enhances the effective mass $m^*$ of quasiparticles by 100–1000 times at low temperatures [2, 3, 4, 16]. The heavy mass manifests in the specific heat $C$ because the $\gamma$ value is proportional to $m^*$, as shown in Eq. (1.13).

$$C/T = \gamma + \beta T^2,$$

(1.12)

$$\gamma = \frac{2\pi^2}{3} N(E_F) k_F^2 = \frac{k_B^2 k_F m^*}{3\hbar^2},$$

(1.13)

where $\hbar$ is Dirac’s constant.

In the Kondo-lattice systems, $\rho(T)$ exhibits different behaviors from that of the Kondo impurity shown in Fig. 1.7. On cooling, the Kondo scattering at each site becomes coherent in the lattice. Far below $T_K$, $\rho(T)$ decreases in proportion to $T^2$ as expressed in Eq. (1.14).

$$\rho = \rho_0 + A T^2,$$

(1.14)

where $\rho_0$ is the residual resistivity. The coefficient $A$ reflects the mass enhancement of the electrons because this term originates from electron–electron scattering. The coefficient $A$ reflects the mass enhancement of the electrons because this term originates from electron–electron scattering. Figure 1.10 represents $\rho(T)$ for the typical heavy-fermion compound CeAl$_3$ [18]. A broad maximum in the $\rho(T)$ at around 40 K is a sign of the onset of coherence over the Kondo lattice, and $\rho(T)$ obeys the $A T^2$ power law at $T < 0.3$ K. The ratio between $\gamma^2$ and $A$ has a universal value, $A/\gamma^2 \simeq 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \mu\Omega cmK^{-2}/(mJ K^{-2}mol^{-1})^2$, in various heavy-fermion compounds as shown in Fig. 1.11 [19]. The magnetic susceptibility at $T = 0$ also reflects the mass enhancement as shown in Fig. 1.12 (b) [20]. The $\chi(T)$ tends to be saturated to a largely enhanced value of $2\mu_B N(E_F)$ at $T < T_N$ (temperature at the maximum of $\chi(T)$). The values of $T_N$, $\gamma$ in $C/T$, $A$ in $\rho(T)$, and $\chi(T \to 0)$ for typical heavy-fermion compounds as well as noble metals Ag and Pd are listed in Table 1.1. The mass enhancement in the Ce compounds is manifested therein as compared with Ag and
Figure 1.10: The resistivity of a typical heavy fermion compound CeAl$_3$ [18].

Table 1.1: The AFM ordering temperature $T_N$, $\gamma$ in $C/T$, coefficient $A$ in $\rho$, and $\chi(T \to 0)$ for Ce-based heavy-fermion compounds [2, 3, 4].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$T_N$ or $T_{SC}$ $\gamma$</th>
<th>$\chi(T \to 0)$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$[K]$</td>
<td>[mJ/molK$^2$]</td>
<td>$[10^{-2}$ emu/mol]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeCu$_6$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeAl$_3$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeCu$_2$Si$_2$ (SC)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeRh$_2$Si$_2$ (AF)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1.11: Kadowaki-Woods plot of $A$ in $\rho(T)$ and $\gamma$ in $C(T)$. The linear line gives the universal ratio $A/\gamma^2 = 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \mu\Omega\text{cm}/(\text{mol K/mJ})^2$ [19].

Figure 1.12: Temperature dependences of the magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ in Ce-based heavy-fermion compounds (a) with and (b) without magnetic order [20]. The arrows indicate $T_N$. 
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1.2.4 Kondo semiconductors

A small number of heavy-fermion compounds possess a narrow gap in the vicinity of $E_F$ in the hybridized bands [27]. They are called as Kondo semiconductors (KSs) exemplified by CeNiSn [21, 22, 41, 39], CeRhSb [23, 24, 41, 40], and CeRhAs [25, 26]. Below $T_K$, these $\rho(T)$s exhibit semiconducting or semimetallic behaviors in concomitant with decreasing thermopowers $S(T)$s as shown in Fig. 1.13 (a, b) [28]. These narrow gap formations is thought to play the key role in the hybridization between the 4$f$ electron states and conduction bands.

We recall here the DOS for a typical semiconductor Si with a gap of $\sim 1$ eV in the band structure. The band gap shown in Fig. 1.14 (a) hardly depends on the temperature. By contrast, the hybridization gap (1 – 100 meV) for KSs develops in the hybridized band on cooling when the number of conduction electrons in the unit cell is equal to the number of 4$f$ electrons. Figure 1.14 (b, c) shows the gap formation in Kondo semiconductors. The DOS displays a Kondo resonance peak at $T \sim T_K$, wherein a V-shaped gap develops on cooling below $T_K$. As shown in Fig. 1.15, $\chi(T)$ of CeNiSn and CeRhSb exhibits a maximum at around 20 K. The decrease in $\chi(T)$ below 20 K is not due to an AFM order but due to the decrease in DOS at $E_F$ [28].

Figure 1.13: Temperature dependences of (a) electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ and (b) thermopower along the orthorhombic $b$ axis for single crystals of CeNiSn, CeRhSb, and CeRhAs [28, 29].
Figure 1.14: Density of states $N(E_F)$ for (a) the band semiconductor Si and (b, c) Kondo semiconductors at $T \sim T_K$ and $T < T_K$, respectively.

Figure 1.15: Magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ for single crystals of Kondo semiconductors CeNiSn, CeRhSb, and CeRhAs and a localized 4$f$ system CePtSn along the orthorhombic $a$ axis [28].
The crystal structures, transport gaps and $T_K$s of KSs are listed in Table 1.2. The cubic systems $\text{Ce}_3\text{Bi}_4\text{Pt}_3$ [30, 31] and $\text{YbB}_{12}$ [32, 33] have well-defined energy gaps of the order of $T_K$ which magnitudes are estimated by the transport measurements. On the other hand, an incomplete gap opens in $\text{CeNiSn}$ and $\text{CeRhSb}$ with the orthorhombic $\epsilon$-TiNiSi-type structure [28]. The latter reflects the anisotropic gap which closes in a particular direction due to the anisotropy of the $c$-$f$ hybridization [38]. The hybridization gaps in the KSs have been observed by several methods. Nuclear magnetic/quadruple resonance (NMR/NQR) investigations on $^{119}\text{Sn}$ in $\text{CeNiSn}$ and $^{139}\text{Sb}$ in $\text{CeRhSb}$ showed unusual $T$-dependence of the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rates $1/T_1$. As shown in Fig. 1.16, $1/T_1$ decreases below 30 K and then follows a $T^3$ power dependence from 7 to 2 K [39, 40]. Below 0.4 and 0.6 K for $\text{CeNiSn}$ and $\text{CeRhSb}$, respectively, $1/T_1$ becomes constant. These temperature dependences first evidenced the opening of V-shaped pseudogap with the residual $N(E_F)$. As shown in Fig. 1.17, the magnetic specific heat divided by temperature $C_m/T$ for $\text{CeNiSn}$ and $\text{CeRhSb}$ shows the broad maximum and then decreases on cooling, confirming the formation of the V-shaped gap [41].

Table 1.2: Crystal structure, transport gap, and Kondo temperature $T_K$ of Kondo semiconductors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure type</th>
<th>Transport gap (K)</th>
<th>$T_K$ (K)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SnB$_6$</td>
<td>Cubic CaB$_6$</td>
<td>53 – 80</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>[34, 35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YbB$_{12}$</td>
<td>Cubic UB$_{12}$</td>
<td>124 – 136</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>[32, 33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$_3$Bi$_4$Pt$_3$</td>
<td>Cubic Y$_3$Sb$_4$Au$_3$</td>
<td>84 – 100</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>[30, 31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$_3$Sb$_4$Pt$_3$</td>
<td>Cubic Y$_3$Sb$_4$Au$_3$</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeNiSn</td>
<td>Orthorhombic $\epsilon$-TiNiSi</td>
<td>14 – 21</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>[21, 22, 41, 39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeRhSb</td>
<td>Orthorhombic $\epsilon$-TiNiSi</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>[23, 24, 41, 40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeRhAs</td>
<td>Orthorhombic $\epsilon$-TiNiSi</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>[25, 26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeOs$<em>4$Sb$</em>{12}$</td>
<td>Cubic LaFe$<em>4$P$</em>{12}$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1.16: Temperature dependences of spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$ of $^{119}$Sn for CeNiSn and $^{123}$Sb for CeRhSb. Solid lines in both compounds are $1/T_1$ calculated by using the V-shaped DOS with the residual $N(E_F)$ as shown on the right side [39, 40].

Figure 1.17: Temperature dependences of magnetic specific heat for CeNiSn and CeRhSb. Solid lines are calculated with the V-shaped gap model as shown on the right side, and the dotted line are calculated with the Lorentzian shape DOS [41].
Photoemission spectroscopy and optical conductivity measurements are direct probes to observe the temperature development gaps. Figures 1.18 and 1.19 represent, respectively, the temperature variations of the photoemission spectra and optical conductivity spectra for CeRhSb [42, 44], CeRhAs [42, 44], and YbB$_{12}$ [43, 45]. On cooling, all spectra exhibit shoulder structures, which represent the development of the gaps.

Another method to observe the development of hybridization gaps is the break-junction tunneling spectroscopy. The spectra of differential conductance $dI/dV$ versus bias voltage $V$ in CeNiSn, CeRhSb, and CeRhAs have well-defined gap structures of $V_1$ and $V_2$ with a finite value at $V = 0$ as displayed in Figs. 1.20 and 1.21 (a). There are a pair of peak structures at $V_2$, which become obvious on cooling. The finite zero-bias conductance (ZBC), $dI/dV$ at $V = 0$, is the indication of the residual $N(E_F)$ because the ZBC is proportional to the square of $N(E_F)$. In the cubic Kondo semiconductor YbB$_{12}$, on the other hand, the $dI/dV$ spectrum has a U-shaped structure without in-gap states as shown in Fig. 1.21 (b) [49].

![Figure 1.18: Temperature dependent photoemission spectra for CeRhSb ($\Delta_{PES} = 30-35$ meV), CeRhAs ($\Delta_{PES} = 90-100$ meV), and YbB$_{12}$ ($\Delta_{PES} = \sim 100$ meV) measured with He I$\alpha$ (21.2 eV) radiation [42, 43].](image)

Figure 1.18: Temperature dependent photoemission spectra for CeRhSb ($\Delta_{PES} = 30-35$ meV), CeRhAs ($\Delta_{PES} = 90-100$ meV), and YbB$_{12}$ ($\Delta_{PES} = \sim 100$ meV) measured with He I$\alpha$ (21.2 eV) radiation [42, 43].
Figure 1.19: Temperature dependence of optical conductivity spectra for CeNiSn ($\Delta_{\text{Opt}} = \sim 10$ meV), CeRhSb ($\Delta_{\text{Opt}} = \sim 15$ meV), CeRhAs ($\Delta_{\text{Opt}} = \sim 100$ meV), and YbB$_{12}$ ($\Delta_{\text{Opt}} = \sim 40$ meV) [44, 45], where $\Delta_{\text{opt}}$ is the optical gap. The arrows indicate the mid-IR peaks.
Figure 1.20: Temperature dependence of tunneling spectra for the orthorhombic Kondo semiconductors CeNiSn \( (eV_2/2 \approx 10 \text{ meV}) \) and CeRhSb \( (eV_2/2 \approx 27 \text{ meV}) \) [46, 47].

Figure 1.21: Tunneling spectrum at 4.2 K for (a) the orthorhombic Kondo semiconductor CeRhAs \( (eV_1^{P-P}/2 \approx 500 \text{ meV} \) and \( eV_2^{P-P}/2 \approx 180 \text{ meV}) \) and (b) the cubic Kondo semiconductor YbB\(_{12}\) \( (eV^{P-P}/2 \approx 220 \text{ meV}) \) [48, 49].
Theoretical models of Kondo semiconductors

Theoretical studies of Ce-based KSs have been performed on the basis of the periodic Anderson model (PAM) [38, 50, 51, 52]. In Ce compounds with non cubic symmetry, the sixtet of the total angular momentum \( J = 5/2 \) is split into three Krammers doublets by the crystalline electric field (CEF). The ground state doublet determines the anisotropy of hybridization of KSs. In Ce-based orthorhombic KSs, the \( k \)-dependece of the hybridization matrix element between \( f \)- and conduction electrons gives rise to an anisotropic hybridization [38, 51, 52]. The anisotropic hybridization induces the nodes in the energy gap along a certain direction in the \( k \) space, leading to a V-shaped DOS. Ikeda and Miyake treated the following Hamiltonian [38],

\[
H = \sum_{k,\sigma} \epsilon_{k\sigma} c^\dagger_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma} + \sum_{k,\mu} E_\mu f^\dagger_{k\mu} f_{k\mu} + \sum_{k,\mu\sigma} \left( \lambda^{*}_{k\mu\sigma} f^\dagger_{k\mu\sigma} c_{k\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{k, k', q, \mu \neq \mu'} f^\dagger_{k-q\mu} f^\dagger_{k'+q\mu'} f_{k'\mu'} f_{k\mu}.
\]

(1.15)

where \( c^\dagger_{k\sigma} \) and \( \epsilon_{k\sigma} \) are the creation operator and energy of conduction electron in the plane wave state labeled by wave vector \( k \) and spin \( \sigma \), respectively, where \( \sigma = +1, \ -1 \) denote up and down spins. \( E_\mu \) and \( f^\dagger_{k\mu} \) are the energy of \( f \)-level and the creation operator of \( f \) electron, respectively, where \( \mu \) represents index of \( f \) state: \( \mu = \pm1, \ \pm2, \ \pm3 \). \( U \) is the Coulomb repulsion between \( f \) electrons. The plane wave states of conduction electrons and \( 4f \) electrons around the site \( i \) \((r_i)\) could be written as follow,

\[
| k\sigma \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} e^{ik \cdot r} \chi_\sigma = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{V}} e^{ik \cdot r} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} j_l(k|r-r_i|) \times \sum_{m=-l}^{l} Y_l^m(\Omega_k) Y_l^m(\Omega_{r-r_i}) \chi_\sigma,
\]

(1.16)

\[
|M\rangle = \sum_{\mu} B^M_{\mu} \sum_{m, \sigma} A^\mu_{m\sigma} Y_l^m(\Omega_{r-r_i}) \chi_\sigma,
\]

(1.17)

where \( \chi_\sigma \) is the spin function, \( j_l(kr) \) is the spherical Bessel function, \( Y_l^m \) is the spherical harmonics with the argument of solid angle \( \Omega_r \) of the position vector \( r \) or \( \Omega_k \) of the
wave vector $\mathbf{k}$, $V$ is the volume of the crystal, $\mu$ is the $z$-component of the total angular momentum, $J$, and $A_{lm\sigma}^\mu$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, and $B_\mu^M$ are coefficients specifying the crystal-field level.

The mixing potential $V_{k,\mu,\sigma}$ between $f$ electron and conduction electrons in Eq. (1.15) is given by

$$V_{k,\mu,\sigma} = \sqrt{4\pi V_{kl}} \sum_M B^M_\mu \sum_m A_{lm\sigma}^M Y_l^m(\theta_k, \varphi_k) \quad (1.18)$$

Here, $V_{kl}$ has the angular variations of the mixing matrix. When $V_{k,\mu,\sigma}$ is regarded as independent of $\sigma$, the $k$-dependence of $V_k$ is calculated. Assuming the crystal-field ground state of $|J_z\rangle = |\pm 3/2\rangle$ under the trigonal local structure, the results can be expressed as,

$$V^2_{\pm m}(k) = V^2(1 - k_z^2)(1 + 15k_z^2) \quad (1.19)$$

where $m$ is the magnetic quantum number. As derived from Eqs. (1.15)–(1.19), the gap function $\Delta_k$ is given by

$$\Delta(k_z) = T_k(1 - k_z^2)(15k_z^2 + 1), \quad (1.20)$$

and the quasiparticle DOS is calculated as follows:

$$\tilde{N}(\epsilon) = \sum [\delta(\omega - E^+_k) + \delta(\omega - E^-_k)]$$

$$= N_F \int_0^1 d\tilde{k}_z \int_{-D}^D dE (1 + \frac{\tilde{V}^2_k(\tilde{k}_z)}{E^2}) \times \delta(\omega - E) \theta(|E| - \Delta(\tilde{k}_z)). \quad (1.21)$$

Figure 1.22 shows the quasiparticle DOS obtained from Eq. (1.21). The DOS has the well-defined two gap structure with a residual DOS at $E_F$. Moreover, the ratio of $\Delta_1/\Delta_2$ equals to $\sim 4.2$.

For the hexagonal symmetry, Fig. 1.23 shows the DOS as a function of $\omega$ and anisotropy of hybridization gap along the path from $k_z$-axis to $k_x$-$k_y$ plane in (a, b) $|J_z\rangle = |\pm 5/2\rangle$, (c, d) $|J_z\rangle = |\pm 3/2\rangle$, and (e, f) $|J_z\rangle = |\pm 1/2\rangle$ [50]. In the case of the $|J_z\rangle = |\pm 5/2\rangle$, there is no gap, but a sharp peak at $E_F$ (Fig. 1.23 (a)), which is caused by two point nodes at $k_z = \pm 1$ (Fig. 1.23 (b)). For $|J_z\rangle = |\pm 3/2\rangle$, two distinct gaps with a residual DOS at $E_F$ manifests as shown in Fig. 1.23 (c). For $|J_z\rangle = |\pm 1/2\rangle$, there is no point node so that a perfect gap opens over the Fermi surface (Fig. 1.23 (e, d)).
Figure 1.22: Quasiparticle density of states as a function of energy $\omega$. The Fermi level is located at $\omega = 0$ [38, 51, 52].

Figure 1.23: DOS as a function of energy $\omega$ and anisotropy of hybridization gap along the path from $k_z$–axis to $k_x$–$k_y$ plane in (a, b) $|J_z\rangle = |\pm 5/2\rangle$, (c, d) $|J_z\rangle = |\pm 3/2\rangle$, and (e, f) $|J_z\rangle = |\pm 1/2\rangle$ in the hexagonal symmetry [50].
1.2.5 Doping studies of Kondo semiconductors

The Kondo semiconductor CeNiSn belongs to the valence fluctuating regime. This compound does not order magnetically at low temperatures because the $4f$ moments are quenched by the strong $c$-$f$ hybridization. However, doping of $3d$ electrons in CeNiSn by Cu substitution for Ni at 10~20\% induces a long-range antiferromagnetic (AFM) order as shown in Fig. 1.24 (a, c) [53, 54, 55]. The emergence of AFM order was attributed to the weakened $c$-$f$ exchange interaction which is a consequence of the increase of the Fermi energy with respect to the $4f$ level. On the other hand, doping of $3d$ holes in CeNiSn by Co substitution for Ni (Fig. 1.24 (b)) suppresses the maximum of $\chi(T)$, indicating that the doping enhances the $c$-$f$ hybridization [54].

Figure 1.25 displays the semilogarithmic plots of $S(T)$ along $c$ axis. For non-doped CeNiSn, $S(T)$ increases from 300 to 100 K due to Kondo scattering. On cooling below 20 K, $S(T)$ successively decreases and then shows the minimum. The decrease reflects the opening of the hybridization gap because the $S(T)$ is proportional to the energy differential of quasiparticle DOS at the chemical potential as will be described in Experimental. When 5\% Co is substituted for Ni, the minimum changes to a large peak of 63 $\mu$V/K at 7 K. The $3d$ hole doping shifts the Fermi energy $E_F$ downward so that the energy derivative of the DOS at $E_F$ in CeNi$_{0.95}$Co$_{0.05}$Sn become larger than that in CeNiSn. For CeNi$_{0.66}$Co$_{0.34}$Sn, the resistivity and magnetic susceptibility show the valence fluctuating behavior [59]. The $S(T)$ is expected to show similar behavior of the valence fluctuating compound CeNi as depicted in Fig. 1.25 (b) [57, 58]. By contrast, $S(T)$ for the 5\% Cu substituted sample becomes negative at 6 K. The small and negative value at low temperatures is similar to the behavior of the localized $4f$ electron compound CePtSn as shown in Fig. 1.25 [29, 60]. The negative $S(T)$ at low temperatures indicates the appearance of antiferromagnetic spin correlation [29, 57]. These results reveal that the localization tendency of $4f$ electrons manifests as the change in $S(T)$ to a negative value.
Figure 1.24: Temperature dependences of (a, b) magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ and (c) specific heat divided by $T$ for the 3d-hole and 3d-electron doped Kondo semiconductor CeNi$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Sn ($0 \leq x \leq 0.05$) and CeNi$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$Sn ($0 \leq x \leq 0.2$) [53, 54, 55].

Figure 1.25: Temperature variations of thermopower $S(T)$ for (a) CeNiSn and 5% Co/Ni substituted samples along the $c$-axis [56] and (b) valence fluctuating compound CeNi [57, 58], localized 4$f$ electron compound CePtSn along the principle axes [29, 60].
The 4f-doped Kondo semiconductor CeRhAs was investigated by the break-junction tunneling spectroscopy on Ce_{1-x}La_xRhAs [61]. As shown in Fig. 1.26 for x = 0.01, the two hybridization gaps in the dI/dV spectra broaden in concomitant with the appearance of a pair of humps near V = 0. The emergence of such in-gap states within the hybridization gap inhibits ρ(T) from showing a semiconducting behavior as seen in the inset of Fig. 1.26.

**Theoretical models**

Figures 1.27–1.29 display the results of the DOS calculated for doped KSs on the basis of PAM. Assuming diluted Kondo holes in the 4f sublattice with the density of 4f and conduction electrons of n_f + n_c = 2 − x, the DOS exhibits an peak at E_F as shown for x = 0.1 in Fig. 1.27 [62]. With increasing the Kondo hole concentration x, the peak develops, keeping the hybridization gap width unchanged. At x = 0.8, there is a sharp peak at E_F without gap edge. Figure 1.28 shows the gap structure in DOS calculated for a slightly dispersive 4f band [63]. The Hamiltonian is also represented by the Eq. (1.15) and the quasiparticle dispersion relations E±(k) of the hybridized bands are given by

\[
E^\pm = \frac{E_f(k) + \epsilon(k)}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{E_f(k) - \epsilon(k)}{2}\right)^2 + |V(k)|^2}.
\]

The calculation was done for a k-independent hybridization V = 0.5 t, tight-binding conduction band 6t, and a weak dispersion of the 4f band t' = 0.03t, 0.04t, and 0.05t. The f-f hopping strength t' lowers E_F toward the top of the lower c-f hybridization band, making hole pockets in the vicinity of the E_F. Therefore as t' increases, the gap edge is broadened, the gap width is suppressed, and an upward peak develops inside the gap. In addition, non-magnetic impurities induce the impurity bands at E_F as shown in Fig. 1.29 [64]. The theory introduces rewriting the perturbed Green function in term of self-energy of 4f electrons in order to produce the non-magnetic impurities scattering effect [64].
Figure 1.26: Break-junction tunneling spectra of $\text{Ce}_{1-x}\text{La}_x\text{RhAs}$ ($x = 0$ and 0.01) at 4.2 K. The inset shows the electrical resistivity data normalized by the value at 160 K [61].

Figure 1.27: Quasiparticles density of states for a Kondo semiconductor doped with different amounts of holes as a function of energy $\omega$, calculated by the dynamical mean-field theory. The Fermi level is located at $\omega = 0$ [62].
Figure 1.28: Quasiparticles density of states as function of energy $\omega$ for Kondo semimetal with various $f$-band hopping strength $t'$. The Fermi level is located at $\omega = 0$ [63].

Figure 1.29: Quasiparticles density of state as function of energy $\omega$ for a Kondo semiconductor with the non-magnetic impurity concentration $c$ and the Coulomb repulsion $U$. The Fermi level is located at $\omega = 0$ [64].
1.3 Kondo semiconductors $\text{Ce}T_2\text{Al}_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$)

An orthorhombic compound $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ has been classified into the KSs because the electrical resistivity exhibits the thermal activation behavior, $\rho(T) \propto \exp(\Delta/2k_BT)$ [66]. This work triggered the systematic studies of $\text{Ce}T_2\text{Al}_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$).

1.3.1 Crystal structure

The crystal structure of $\text{Ce}T_2\text{Al}_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$) is shown in Fig. 1.30 [67, 68, 69, 66, 72, 75]. It crystallizes in the orthorhombic YbFe$_2$Al$_{10}$-type structure with the space group of $Cmcm$, No.63. The structural parameters of $\text{CeOs}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ are listed in Table 1.3 [69]. The Ce atom is surrounded by a polyhedron formed by 4 $T$ and 16 Al atoms [69, 66, 72, 75]. There are two zigzag chains: one consists of the nearest Ce ions (blue solid line) and the other is Ce–$T$–Ce zigzag chain (green dotted line). Furthermore, the local inversion symmetry at the Ce site with respect to the $b$ axis is absent, which allows the on-site mixing on 4$f$- and 5$d$- states of the Ce ion [70]. The lattice parameters, unit cell volume and Ce–Ce and Ce–$T$ interatomic distances given by neutron diffraction investigations are listed in table 1.4 [69, 83]. The atomic distance between the nearest Ce–Ce atoms ($\sim 5.2 \overset{\text{Å}}{\text{Å}}$) is larger than those between the Ce and $T$ or Al atoms. When going from Fe, Os to Ru, the values of unit cell volume $V$ and interatomic distances Ce–Ce and Ce–$T$ increase by 2%, 0.8%, 0.7%, respectively.

![Figure 1.30: YbFe$_2$Al$_{10}$-type crystal structure of Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$. The blue solid line and green dotted line represent the nearest Ce–Ce and Ce–$T$–Ce zigzag chains [66, 68, 69, 72, 75].](image-url)
Table 1.3: Structural parameters of CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ in the orthorhombic YbFe$_2$Al$_{10}$-type structure with $Cmcm$ space group determined from the neutron diffraction experiment at 2 K [83].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>atom</th>
<th>site</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>$z$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>4c</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1257(2)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>8d</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al1</td>
<td>8g</td>
<td>0.2240(2)</td>
<td>0.36530(2)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2</td>
<td>8g</td>
<td>0.3494</td>
<td>0.1324(2)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al3</td>
<td>8f</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1579(2)</td>
<td>0.6020(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al4</td>
<td>8f</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3779(3)</td>
<td>0.0485(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al5</td>
<td>8e</td>
<td>0.2240(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.4: Lattice parameters and interatomic distances of Ce–Ce and Ce–$T$ for Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru and Os}$) determined by neutron diffraction experiments at 300 K [69, 83].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$b$ (Å)</th>
<th>$c$ (Å)</th>
<th>$V$ (Å$^3$)</th>
<th>Ce–Ce (Å)</th>
<th>Ce–$T$ (Å)</th>
<th>Ce–Al1 (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>9.0159</td>
<td>10.2419</td>
<td>9.0882</td>
<td>839.204</td>
<td>5.2032</td>
<td>3.4467</td>
<td>3.1726</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.3.2 Magnetic, transport, and thermal properties of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$)

Figures 1.31 (a)–(f) display the temperature variations of the resistivity $\rho(T)$ and magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ for CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$) [66, 71, 72, 73, 75, 77, 78, 79, 83, 88, 98]. The CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ systems are classified into Kondo semiconductors because their $\rho(T)$ display semiconducting behaviors at high temperatures [66, 71, 72, 73, 98, 75, 77]. For $T = \text{Fe}$, where the $c$–$f$ hybridization is strongest among the three, the ground state remains in a paramagnetic state [75, 77]. On the contrary, the compounds with $T = \text{Ru}$ and Os undergo antiferromagnetic (AFM) orders at unexpectedly high temperatures $T_N = 27.0$ and $28.5$ K, respectively, although the Ce moments are reduced to 0.3–0.4 $\mu_B$/Ce by the Kondo effect [78, 83, 84].

Figure 1.31: Temperature variations of the resistivity $\rho(T)$ and magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ for CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$) [66, 71, 72, 73, 75, 76, 77, 98]. The dotted lines indicate the AFM ordering temperature $T_N$. The insets show the Arrhenius plot of $\rho(T)$. 
Figure 1.32 shows the magnetic structure of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T$ = Ru and Os) determined by neutron diffraction experiments [78, 83, 84]. As shown in Fig. 1.33, the magnetic Bragg peaks of (0 1 0) and (0 1 1) develop below 28.5 K for CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ [84]. In the AFM state, collinear AFM moments are aligned alternately along the $c$ axis with the propagation vector of (0 1 0). It is noteworthy that the ordered Ce moments are oriented along the $c$ axis although the $a$ axis is the easy magnetization axis in the paramagnetic state [78, 83, 84]. We summarize the magnetic, transport, and thermal properties for the CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ systems in the next paragraph.

Figure 1.32: Antiferromagnetic structure of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T$ = Ru and Os). The blue solid and green dotted lines represent the nearest Ce–Ce and Ce–$T$–Ce zigzag chains, respectively [68, 69, 66, 72, 75].

Figure 1.33: Temperature dependence of magnetic peak intensities of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ with (a) $T$ = Ru and (b) $T$ = Os. The open symbols display the heights of the peak top and closed symbols are the integrated intensities.
The temperature dependence of the resistivity $\rho(T)$ for the Fe compound is shown in Fig. 1.31 (a). As shown by the ln$\rho(T)$ versus $100/T$ plot in the inset, a thermal activation behavior, $\rho(T) \propto \exp(\Delta/2k_B T)$ with the transport gap $\Delta$, appears in the two regions of $210 - 140$ K and $21 - 11$ K [75]. Moving our attention to the $\rho(T)$ data for $T = \text{Ru}$ and Os in Fig. 1.31 (b, c) [66, 71, 72, 73], we find that $-\log T$ dependence from 300 to 100 K is followed by a thermal activation-type behavior in the range of $80 - 30$ K. By fitting the data in the inset of Fig. 1.31 (b, c), the values of $\Delta_a/k_B$, $\Delta_b/k_B$, and $\Delta_c/k_B$ are estimated to be 42, 50, and 58 K for $T = \text{Ru}$ and 56, 83, and 65 K for $T = \text{Os}$ [72, 76], respectively. Below $T_N$, $\rho(T)$ for $T = \text{Ru}$ and Os increases abruptly, which can be attributed to the formation of a superzone gap on the Fermi surface [90, 91]. The $T_N$ was taken as the midpoint of the jump in the specific heat divided by temperature $C/T$, as will be described below. Such a superzone gap is formed by the folding of the Brillouin zone associated with the AFM order. Thereby, it should be taken into account that the Ce sublattice changes from the base-centered orthorhombic lattice in the paramagnetic state to the primitive orthorhombic lattice in the AFM ordered state, as shown in Fig. 1.34. Upon cooling below $T_N$, $\rho(T)$ passes through a peak at 22 and 24 K for $T = \text{Ru}$ and Os, respectively. At $T < 20$ K, $\rho(T)$ for $T = \text{Ru}$ decreases and becomes constant, whereas that for $T = \text{Os}$ continues to increase. The reason for this different behavior in $\rho(T)$ will be clarified later.

Figure 1.35 displays the thermopower $S(T)$. At a glance, we notice that the anisotropy in thermopower $S(T)$ is stronger than in $\rho(T)$ especially for $T = \text{Os}$. Below 300 K, $S_i(T)$’s for the three compounds increase to a broad peak at the temperature $T_{S_{\text{max}}}$. At $T_{S_{\text{max}}}$, the absolute values of $S_a(T_{S_{\text{max}}})$ and $S_c(T_{S_{\text{max}}})$ increase from 10 $\mu$V/ K for $T = \text{Ru}$, 20 $\mu$V/K for $T = \text{Os}$, to 40 $\mu$V/K for $T = \text{Fe}$. On the other hand, only along the $b$ axis, $S_b(T_{S_{\text{max}}})$ for $T = \text{Os}$ is the largest among the three systems. Below $T_N$ for $T = \text{Ru}$ and Os, $S_a$ and $S_c$ increase but $S_b$ decreases. The reason for this anisotropic behavior in $S(T)$ will be discussed later.

The temperature variations of magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ for $T = \text{Fe}$ are shown in Fig. 1.31 (d) [75, 77]. The $\chi(T)$ in $B || a$ obeys the Curie-Weiss law from 300 to 100 K, and then passes through a broad maximum at around 75 K. The Curie-Weiss fit gives the paramagnetic Curie temperature $\theta_p = -260$ K. The large negative value of $\theta_p$ means
Figure 1.34: The Ce sublattice of CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ forms the base-centered orthorhombic lattice at $T > T_N$ and the primitive orthorhombic lattice at $T \leq T_N$ [72, 76].

strong $c$–$f$ hybridization because $|\theta_P|$ is proportional to the Kondo temperature $T_K$ [92]. In Fig. 1.31 (e, f), $\chi_a(T)$ for $T = $ Ru and Os also shows the Curie–Weiss behavior from 300 to 150 K, which is followed by the maximum at 30 and 45 K, respectively [66, 71, 72, 73, 98]. The magnitude of $T_K$ was estimated as $3T_\chi$, where $T_\chi$ is the temperature at the maximum of the $\chi_a(T)$. Then, $T_K$ increases from 90 K for $T = $ Ru, 135 K for $T = $ Os, to 220 K for $T = $ Fe. This increasing order in $T_K$ for the three compounds is consistent with that in the effective hybridization strength, $V_{\text{eff}}(T = $ Ru) $< V_{\text{eff}}(T = $ Os) $< V_{\text{eff}}(T = $ Fe), that was derived from the experiments of hard x-ray photoemission spectroscopy [79]. Below $T_N$, $\chi(T)$ along the three principal axes drop. This result was reproduced by the calculation by using the dynamical mean field theory and the continuous-time quantum Monte Carlo with the bipartite Kondo lattice model [82]. Moreover, the analysis of combined data of anisotropic magnetic susceptibility and x-ray absorption spectra indicated that the crystal-field ground state of the 4$f$ state in the systems with $T = $ Ru and Os is dominated by $|J_z\rangle = |\pm 3/2\rangle$ when the $c$ axis is taken as the quantization axis [80, 81]. The 4$f$ state
Figure 1.35: Temperature variations of thermopower $S(T)$ along the three principal axes for single crystals of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T =$ Fe, Ru, Os). The AFM ordering temperatures $T_N$ are denoted by solid lines [99].

with $|J_z⟩ = |±3/2⟩$ allows a pseudogap structure with residual DOS at $E_F$ as shown in Fig. 1.23 and 1.22 [38, 51, 50, 52].

The temperature dependences of specific heat $C$ divided by temperature, $C/T$, for CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ are shown in Fig. 1.36 (a). That for the Fe compound displays no anomaly, whereas those for the Ru and Os compounds show sharp peaks. The midpoint temperature of the jump in $C/T$ is taken as $T_N = 27$ and 28.5 K, as marked by the arrows in Fig. 1.36 (a). The extrapolation of the plot $C/T$ vs $T^2$ in the inset of Fig. 1.36 gives the Sommerfeld coefficients $γ = 18, 10, \text{ and } 7 \text{ mJ/K}^2\text{mol}$ for $T =$ Fe, Ru, and Os, respectively. The rather large values of $γ$ indicate the presence of residual DOS at $E_F$ in the gapped state. This is in agreement with the calculation of the anisotropic gap with nodes for the orthorhombic Kondo semiconductors [38, 51, 50, 52]. Figure 1.36 (b) shows the temperature variations of the magnetic part of specific heat $C_m$ plotted in the form of $C_m/T$ for CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$. To
estimate the values of $C_m$, the values of $C$ for La$T_2$Al$_{10}$ are subtracted from those of Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$. The $C_m/T$ for $T$ = Fe shows a broad maximum at 30 K, which may be attributed to the hybridization gap of 8.6 meV observed by neutron inelastic scattering (Fig. 1.17). For typical Kondo semiconductors CeNiSn (gap energy = 4.4 meV) and CeRhSb (gap energy = 7.7 meV), the maximum in $C_m/T$ was explained by the Lorentzian DOS with a V-shaped gap [39, 40, 41]. For $T$ = Ru and Os, however, sharp peaks appear at $T_N$ in the $C_m/T$, respectively, where the jump for $T$ = Ru is much larger than that for $T$ = Os. Below $T_N$, $C_m/T$s decrease exponentially, following the description of AFM magnon dispersion ($C_m/T \propto T^2 \exp(-\Delta_m/T)$), where $\Delta_m$ is the magnon gap. The inset of Fig. 1.36 (b) shows the magnetic entropy $S_m$ estimated by integrating $C_m/T$ versus $T$ over the entire temperature range. At $T_N$, $S_m$ bends downward at the values 0.65 Rln2 for $T$ = Ru and 0.35 Rln2 for $T$ = Os.
Figure 1.36: Temperature dependences of the specific heat $C$ and magnetic part $C_m$ plotted in the forms of (a) $C/T$ and (b) $C_m/T$ for CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T =$ Fe, Ru, and Os) [66, 71, 72, 73, 75, 76, 77, 98]. The arrows indicate $T_N$ at the midpoint of the jump in $C/T$. The insets of (a) and (b), respectively, show $C/T$ vs $T^2$ and the magnetic entropy $S_m$ divided by Rln2 vs $T$. 
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Out of de Gennes scaling of \( T_N \)

Figure 1.37 shows the magnetic transition temperatures of the series of \( LnOs_2Al_{10} \) \((Ln = Ce, Nd, Sm, and Gd)\) versus the de Gennes factor \( dG = (g_J - 1)^2J(J + 1) \), where \( g_J \) is the Landé \( g \)-value and \( J \) is the total angular momentum of \( Ln^{3+} \) ion \([71, 88, 89]\). For \( R = Ce \), a large deviation from the \( dG \) scaling by factors of 150 is seen. Namely, \( T_N \) for \( CeOs_2Al_{10} \) with 0.3 \( \mu_B/Ce \) is higher than those of the Gd counterpart with 7\( \mu_B/Gd \). This fact indicates that the high \( T_N \) for \( CeT_2Al_{10} \) \((T = Ru \ and \ Os)\) cannot be explained by the simple RKKY interaction between the localized magnetic of moments of \( Ce^{3+} \) ions. We need to take into account of the \( e-f \) hybridization effect and crystal field effect.

Gap structures

The gap formation in \( CeT_2Al_{10} \)(\( T = Fe, Ru, Os \)) has been investigated by nuclear quadruple resonance (NQR) \([85, 86, 87]\), photoemission spectroscopy \([94]\), and optical conductivity \([95, 96]\). Figure 1.38 shows the temperature variation of \( ^{27}Al \) nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate \( 1/T_1 \) at the Al(5) site. On cooling \( CeFe_2Al_{10} \), \( 1/T_1 \) decreases and behaves as \( T_1 T = \text{const} \) (Korringa law). The \( T \)-dependence is similar to that in the Kondo semiconductors \( CeNiSn \) and \( CeRhSb \), implying that the gap develops in \( CeFe_2Al_{10} \) leaving a residual \( N(E) \) at \( E_F \). In fact, the calculated \( 1/T_1 \) under the assumption of V-shaped density of states is drawn by the solid line in Fig. 1.38, which reproduces experimental data for \( T = Fe \). However, \( 1/T_1 \) for \( CeT_2Al_{10} \) \((T = Ru \ and \ Os)\) drastically decreases at around \( T_N \) and deviates from the calculation for the V-shaped DOS.

Figure 1.39 displays the energy dependences of PES spectra for \( CeT_2Al_{10} \) at various temperatures. Upon cooling the sample with \( T = Fe \), two hybridization gaps successively appear in the PES spectra, while one hybridization gap and an AFM gap open for \( T = Ru \) and Os \([94]\). At around \( T_N \), no obvious reduction in the DOS at \( E_F \) was observed.

The polarized optical conductivity spectra \( \sigma(\omega) \) with the electric field along the orthorhombic principal axes are represented in Fig. 1.40 (a)–(c) \([95, 96]\). Broad shoulders gradually evolve on cooling at around 55, 45, and 40 meV, respectively, for \( T = Fe, Os, \) and Ru. The shoulders were attributed to hybridization gap because the shoulders are similar to those of typical KSs as shown in Fig. 1.19. Furthermore, it is remarkable that a peak develops at 20 meV only along the \( b \) axis for \( T = Ru \) and Os, as shown in Fig. 1.40.
(d, e), respectively. Figure 1.40 (f) displays the temperature dependence of effective electron numbers ($N_{\text{eff}}$) involved in the shoulders for $T = $ Ru and Os. The effective electron number ($N_{\text{eff}}$) was calculated by using the function,

$$N_{\text{eff}} = \frac{4m_0}{\hbar^2e^2} = \int_0^\infty \Delta\sigma(\hbar\omega) \, d\hbar\omega,$$

(1.23)

where $\hbar$ is the Planck constant, $e$ is elementary charge, and $m_0$ is the electron rest mass. The shape of the background originating from the $c$-$f$ hybridization gap is almost linear with the energy. In order to evaluate the intensity of the peak at 20 meV, the intensity for the background was subtracted from the total $\sigma(\hbar\omega)$ as shown in Fig. 1.40 (d). The temperature dependence of $N_{\text{eff}}$ indicates that the peak structures at 20 meV evolve as temperature decreases below 32 K for $T = $ Ru and 38 K for $T = $ Os.

![Figure 1.37: Magnetic transition temperatures of the series of $Ln$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($Ln =$ Ce, Nd, Sm, and Gd) against the de Gennes factor $dG = (g_J - 1)^2 J(J + 1)$ [71, 88, 89].](image-url)
Figure 1.38: Temperature dependences of nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate of $^{27}$Al NQR in Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T$ = Fe, Ru, and Os) [85, 86, 87].

Figure 1.39: Temperature variations of PES spectra near $E_F$ for Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T$ = Fe, Ru, and Os) and gold [94]. The blue belts represent the energy region where the DOS decreases due to the opening of the hybridization gap. The red arrows indicate the shoulder structures.
Figure 1.40: Temperature dependences of optical conductivities of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ for $T = (a)$ Fe, (b, d) Os, and (c, e) Ru [95, 96]. (f) Temperature dependence of effective electron number ($N_{\text{eff}}$) involved in the shoulder structure at around 20 meV for $E \parallel b$. 
1.3.3 Pressure effects on the magnetic and transport properties of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$)

Figures 1.41 (a) and 1.42 (a)–(c) show the temperature dependences of magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ of CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ along the orthorhombic principal axes and electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ along the $a$ axis of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$) under various hydrostatic pressures, respectively [77, 97, 98]. In $\chi_a(T)$ and $\chi_c(T)$ for $T = \text{Os}$, the maxima at 45 K are suppressed and the temperatures at the maxima increase under pressure, indicating the increase in $T_K$. Furthermore, $\rho(T)$ for $T = \text{Ru}$ at $P = 2.0$ GPa is similar to that of $T = \text{Os}$ at ambient pressure. These changes in $\chi(T)$ and $\rho(T)$ suggest the enhancement of $c$-$f$ hybridization by pressure. At $P > 1.58$ GPa, $\rho(T)$ for $T = \text{Os}$ no longer exhibits the thermal activation behavior at $T > T_N$, although a broad maximum manifests at $T_{\text{pm}}$ which is followed by a metallic behavior below 30 K. By applying pressure up to 3 GPa on the three systems, $\rho(T)$ becomes metallic at low temperatures. The metalization in $\rho(T)$ implies that the ground state changes from the KS state to the metallic valence fluctuating state by the enhancement of the $c$-$f$ hybridization.

Let us focus on the relation between $T_N$ and the activation behaviors in $\rho(T)$ above $T_N$, which temperature is determined as the bend in $\rho(T)$. Figure 1.41 represents (b) $T_N$ for $T = \text{Ru and Os}$, and (c) thermal activation energy $\Delta_H$ and $\Delta_L$ in $\rho(T)$ as a function of pressure. With increasing pressure up to $\sim 2$ and 1 GPa, the $T_N$'s of $T = \text{Ru and Os}$ increase and disappear suddenly at $P_c = 4.0$ and 2.5 GPa, respectively. On the other hand, the activation energy $\Delta_H$ above $T_N$ for $T = \text{Os}$ decreases and vanishes above $\sim 1$ GPa. These behaviors seem to imply that the gap formation at $T > T_N$ is not necessary for the AFM transition. However, $\rho(T)$ may exhibit such a metallic behavior if in-gap states develops within the hybridization gap, as shown in Fig. 1.26. Therefore, it is important to observe directly the gap structures by the photoemission spectroscopy, optical conductivity, and tunneling spectroscopy.
Figure 1.41: Pressure dependences of (a) the magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ along the orthorhombic principal axes for CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ [97], (b) $T_N$ for CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T$ = Ru, Os) [98], and (c) activation energies $\Delta_H/k_B$ above $T > T_N$ and $\Delta_L/k_B$ below $T_N$ for CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ [97].

Figure 1.42: Temperature dependences of the electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ along the $a$ axis for CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T$ = Fe, Ru, and Os) under various pressures [77, 97, 98].
1.3.4 Doping effects on the magnetic, transport, and optical properties of CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$

The effects of La, Re, and Rh substitutions on CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$ have been investigated by the measurements of the magnetic, transport and optical properties [99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107]. Figure 1.43 (a) displays the temperature variations of $\chi^{-1}(B \parallel a)$ for Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Ru$_2$Al$_{10}$, whose value is normalized per Ce mol [99]. With increasing $z$, the linear temperature dependences in $1/\chi_a(T)$ above 100 K hardly change, indicating no change in the valence state of the Ce ion. Thus, the La substitution does not alter the $c$-$f$ hybridization at high temperatures. The neutron diffraction study of Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Ru$_2$Al$_{10}$ showed that the La substitution with $z = 0.1$ changes the direction of $\mu_{AF}$ from the $c$ axis to the $b$ axis [100]. In Ce(Ru$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, on the other hand, the maximum in $\chi_a(T)$ at around 30 K is suppressed as $2y$ increases from 0 to 0.1, as shown in Fig. 1.43 (b) [101]. The magnitude of $\mu_{AF}$ also decreases from 0.4 $\mu_B$/Ce for $2y = 0$ to 0.2 $\mu_B$/Ce for $2y = 0.06$ [102]. Moreover, the direction of $\mu_{AF}$ changes from the $c$ axis for $2y = 0$ to the $b$ axis for $2y = 0.06$. By contrast, in Ce(Ru$_{1-x}$Rh$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, the maximum in $\chi_a(T)$ changes to a sharp peak with the fivefold increase in the height as $2x$ is increased to 0.46 [103, 104]. At $2x = 0.06$, $\mu_{AF}$ changes the direction from the $c$ axis to the $a$ axis with the increase in the size to $\mu_{AF} = 1.06 \mu_B$/Ce [105]. These results reveal that the 4$f$ state in CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$ is more delocalized by Re-substitution but localized by Rh-substitution [101, 102, 103, 105]. As noted above, the La-, Re-, and Rh- substitutions in CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$ change the $c$-$f$ hybridization as well as the direction of $\mu_{AF}$ in largely different ways.

The $\rho(T)$ data for La- and Rh- substituted systems are shown in Figs. 1.44 (a) and (b), respectively [103, 104, 106]. For the non-doped sample, $\rho(T)$ displays the thermal activation-type behavior in the region of 30 – 80 K. With increasing $z$ and $2x$, it changes to -log $T$ dependences, implying that the activation energy $\Delta$ decreases by both substitutions. Furthermore, as shown in Figs. 1.45 and 1.46, $T_N$ is suppressed and $\gamma$ value is increased with the increase of $x$, $y$, and $z$ [99, 101, 103, 104, 106]. The degree of changes in $T_N$ and $\gamma$ for the Re-substituted system is stronger than those for the La-substituted system.
Figure 1.43: Temperature variations of the magnetic susceptibility $\chi_i(T)$ ($i = a, b, c$) of (a) Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Ru$_2$Al$_{10}$ [99], (b) Ce(Ru$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ [101], and (c) Ce(Ru$_{1-x}$Rh$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ [103, 104].

Figure 1.44: Temperature dependences of the electrical resistivity $\rho$ ($I \parallel a$) for (a) Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Ru$_2$Al$_{10}$ [106] and (b) Ce(Ru$_{1-x}$Rh$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ [103, 104].
Figure 1.45: Temperature dependences of the specific heat $C$ and magnetic part $C_m$ for La-, Re-, Rh- substituted CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$ [99, 101, 103, 104].

Figure 1.46: $\gamma$ values in the specific heats of Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Ru$_2$Al$_{10}$, Ce(Ru$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, and Ce(Ru$_{1-z}$Rh$_z$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ as functions of $x$, $y$, and $z$ [101].
Optical conductivity in Ce(Ru$_{1-x}$Rh$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$

Figure 1.47 (a) represents the optical conductivity spectra $\sigma(\omega)$ for $E \parallel b$ in Ce(Ru$_{1-x}$Rh$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ for $2x = 0$, 0.06, and 0.1 [107]. For the non-doped sample, there are a broad shoulder at 40 meV and a peak structure at 20 meV. As $2x$ is increased to 0.1, the intensity of the hybridization gap is strongly suppressed, whereas the 20 meV peak is weakly suppressed (Fig. 1.47 (b)) [107]. These observations were interpreted as suggesting that the AFM order is related to the charge excitation gap along the $b$ axis rather than the hybridization gap. However, we wonder that exact estimation of the intensity of the hybridization gap is difficult due to the large contributions of the Drude and interband transition terms.

Figure 1.47: (a) Optical conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$ along the $b$ axis at 10 K. The solid lines are the lines fitted with one Drude term and three Lorentz functions (assumed as peaks of the charge excitation $\Delta_0$, $c$-$f$ hybridization gap $\Delta_{cf}$, interband transition (IB)). (b) Effective electron numbers of Drude, $\Delta_0$, and $\Delta_{cf}$ components together with the AFM temperature $T_N$ as a function of $x$ in Ce(Ru$_{1-x}$Rh$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ for $2x = 0$, 0.06, and 0.1 with $T_N = 27.0$, 25.5, and 23.5 K, respectively [107].
1.4 Purpose of the present study

In rare-earth based Kondo semiconductors (KSs), a narrow gap develops in the $c$-$f$ hybridized bands at $E_F$ [2, 3, 4, 27]. Neither of them ordered magnetically because the $4f$ local moments are coherently screened by the conduction electron spins as the consequence of the $c$-$f$ hybridization effect. In the new family of KSs, Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = $ Ru and Os), however, AFM orders have been observed at $T_N = 27$ and 28.5 K, respectively. The comparison of magnetic and transport properties between CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$ and CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ indicated that CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ is located closer to the critical point where the unusual AFM disappears. Here, it evoked a question of why the $T_N$s with small magnetic moments 0.3 – 0.4 $\mu_B$/Ce are higher than those for the Gd compounds with 7$\mu_B$/Gd. For $T = $ Os, the value of transport gap was estimated as $\Delta/k_B = 50 – 80$ K. The magnetic, thermal, and x-ray absorption measurements have indicated that a pseudogap opens in the quasiparticle DOS leaving a residual DOS at $E_F$ [71, 72, 78, 80, 83]. In facts, the Sommerfeld coefficient of CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ is as large as 7 mJ/K$^2$ mol. The residual DOS makes it difficult to judge the presence of the hybridization gap solely from the resistivity data. Therefore, it is important to probe directly the opening of hybridization gaps by other methods.

The present study aims to clarify the relation between the $c$-$f$ hybridization gap and the unusual magnetic order in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$. For this purpose, we have prepared samples of La-, Re-, and Ir-substituted CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$. Substitutions of La for Ce and Re/Ir for Os are expected to dope a $4f$ hole and a $5d$ hole/electron in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$, respectively. As mentioned above, previous studies of CeNiSn by doping $3d$ electrons and holes provided us with important information on the relation between the hybridization gap and magnetism. From these results, we expected that the $c$-$f$ hybridization is enhanced/suppressed by doping holes/electrons because the Fermi energy $E_F$ would decrease/increase as shown in Fig. 1.48. The enhancement/suppression of $c$-$f$ hybridization should change the hybridization gap and $T_N$. Bearing this in mind, we have measured the magnetic susceptibility, electrical resistivity, thermopower, and specific heat as well as tunneling spectra of doped sample. Neutron scattering experiments have been performed on our samples. The results of doping effects on CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ will be discussed by comparing with those of CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$. 
Figure 1.48: Expected effects of doping of $4f$ holes and $5d$ holes/electrons on the electronic states in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$.
Chapter 2

Sample preparation and characterizations

2.1 Crystal growth of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T =$ Fe, Ru, and Os) and substituted CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$

Firstly, we have examined the solubility of Re and Ir in Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Re$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ and Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ by preparing polycrystalline samples with the nominal compositions of Re and Ir up to 50%. Starting materials of Ce, Os + Re/Ir, and Al with the ratio of 1 : 2 : 10 were arc-melted into polycrystalline ingots under an Ar atmosphere purified by the titanium getter. The ingots were annealed in an evacuated quartz ampoule at 850°C for seven days [75]. Starting materials are listed in Table 2.1.

Single crystals of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T =$ Fe, Ru, and Os) and those substituted by La, Re, and Ir were grown using an Al self-flux method [72]. First, Ce (La) lump and crashed balls of Fe, Ru, and Os (Re/Ir) were arc-melted into an ingot of about 3 g. The ingot of CeT$_2$ was turn over and was melted several times to make it homogeneous. To avoid chemical reaction between Al and the quartz tube, we used magnesia and alumina crucibles, which were degassed in vacuum at high temperature. The crushed CeT$_2$ ingot and pieces of Al in the ratio of 1 : 30 were loaded into a magnesia crucible for $T =$ Fe (Nikkato, MG-12G) and an alumina crucible for $T =$ Ru and Os (Nikkato, SSA-H T2). The crucibles of 50 mm in length and 11.4 mm of inner diameter were sealed in a quartz ampoule under an Ar
atmosphere of 1/3 atm, as shown in Fig. 2.1. Beforehand, the quartz ampoule was burned by flames to remove water and impurities. Figures 2.2 and 2.3 display the temperature programs for the crystal growth of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$) and substituted ones. CeFe$_2$Al$_{30}$ in the magnesia crucible was heated to 900°C, kept for 12 hours, and then slowly cooled at a rate 2°C/h (Fig. 2.2). On the other hand, CeT$_2$Al$_{30}$ ($T = \text{Ru and Os}$) or substituted samples in the alumina crucible were heated to 1200°C for 5 hours and then cooled at a rate 2°C/h (Fig. 2.3).

Figure 2.1: Crushed CeT$_2$ ingot and pieces of Al sealed in a quartz ampoule under an Ar atmosphere of 1/3 atm. The silica wool was placed above and below the crucible made of magnesia or alumina.

Figure 2.2: Temperature program as a function of time for the growth of single crystals of CeFe$_2$Al$_{10}$. 
Figure 2.3: Temperature program as a function of time for the growth of single crystals of $\text{CeT}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ ($T = \text{Ru and Os}$), $\text{Ce}_{1-z}\text{La}_z\text{Os}_2\text{Al}_{10}$, $\text{Ce}(\text{Os}_{1-y}\text{Re}_y)_2\text{Al}_{10}$, and $\text{Ce}(\text{Os}_{1-x}\text{Ir}_x)_2\text{Al}_{10}$.

Table 2.1: Starting materials for the sample of $\text{CeT}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ and substituted one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Suppliers</th>
<th>Purity</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>Johnson Matthey # 40284, I02 W058</td>
<td>3N</td>
<td>Lump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>Ames Lab. MPC # 3031M-33</td>
<td>4N</td>
<td>Rod 6φ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>Ames Lab. MPC # M001</td>
<td>4N</td>
<td>Rod 6φ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>Rare Metallic # 628-34</td>
<td>4N</td>
<td>Ingot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>Tanaka Kikinzoku # 02973</td>
<td>3N</td>
<td>Powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>Tanaka Kikinzoku # 8 89740206</td>
<td>3N</td>
<td>Powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>Tanaka Kikinzoku # 0482</td>
<td>3N</td>
<td>Powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td>Nilaco # 361483</td>
<td>3N</td>
<td>Wire 2φ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>Koujundo Chem. Lab. No.376321</td>
<td>5N</td>
<td>Ingot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>Alfa Aesar # 10779, L08U26</td>
<td>5N</td>
<td>Ingot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2 Characterizations of samples

The samples were characterized by combining powder x-ray diffraction (Rigaku Ultima-IV) with Cu target (TOSHIBA Copper-A-41, $V = 40$ kV and $I = 30$ mA), Laue back diffraction (TRYSE TRY-IPLC) with W target (TOSHIBA Tungsten-A-40, $V = 25$ kV and $I = 20$ mV). The chemical composition was determined by the wavelength dispersive electron-probe microanalysis (EPMA, JEOL JXA-8200). From the powder X-ray diffraction patterns, the crystallographic structures and the lattice parameters have been determined by the analysis software RIETAN-FP [115]. Back scattered electron (BSE) images of the polished surface of the samples were observed by the EPMA system operated at 20 keV.

2.2.1 X-ray diffraction

Figures 2.4 and 2.5 show the powder x-ray diffraction patterns of the polycrystalline sample of Ce$_{1-x}$La$_x$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$, Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, and Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ in the diffraction range of $10^\circ \leq 2\theta \leq 120^\circ$. The diffraction patterns indicated that the YbFe$_2$Al$_{10}$-type structure is kept in the ranges $z \leq 1$, $y \leq 0.5$, and $x \leq 0.4$. The lattice parameters are plotted in Figs. 2.6 and 2.7. With increasing $z$ and $y$, the orthorhombic lattice parameters increase, whereas the $a$ and $c$ parameters decrease with increasing $x$. Since the change is smaller than 0.3% for $z \leq 1.0$, $y \leq 0.1$, and $x \leq 0.2$, we expect that the chemical pressure effect on the c-f hybridization may be much weaker than that of doping of 4$f$/5$d$ holes and electrons.
Figure 2.4: Powder x-ray diffraction patterns of polycrystalline samples of Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$.

Figure 2.5: Powder x-ray diffraction patterns of polycrystalline samples of Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ and Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$. 
Figure 2.6: Orthorhombic lattice parameters of polycrystalline samples of $\text{Ce}_{1-z}\text{La}_z\text{Os}_2\text{Al}_{10}$.

Figure 2.7: Orthorhombic lattice parameters of polycrystalline samples of $\text{Ce}(_{1-y}\text{Re}_y)\text{Os}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ and $\text{Ce}(_{1-x}\text{Ir}_x)\text{Os}_2\text{Al}_{10}$.
2.2.2 Electron-probe microanalysis

Electron-probe microanalysis (EPMA) is the most commonly method of non-destructive elemental analysis. The electron beam of $< 0.1 \mu m$ in diameter is focused on the sample, producing the inelastic collisions between incident-electrons and the electrons in the inner-shells of atoms in the sample. The inner-shell electrons are left from its orbit due to the collisions, a higher-shell electron falls into the vacancy. The process generates the characteristic x-rays at particular wavelengths. Their x-ray intensities reflect the concentration of atoms in the sample. Therefore, we can determine the atomic compositions of the samples. The high spatial resolution probe can detect almost all elements except for light elements (H, He, and Li) because each element has the specific set of x-rays. We have two ways to detect the characteristic x-rays. One is energy-dispersive spectrometry (EDS) and the other is wavelength-dispersive spectrometry (WDS). The EDS system uses a solid-state semiconducting detector, which collects and counts all of the emitted x-rays at once. On the other hand, the WDS system detects x-rays of specific energy by utilizing Bragg diffraction from diffracting crystals. By changing the angle of incident characteristic x-rays, the crystals will constructively diffract x-rays of specific wavelengths. EDS system yields more information and require a much shorter counting time, whereas the energy resolution is not better than 100 eV. In our study, EPMA was performed by WDS system with the high energy resolution of 10 eV.

![Figure 2.8: Measurement process of electron-probe micro analyzer (EPMA, WDS system).](image)

When focused electron beam at typical energy 5–30 eV hits a sample, characteristic x-rays, secondary electrons, and backscattered electrons are emitted from the sample. The characteristic x-rays are diffracted through the diffracting crystal to be monotonized.
CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T =$ Fe, Ru, and Os)

Figure 2.9 shows the examples of photographs for the polished single crystals of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T =$ Fe, Ru, and Os). We obtained large single crystals for $T =$ Fe ($10 \times 8 \times 5$ mm$^3$) compared with $T =$ Ru and Os ($3 \times 3 \times 2$ mm$^3$).

Figure 2.9: Photographs of the single crystals of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T =$ Fe, Ru, and Os).
Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$

Several crystals of Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$ of approximately $2 \times 2 \times 1$ mm$^3$ were obtained. Figures 2.10 and 2.11 are, respectively, the photograph and the BSE images for the single crystals grown from the initial compositions Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$. The atomic compositions determined by EPMA are listed in Table 2.2. The gray areas of the images are the CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ phase, while the white areas are the impurities of OsAl$_4$. The compositions of La ($z$) in the crystals were found to deviate slightly from the initial ones ($Z$). For $Z = 0.05, 0.10, 0.20, 0.30$ and 0.5, the actual values of $z$ were 0.043, 0.096, 0.15, 0.24 and 0.51, respectively. Here, the sum of composition of Ce and La was assumed to be 1.0.

Table 2.2: Compositions for the single crystals made from Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$ with the initial compositions $Z = 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$, and 0.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Z$</th>
<th>Ce</th>
<th>La</th>
<th>Os</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>10.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>10.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>10.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>10.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>10.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>10.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$

Several crystals of Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ of approximately $4 \times 3 \times 2.5$ mm$^3$ were obtained. The photographs and BSE images are shown in Figs. 2.12 and 2.13, respectively. The BSE images are monocolor, indicating the absence of impurity phases. Therefore, the contents of $y$ were the same as the initial ones $Y = 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.05$, and 0.1 (see Table 2.3).
Table 2.3: The compositions for the single crystals made from Ce(Os$_{1-Y}$Re$_Y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ with the initial compositions $Y = 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.05,$ and 0.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Y$</th>
<th>Ce</th>
<th>Os</th>
<th>Re</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>10.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>10.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>10.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>10.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>10.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$

Figures 2.14 and 2.15, respectively, show the photographs and BSE images for Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$. The compositions of Ir ($x$) were found to be 0, 0.03, 0.04, 0.08, 0.15, 0.27 for the initial ones $X = 0, 0.02, 0.03, 0.10, 0.20,$ and 0.30 respectively. The difference between the values $x$ and $X$ is attributed to the segregation of a small amount of impurity phase of (Os,Ir)Al$_4$. The impurity phases are the white areas in Fig. 2.15.

Table 2.4: The compositions for the single crystals made from Ce(Os$_{1-X}$Ir$_X$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ with the initial compositions $X = 0.01, 0.03, 0.05, 0.2,$ and 0.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$X$</th>
<th>Ce</th>
<th>Os</th>
<th>Ir</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>10.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>10.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>10.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>10.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>10.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.10: Photographs of the single crystals made from the initial compositions $\text{Ce}_{1-Z}\text{La}_Z\text{Os}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ with $Z = 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, \text{ and } 0.5$. 

$\text{Ce}_{1-Z}\text{La}_Z\text{Os}_2\text{Al}_{10}$
Figure 2.11: Back scattered electron images for the polished crystals Ce$_{1-Z}$La$_Z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$ made from the initial compositions $Z = 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5$. Note the 100 μm scale given at the right bottom.
Figure 2.12: Photographs of single crystals made from the initial compositions \( \text{Ce} (\text{Os}_{1-Y} \text{Re}_Y)_2 \text{Al}_{10} \) with \( Y = 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.05, \) and 0.1.
Ce(Os\textsubscript{1-Y}Re\textsubscript{Y})\textsubscript{2}Al\textsubscript{10}

Figure 2.13: Back scattered electron images for the polished crystals Ce(Os\textsubscript{1-Y}Re\textsubscript{Y})\textsubscript{2}Al\textsubscript{10} made from the initial compositions $Y = 0.01$, 0.02, 0.03, 0.05, and 0.1.
Figure 2.14: Photographs of the single crystals made from the initial compositions Ce(Os$_{1-X}$Ir$_X$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ with $X = 0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.2,$ and $0.3$. 
Figure 2.15: Back scattered electron images for the polished crystals Ce(Os$_{1-X}$Ir$_X$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ made from the initial compositions $X = 0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.2, \text{ and } 0.3$. 

Ce(Os$_{1-X}$Ir$_X$)$_2$Al$_{10}$
2.2.3 Orienting crystal direction

Figure 2.16 shows the x-ray diffraction patterns for single crystals of CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ taken for the polished planes perpendicular to (a) $a$-, (b) $b$-, and (c) $c$-axes, respectively. The Laue photos taken for these planes are shown in Fig. 2.17, which are in accord with simulated patterns in the Fig. 2.18. In all cases, single crystalline nature was checked by taking several Laue photos and x-ray diffraction patterns for different areas. When the photographs and diffraction pattern showed well-defined reflection patterns with sharp spots, the crystals were cut by using a spark erosion machine in appropriate shapes for the measurements of magnetic, transport, and tunneling properties.

Figure 2.16: X-ray diffraction patterns of the single crystal of CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ taken for the polished planes perpendicular to (a) $a$- (b) $b$, and (c) $c$- axes, respectively.
Figure 2.17: X-ray Laue pictures of a CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ single crystal oriented in the (a) (1 0 0), (b) (0 1 0), and (c) (0 0 1) directions, respectively.
Figure 2.18: X-ray Laue patterns simulated for CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ oriented in the (1 0 0), (0 1 0), and (0 0 1) directions, respectively.
Chapter 3

Experimental

3.1 Magnetization

The temperature dependence of magnetization $M(T)$ was measured in an external field $B = 1$ T from 1.8 to 300 K with a commercial Magnetic Property Measurements System (MPMS, Quantum Design) which is shown in Fig. 3.1. Thereby, the superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) was used to detect the magnetic signal. The sample was moved upward through the pickup coils, inducing the SQUID output voltage, as shown in Fig. 3.2. The integration of the output voltages versus position is proportional to the magnitude of the magnetic moment. The absolute value was calibrated by using a standard sample of Pd.

The isothermal magnetization $M(B)$ was measured up to $B = 14$ T at differential temperatures by the option of the DC extraction method of the Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS) in Fig. 3.3. A set of copper coils produces the voltage signal by the movement of the magnetic sample. The amplitude of the detection coil signal depends upon both the extraction speed and the samples’s magnetic moment. The servo motor transports the sample through the whole detection coil set at a speed of 100 cm/sec. The short scan time allows the average of several scans for each measurement, reducing the contribution of random error. During one transportation, PPMS reads the voltage profile curve as shown in Fig. 3.4. The sample moment is obtained by integrating the voltage profile and fitting the waveform. The resolution of magnetic moment is $2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ emu being two orders of magnitude less sensitive than that of MPMS $\sim 1 \times 10^{-7}$.
emu. However, this resolution is enough to measure $M(B)$ up to 14 T for our samples.

For magnetization measurements, we used a sample of a rectangular shape with the typical mass of 30–100 mg. First, we cut off a small section of a transparent plastic straw and place the sample at the center of the straw of 10.4 mm in inner diameter. Then, the straw was mounted in a full-sized straw as shown in Fig. 3.5. It is necessary to ensure that the sample does not budge inside the straw to avoid any movement during the transportation at the high speed.

Figure 3.1: SQUID magnetometer of MPMS (Quantum Design) [116]
Figure 3.2: Principle of the measurement of magnetic moments by the SQUID system of MPMS (Quantum Design) [116]. External field was applied up to 5 T.

Figure 3.3: A coil set to measure magnetization by the extraction method using the PPMS [117]. External field was applied up to 14 T.
Figure 3.4: Voltage wave form as a function of time for the DC measurement with PPMS (black line). The light blue line is the waveform reference and indicates ideal measurement results [117].

Figure 3.5: Sample mounting in the straw for the magnetization measurements with (a) the SQUID systems of MPMS and (b) the DC extraction option of PPMS.
3.2 Specific heat

The specific heat $C$ at constant pressure was measured from 2 to 300 K by a relaxation method using a calorimeter in PPMS. Figure 3.6 shows the sample platform made of sapphire in the heat capacity option. Au-35% Pd alloy wires of 50 $\mu$m in diameter provide the required thermal connection to the platform. A sample of $\sim$ 10 mg was mounted on the platform. The sample was thermally connected to the addenda with Apiezone N-grease. However, too much of grease leads to poor thermal diffusivity, which results in an inaccurate sample heat capacity. The PPMS controls the heat supplied to and released from a sample while monitoring the resulting change in temperature $T$. The amount of heat $P(t)$ is equal to $P_0$ during the heating portion of the measurement and equal to zero during the cooling portion. Based on the temperature response in the cooling period, the relaxation time $\tau$ is calculated. The PPMS fits the entire response of the sample platform to a model that accounts for both the thermal relaxation of the sample platform to the bath temperature and that of the sample platform to sample itself. The $\tau$ leads to heat capacity at constant pressure $C$ by using the equations as described below.

$$\tau = \frac{C}{K} = \frac{C_s + C_h}{K_w} ,$$

$$K_w = \frac{P}{\Delta T} ,$$

$$C = K_w \tau = \frac{P \tau}{\Delta T} ,$$

$$C_s = \frac{P \tau}{\Delta T} - C_h .$$

The $C_h$ and $C_s$, respectively, are the heat capacities of the holder and sample, and $K_w$ is the thermal conductance of the wire.
Figure 3.6: Thermal connections between the sample, the holder, and the heat bath in the PPMS heat capacity option [118].

**Simple Model**

The simple model, which is the most basic analysis, assumes the sample and sample platform are in good thermal contact with each other [118]. The equations of simple model are expressed as

\[
C_{\text{total}}(T) = \frac{dQ(T)}{dT}
\]  

\[
C_{\text{total}}(T) \frac{dT}{dt} = P(t) - \int_T^{T_0} K_w(T')dT'
\]  

\[
C_{\text{total}}(T) \frac{dT}{dt} = P(t) - K_w(T - T_0),
\]  

where \(C_{\text{total}}\) is the total heat capacity of the sample and sample platform, and \(T_0\) is the temperature of the thermal bath.

**Two-tau Model**

The two-tau model is used to measure the heat capacity of the sample when poor thermal attachment of the sample to the platform produces a temperature difference between the two [118]. This model simulates the effect of heat flowing between the platform and puck. The following equations express the two-tau model. The respective temperatures of the platform and sample are given by \(T_p(t)\) and \(T_s(t)\), respectively:

\[
P(t) = \int_{T_0}^{T_h(t)} K_w(T')dT' + \int_{T_s(t)}^{T_h(t)} K_g(T')dT' + C_h(T) \frac{dT_h(t)}{dt}
\]
\[ C_h(T) \frac{dT_h(t)}{dt} = P(t) - K_w(T_h(t) - T_0) - K_g(T_h(t) - T_s(t)). \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

The sample heat capacity can be obtained, as derived from the Eqs. (3.7) and (3.9):

\[ C_s(T) \frac{dT_s(t)}{dt} = K_g \{T_h(t) - T_s(t)\}. \] \hspace{1cm} (3.10)

### 3.3 Electrical resistivity

The electrical resistivity \( \rho \) was measured from 2.6 to 300 K by a standard AC four-probe method with Lake shore 370 AC Resistance Bridge operating at 13.7 Hz. The AC method removes the thermoelectric contribution. The setting of the sample and leads is shown in Fig. 3.7. The sample was cut into the typical dimensions of 0.5 × 0.5 × 2 mm\(^3\) using a spark erosion machine. We used Au wires of 50 \( \mu \)m in diameter (Tanaka Kikinzoku, \( \phi 50 \mu \text{m}, 99\% \) ) as for pairs of current and voltage leads. The Au wires were connected on the samples by the Ag paste (Tokuriki Honten P-248). Thereby, the contact resistance was kept less than 10 \( \Omega \). The sample was glued by using a varnish (GE7031) to a Cu plate covered with a cigarette paper for electrical insulation. The Cu plate was mounted on a cold head of a Gifford-McMahon-type refrigerator (IWATANI CORP., UW404-HO).

![Figure 3.7: The setting of the sample and leads for the electrical resistivity measurement by the AC four-probe method.](image)
### 3.4 Thermopower

The thermopower $S$ is the thermoelectric voltage induced by the temperature difference between two points of a sample when no electric current is passing through the sample. The $S$ is experimentally defined as

$$S = \lim_{\Delta T \to \infty} \frac{\Delta V}{\Delta T},\quad (3.11)$$

where $\Delta T$ and $\Delta V$ denote the temperature and voltage differences between the two points of the sample, respectively.

#### Mott formula

The diffusion term of thermopower of a metal is expressed by the Mott formula as described below:

$$S_d = -\frac{\pi^2 k_B T}{3|e|} \left[ \frac{\partial \ln \sigma}{\partial E} \right]_{E=\eta},\quad (3.12)$$

where $\sigma$ is the dc conductivity and $\eta$ is the chemical potential. It is derived from the linear response theory of the transport phenomena [119]. In addition to the diffusion term, there is the phonon drag contribution $S_p(T)$. At low temperatures, $S(T)$ in certain metals displays nonliner temperature dependence when $S_p$ exceeds $S_d$.

#### Thermopower of Ce-based compounds

The thermopower of Ce-based valence fluctuating compounds such as CeNi [57, 58] displays a large and broad peak at around $T_K$ as shown in Fig. 1.25 (b). The absolute value is 10 – 100 times larger than in simple metals. It is caused by the scattering of conduction electrons from $4f$ electron states in the vicinity of the chemical potential. The large and positive $S(T)$ is understood in terms of the Mott formula for the diffusion term. From the Boltzmann equation, the conductivity $\sigma$ is expressed by

$$\sigma = \frac{e^2}{12\pi^3\hbar} \int \tau v dS_F,\quad (3.13)$$

where $\tau$ and $v$, respectively, are scattering relaxation time and the velocity of conduction electrons, and $S_F$ is the Fermi surface area. If we adopt a free electron model, the $\sigma$ is given by $\sigma = \frac{e^2 \tau^*}{m^*} N$, where $N$ is the density of electrons with the mass $m^*$. The $S_d$ in Ce
Compounds is derived from a two-band model in which carriers are conduction electrons and 4f electrons.

\[ S_d = \frac{\sigma_c S_d^c + \sigma_f S_d^f}{\sigma_c + \sigma_f}, \]  

(3.14)

where \( S_d^c \), \( S_d^f \), \( \sigma_c \), \( \sigma_f \), respectively, are the thermopower and conductivity for conduction electrons “c” and 4f electrons “f”. These terms are expressed by the Mott formula as

\[ S_d^c \propto (d \ln \sigma_c / dE)_{E=\eta}, \]  

(3.15)

\[ S_d^f \propto (d \ln \sigma_f / dE)_{E=\eta}. \]  

(3.16)

Here, \( \sigma_c \) and \( \sigma_f \) are determined by the scattering between conduction electrons and 4f electrons whose density of state are \( N_c \) and \( N_f \), respectively.

\[ \sigma_c \propto N_c(E) \tau_{c-f} \]  

(3.17)

\[ \sigma_f \propto N_f(E) \tau_{f-f}. \]  

(3.18)

Both \( \tau_{c-f} \) and \( \tau_{f-f} \) are inversely proportional to \( N_f(E) \). Therefore, \( \sigma_f \) does not depend on \( N_f(E) \), and we can express \( \ln \sigma \) as describe below.

\[ \ln \sigma \propto \ln N_c(E) - \ln N_f(E). \]

(3.19)

Because of the relation \([d \ln N_c(E_F)/dE] \ll [d \ln N_f(E_F)/dE] \),

\[ d \ln \sigma_c / dE \propto -d \ln N_f / dE. \]  

(3.20)

This term is not included in \( S_d^f \) so that the total \( S_d \) is dominated by \( S_d^c \). Eventually, the Mott Formula is expressed by

\[ S_d(T) \sim S_d^c(T) \sim \frac{\pi^2 k^2 T}{3|e|} \left[ \frac{d \ln N_f(E)}{dE} \right]_{E=\eta} \sim \frac{\pi^2 k^2 T}{3|e|} \left[ \frac{1}{N_f \partial E} \right]_{E=\eta}. \]  

(3.21)

Since the quasiparticle density of states \( N_f(E) \) in valence fluctuating Ce compounds has an extremely large positive slope of \( \partial N_f / \partial E \) at \( E = \eta \), \( S(T) \) exhibits a large positive peak. Such a peak is found for CeNi as shown in Fig. 1.25. Furthermore, the Kondo effect, crystal electric field, and spin interactions strongly affect the quasiparticle band and the degeneracy of the 4f states. Then, \( S(T) \) changes drastically at the characteristic
temperatures by these effects. As an example, $S(T)$ for a localized $4f$ electron compound CePtSn [29, 60] with $T_N = 7$ K is presented in Fig. 1.25 (b).

In the case of the phase transition in concomitant with a topological change of the Fermi surface, Eq. (3.20) is not applicable. Instead, $S_i(T)$ is expressed from Eq. (3.13) as

$$S_i(T) \propto \left[ \frac{\partial \ln \tau_i}{\partial E} + \frac{\partial \ln \int v_i dS_F}{\partial E} \right]_{E=\eta}, \quad (3.22)$$

where $v_i$ is the velocity of a conduction electron along the $i$ direction. An evident anomaly appears in $S(T)$ when the Fermi surface is reconstructed as a function of temperature or external field [120].

**Seesaw heating method**

A seesaw heating method is used to measure $S(T)$ [121]. The sample stage as illustrated in Fig. 3.8 was mounted on a cold head of a Gifford-Mcmahon-type refrigerator (IWATANI CORP., CW404). The parts A are strain gauges of 120 $\Omega$ (type KFL-02-0120-C1-16, Lot Y009, batch 061A H10, KYOWA) used as heaters, to which electrical current is supplied by the current source ADVANTEST R6144. The parts B are a pair of Cernox thermometers (Model: CX-1050-SD-HT, serial No. 73213, 73162, Lakeshore). The strain gauges are glued on the Cernox sensors by GE7301 varnish to make thermal contact. The voltage of the Cernox sensors is measured by the temperature controller (Lakeshore 331). The fiber reinforced plastics (FRP) plate of 0.5 mm thickness was placed to weaken the thermal contact between thermometers and the sample stage. The FRP plate was glued on sample stage made of Cu by using GE7301 varnish. This setting up makes it easy to adjust the distance between two thermometers in the range 2 – 7 mm, depending on the length of the sample. The wires denoted by D are voltage leads. All wires are thermally anchored to the heat sink rods. The sample (E) was spanned between the two thermometers by using a Ag paste (TOKURIKI HONTEN P-248). The thermoelectric voltage $V$ is measured by a Keithly 2182 nanovoltmeter. Figure 3.9 displays the $V$ and the temperature of two Cernox thermometers as a function of time. In this method, $S(T)$ and the averaged temperature $T_{av}$ of the sample are given by the following equations.

$$S(T) = \frac{2\Delta V}{\Delta T_1 + \Delta T_2} \quad (3.23)$$
ΔT₁ + ΔT₂ = (T₁f − T₁i) + (T₂i − T₂f) \quad (3.24)

2Δ = V_f − V_i \quad (3.25)

T_{av} = \frac{T_{2f} + T_{2i} + T_{1f} + T_{1i}}{4}. \quad (3.26)

The time dependences of parameters T₂f, T₁f, T₁i, T₂i, V_f, and V_i are indicated in Fig. 3.9. The temperature difference at a few percent of the absolute temperature was generated by the strain gauges. Because of the definition of ΔV, other thermopower between the sample, wires, and the Ag paste can be cancelled.

Figure 3.8: The setting of the thermopower measurement by the seesaw heating method.
Figure 3.9: Time variations of temperatures $T_1$ and $T_2$ of a pair of Cernox thermometers and thermal electrical voltage $V$ for the thermopower measurement by the seesaw heating method.
3.5 Tunneling spectroscopy

3.5.1 Tunneling process of electrons in solids

The tunneling spectroscopy has been used as a powerful method to probe opening of energy gaps in the vicinity of the Fermi level $E_F$ in superconductors and Kondo semiconductors [46, 47, 48, 61, 129]. The method is based on the concept of quantum tunneling of electrons in solids [122]. Figure 3.13 displays the wave function $\psi$ as a function of $x$ (the thickness of barrier) in a metal-insulator-metal junction. The basis for the tunneling current is the inherently smooth behavior of the probability density at points of finite discontinuity in the potential energy $U(x)$. At a metal-insulator interface, the wave function $\psi(x)$ for an electron in the Metal 1 at $E_F$ does not vanish outside the metal. Therefore, application of a bias voltage $V$ allows the electrons to tunnel when the thickness of the barrier $x$ is small enough ($x < \sim 100$ Å). We measure the tunneling current $I$ in the junction as a function of $V$, i.e., $dI/dV$.

![Figure 3.10: Tunneling process in the metal-insulator-metal junction. The wave function is extending smoothly across the tunneling junction.](image-url)
3.5.2 Break-junction tunneling spectroscopy

We used the break-junction tunneling method [46, 47, 127] to record the differential conductance $dI/dV$ as a function of the bias voltage $V$ in a semiconductor-insulator-semiconductor (SIS) junction. The sample was shaped into a plate of $3 \times 2 \times 0.5 \text{ mm}^3$ (Fig. 3.11). A groove was cut into the surface to be cracked perpendicularly in the middle. The sample plate was placed on a flexible substrate made of glass epoxy (Figs. 3.12 and 3.13), and from its back an adjustable force was applied to make a crack in a liquid-helium chamber. For the semiconducting sample, the junction is expressed as $S_1$–I–$S_2$. This process makes a clean interface of the SIS junction without any contamination and oxidation of reactive Ce in the materials. Generally, the tunneling current $I$ largely depends on the junction resistance $R_{J}$. The $R_{J}$ increases exponentially as the distance of insulating barrier $d$ is increased, as expressed by Eq. (3.27). This means that the $R_{J}$ increases about one order of magnitude when $d$ increases by a few Å. Therefore, the absolute value of tunneling spectrum $dI/dV$ also changes drastically depending on $d$.

$$R_{J} = \exp\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2m\phi}}{\hbar}d\right). \quad (3.27)$$

In the case of scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STM) with a nano-scale tip, the contact area would be atomic scale. Then, the resistance in the vacuum tunneling would be above 10 kΩ ($= \hbar/2e^2$). In our break-junction cases, however, a bulky sample of a rod sample with a cross section of $0.3 \times 2 \text{ mm}^2$ was broken. The effective contact area would be of the order of submicron meters, containing many tunneling junctions in the interface. In facts, the small value of $R_J$ in the range of $1 – 1000 \Omega$ have been observed in previous BJTS works on the Kondo semiconductors [46, 47, 48, 49]. Then, we considered that the tunneling regime may dominate even at low junction resistance $R_{J}$ as small as $10 – 1000 \Omega$. 
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Figure 3.11: Single crystal of CeFe$_2$Al$_{10}$ with a groove used for the break-junction tunneling measurement.

Figure 3.12: Breaking the sample with a groove by applying an adjustable bending force in liquid He at 4.2 K.
Figure 3.13: Schematic diagram of semiconductor 1 – insulator – semiconductor 2 (SIS) junction for a four-probe measurement of tunneling conductance.
Break-junction tunneling spectrum

We consider the tunneling probability across the insulating layer, leading to the equation of tunneling current $I$. The probability of transition $P$ from $S_1$ to $S_2$ is expressed as

$$P = \frac{2\pi e}{\hbar} \langle 2 | M | 1 \rangle^2 N_1(E),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.28)

where $M$ is the tunneling matrix element and $N_1(E)$ is the density of state (DOS) in $S_1$. The $|1\rangle$ and $\langle 2|$ are the wave functions of $S_1$ and $S_2$ in Fig. 3.13. At $T = 0$, the tunneling current is given by

$$I (T = 0K) = \frac{4\pi e}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |M_{1\rightarrow 2}|^2 N_1(E - eV)N_2(E)dE,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.29)

where $V$ is the bias voltage between two electrodes.

At $T > 0$, the tunneling currents from $S_1$ to $S_2$, and from $S_2$ to $S_1$ are expressed as

$$I_{1\rightarrow 2} = \frac{4\pi e}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |M_{1\rightarrow 2}|^2 N_1(E - eV)N_2(E)f(E - eV)[1 - f(E)]dE$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.30)

$$I_{2\rightarrow 1} = \frac{4\pi e}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |M_{2\rightarrow 1}|^2 N_1(E - eV)N_2(E)f(E)[1 - f(E - eV)]dE$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.31)

where $f(E)$ is an equilibrium Fermi function shown in Fig. 3.14. In the difference of Fermi energy between both electrodes, $E_{F1} - E_{F2} = eV$, we describe the Fermi functions as

$$f_1 = [\exp(\frac{E_1 - E_{F1}}{k_BT}) + 1]^{-1} = f(E - eV),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.32)

$$f_2 = [\exp(\frac{E_2 - E_{F2}}{k_BT}) + 1]^{-1} = f(E).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.33)

Since the transition rate is assumed to be $|M_{1\rightarrow 2}|^2 = |M_{2\rightarrow 1}|^2 = |M|^2$ for the same semiconductors $S_1$ and $S_2$, the tunneling current is given by

$$I = I_{1\rightarrow 2} - I_{2\rightarrow 1} = \frac{2\pi e}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |M|^2 N_1(E - eV)N_2(E)[f(E - eV) - f(E)]dE$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.34)

Then, the tunneling probability $P \propto |M|^2$ is expressed as

$$P \propto \exp[-2 \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}} d(\phi - \frac{eV}{2} - W)^{1/2}],$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.35)

where $\phi$ and $W$ represent, respectively, the potential barrier and work function.
Figure 3.14: Temperature variations of the Fermi function $f(E)$.

Figure 3.15 shows the tunneling probabilities at various distances between two semiconducting electrodes. The probability increases with increasing the absolute value of $|V|$. When the distance $d$ increases by 3%, the curve largely decreases. Therefore, any difference in the thermal expansion between the sample and the substrate changes the distance, making the junction unstable at elevated temperatures. In fact, we could not observe the reproducible $dI/dV$ spectra on heating above 90 K.

Figure 3.15: Tunneling probability of an SIS junction as a function of bias voltage $V$ at various distances $d$. 

86
By differentiating the tunneling current $I$ in Eq. (3.34), the tunneling conductance $dI/dV$ of the SIS junction can be written as:

$$
\frac{dI_{\text{SIS}}}{dV} = A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |M|^2 \frac{dN_1(E-eV)}{dV} N_2(E)[f(E-eV) - f(E)]
$$

$$
+ N_1(E-eV)N_2(E)[-\frac{df(E-eV)}{dV}]dE.
$$

Figure 3.16 illustrates the tunneling process in the SIS junction. The $\Delta$ is defined as the energy difference from $E_F$ to the bottom of the upper band. When $|V|$ is increased to $2\Delta/e$, where $e (> 0)$ is the elementary electron charge, the electrons suddenly tunnel from the lower occupied band of one electrode ($S_1$) to the upper unoccupied band of the other electrode ($S_2$). Thereby, a symmetric pair of sharp gap edges appear in the $dI/dV$ spectrum, as described below.

By using Eq. (3.36), the tunneling spectra $dI/dV$ are calculated for the Kondo semiconductors with the V-shaped and U-shaped gaps in the DOS. The DOS’s are described by the Eqs. (3.37)–(3.38) and (3.39)–(3.40), respectively.

$$
N_V(E) = \frac{C}{E^2 + (D/2)^2} + N_c \quad (|E| \leq \Delta) \quad (3.37)
$$

$$
= \frac{C}{(\Delta)^2 + (D/2)^2} - N_r \times \frac{|E|}{\Delta} + N_r + N_c \quad (\Delta < |E|) \quad (3.38)
$$

$$
N_U(E) = 0 \quad (|E| \leq \Delta) \quad (3.39)
$$

$$
= \frac{|E|}{\sqrt{E^2 - (\Delta)^2}} \quad (\Delta < |E|) \quad (3.40)
$$

Here, $N_c$ means the DOS of the conduction electrons, and $N_r$ is the residual DOS at $E_F = 0$. Figures 3.17(a) and 3.18(a) display the DOS’s, respectively. The DOS
with the V-shaped gap leads to the V-shaped form in the \( dI/dV \) spectrum as shown in Fig. 3.17(b). When the DOS has a full gap, by contrast, the \( dI/dV \) spectrum shows a U-shaped structure (Fig. 3.18(b)). The peak-to-peak separation \( V^{P-P} \) in the break-junction tunneling spectrum is equal to \( 4\Delta/e \).

Figure 3.17: (a) The V-shaped DOS described by Eqs. (3.37) and (3.38) where \( \Delta = 50 \) meV is the gap width, \( D = 400 \) meV is the half width of Lorentzian shape DOS, \( N_r \) is the residual DOS at Fermi energy \( E_F \), and \( N_c \) is DOS of the conduction electrons. (b) The solid and broken curves represent the calculated tunneling conductance \( dI/dV \) and current for the SIS junction, respectively.

Figure 3.18: (a) The U-shaped DOS described by the Eqs. (3.39) and (3.40) where \( \Delta = 50 \) meV is the gap width. (b) The solid and broken curves represent the calculated tunneling conductance \( dI/dV \) and current for the SIS junction, respectively.
The $c$-$f$ hybridization gap widths $2\Delta_1$ and $2\Delta_2$ for Kondo semiconductors observed by the break-junction tunneling, photoemission spectroscopy, and optical conductivity are listed in Table 3.1. For Kondo semiconductors CeRhAs and YbB$_{12}$, the gaps observed by BJTS are 2 – 5 times larger than those observed by optical conductivity and photoemission measurements, whose reason remains unclarified.

Table 3.1: Gap widths $2\Delta_1$ and $2\Delta_2$ for Kondo semiconductors observed by the break-junction tunneling, optical conductivity (OC), and photoemission spectroscopy (PES) measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>BJTS</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>PES</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_1/2$ (meV)</td>
<td>$V_2/2$ (meV)</td>
<td>$2\Delta_1$ (meV)</td>
<td>$2\Delta_2$ (meV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YbB$_{12}$</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>25 – 40</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeNiSn</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8 – 10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeRhAs</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measurement of the tunneling current

The differential conductance \( dI/dV \) as a function of the bias voltage \( V \) was measured by the AC-modulation technique with the four-probe method. The schematic diagram of the overall circuit is shown in Fig. 3.19. The \( V \) is swept slowly \( (V_0(t)) \) with the addition of a sinusoidal component, \( V(t) = V_0(t) + dV(\cos 2\pi ft) \). The \( V_0(t) \) was applied with the DC power source (Agilent E3630A) and a function generator (KEITHLEY 3990), and \( dV \) was generated by another function Generator (Hewlett-Packard 3325B). The principle of harmonic detection is described by the expansion of \( I(V_0 + dV) \) with respect to the modulation amplitude \( dV \) in the case of \( dV << V_0 \):

\[
I(V_0 + dV) = I(V_0) + \frac{dI(V_0)}{dV}dV + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{d^2I(V_0)}{dV^2})(dV)^2
\]

\[
= I(V_0) + \frac{dI(V_0)}{dV}V \cos 2\pi ft + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{d^2I(V_0)}{dV^2})(V \cos 2\pi ft)^2 + ...
\]

\[
= I(V_0) + \frac{dI(V_0)}{dV}V \cos 2\pi ft + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{d^2I(V_0)}{dV^2})(V^2(1 + \cos 4\pi ft)) + ...(3.41)
\]

The signal at the frequency of \( 2\pi f \) which is proportional to \( dI/dV \) was detected by the lock-in amplifier (EG&G model 124A). The correct tunneling conductance \( dI/dV \) was detected by keeping the amplitude \( dV \) constant. The \( dV \) determines the resolution in the derivative conductance. Since the tunneling current is very weak \( (< 1\mu A) \), the signal was amplified by using an operational amplifier (Op-Amp) with a variable resistor.

Figure 3.19: Schematic diagram of the overall circuit to measure the derivative conductance of the SIS junction.
Chapter 4

Results and discussion

4.1 Magnetic, transport, and thermal properties of 
CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ doped with $4f/5d$ holes and $5d$ electrons

Magnetic susceptibility

Figures 4.1–4.3 display the temperature variations of magnetic susceptibility $M/B = \chi(T)$ and the inverse for Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($z \leq 0.51$), Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($2y \leq 0.2$), and Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($2x \leq 0.3$), respectively. The value is normalized per Ce mol for the data of Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$. For the undoped sample, $\chi(T)$ in $B \parallel a = 1$ T passes through a maximum at around 45 K and drops at $T_N = 28.5$ K. When $z$ is increased to 0.24, the broad maximum disappears but a weak anomaly still exists at $T_N = 10.0$ K. For $z = 0.51$, $\chi_a(T)$ continues to increase on cooling to 2 K. We note that the decrease in $\chi(T)$ at $T < T_N$ along the three principal disappears for $z \geq 0.096$, and the values of $\chi(T)$ for $B \parallel b$ are essentially unchanged. The inverse of $\chi(T)$ for $B \parallel a$ versus $T$ is shown in Fig. 4.1 (b). The Curie-Weiss fit to the data between 200 to 300 K gives the paramagnetic Curie temperature $\Theta_P$, whose absolute value stays at 22–30 K for $z \leq 0.51$, as shown in Fig. 4.4. The fitting also yields the effective magnetic moment $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.62 - 2.66 \mu_B$/Ce for $z \leq 0.51$. The fact that both $\Theta_P$ and $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ are insensitive to the doping of $4f$ holes in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ indicates that the $4f$-hole doping does not alter the $c$-$f$ hybridization at high
temperature.

Figure 4.2 displays the temperature dependences of $\chi(T)$ for 5$d$-hole doped system Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($2y \leq 0.2$). As $2y$ is increased, the drop at $T_N$ disappears at $2y = 0.06$, and the maximum in $\chi_a(T)$ at around 45 K is suppressed and disappears at $2y = 0.2$. On cooling to 2 K, the continuous increase in $\chi_a(T)$ may be the effect of disorder-induced magnetic moments. As shown in Fig. 4.2 (b), the Curie-Weiss fit to the inverse of $\chi_a(T)$ at temperatures above 200 K yields the negative $\theta_P$ values in the whole range $0 \leq 2y \leq 0.2$. The increase of $|\theta_P|$ from 24 K for $2y = 0$ to 46 K for $2y = 0.2$ shown in Fig. 4.4 suggests the increase of $T_K$ because the value of $T_K$ for the overall CEF levels is proportional to $|\theta_P|$ [92]. This indicates the tendency of delocalization of $4f$ states in Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$.

As shown in Fig. 4.3, an opposite trend is observed in 5$d$-electron doped system Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$. On going from $2x = 0$ to 0.3, the broad maximum changes to a sharp peak, whose temperature decreases to 7 K. Thereby, the value at the maximum increases by five times, leading to the enhancement of anisotropy, $\chi_a \gg \chi_c > \chi_b$. It is worth noting that the data set of $\chi_i(T)$ ($i = a, b, c$) for $2x = 0.3$ at $T > 30$ K are in agreement with the calculation taking account of the CEF effect on the localized $4f$ state of the Ce$^{3+}$ ion. The solid lines in Fig. 4.3 are the calculations using the CEF parameters which were determined by the x-ray absorption spectroscopic study on CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ [80]. The calculated $\chi(T)$ is consistent with the data for $2x = 0.3$ much better than those for $2x = 0$, confirming the localization of $4f$ state. As shown in Fig. 4.3 (b), the Curie-Weiss fit to the data of $\chi^{-1}(T)$ for $B \parallel a$ above 200 K gives the paramagnetic Curie temperature $\theta_P$ whose value changes from $-20$ K for $2x = 0$ to $+24$ K for $2x = 0.3$. For $B \parallel c$, the decrease in $\chi(T)$ at $T < T_N$ disappears with increasing $2x$. For $2x \geq 0.16$, the sharp peak in $\chi_a(T)$ at $T_N$ and the absence of the drop in $\chi_c(T)$ at $T < T_N$ suggest the AFM ordered moments $\mu_{AF}$ are oriented parallel to the easy $a$ axis. This reorientation of $\mu_{AF}$ from the $c$ axis to the $a$ axis is confirmed by the isothermal magnetization and neutron diffraction measurements [109].
Figure 4.1: Temperature dependences of (a) magnetic susceptibility $M/B$ along the three principal axes and (b) $B/M$ along $a$ axis for the single crystals of $\text{Ce}_{1-z}\text{La}_z\text{Os}_2\text{Al}_{10}$. 
Figure 4.2: Temperature dependences of (a) magnetic susceptibility $M/B$ along the three principal axes and (b) $B/M$ along $a$ axis for the single crystals of Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$. The arrows indicate $T_N$ determined by the specific heat measurements.
Figure 4.3: Temperature dependences of (a) magnetic susceptibility $M/B$ along the three principal axes and (b) $B/M$ along $a$ axis for the single crystals of Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$. The arrows indicate $T_N$ determined by the specific heat measurements.
Magnetization

The magnetization curves $M(B)$ in applied magnetic fields $B \parallel a$ and $B \parallel c$ are shown in Figs. 4.5 (a), (b), and (c) for Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$, Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, and Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, respectively. In the undoped system, a metamagnetic transition was observed by applying $B$ along the $c$ axis at 6.1 T [72]. This transition was assigned as a spin flop transition with the change from $\mu_{AF} \parallel c$ to $\mu_{AF} \perp c$, because the linear extrapolation of the $M(B \parallel c)$ data between 8 and 14 T goes to the origin. When $z$ is increased to 0.24, the metamagnetic anomaly still exists at $B \parallel c = 2.5$ T, indicating the direction of $\mu_{AF}$ to be kept along the $c$ axis. The $M(B)$ curves for $B \parallel a$ and $B \parallel b$ do not show any anomaly up to 14 T. This result is in agreement with the AFM structure for $z = 0.1$ with $\mu_{AF} \parallel c = 0.23 \mu_B$/Ce, which was determined by neutron diffraction experiments [100].

In Fig. 4.5 (b), the metamagnetic behavior in $M(B \parallel c)$ is also observed in Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ up to $2y = 0.04$. It is not seen for $2y = 0.1$ in consistent with the absence of anomaly in $\chi_a(T)$ in Fig. 4.2 (b). The $M(B \parallel a)$ curves for all $2y$ show the linear increase with $B$, suggesting that the AFM order with $\mu_{AF} \parallel c$ fades away without showing reorientation.

When $2x$ is increased to 0.06, however, there is no transition in $M(B \parallel c)$ but a weak upturn appears in $M(B \parallel a)$ at around 3 T as shown in Fig. 4.5 (c). For $2x = 0.16$, a metamagnetic transition and a bend in $M(B \parallel a)$ manifest clearly at 3.0 and 10.4 T, respectively. Moreover, the $M(B \parallel a)$ is saturated to a large value of 0.7 $\mu_B$/Ce. For $2x = 0.3$, the magnitude of $M(B \parallel a)$ increases further but the spin-flop transition field $B_{sf}$ does not change. These results suggest that the ground state for $2x \geq 0.16$ is in the AFM state with $\mu_{AF}(\sim 1\mu_B$/Ce) pointing along the $a$ axis.
Figure 4.4: The absolute value of the paramagnetic Curie temperature $|\Theta_p|$ for $B || a$ as functions of the doped hole/electron concentrations $z$, $2y$, and $2x$ in Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$, Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ and Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$.

Figure 4.5: Isothermal magnetization curves of $M(B || a)$ and $M(B || c)$ at 2.0 K for Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$, Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, and Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, respectively.
Electrical resistivity

Effects of the doping on the hybridization gap above $T_N$ and the AFM gap below $T_N$ may manifest themselves in the temperature dependence of electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$. Figures 4.6 (a), (b), and (c) show the results of $\rho(T)$ along the three principal axes for the samples substituted with La, Re, and Ir, respectively. The vertical lines denote $T_N$’s determined by the specific heat measurements as described below. For the non-doped sample, the $-\log T$ dependence from 300 to 100 K is followed by a thermal activation-type behavior in two regions, $80 > T > 30$ K and $16 > T > 5$ K, as shown in the inset of Fig. 4.6. Fitting the data between 80 and 30 K with the formula $\rho(T) = \rho_0 \exp(\Delta/k_B T)$ gives the values of 56, 83, and 65 K for $\Delta_a/k_B$, $\Delta_b/k_B$, and $\Delta_c/k_B$, respectively. At $T \leq 28.5$ K, $\rho(T)$ increases abruptly. Below 16 K, $\rho(T)$ shows the semiconducting behavior along three directions. At a small doping level $z = 0.043$, the increase in $\rho(T)$ at $T < T_N$ becomes much stronger, reaching 4.2 m$\Omega$cm, twice the value for $z = 0$. As $z$ is further increased to 0.096, the increase in $\rho(T)$ is weakened. For $z \geq 0.24$, $\rho(T)$’s no longer follow the activation type form but show $-\ln T$ dependence in the whole temperature range.

In 5$d$-hole doped samples Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, the semiconducting behavior at $T < 10$ K disappears even at a small level $2y = 0.02$ although the thermal activation behavior above $T_N$ still exists as shown in Fig. 4.6 (b). On going from $2y = 0.02$ to 0.1, the metallic behavior at low temperatures becomes more evident. The anomaly at $T_N$ is observed in $\rho_b(T)$ for $2y = 0.04$ but is hardly observed for $2y = 0.06$. It is noteworthy that the metallization below $T_N$ occurs before the thermal activation behavior above $T_N$ disappears. For $2y = 0.1$, the maximum of $\rho(T)$ shifts to high temperature and $\rho(T)$ at $T < 15$ K is proportional to $T^2$. For $2y = 0.2$, the temperature at the maximum further increases, suggesting the enhancement of $T_K$. The broad maximum at around 100 K is a characteristic of valence fluctuating Ce compounds.

In Fig. 4.6 (c), the magnitudes of $\Delta_a/k_B$, $\Delta_b/k_B$, and $\Delta_c/k_B$ for $2x = 0.08$ are approximately 80% of those for $2x = 0$. For $2x = 0.16$, only $\rho_a(T)$ displays the upward bend at $T_N$, which is consistent with the AFM arrangement of $\mu_{AF} || a$ along the propagation vector $(1, 0, 0)$ [109]. In addition, a hump manifests itself in $\rho_c(T)$ at around 200 K. The $-\log T$ dependence above the hump can be attributed to the Kondo scattering in the CEF excited state [123]. For $2x = 0.3$ and 0.54, the hump at around 200 K becomes
more evident in $\rho_a(T)$ and $\rho_c(T)$, but the anomaly at $T_N$ becomes unclear. The decrease in $\rho(T)$ below 10 K may be attributed to the development of in-gap states or short-range order of localized moments. This metallization by the 5$d$-electron doping occurs at a higher doping level than by the 5$d$-hole doping.
Figure 4.6: Temperature dependences of electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ along the three principal axes for single crystals of (a) Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$, (b) Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, and (c) Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, respectively. The vertical lines denote the AFM ordering temperatures $T_N$ determined by the specific heat measurement. The insets represent the Arrhenius plots of the resistivity.
Thermopower

The suppression of hybridization gap above $T_N$ also manifests itself in the thermopower $S(T)$, because $S(T)$ is very sensitive to the energy dependence of the quasiparticle density of states at the chemical potential as well as the Fermi surface as expressed by Eq. (3.20) and Eq. (3.22). Figures 4.7 – 4.9 display the temperature dependences of $S_i(T)$ and $\rho_i(T)$ ($i = a, b, c$) for 4$f$/5$d$ hole- and 5$d$ electron- doped samples, respectively. The solid lines indicate the AFM ordering temperature $T_N$ determined by the specific heat measurement. At a glance, $S(T)$ of the undoped sample displays stronger anisotropy than in $\rho(T)$. Below 300 K, $S_i(T)$'s along the principle axes increase due to the Kondo scattering. At the maximum temperature $T_{S_{\text{max}}}$, the absolute value of $S_b(T_{S_{\text{max}}})$ is 3 times larger than those of $S_a(T_{S_{\text{max}}})$ and $S_c(T_{S_{\text{max}}})$. Between 80 and 30 K, where $\rho(T)$ exhibits the thermal activation behavior, $S_b$ gradually decreases whereas $S_a$ and $S_c$ show shallow minima. It is worth noting that only $S_b$ bends at $T_S = 36$ K. That is in concomitant with the opening of the charge excitation gap along the $b$ axis as observed in the optical conductivity [95, 96]. As temperature is decreased below $T_N$, $S_a$ and $S_c$ increase by a few $\mu$V/K in coincidence with the increase of $\rho(T)$. These increases are similar in size to those observed at $T_N$ in CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$ [99]. By contrast, only $S_b$ decreases drastically from 30 to $-7 \mu$V/K. Such a large change in $S(T)$ at $T < T_N$ has not been observed in Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ with $T = \text{Ru, Fe}$ [99]. The anisotropic behaviors in $S(T)$ is difficult to understand in terms of either the temperature dependence of the energy derivative of $c-f$ scattering relaxation time $\tau_{c-f}$ or the shape of quasiparticle density of states. Therefore, we suggest that a topological change of the Fermi surface causes such anisotropic behavior. If a part of the Fermi surface of the hybridization band is lost by the phase transition, $S(T)$ would change in a large size. In fact, $S_c$ in URu$_2$Si$_2$ decreases by $\Delta S = 40 \mu$V/K at the occurrence of the “hidden order” at 17.5 K [124], where a large part of heavy-fermion Fermi surface is removed [125]. On further cooling, $S_a$ and $S_c$ of CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ reach negative values.

Let us move our attention to the $S(T)$ data for La-substituted samples Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$. For a small doping level $z = 0.043$, $S_i$'s at $T < 20$ K change sign from negative to positive. When $z$ is increased to 0.096, $S_b(T_{S_{\text{max}}})$ decreases to 25 $\mu$V/K whose value is similar to $S_a(T_{S_{\text{max}}})$ and $S_c(T_{S_{\text{max}}})$. The bend at $T_S$ and decrease at $T_N$ still remain in $S_b(T)$, whereas there are no obvious anomalies in $S_a$ and $S_c$ at $T_N$. At $z = 0.24$, the local
minimum in $S_a(T)$ becomes shallower, in good agreement with the disappearance of the activation-type behavior in $\rho_i(T)$.

Figure 4.8 shows the temperature dependences of $S(T)$ and $\rho(T)$ for Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$. At $2y = 0.02$, $S_b(T)$ remains positive down to 3 K in coincide with the disappearance of activation behavior in $\rho_b(T)$ at $T < 16$ K. The temperature dependence of $S_c$ is more drastic than that for $2y = 0$. For $2y \geq 0.04$, $S(T)$’s stay positive over the whole temperature range. In addition, the local minima of $S_a$ and $S_c$ become shallower, indicating the suppression of the hybridization gap above $T_N$. The anomalies in $S_a$ and $S_c$ at $T_N$ are no longer obvious. On the other hand, $S_b$ at $2y = 0.04$ still bends at $T_S = 30$ K and $T_N = 21$ K. Ongoing from $2y = 0.04$ to 0.2, the double peaks in $S_a$ and $S_c$ changes to one large peak. One large positive peak in $S(T)$ is the characteristic feature of valence fluctuating Ce compounds such as CeNi, as shown in Fig. 1.25 [29]. It is consistent with the $T^2$ dependence of $\rho(T)$ below 10 K. These results imply that the system is transported from the Kondo semiconducting regime to the valence fluctuating metallic regime.

Let us pay our attention to the 5$d$-electron doped system Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ as shown in Fig. 4.9. Small doping at $2x = 0.028$ drastically changes the $T$-dependence in $S_i(T)$. The jumps in $S_a$ and $S_c$ at $T_N$ change to knees, and the drop $\Delta S_b = 20 \mu V/K$ becomes comparable to those in other directions. In the range $0.028 \leq 2x \leq 0.08$, the deep minimum manifests in $S_c$ below $T_N$. For $2x = 0.16$, at $T > T_N$, the absolute value of $S_c$ decreases but that of $S_a$ increases. For $2x = 0.3$, $S_a$ is smaller than 10 $\mu V/K$ in magnitude and becomes negative below 100 K. The small and negative value of $S_a$ for $2x = 0.3$ is the hallmark of Ce AFM compounds with localized $4f$ electrons exemplified by CePtSn [57]. Moreover, there is no visible jump either at $T_N$ in $S_b(T)$ nor that in $\rho_b(T)$ whereas the bend at $T_S$ still exists in $S_b(T)$.
Figure 4.7: Temperature variations of thermopower $S(T)$ and resistivity $\rho(T)$ along the three principal axes for single crystals of $\text{Ce}_{1-z}\text{La}_z\text{Os}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ ($0 \leq z \leq 0.51$). The solid lines indicate the AFM ordering temperatures $T_N$ which are defined by the specific heat measurement.

Figure 4.8: Temperature dependences of thermopower $S(T)$ and resistivity $\rho(T)$ along the three principal axes for single crystals of $\text{Ce}(\text{Os}_{1-y}\text{Re}_y)_2\text{Al}_{10}$ ($0 \leq 2y \leq 0.2$). The solid lines denote the AFM ordering temperatures $T_N$. 
Figure 4.9: Temperature variations of thermopower $S(T)$ and resistivity $\rho(T)$ along the three principal axes for single crystals of Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($0 \leq 2x \leq 0.3$). The solid lines represent the AFM ordering temperatures $T_N$. 
Specific heat

The temperature dependences of specific heat $C$ and magnetic specific heat $C_m$ are plotted in the forms of $C/T$ and $C_m/T$ in Figs. 4.10 – 4.12 for the La-, Re-, and Ir-substituted systems, respectively. Thereby, the values of $C$ for LaOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ were subtracted from those for the substituted samples to estimate the values of $C_m$. The midpoint of the jump in $C/T$ was taken as $T_N$. For the non-doped sample, $C/T$ shows a sharp jump at $T_N = 28.5$ K [71, 72]. The extrapolation of the plot $C/T$ versus $T^2$ to $T = 0$ gives the Sommerfeld coefficient $\gamma$ of 7 mJ/K$^2$ mol. With increasing $z$, the jump in $C/T$ gradually decreases. A weak anomaly is noticeable at 10 K for $z = 0.24$, but no jump is observed down to 2 K for $z \geq 0.35$. As shown in Fig. 4.10 (b), a sharp peak of $C_m/T$ at $T_N$ for $z = 0$ is gradually smeared out by doping $4f$ holes. When $z$ is increased to 0.15, $\Delta C_m/T$ decreases rapidly, but the value of $T_N$ gradually decreases. The extrapolated values of $\gamma_m(C_m/T$ at $T = 0)$ are 21 and 133 mJ/ Ce K$^2$ mol for $z = 0.05$ and 0.51, respectively.

In Fig. 4.11 (a), with increasing $2y$ in Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, the jump shifts to low temperatures and becomes significantly broader, and fade away at $2y = 0.1$. The $\gamma$ value reaches 100 mJ/K$^2$ mol at $y = 0.2$. The increasing rate of $\gamma$ with respect to $2y$ is 3 times higher than that with respect to $z$. Let us turn our attention to the result of $C/T$ of 5$d$-electron doped system Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ in Fig. 4.12. When $2x = 0.08$ and 0.16, $T_N$ decreases and the jump becomes smaller. For $2x = 0.3$, $C/T$ gradually increases on cooling from 17 K and exhibits a jump at 7 K, whose temperature agrees with that of the sharp peak in $\chi_a(T)$ in Fig. 4.3 (a). Figure 4.13 shows the magnetic entropy $S_m$ estimated by integrating $C_m/T$ versus $T$ over the entire temperature range. For the non-doped sample, $S_m$ bends downward at $T_N$, where $S_m$ reaches 0.35 Rln2. The decreasing rate in $S_m$ below $T_N$ is weakened with increasing $z$, $2y$, and $2x$. The value of $S_m(T_N)$ decreases by the doping of $4f$ holes and $5d$ holes, whereas it increases by the doping of $5d$ electrons.
Figure 4.10: Temperature dependences of the specific heat $C$ and magnetic magnetic part $C_m$ plotted in the forms of (a) $C/T$ and (b) $C_m/T$ for Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$. The arrows indicate the midpoint of the anomaly in $C/T$, which is taken as $T_N$. 
Figure 4.11: Temperature dependences of (a) $C/T$ and (b) $C_m/T$ for Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$. The arrows indicate the $T_N$. 
Figure 4.12: Temperature dependences of (a) $C/T$ and (b) $C_m/T$ for Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$. The arrows indicate $T_N$. 
Figure 4.13: Temperature dependences of the magnetic entropy $S_m$ for (a) $\text{Ce}_{1-x}\text{La}_x\text{Os}_2\text{Al}_{10}$, (b) $\text{Ce(Os}_{1-y}\text{Re}_y)_2\text{Al}_{10}$, and (c) $\text{Ce(Os}_{1-x}\text{Ir}_x)_2\text{Al}_{10}$. The arrows indicate $T_N$. 
Discussion

Firstly, we discuss the relation between the AFM transition and the magnetic structure. Figure 4.14 shows the plots of $T_N$, $B_{sf}$ at 2.0 K, $S_m$ at $T_N$, and $M(B || a = 14 \text{T})$ at 2.0 K as functions of $z$, $2y$, and $2x$. The decrease in $T_N$ with increasing $z$, $2y$, and $2x$ is most drastic as a function $2y$. In the 4f-hole doped system $\text{Ce}_{1-x}\text{La}_x\text{Os}_{2}\text{Al}_{10}$, $B_{sf}$ decreases with increasing $z$ above $z = 0.1$. In the 5d-hole doped system $\text{Ce}(\text{Os}_{1-y}\text{Re}_y)_2\text{Al}_{10}$, by contrast, $B_{sf}$ is strongly suppressed to zero at $2y = 0.1$. The degree of suppression of $B_{sf}$ seems to be correlated with those in $T_N$ and $S_m$ ($T = T_N$) for 4f-hole and 5d-hole doped systems. Moreover, these suppressions in $B_{sf}$, $T_N$, and $S_m$ are consistent with the decrease in $\mu_{\text{AF}}$. The magnitude of $\mu_{\text{AF}}$ decreases from 0.3 $\mu_B$/Ce for $z = 0$ to 0.23 $\mu_B$/Ce for $z = 0.1$ and to 0.18 $\mu_B$/Ce for $2y = 0.06$ [100, 110]. Note that all suppressions in 4f-hole doped system are much weaker than those in 5d-hole doped system. When $2x$ is increased to 0.06 in $\text{Ce}(\text{Os}_{1-x}\text{Ir}_x)_2\text{Al}_{10}$, the direction of $\mu_{\text{AF}}$ is reoriented from $|| c$ to $|| a$. In the range $0.06 \leq 2x \leq 0.3$, the magnitude of $B_{sf}$ along the $a$ axis is constant. The reorientation and increase of ordered moments from $\mu_{\text{AF}} || c = 0.3 \mu_B$/Ce for $2x = 0$ to $\mu_{\text{AF}} || a = 0.9 \mu_B$/Ce for $2x = 0.16$ have been proved by neutron scattering experiments [109]. The enhancement of $\mu_{\text{AF}}$ results in the increase in both the magnetic entropy $S_m(T_N)$ and $M(T = 2 \text{K}, B = 14 \text{T})$. Note that the decrease in $T_N$, however, is only 10% from 28.5 K for $2x = 0$ to 26.5 K for $2x = 0.06$. The opposite changes between $\mu_{\text{AF}}$ and $T_N$ with respect to $2x$ suggest that the intersite AFM interaction between Ce moments depends on neither the magnitude nor direction of $\mu_{\text{AF}}$ with respect to the crystal axis. Similar reorientation of AFM ordered moments has been observed in $\text{CeRu}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ when Rh is partially substituted for Ru at 5% [103, 104, 105]. Thereby, the decrease in $T_N$ is also 10% from 27.0 K for $2x = 0$ to 24.0 K for $2x = 0.1$. These facts indicate that the reorientations of magnetic moments is induced by the doping of 4d/5d electrons into the gapped state of the mother compounds.

Secondly, let us consider the relation between the hybridization gap, the DOS at $E_F$, and the AFM order. Figure 4.15 displays the variations of the thermal activation energy $\Delta$ in $\rho(T)$, $T_S$, $T_N$, and $\gamma$ per Ce mol as functions of doping amounts $z$, $2y$, and $2x$ for the three systems. The characteristic temperature $T_S$ is defined by the bend in $S_b(T)$. For each system, the suppression of $T_N$ is well correlated with the increase in the $\gamma$ value.
The degrees of changes for the 5d-hole doped system are stronger than those for the 4f-hole doped system. This contrasting response reveals that the gapped electronic state in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ is much weakly affected by 4f-hole doping compared with 5d-hole doping. For the three systems, the common anticorrelation between $T_N$ and $\gamma$ suggests that the development of in-gap states at $E_F$ destroys the AFM order. In fact, $\rho(T)$ exhibits the metallic behavior at low temperatures in the regions $z \geq 0.24$, $2y \geq 0.06$, and $2x \geq 0.3$, where the AFM orders are fading. Furthermore, we find the decreases in $T_N$ and $T_S$ follow that of $\Delta$ in the three systems although the 4f/5d-hole and 5d-electron doping change the $c$-$f$ hybridization strength in very different ways. Therefore, it is suggested that the presence of hybridization gap is necessary for the unusual AFM order. However, for the sample with $z = 0.2$ and $2x = 0.3$, $C/T$s exhibit weak anomalies at 11.6 and 7.0 K, respectively, although $\rho(T)$s no longer show the thermal activation-type behavior. The metallic behavior in the $\rho(T)$ can be attribute to the charge transport of quasiparticles in the residual DOS at $E_F$ ($= N(E_F)$) within the hybridization gap. Moreover, in the existence of hybridization gap and AFM order, the bend in $S(T)$ at $T_S$ is found only along the $b$ axis. It is not clear yet why $S_b$ decrease above $T_N$.

In the break-junction tunneling spectra, the peaks at the gap edge and the cusps in the zero-bias conductance ($= dI/dV(V = 0)$) are expected to provide more direct information of the temperature dependences of the gap structures and $N(E_F)$ [46, 47, 48, 49, 61]. In order to understand the relation between the unusual AFM order, the hybridization gap $\Delta$, and $N(E_F)$, we have performed the break-junction tunneling spectroscopic study of CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = $ Fe, Ru, and Os) and La-, Re-, and Ir-substituted CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$. 
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Figure 4.14: (a) Néel temperature $T_N$, (b) spin-flop field $B_{sf}$ in the isothermal magnetization curve $M(B)$, and (c) magnetic entropy $S_m$ at $T_N$, and magnetization $M(B \parallel a = 14$ T) at 2 K as functions of $z$, $2y$, and $2x$ in Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$, Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, and Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, respectively. Closed triangles in (b) denote the values of $B_{sf}$ at the metamagnetic increase in $M(B)$ in Fig. 4.5, while open triangles denote the values of $B_{sf}$ at the maximum in $dM/dB$. 
Figure 4.15: (a) Thermal activation energy $\Delta_a$ and $\Delta_b$ in the resistivity $\rho$, temperature $T_S$ at the bends in the thermopower $S_b$, and Néel temperature $T_N$, and (b) $\gamma$ value per Ce mol of the specific heat $C/T$ as functions of $z$, $2y$, and $2x$ in Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$, Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, and Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, respectively.
4.2 Break-junction tunneling spectroscopy on Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$) and substituted CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$

4.2.1 Characteristic features of tunneling spectra $dI/dV$ in Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$)

Anisotropy of the spectra

Typical spectra of differential conductance $dI/dV$ measured with current directions along the orthorhombic principal axes for Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$) are shown in Figs. 4.16 and 4.17. The spectra are shifted vertically for clarity. We realized there are no significant differences in the spectra with respect to the current directions. The crack of the sample was made just underneath the groove cut perpendicular to the long direction. However, it is not certain whether the tunneling in the local area occurs perpendicular to the bulk crack when the surface is reconstructed. In addition, the electrons tunneling through an atomic scale area may lose the information of the wave-vector due to the uncertainty principle [126].

Reproducibility of the spectra

Figure 4.18(a) shows the $dI/dV$ spectra of CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ at 4.4 K for various junctions with resistances $R_J$ ranging from 22 to 738 $\Omega$. Here, the magnitude of $R_J$ was obtained as the inverse of ZBC. For each measurement, the value of $R_J$ is more than 1000 times compared with the sample resistance before breaking. We see similar features of three gaps, $V_1$, $V_{\text{AF}}$, and $V_2$ in all spectra. Figure 4.18(b) summarizes the values of peak-to-peak voltages $V_{\text{P-P}}$ of the three gaps in 30 spectra including the data of Fig. 4.18(a). We notice that the gap values are essentially independent of $R_J$ in a wide range of 9 – 800 $\Omega$. Also for $T = \text{Fe}$ and $T = \text{Ru}$, we confirmed that all gap structures and the widths $V_{\text{P-P}}$ for each compound hardly depend on the magnitude of $R_J$. These observations support that the tunneling regime dominates in the junctions although the real nature of the interface remains unknown. The largest value of $R_J$ is still less than 10 k$\Omega$ that is expected for a single-step elastic tunneling in scanning tunneling spectroscopy. It is likely that the large effective area of our break junction give rise to the small values of $R_J$ as observed in other
Kondo semiconductors [46, 47, 48, 49].

**Comparison of the junction resistance with the bulk resistivity**

We show the temperature dependence of $R_J$ normalized by the value at 4.4 K together with that of the bulk resistivity $\rho(T)$ for CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T =$ Ru and Os) in Fig. 4.19. If the observed temperature dependence of ZBC were due to the change in the resistance of a weak link at the junction, $R_J$ would exhibit the similar temperature dependence of the bulk resistivity. Whereas $R_J$ of $T =$ Ru remains constant below $T_N$, $\rho(T)$ exhibits a peak and sharply decreases on cooling below $T_N$. For $T =$ Os, the increase in $R_J$ below $T_N$ is much weaker than that of $\rho(T)$.

Then, we compare $\rho(T)$ and the differential resistance $dV/dI$ as a function of bias voltage $V$ in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 for $T =$ Ru and Os, respectively. The presence of a local minimum at around 80 and 55 meV, respectively, mimics the peak in the bulk resistivity at around 25 K. However, $dV/dI$ for $T =$ Ru continues to increase with decreasing $V$, in contrast with the significant decrease in the resistivity on cooling. Moreover, the presence of dip in $dV/dI$ at high energy region does not correspond to the monotonic behavior in the resistivity. These results deny the possibility of the weak link but support the tunneling nature of our break junction.
Figure 4.16: Temperature variations of the differential conductance $dI/dV$ versus the bias voltage $V$ for CeFe$_2$Al$_{10}$ measured for break junctions with electrical currents along the (a) $a$, (b) $b$, and (c) $c$ axes, and for (d) CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$ with current along the $b$ axis, respectively. Curves are shifted vertically for clarity. The widths between the shoulders, between the peaks, and between the inner peaks of the spectra are denoted as $V_1$, $V_{AF}$, and $V_2$, respectively. The widths $V_1$, $V_{AF}$, and $V_2$ are shown by horizontal arrows.

Figure 4.17: (a)–(c) Temperature variations of the differential conductance $dI/dV$ vs the bias voltage $V$ for CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ measured for break junctions with currents along the orthorhombic principal axes, and (d) for the metallic reference LaOs$_2$Al$_{10}$, respectively. Curves are shifted vertically for clarity.
Figure 4.18: (a) Differential conductance spectra $dI/dV$ vs bias voltage $V$ at 4.4 K for CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ break-junctions with various resistances $R_J$, which is estimated as the inverse of the zero-bias conductance. (b) Peak-to-peak gap widths $V_1$, $V_2$, and $V_{AF}$ for CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ break junctions as a function of the junction resistance $R_J$ in a wide range of 9 – 800 Ω.

Figure 4.19: Temperature dependences of electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ along the three principal axes and junction resistance $R_J$ for CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T$ = Ru and Os) normalized by the value at 4.4 K.
Figure 4.20: Temperature dependence of (a) electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ and (b) bias voltage dependence of the differential resistance $dV/dI$ at 4.4 K for CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$.

Figure 4.21: Temperature dependence of (a) electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ and (b) bias voltage dependence of the differential resistance $dV/dI$ at 4.4 K for CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$.
4.2.2 Hybridization gaps and antiferromagnetic gap in CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$  
($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$)

**CeFe$_2$Al$_{10}$**

Let us focus on the temperature dependence of the $dI/dV$ spectra for $T = \text{Fe}$ for $I \parallel a$, $I \parallel b$, and $I \parallel c$, respectively, shown in Figs. 4.16 (a)–(c). At temperature above 30 K, there are a pair of shoulders at $\pm 300$ mV, providing the shoulder-to-shoulder voltage $V_1 = 600$ mV. For $T < 30$ K, distinct peaks appear at $\pm 75$ mV, from which $V_2$ is given as 150 mV. For $I \parallel a$, an upward peak at $V = 0$ changes into a downward peak on cooling below 20 K, with the concomitant development of the peaks at $V_2/2$. On cooling, the structures at $V_1/2$ and $V_2/2$ become sharper.

**CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$**

Because no significant anisotropy was observed in the tunneling spectra either in CeFe$_2$Al$_{10}$ or CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$, we have measured the tunneling spectra for CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$ with sample only along the $b$ axis. The temperature variations are represented in Fig. 4.16 (d). The spectrum at 38.7 K is characterized by a V-shaped structure with a pair of shoulders at $V_1/2 = \pm 190$ mV. On cooling, the shoulders at $V_1/2$ become clearer. Below $T_N = 27.0$ K, other shoulders develop at $V_{\text{AF}}$ and change into peaks. At $T < 15$ K, additional structures develop at $V_2/2$, changing into peaks. Let us focus on the shape in the vicinity of $V = 0$. The U-shaped form at 21.1 K transforms into an upward peak at 14.9 K. When the gap $V_2$ develops, a V-shaped structure appears at $V = 0$. Unlike in CeFe$_2$Al$_{10}$ with similar gap of $V_2$, the resistivity $\rho(T)$ for CeRu$_2$Al$_{10}$ does not increase at low temperature, whose reason will be discussed later.

**CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ and LaOs$_2$Al$_{10}$**

The temperature variations of $dI/dV$ spectra for CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ are shown in Fig. 4.17(a)–(c). The spectrum for $I \parallel a$ at 78.5 K displays a V-shaped structure with a pair of shoulders at $\pm 220$ mV which are denoted as $V_1$. On cooling below $T_N$, another pair of shoulders appear and develop into peaks, which are denoted as the antiferromagnetic gap $V_{\text{AF}}$. When the gap edge of $V_{\text{AF}}$ becomes sharper on cooling, the tail extends to the high
bias voltage, making the $V_1$ structure unobvious. On further cooling below 16 K, the third structure manifests itself as peaks inside the $V_{AF}$, which are denoted as $V_2$. The V-shaped spectra with the gap $V_2$ are commonly observed in the three systems of Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T$ = Fe, Ru, and Os) at low temperatures. For comparison, we show the tunneling spectra of the normal metal LaOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ without 4$f$ electrons in Fig. 4.17 (d). The flat V-shaped spectrum reflects the tunneling probability in the metallic state which increases with the increase of the bias voltage $V$ (as described in Fig. 3.15). The temperature independent spectrum is in contrast to those of Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T$ = Fe, Ru, and Os), confirming that 4$f$ electrons are indispensable for the gap opening.

Comparison of the tunneling gap with the optical gap and spin gap

The gaps $V_1$, $V_{AF}$, and $V_2$ for Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T$ = Fe, Ru and Os) were also observed by the photoemission spectroscopy and optical conductivity measurements [94, 95, 96]. The gap widths are listed in table 4.1. For the three systems, the tunneling gaps are 4–7 times larger than the optical gaps. Furthermore, the AFM gaps $\Delta_{AF}$ for $T$ = Ru and Os observed by break-junction experiments are 40 and 60 mV, which are also several times larger than the spin gaps of 8 and 11 meV observed by inelastic neutron scattering [83, 84]. These discrepancies in the energy scale remain unexplained and might be related to the broken symmetry at the surface of Kondo semiconductors.

Table 4.1: Gap widths $2\Delta_1$, $2\Delta_{AF}$, and $2\Delta_2$ for Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ observed by the break-junction tunneling, photoemission spectroscopy, and optical conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$ [94, 95, 96, 113, 114].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$</th>
<th>BJTS [113, 114]</th>
<th>Optical $\sigma(E)$ [95, 96]</th>
<th>Photoemission [94]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_1/2$ (meV)</td>
<td>$V_{AF}/2$ (meV)</td>
<td>$V_2/2$ (meV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$2\Delta_1$ (meV)</th>
<th>$2\Delta_{CDW}$ (meV)</th>
<th>$2\Delta_2$ (meV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 4.22 (a) represents the typical spectra of $dI/dV$ versus bias voltage $V$ taken at 4.4 K for $\text{CeT}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe}, \text{Ru}, \text{and Os}$). The spectra are normalized by the values in the high-bias range at $V = -400 \text{ mV}$ outside the gap region. This normalization was done because the absolute value of $dI/dV$ depends on $R_J$, which is determined by the area and the thickness of insulating barrier $d$ as expressed by Eq. 3.27. For $\text{CeFe}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ without exhibiting AFM transition, there are two gaps $V_1$ and $V_2$, while there is another gap $V_{\text{AF}}$ in $\text{CeT}_2\text{Al}_{10}$ ($T = \text{Ru}$ and Os). In the three spectra, the normalized zero-bias conductance (NZBC) at $V = 0$ is finite, ranging from 0.3 for $T = \text{Os}$ to 0.7 for $T = \text{Fe}$. We note that $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ is proportional to the DOS at the $E_F$ [46, 47]. Actually, the increasing trend in $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ on going from $T = \text{Os}$ to $T = \text{Ru}$, and to $T = \text{Fe}$ is in good agreement with the trend in the $\gamma$ value in $C/T$ as described in Fig. 1.36 (a). In the spectra for $T = \text{Ru}$, the shoulders at $\pm 180 \text{ mV}$ and peak structures at $\pm 80, \pm 25 \text{ mV}$ are denoted as $V_1$, $V_{\text{AF}}$, and $V_2$, respectively. The overall spectrum with three gaps for $T = \text{Os}$ is similar to that for $T = \text{Ru}$. The gap width of $V_{\text{AF}} = 160 \text{ mV}$ for $T = \text{Ru}$ is smaller than $V_{\text{AF}} = 240 \text{ mV}$ for $T = \text{Os}$, which is consistent with the lower $T_N = 27.0$ than 28.5 K for $T = \text{Os}$. Except for $V_{\text{AF}}$, there are no significant differences in the gap structures among the three systems.

From the temperature dependent spectra in Figs. 4.16 and 4.17, we obtained the temperature dependences of the gap widths and $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ as shown in Fig. 4.22 (b, c). Upon cooling $\text{CeFe}_2\text{Al}_{10}$, $V_1$ gradually increases and then $V_2$ develops below 30 K, which is associated with the decrease in $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$. On the other hand, $V_1$’s for $T = \text{Ru}$ and Os decrease rather sharply at $T < 28$ and 36 K, respectively, in concomitant with the decrease in $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$. We define the characteristic temperature $T^*$ as the onset of the decrease in the $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$, as marked by the arrows in Fig. 4.22 (c). We recall that distinct anomalies at $T \leq T^*$ have been detected by experimental techniques which are sensitive to the temperature dependence of $N(E_F)$ [85, 86, 112]. When the system with $T = \text{Os}$ is cooled below $T^*$, for example, clear decreases were found in both the thermopower along the $b$ axis in Fig. 4.7 [112] and the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate of $^{27}\text{Al}$ nuclear quadruple resonance [85, 86].

Let us turn our attention to the gaps $V_1$ and $V_2$. In Fig. 4.23, we plot the data of $V_1/2$
and $V_2/2$ for Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$) together with those reported for orthorhombic Kondo semiconductors CeNiSn, CeRhSb [46, 47], and CeRhAs [48] as a function of $T_K$ ($= 3 \times T_\chi$). The linear relations between $V_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $T_K$ indicate that these gaps are scaled by $T_K$. It should be mentioned that the data for the cubic system Ce$_3$Bi$_4$Pt$_3$ ($V_2/2 = 85 \text{ mV}$ and $T_K = 240 \text{ K}$) [129] fall down on the line of $V_2$ in Fig. 4.23. The lack of data of $V_1/2$ for CeNiSn and CeRhSb may be attributed to the fact that the highest bias was lower than 100 mV in previous BJTS measurements. Moreover, the ratio $V_1/V_2$ at 4.4 K equals to 4 for these compounds. This value and the scaling of the two gaps with $T_K$ are consistent with the calculation within the $c$-$f$ hybridization gap model assuming the crystal-field ground state of $|J_z\rangle = |\pm 3/2\rangle$, where $J_z$ is the $z$ component of the total angular momentum $J = 5/2$ [38, 50, 51, 52]. Indeed, the analysis of anisotropic magnetic susceptibility and x-ray absorption spectra indicated that the crystal-field ground state of the 4$f$ state in the systems with $T = \text{Ru and Os}$ is dominated by $|J_z\rangle = |\pm 3/2\rangle$ when the $c$ axis is taken as the quantization axis [80]. The consistency gives another evidence that $V_1$ and $V_2$ are $c$-$f$ hybridization gaps. In addition, it is noteworthy that the scaling is not violated by the presence of AFM order occurring in the systems with $T = \text{Ru and Os}$. 
Figure 4.22: (a) Differential conductances $dI/dV$ versus the bias voltage $V$ normalized by the value at $V = -400$ mV for CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$) measured at 4.4 K. The gap widths $V_1$, $V_{\text{AF}}$, and $V_2$ denote the widths between the shoulders, between the peaks, and between inner peaks of the spectra, respectively. The arrows indicate the widths $V_1$, $V_{\text{AF}}$, and $V_2$ for the spectrum of $T = \text{Ru}$. The temperature dependences of (b) gap widths and (c) square root of the normalized zero-bias conductance, $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ for CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = \text{Fe, Ru, and Os}$). Here, $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ is the value of square root of normalized tunneling conductance at $V = 0$, which is proportional to the DOS at the Fermi energy $E_F$, $N(E_F)$.

Figure 4.23: Hybridization gap widths $V_1/2$ and $V_2/2$ as a function of $T_K$. The $V_1$ and $V_2$ are measured by the BJTS on the orthorhombic Kondo semiconductors [46, 47, 48].
4.2.3 Doping effects on the hybridization gaps and antiferromagnetic gap in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$

For CeT$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T = $ Fe and Os), we have measured the differential spectra with currents applied along the three principal axes, as described above. However, no significant differences were observed in gap widths with respect to the current direction. In addition, it was hard to grow the substituted single crystals whose size are large enough to be used for BJTS measurements, 2.5 mm in length. On these conditions, we used polycrystalline samples with substituted elements.

4f-hole doped system Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$

Figure 4.24 shows the temperature dependences of $dI/dV$ spectra for the 4f-hole doped system Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($z \leq 0.35$). For $z = 0$, the V-shaped spectrum has shoulders at $V_1$ and the structure of $V_{AF}$ which appears below $T_N$. On further cooling below 16 K, the third structure $V_2$ develops inside the gap $V_{AF}$. The three gap structures are broadened in the spectra for $z = 0.05$. The shoulders at $V_1$ become unclear on cooling, and shoulders of $V_{AF}$ and $V_2$ appear at $\pm 80$ and $\pm 25$ mV, respectively. For $z = 0.2$, shoulders at $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$ are still noticeable, and a weak anomaly of $V_{AF}$ can be noticed even at around 30 K, far above $T_N = 11.6$ K. It should be recalled that the jump of $\Delta C_m/T$ at $T_N$ is only 10% of the value for $z = 0$ (Fig. 4.10 (b)), probably due to the inhomogeneous environment around the Ce atoms. Note that one BJTS spectrum is an ensemble of tunneling processes at various junctions. If some junctions of microscopic area have higher $T_N$ than the average $T_N$, it may cause the $V_{AF}$ structure even above the average $T_N$ determined by the specific heat. For $z = 0.35$, $C/T$ has no anomaly of AFM order and $\rho(T)$ shows a metallic behavior, whereas the $dI/dV$ spectrum has faint shoulders at $V_1$. The result implies that the opening of $V_1$ does not reflect in the thermal activation-type behavior in $\rho(T)$ (see Fig. 4.6). In any case, a V-shaped structure at zero-bias is observed in the whole range $z \leq 0.35$. This V-shaped structure contradicts with an upward cusp obtained by the calculation for randomly distributed Kondo holes in the Ce sublattice on the basis of the PAM [62]. This disagreement suggests that the real role of the substituted La in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ is to disturb the coherency of the hybridized
band.

**5d-hole doped system Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$**

In Fig. 4.25, we show the temperature dependences of the $dI/dV$ spectra for the 5d-hole doped system Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$. At a small doping level of $2y = 0.04$, the spectrum at 37.0 K displays a pair of shoulders at $V_1/2$. The shoulder changes to a peak at $T < 35$ K, and transform into a shoulder again below 30 K. On cooling below 27 K, another pair of shoulders manifest themselves at $\pm 90$ mV and an upward cusp develops at zero bias. The cusp becomes sharper for $2y = 0.2$, in which the structures of $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$ no longer exist. Because such development of the cusp at $V = 0$ is a characteristic of a metallic heavy fermion state [131], it is confirmed that the 4$f$ state in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ becomes more itinerant by the doping of 5$d$ holes. These observations are consistent with the calculated gap structures in the DOS of the orthorhombic KSs on the basis of the PAM by taking account of weak dispersion of the 4$f$-band [63]. According to the theory, hole doping lowers $E_F$ toward the top of the lower $c$-$f$ hybridization band, making hole pockets in the vicinity of the $E_F$. Thereby, the semiconducting behavior of $\rho(T)$ changes to the metallic one for the valence fluctuating system as shown in Fig. 4.6. With increasing $2y$, we observed the decrease in the magnitude of $V_1$ in spite of the increase in $T_K$. This may be attributed to the growth of the cusp at zero bias.
5d-electron doped system Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$

Figure 4.26 shows the temperature dependent tunneling spectra of the 5d-electron doped samples Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ ($x \leq 0.3$). For $2x = 0.08$, the pair of shoulders of $V_1$ change to peaks on cooling below 50 K, and the inner shoulders of $V_{AF}$ appear below 25 K. At $T < 18$ K, an upward cusp develops at $V = 0$. For $2x = 0.3$, the $dI/dV$ still exhibits the gap structure of $V_1$ although the thermal activation behavior in $\rho(T)$ is lost above $T_N$ (Fig. 4.6). At $T < 20$ K, broad shoulders appearing inside the gap $V_1$ are assigned to $V_{AF}$ because they become noticeable below $T_N$. It is remarkable that the shape at around zero bias changes from a V-shaped form for $2x = 0$, an upward cusp for $2x = 0.08$, then again to the V-shaped form for $2x = 0.3$. Theoretical studies of the electron doping effect on Kondo semiconductors showed that impurity bands appear at the $E_F$ inside the gaps [64, 65]. This effect is consistent with the observed change in $dI/dV$ at zero bias for the samples with $2x < 0.3$. For $2x = 0.3$, however, the temperature dependence of $dI/dV$ with a V-shaped structure at $V = 0$ resembles that of a heavy fermion AFM metal CeRh$_2$Si$_2$ [130]. These facts indicate that the doping of 5d electrons in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ changes the ground state from a KS for $2x = 0$ to a doped KS in the range $0 < 2x < 0.3$, and to a heavy fermion AFM metal for $2x = 0.3$. The suppression of $V_1$ with increasing $x$ is ascribed to the weakened c-f exchange interaction. The magnitude of $V_{AF}$ also decreases with $x$, whereas the ordered magnetic moments are reoriented and elongated from $\mu_{AF}/c = 0.3 \mu_B$/Ce for $2x = 0$ to $\mu_{AF}/a = 0.9 \mu_B$/Ce for $2x = 0.16$ [109]. This fact indicates that the magnitude of $V_{AF}$ does not depend on either the magnitude and the direction of $\mu_{AF}$. 
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Figure 4.24: Temperature variations of the $dI/dV$ spectra for the 4$f$-hole doped system Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$ for $z = 0$, 0.05, 0.2, and 0.35 with $T_N = 28.5$, 25.5, 11.6, and < 2.0 K, respectively. The gap widths $V_1$, $V_{AF}$, and $V_2$ are shown by horizontal arrows. Curves are vertically shifted for clarity.

Figure 4.25: Temperature variations of the $dI/dV$ spectra for the 5$d$-hole doped system Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ for $2y = 0$, 0.04 and 0.2 with $T_N = 28.5$, 23.0, < 2.0 K, respectively. The gap widths $V_1$, $V_{AF}$, and $V_2$ are shown by horizontal arrows. Curves are offset vertically for clarity.
Figure 4.26: Temperature variations of the $dI/dV$ spectra of the 5$d$-electron doped system Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$ for $2x = 0$, 0.08 and 0.3 with $T_N = 28.5$, 26.5, and 7.0 K, respectively. The gap widths $V_1$, $V_{AF}$, and $V_2$ are shown by horizontal arrows. Curves are offset vertically for clarity.
Discussion

In order to gain insights into the relation between the AFM order and gap structures, we summarize in Fig. 4.27 the selected data sets of gap widths $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$ in (a, c) and $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ in (b, d) for three doped systems. The lightly and heavily doped ranges correspond to (a, b) and (c, d), respectively. As described above, the $4f/5d$-hole doping and $5d$-electron doping change the $dI/dV$ spectrum in largely different ways. It is, however, common that $V_1$'s decrease on cooling at around $T^*(>T_N)$ in the doping region where $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$ coexist. In the heavily doped region, $z \leq 0.2$, the decreasing rate of $V_1$ with temperature is weakened. For $z = 0$, $V_1$ increases slightly when $V_{AF}$ develops below $T_N$. On the contrary, $V_{AF}$ in doped samples seems to appear suddenly at around $T^* > T_N$, and stays constant with decreasing temperature. As mentioned above, this may arise from the distribution of the local environment of the Ce atoms in doped samples.

We discuss the temperature variations of $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$, which is known to be proportional to $N(E_F)$. As shown in Fig. 4.27 (b), $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ for the non-doped sample gradually decreases below 60 K and bends at $T^* = 36$ K. At the temperature $T^*$, the thermopower $S$ only along $b$ axis also decreases. For $z = 0.05$ and 0.2, similar bending occurs at $T^* = 35$ and 32 K, respectively, while no bend is seen for $z = 0.35$. For $2y = 0.04$, the initial decrease in $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ turns to an increase below 27 K, which is the result of the development of zero-bias cusp. This upturn in $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ masks the possible downward bend in the region from 40 to 27 K, where $V_1$ gradually decreases. For $2y = 0.2$ with no AFM transition, $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ increases monotonically on cooling. For $2x = 0.08$, $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ bends at $T^* = 32$ K and then increases below 18 K. For $2x = 0.3$, a weak bend is noticeable at $T^* = 26$ K. These observations reveal that the significant decrease in $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ below $T^*(>T_N)$ occurs only in the doping region where $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$ coexist.

The key parameters obtained by the BJTS experiments are plotted in Fig. 4.28 as functions of $2x$, $2y$, and $z$. The upper and lower panels display, respectively, the variations of the gap widths $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$, and those of $T^*$, $T_S$, $T_N$, and $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ at 4.4 K as functions of $2x$, $2y$, and $z$. The $T_N$ is suppressed and $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ is increased with $2x$ and $2y$, whose variations are more drastic as a function of $2y$. We note that the asymmetric change in $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ resembles the asymmetric increase in the $\gamma$ value (Fig. 4.15). On the other hand, $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ initially decreases by 15% as $z$ increases from 0 to 0.05, and then increases
with further increasing $z$. This increase in $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ with $z$ is much slower compared with that with $2y$.

Now, we focus on the relation between $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$. In all doping cases, the values of $V_1$, $V_{AF}$, and $T_N$ are suppressed with the increase of $2x$, $2y$, and $z$. The weaker suppression with $z$ than with $2y$ implies that the gaps and $N(E_F)$ in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ are much weakly affected by 4f hole doping compared with 5d hole doping. Moreover, $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$ disappear as $2y$ is increased to 0.1. By contrast, the $dI/dV$ spectrum for $z = 0.35$ has only $V_1$, whose magnitude is reduced to $1/3$ of that for $z = 0$. In the absence of $V_{AF}$ and $T_N$ for $z = 0.35$, $V_1$ still exists. On the other hand, $T^*$ exists in the region where both $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$ are observed. These relations strongly suggest that the decrease in $N(E_F)$ below $T^*$ is a precursor of the AFM transition. In fact, the decrease in $N(E_F)$ occurring above $T_N$ is consistent with the opening of the charge excitation gap found by the optical conductivity measurements [95, 96]. As noted in the Introduction, the optical conductivity in the energy region below 20 meV decreases on cooling below $T^*$. At the same temperature, bends are also observed in the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate of $^{27}$Al NQR as shown in Fig. 4.29. Moreover, the changes of $T^*$ with $z$ and $2x$ are in agreement with those of $T_S$, where $S_b(T)$ bends as shown in Fig. 4.28. Therefore, the bend in $S_b$ is the result of the decrease in $N(E_F)$ on cooling, probably associated with a topological change in the Fermi surface.
Figure 4.27: Temperature dependences of (a, c) gap widths $V_1$, $V_{AF}$ and (b, d) $[NZBC]^{1/2}$ for the substituted samples of Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$, Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, and Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$. NZBC is the zero bias conductance $dI/dV(V = 0)$ normalized by the value at $V = -400$ mV. The $T_N$’s are 28.5 K ($z, y, x = 0$), 26.5 K ($2x = 0.04$), 7.0 K ($2x = 0.3$), 23 K ($2y = 0.04$), 25.5 K ($z = 0.05$), 11.6 K ($z = 0.2$), and < 2 K ($2y = 0.2$, $z = 0.35$), respectively.
Figure 4.28: Variations of (a) gap widths $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$, (b) characteristic temperature $T^*$, $T_S$, and $T_N$, and (c) $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ at 4.4 K as functions of $z$, $2y$, and $2x$ in $\text{Ce}_1-2\text{La}_2\text{Os}_2\text{Al}_{10}$, $\text{Ce(Os}_{1-y}\text{Re}_y)_2\text{Al}_{10}$, and $\text{Ce(Os}_{1-x}\text{Ir}_x)_2\text{Al}_{10}$, respectively. $T_N$ is the Néel temperature, $T^*$ and $T_S$ are the onset temperatures where $[\text{NZBC}]^{1/2}$ and $S_b$ decrease on cooling.
Figure 4.29: The temperature dependence of $^{27}$Al nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate [85, 86, 87] and [NZBC]$^{1/2}$ for Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T =$ Ru and Os). The arrows denote the onset temperature $T^*$ where [NZBC]$^{1/2}$ decreases.
Chapter 5

Summary

The Ce-based compound CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ exhibits a thermal activation-type behavior in $\rho(T)$, and thus was classified into the Kondo semiconductor. It has been an enigma why this compound orders antiferromagnetically at a high temperature $T_N = 28.5$ K in spite of a small magnetic moment $\mu_{AF} = 0.3 \mu_B$/Ce. The present study aimed to clarify the relation between the $c$-$f$ hybridization gap and the unusual magnetic order in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$. For this purpose, we prepared La-, Re-, and Ir- substituted samples, i.e., Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$, Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, and Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, and performed the magnetic, transport, thermal, neutron scattering, and BJTS measurements.

The magnetization and neutron scattering measurements have revealed that the $4f/5d$-hole and $5d$-electron doping in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ changes the $c$-$f$ hybridization in very different ways. Specifically, the $4f$-hole doping does not alter the $c$-$f$ hybridization at high temperatures. On the other hand, the $4f$ electron state becomes more delocalized by doping of $5d$ holes, but more localized by doping of $5d$ electrons. The opposite trend is also manifested in the thermopower $S(T)$. The doping of $4f/5d$ holes in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ keeps the direction of $\mu_{AF}$ along the $c$ axis, whereas doping of $5d$ electrons reorients $\mu_{AF}$ from the $c$ axis to the $a$ axis.

Despite of the significantly different effects in the three doped systems, we have observed common behaviors. Firstly, the $\gamma$ value increases and both $T_N$ and transport gap $\Delta$ concomitantly decrease. These observations imply that the doping of the holes/electrons in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ induces in-gap states in the vicinity of $E_F$ and destroys the transport gap. Secondly, the suppression of $T_N$ is well correlated with that of transport gap as functions...
of $z$, $2y$, and $2x$, suggesting that the hybridization gap is necessary for the unusual AFM order. However, it is noteworthy that the quasiparticle DOS for CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ has a pseudo-gap structure with a residual value at $E_F$. The finite $N(E_F)$ makes it difficult to judge the presence of the hybridization gap from the resistivity data. Therefore, we have conducted the BJTS studies to clarify the relation between hybridization gap and the unusual AFM orders.

In the differential conductances of the undoped compounds Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T =$ Fe, Ru, and Os) at 4.4 K, the presence of residual $N(E_F)$ has been confirmed as the finite normalized zero-bias conductance (NZBC), which is proportional to $[N(E_F)]^2$. The relation of $[NZBC]^{1/2} = 0.7$ ($T =$ Fe) $> 0.4$ ($T =$ Ru) $> 0.3$ ($T =$ Os) is consistent with that of Sommerfeld coefficient $\gamma$ (mJ/K$^2$mol) $= 18$ ($T =$ Fe) $> 12$ ($T =$ Ru) $> 7$ ($T =$ Os). Moreover, the temperature dependences of $[NZBC]^{1/2}$ for $T =$ Ru and Os exhibit downward bends below $T^* = 28$ and 36 K ($> T_N$), respectively. On cooling CeFe$_2$Al$_{10}$, two hybridization gaps successively manifest in the $dI/dV$ spectra, while another gap $V_{AF}$ develops below $T_N$ in Ce$T_2$Al$_{10}$ ($T =$ Ru and Os). The gap width of $V_{AF} = 160$ mV for $T =$ Ru ($T_N = 27.0$ K) is smaller than that of $V_{AF} = 240$ mV for $T =$ Os ($T_N = 28.5$ K).

In Ce$_{1-z}$La$_z$Os$_2$Al$_{10}$ with $z =$ 0.35, the $dI/dV$ spectrum remains V-shaped, whereas $\rho(T)$ no longer shows the semiconducting behavior. With increasing $2y$ in Ce(Os$_{1-y}$Re$_y$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, an upward cusp develops at $V = 0$, and then all gap structures disappear at $2y = 0.1$. In the region $0.08 \leq 2x < 0.3$ for Ce(Os$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$)$_2$Al$_{10}$, $dI/dV$ exhibits an upward peak at $V = 0$ within the gap structures. On further increasing $2x$ up to 0.3, the peak vanishes while the V-shaped gap structure survives.

As noted above, doping of $4f/5d$ holes and $5d$ electrons in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ changes the $dI/dV$ spectra in very different ways although the suppressions of $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$ are well correlated with that of $T_N$ as functions of $z$, $2y$, and $2x$. Furthermore, in the doped region where $V_1$ and $V_{AF}$ coexist, the temperature dependences of $[NZBC]^{1/2}$ bend downward on cooling below $T^*$ ($> T_N$), whose temperature agrees with the bending temperature in the thermopower $S_b(T)$. At the same temperature, anomalies are reported in the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate of $^{27}$Al NQR and optical conductivity along the $b$ axis. All the results indicate that the unusual AFM order in CeOs$_2$Al$_{10}$ is preceded by the decrease in $N(E_F)$ in the presence of the hybridization gap.
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