

広島大学学位請求論文

Geometry of homogeneous polar foliations  
of complex hyperbolic spaces

(複素双曲空間の等質 polar foliation の幾何)

2014年

広島大学大学院理学研究科

数学専攻

久保 亮

# 目 次

## 1. 主論文

Geometry of homogeneous polar foliations of complex hyperbolic spaces

(複素双曲空間の等質 polar foliation の幾何)

Akira Kubo.

Hiroshima Mathematical Journal, 掲載決定.

Copyright © by Editorial Board of Hiroshima Mathematical Journal  
Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University

## 2. 参考論文

- (1) A sufficient condition for congruency of orbits of Lie groups and some applications

Akira Kubo, Hiroshi Tamaru.

Geometriae Dedicata, **167** (2013), no.1, 233-238.

- (2) Some topics of homogeneous submanifolds in complex hyperbolic spaces

Takahiro Hashinaga, Akira Kubo, Hiroshi Tamaru.

In: Proceedings of the workshop on Differential Geometry of Submanifolds and its related topics, 230-244, World Scientific, 2013.

# 主論文

## Geometry of homogeneous polar foliations of complex hyperbolic spaces

Akira KUBO

(Received Xxx 00, 0000)

ABSTRACT. Homogeneous polar foliations of complex hyperbolic spaces have been classified by Berndt and Díaz-Ramos. In this paper, we study geometry of leaves of such foliations: the minimality, the parallelism of the mean curvature vectors, and the congruency of orbits. In particular, we classify minimal leaves.

### 1. Introduction

An isometric action of a connected Lie group  $H$  on a Riemannian manifold  $M$  is said to be *polar* if there exists a connected complete submanifold  $\Sigma$  of  $M$  such that

- (i)  $\Sigma$  meets each orbit of the action, that is,  $\Sigma \cap H.p \neq \emptyset$  holds for each  $p \in M$ ,
- (ii)  $\Sigma$  intersects the orbits orthogonally, that is,  $T_p\Sigma \subset \nu_p(H.p)$  holds for each  $p \in \Sigma$ .

Note that such a submanifold  $\Sigma$ , called a *section* of the polar action, is always a totally geodesic submanifold of  $M$  (for instance, see [4, Theorem 3.2.1]).

Polar actions on Riemannian symmetric spaces have been studied very actively (for instance, refer to [2], [10], and references therein). Above all, it is noteworthy that cohomogeneity one actions on Riemannian symmetric spaces are always polar ([15]). Therefore, one can regard a polar action on a Riemannian symmetric space as a kind of generalizations of cohomogeneity one actions. We also note that polar actions provide a lot of interesting examples of homogeneous submanifolds. For example, a principal orbit of a polar action is an isoparametric submanifold ([14]), and has a parallel mean curvature vector field (refer to [4, Corollary 3.2.5], and also see Remark 3.14).

In this paper, we consider polar actions on a complex hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  having no singular orbits, or equivalently, inducing *homogeneous polar foliations* of  $\mathbb{C}H^n$ . The aim of this paper is to study the geometry of homogeneous polar foliations of  $\mathbb{C}H^n$ , and to determine the minimality of their leaves. We remark that such polar actions have been classified by Berndt and Díaz-Ramos. More precisely, they have proved that there exist exactly  $2n - 1$  actions which induce nontrivial homogeneous polar foliations of  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  up to orbit equivalence ([5]).

---

The author was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (26 · 6060).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C40, Secondary 53C30, 53C35.

*Key words and phrases*. homogeneous submanifolds, complex hyperbolic spaces, polar actions.

Here, a homogeneous foliation of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  is said to be *trivial* if the leaves are points in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  or the leaf coincides with  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ . According to their result, moreover, the actions can be divided into the following two types:

- (i) none of the orbits is contained in horospheres of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ ,
- (ii) all orbits are contained in horospheres of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ .

Let us call them *S-type* and *N-type*, respectively. Our main theorem (Theorems 4.6 and 5.1) is as follows.

MAIN THEOREM. *We have that*

- (1) every *S-type* action has exactly one minimal orbit,
- (2) every *N-type* action has the congruency of orbits, and none of the orbits is minimal.

Here, an isometric action on a Riemannian manifold is said to be having the *congruency of orbits* if all orbits of the action are isometrically congruent to each other.

REMARK 1.1. *Our main theorem includes the known results on cohomogeneity one actions on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  in [1] and [6]. See Remark 2.5 for more details.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the solvable model of a complex hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ , and recall the classification of homogeneous polar foliations of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ . In Section 3, we introduce new Lie groups, which play essential roles in the study of homogeneous polar foliations of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ . In order to prove the main theorem, we study the geometry of orbits of the S-type actions in Section 4, and deal with the analogue for the N-type actions in Section 5.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the solvable model of a complex hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  with  $n \geq 2$  (refer mainly to [8], [12]). We also recall the classification of homogeneous polar foliations of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  according to [5].

DEFINITION 2.1. We call a triple  $(\mathfrak{s}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, J)$  the *solvable model* of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  if

- (1)  $\mathfrak{s} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_0, X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y_{n-1}, Z_0\}$  is a Lie algebra whose bracket relations are defined by

$$[A_0, X_i] = (1/2)X_i, [A_0, Y_i] = (1/2)Y_i, [A_0, Z_0] = Z_0, [X_i, Y_i] = Z_0, \quad (2.1)$$

- (2)  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product on  $\mathfrak{s}$  such that the above basis is orthonormal,
- (3)  $J$  is a complex structure on  $\mathfrak{s}$  defined by

$$J(A_0) = Z_0, J(Z_0) = -A_0, J(X_i) = Y_i, J(Y_i) = -X_i. \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $S$  be the simply-connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{s}$ . Denote by the same symbols  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $J$  the induced left-invariant Riemannian metric and the complex structure on  $S$ , respectively.

First of all, we remark that  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  can be identified with  $(S, \langle, \rangle, J)$ , and hence with the solvable model  $(\mathfrak{s}, \langle, \rangle, J)$ . Let us define

$$G := \mathrm{SU}(1, n), \quad K := \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(n)). \quad (2.3)$$

One knows that  $G$  is the identity component of the isometry group of  $\mathbb{C}H^n$ , and  $K$  is the isotropy subgroup of  $G$  at some point  $o$ , called the *origin* of  $\mathbb{C}H^n$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $K$ , respectively. Then,  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  can be realized as a Riemannian symmetric space of noncompact type  $G/K$ . It is known that  $S$  is isomorphic to the solvable part of the Iwasawa decomposition of  $G$ , and that  $S$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  simply-transitively. Hence, we can naturally identify  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  with the Lie group  $S$ . In particular, one can show that  $(S, \langle, \rangle, J)$  is holomorphically isometric to  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  with the constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $-1$ .

We here study the structure of our solvable model  $(\mathfrak{s}, \langle, \rangle, J)$ . Let us define

$$\mathfrak{a} := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_0\}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\mathfrak{v} := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y_{n-1}\}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\mathfrak{z} := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{Z_0\}, \quad (2.6)$$

and  $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$ . Then, we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}. \quad (2.7)$$

One can easily see that  $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]$ , and  $\mathfrak{n}$  is the  $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra. In particular, it follows from the definition of the solvable model that, for any  $V, W \in \mathfrak{v}$ ,

$$[V, W] = \langle JV, W \rangle Z_0. \quad (2.8)$$

One can also see that  $\mathfrak{v}$  is  $J$ -invariant, and hence  $\mathfrak{v}$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional complex vector space. We note that the complex structure  $J$  is an isometry of  $(\mathfrak{s}, \langle, \rangle)$ , that is, for any  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}$ ,

$$\langle JX, JV \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle. \quad (2.9)$$

**REMARK 2.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  be the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{k}$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{u}(n - 1)$ , and  $K_0$  be the connected Lie subgroup of  $K$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}_0$ . Then, one knows that  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  normalizes  $\mathfrak{s}$ , and especially, the adjoint action of  $K_0$  on  $\mathfrak{v}$  is isomorphic to the standard action of  $\mathrm{U}(n - 1)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ .

In the rest of this section, we recall the classification of homogeneous polar foliations of  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  according to [5]. We always mean by  $\ominus$  the orthogonal complement with respect to  $\langle, \rangle$ . Let us review the Lie groups introduced in [5].

**DEFINITION 2.3.** Denote by  $S_b$  and  $N_b$  the connected Lie subgroups of  $S$  with Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{s}_b := \mathfrak{s} \ominus \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1, \dots, X_b\} \quad (b \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}), \quad (2.10)$$

$$\mathfrak{n}_b := \mathfrak{s} \ominus \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_0, X_1, \dots, X_{b-1}\} \quad (b \in \{1, \dots, n\}), \quad (2.11)$$

respectively.

REMARK 2.4. *We note that these notations are changed from ones given in [5]. Indeed, the Lie groups  $S_b$  and  $N_b$  are written as  $S_{1,b}$  and  $S_{0,b-1}$ , respectively, in [5].*

One can see that the actions of  $S_b$  and  $N_b$  on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  are of cohomogeneity  $b$ , and have no singular orbits.

REMARK 2.5. *Consider the case of cohomogeneity one, that is,  $b = 1$ . Then, the actions of  $S_1$  and  $N_1$  on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  are well-known. Note that  $\mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathfrak{n}$ , and hence  $N_1$  is the nilpotent part of the Iwasawa decomposition of  $G = \mathrm{SU}(1, n)$ . Then, the action of  $N_1$  induces the horosphere foliation on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ . The orbits of  $N_1$ , which are nothing but horospheres, are isometrically congruent to each other and not minimal. On the other hand, the action of  $S_1$  induces the so-called solvable foliation. The orbit of  $S_1$  through the origin  $o$ , which is the homogeneous ruled minimal hypersurface, is a unique minimal orbit (refer to [1], and also see [6]).*

Berndt and Díaz-Ramos proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.6 ([5]). *Let  $H$  be a connected closed subgroup of  $G = \mathrm{SU}(1, n)$ . Then, the action of  $H$  on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  induces a nontrivial homogeneous polar foliation of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  if and only if it is orbit equivalent to one of the following:*

- (1) *the action of  $S_b$ , where  $b \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ ,*
- (2) *the action of  $N_b$ , where  $b \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .*

We note that the actions of  $S_b$  and  $N_b$  are of S-type and of N-type mentioned in Section 1, respectively ([5]).

Owing to their result, in order to study geometry of the orbits of polar actions having no singular orbits on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ , it is sufficient to consider the orbits of  $S_b$  and  $N_b$ .

### 3. Construction of certain Lie groups and their geometry

In this section, we introduce new Lie subgroups  $S_b(\varphi)$  of  $S$ , which play essential roles in the study of both of the  $S_b$ -orbits and the  $N_b$ -orbits. We also study the geometry of the orbits of  $S_b(\varphi)$  through the origin  $o$ .

Let us define  $\mathfrak{w} := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}\}$ , which is an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathfrak{v}$  with  $\langle J\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w} \rangle = 0$ . For  $\varphi \in [0, \pi/2]$ , we define

$$\xi_0 := \cos(\varphi)X_1 + \sin(\varphi)A_0. \quad (3.1)$$

DEFINITION 3.1. Denote by  $\mathfrak{w}_b$  a  $(b-1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathfrak{w}$  orthogonal to  $\xi_0$ . Then, for  $\varphi \in [0, \pi/2]$ , we define

$$\mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi) := \mathfrak{s} \ominus (\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\xi_0\} \oplus \mathfrak{w}_b). \quad (3.2)$$

REMARK 3.2. *The above definition of  $\mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$  depends only on  $\varphi$  and  $b$ , up to conjugation, because the adjoint action of  $K_0$  on  $\mathfrak{v}$  is isomorphic to the standard action of  $\mathrm{U}(n-1)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ .*

REMARK 3.3. *We remark on the range of allowable values of  $b$ . Recall that  $\mathfrak{w}_b$  is a  $(b-1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathfrak{w}$  orthogonal to  $\xi_0$ , and that  $\langle \mathfrak{w}, A_0 \rangle = 0$ . If  $\varphi \in [0, \pi/2[$ , then we have  $\langle \mathfrak{w}_b, X_1 \rangle = 0$ , and hence  $b \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . On the other hand, if  $\varphi = \pi/2$ , then we have  $\langle \mathfrak{w}_b, \xi_0 \rangle = 0$ , and hence  $b \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .*

First of all, we shall show that  $\mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$  is always a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{s}$ . Let us define

$$T_0 := \cos(\varphi)A_0 - \sin(\varphi)X_1 \in \mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi), \quad (3.3)$$

which is orthogonal to the normal vector  $\xi_0$ , and

$$\mathfrak{v}_0 := \mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi) \ominus (\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{T_0\} \oplus \mathfrak{z}). \quad (3.4)$$

LEMMA 3.4. *We have that  $\mathfrak{v}_0 \subset \mathfrak{v} \ominus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1\}$ .*

PROOF. Note that  $\mathfrak{v} \ominus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1\} = \mathfrak{s} \ominus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_0, X_1, Z_0\}$ . Hence, we have only to show

$$\langle \mathfrak{v}_0, A_0 \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{v}_0, X_1 \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{v}_0, Z_0 \rangle = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

By definition, it is clear that  $\mathfrak{v}_0$  is orthogonal to  $Z_0$ . Meanwhile, one knows that  $A_0, X_1 \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{T_0, \xi_0\}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{v}_0$  is orthogonal to  $T_0$  and  $\xi_0$ , we have  $\langle \mathfrak{v}_0, A_0 \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{v}_0, \xi_0 \rangle = 0$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

With the notations above, one has the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{T_0\} \oplus \mathfrak{v}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{z}, \quad (3.6)$$

which we need hereafter.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *The subspace  $\mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{s}$ .*

PROOF. Consider the decomposition (3.6) of  $\mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$ . Firstly, it follows from Lemma 3.4 and  $[\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{v}] \subset \mathfrak{z}$  that

$$[\mathfrak{v}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{v}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{z}] \subset \mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi). \quad (3.7)$$

One also can directly calculate that, for any  $V \in \mathfrak{v}_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [T_0, V] &= (1/2) \cos(\varphi)V - \sin(\varphi)\langle JX_1, V \rangle Z_0, \\ [T_0, Z_0] &= \cos(\varphi)Z_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

This means  $[T_0, \mathfrak{v}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{z}] \subset \mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$ . Hence, we complete the proof.  $\square$

We note that  $\mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$  is a solvable subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{s}$  of codimension  $b$ .

DEFINITION 3.6. We denote by  $S_b(\varphi)$  the connected Lie subgroup of  $S$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$ .

REMARK 3.7. *In the case where  $b = 1$ , the Lie groups  $S_1(\varphi)$  have been introduced in [1], and have played essential roles in the study of cohomogeneity one actions (see [1], [12] and [13]). We remark that  $S_b(\varphi)$  is a natural generalization of  $S_1(\varphi)$ , and that the propositions mentioned below are natural extensions of the known results in the case where  $b = 1$ .*

In the rest of this section, we shall study the geometry of the orbit  $S_b(\varphi).o$  through the origin  $o$ . Recall that we identify  $\mathbb{C}H^n$  with the Lie group  $S$ . Accordingly, we hereafter identify the submanifold  $S_b(\varphi).o$  with the Lie subgroup  $S_b(\varphi)$ .

We first recall the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of  $S$ , which is well-known (see [8] for instance).

LEMMA 3.8. *Let  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}$ , and write as*

$$X = x_1 A_0 + V + x_2 Z_0, \quad Y = y_1 A_0 + W + y_2 Z_0 \quad (3.9)$$

for some  $V, W \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} 2\nabla_X Y &= (\langle V, W \rangle + 2x_2 y_2) A_0 - y_1 V \\ &\quad - x_2 J W - y_2 J V + (\langle J V, W \rangle - 2x_2 y_1) Z_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Now, we calculate the second fundamental form  $h$  of  $S_b(\varphi)$ . Recall that  $h$  is defined by

$$\langle h(X, Y), \xi \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Y, \xi \rangle \quad (3.11)$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$  and  $\xi \in \mathfrak{s} \ominus \mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\xi_0\} \oplus \mathfrak{w}_b$ . Here and hereafter the subscripts indicate the orthogonal projections onto each spaces.

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Let  $V, W \in \mathfrak{v}_0$ . Then, the second fundamental form  $h$  of  $S_b(\varphi)$  satisfies that*

- (1)  $h(T_0, T_0) = (1/2) \sin(\varphi) \xi_0$ ,
- (2)  $h(V, W) = (1/2) \langle V, W \rangle \sin(\varphi) \xi_0$ ,
- (3)  $h(Z_0, Z_0) = \sin(\varphi) \xi_0$ ,
- (4)  $h(V, Z_0) = -(1/2) (J V)_{\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\xi_0\} \oplus \mathfrak{w}_b}$ ,
- (5)  $h(T_0, W) = h(T_0, Z_0) = 0$ .

PROOF. Let  $V, W \in \mathfrak{v}_0$ , and put

$$X := x_1 T_0 + V + x_2 Z_0, \quad Y := y_1 T_0 + W + y_2 Z_0$$

for  $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, by using Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.8, one can directly calculate that, for  $\xi \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\xi_0\} \oplus \mathfrak{w}_b$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle h(X, Y), \xi \rangle &= \langle 2\nabla_X Y, \xi \rangle \\ &= (x_1 y_1 \sin^2(\varphi) + \langle V, W \rangle + 2x_2 y_2) \langle A_0, \xi \rangle \\ &\quad + x_1 y_1 \sin(\varphi) \cos(\varphi) \langle X_1, \xi \rangle - \langle x_2 J W + y_2 J V, \xi \rangle \\ &= (\langle X, Y \rangle + x_2 y_2) \sin(\varphi) \langle \xi_0, \xi \rangle - \langle x_2 J W + y_2 J V, \xi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

By using Equation (3.12), one can show the assertions. We here only calculate  $h(V, Z_0)$  for  $V \in \mathfrak{v}_0$ . Let  $\{\xi_i\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\xi_0\} \oplus \mathfrak{w}_b$ . In this case, it follows from (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} 2h(V, Z_0) &= \sum \langle 2h(V, Z_0), \xi_i \rangle \xi_i \\ &= \sum \langle -J V, \xi_i \rangle \xi_i = -(J V)_{\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\xi_0\} \oplus \mathfrak{w}_b}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

which proves (4).  $\square$

Secondly, we calculate the shape operator  $A_\xi$  of  $S_b(\varphi)$ . Recall that  $A_\xi$  satisfies

$$\langle A_\xi(X), Y \rangle = \langle h(X, Y), \xi \rangle \quad (3.14)$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$  and  $\xi \in \mathfrak{s} \ominus \mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\xi_0\} \oplus \mathfrak{w}_b$ .

PROPOSITION 3.10. *Let  $V, W \in \mathfrak{v}_0$ . Then, for each  $\xi \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\xi_0\} \oplus \mathfrak{w}_b$ , the shape operator  $A_\xi$  of  $S_b(\varphi)$  satisfies that*

- (1)  $A_\xi T_0 = (1/2) \sin(\varphi) \langle \xi_0, \xi \rangle T_0$ ,
- (2)  $A_\xi V = (1/2) \sin(\varphi) \langle \xi_0, \xi \rangle V + (1/2) \langle V, J\xi \rangle Z_0$ ,
- (3)  $A_\xi Z_0 = (1/2) (J\xi)_{\mathfrak{v}_0} + \sin(\varphi) \langle \xi_0, \xi \rangle Z_0$ .

PROOF. We only calculate  $A_\xi V$  for  $V \in \mathfrak{v}_0$  and  $\xi \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\xi_0\} \oplus \mathfrak{w}_b$ . Let  $\{E_i\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{v}_0$ . Then, by Proposition 3.9, one can directly calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_\xi V, T_0 \rangle &= \langle h(V, T_0), \xi \rangle = 0, \\ \langle A_\xi V, E_i \rangle &= \langle h(V, E_i), \xi \rangle = (1/2) \sin(\varphi) \langle \xi_0, \xi \rangle \langle V, E_i \rangle, \\ \langle A_\xi V, Z_0 \rangle &= \langle h(V, Z_0), \xi \rangle = (1/2) \langle V, J\xi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Altogether, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A_\xi V &= \langle A_\xi V, T_0 \rangle T_0 + \sum \langle A_\xi V, E_i \rangle E_i + \langle A_\xi V, Z_0 \rangle Z_0 \\ &= (1/2) \sin(\varphi) \langle \xi_0, \xi \rangle V + (1/2) \langle V, J\xi \rangle Z_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

which proves (2). The remaining assertions can be obtained by similar calculations.  $\square$

An eigenvalue of the shape operator  $A_\xi$  is called a *principal curvature in direction  $\xi$* , and the dimension of an eigenspace is called the *multiplicity*.

PROPOSITION 3.11. (1) *The principal curvatures in direction  $\xi_0$  are  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$ , and the multiplicities are  $1, 2n - b - 2, 1$ , respectively, where*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &:= (3/4) \sin(\varphi) - (1/4) (1 + 3 \cos^2(\varphi))^{1/2}, \\ \lambda_2 &:= (1/2) \sin(\varphi), \\ \lambda_3 &:= (3/4) \sin(\varphi) + (1/4) (1 + 3 \cos^2(\varphi))^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *If  $\xi \in \mathfrak{w}_b$ , then the principal curvatures in direction  $\xi$  are  $-1/2, 0, 1/2$ , and the multiplicities are  $1, 2n - b - 2, 1$ , respectively.*

PROOF. Firstly, we consider the case where  $\xi = \xi_0$ . Note that we have  $J\xi_0 = \cos(\varphi) JX_1 + \sin(\varphi) Z_0$ , and  $JX_1 \in \mathfrak{v}_0$ . Then, by Proposition 3.10, one can directly calculate that, for  $V \in \mathfrak{v}_0 \ominus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{JX_1\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\xi_0} T_0 &= (1/2) \sin(\varphi) T_0, \\ A_{\xi_0} V &= (1/2) \sin(\varphi) V, \\ A_{\xi_0} JX_1 &= (1/2) \sin(\varphi) JX_1 + (1/2) \cos(\varphi) Z_0, \\ A_{\xi_0} Z_0 &= (1/2) \cos(\varphi) JX_1 + \sin(\varphi) Z_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

from which the former assertion follows.

Similarly, we consider the case where  $\xi \in \mathfrak{w}_b$ , that is,  $\langle \xi_0, \xi \rangle = 0$ . Note that  $J\xi \in \mathfrak{v}_0$ . Then, one can also calculate that, for  $V \in \mathfrak{v}_0 \ominus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{J\xi\}$ ,

$$A_{\xi}T_0 = A_{\xi}V = 0, \quad A_{\xi_0}(J\xi) = (1/2)Z_0, \quad A_{\xi_0}Z_0 = (1/2)J\xi, \quad (3.18)$$

from which the latter assertion follows.  $\square$

Lastly, we calculate the mean curvature vector  $\mathcal{H}$ . We also study the minimality of  $S_b(\varphi)$  and the parallelism of the mean curvature vector. Recall that the *mean curvature vector* is defined by

$$\mathcal{H} := \text{trace } h. \quad (3.19)$$

If  $\mathcal{H} = 0$ , then the submanifold is said to be *minimal*.

PROPOSITION 3.12. *The mean curvature vector  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $S_b(\varphi)$  is given by*

$$\mathcal{H} = (1/2)(2n - b + 1) \sin(\varphi)\xi_0. \quad (3.20)$$

*In particular,  $S_b(\varphi)$  is minimal if and only if  $\varphi = 0$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\{E_i\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{v}_0$ . It follows readily from Proposition 3.9 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= h(T_0, T_0) + \sum h(E_i, E_i) + h(Z_0, Z_0) \\ &= (1/2)(2n - b + 1) \sin(\varphi)\xi_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Therefore, since  $\varphi \in [0, \pi/2]$ , the remaining assertion is clear.  $\square$

Denote by  $\nabla^{\perp}$  the normal part of  $\nabla$ , namely, the normal connection of  $S_b(\varphi)$ . The mean curvature vector  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be *parallel* if  $\nabla_X^{\perp} \mathcal{H} = 0$  holds for any  $X \in \mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.13. *The mean curvature vector  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $S_b(\varphi)$  is always parallel.*

PROOF. It follows from Proposition 3.12 that we have only to calculate  $\nabla_{T_0}\xi_0$ ,  $\nabla_{Z_0}\xi_0$ , and  $\nabla_V\xi_0$  for any  $V \in \mathfrak{v}_0$ . Take any  $V \in \mathfrak{v}_0$ . By Lemma 3.8, one can directly calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_T\xi_0 &= -(1/2) \sin(\varphi)T_0, \\ \nabla_V\xi_0 &= -(1/2) \sin(\varphi)V + (1/2) \cos(\varphi)\langle JV, X_1 \rangle Z_0, \\ \nabla_{Z_0}\xi_0 &= -(1/2) \cos(\varphi)JX_1 - \sin(\varphi)Z_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

It follows that  $\nabla_X\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$ , and hence  $\nabla_X^{\perp}\xi_0 = 0$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$ .  $\square$

REMARK 3.14. *We note that Proposition 3.13 can be shown by the general theory of polar actions. As we mention in the following sections,  $S_b(\varphi).o$  is always a principal orbit of some polar action. Therefore, it follows from [4, Corollary 3.2.5] that the mean curvature vector field on  $S_b(\varphi).o$  is parallel with respect to  $\nabla^{\perp}$ .*

#### 4. Orbits of the S-type actions

In this section, we consider the S-type actions on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ , namely, the  $S_b$ -actions, and study the geometry of their orbits. In particular, we show that, for every  $S_b$ -action the orbit through the origin  $o$  is a unique minimal orbit.

Throughout this section, we fix  $b \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Recall that  $S_b$  is the connected Lie subgroup of  $S$  with Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{s}_b := \mathfrak{s} \ominus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1, \dots, X_b\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Our first aim is to show that every  $S_b$ -orbit can be translated into the orbit  $S_b(\varphi).o$  for some  $\varphi \in [0, \pi/2[$ . From now on, we identify the tangent space  $T_o\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  with  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$  through  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n = S$ . Then, for each  $k \in K_0$ , the differential  $(dk)_o$  of  $k$  at  $o$  satisfies that  $(dk)_o = \text{Ad}(k)|_{\mathfrak{s}}$ . Recall that  $K_0$  is the connected Lie subgroup of  $K$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}_0$ , the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{k}$ .

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $N_{K_0}(S_b)$  be the normalizer of  $S_b$  in  $K_0$ . Then,  $N_{K_0}(S_b)$  acts transitively on the unit sphere in  $\nu_o(S_b.o) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1, \dots, X_b\}$ .*

PROOF. Recall that the adjoint action of  $K_0$  on  $\mathfrak{v}$  is isomorphic to the standard action of  $U(n-1)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ . One can see that the action of  $N_{K_0}(S_b)$  on the normal space  $\nu_o(S_b.o)$  at the origin  $o$  is isomorphic to the standard action of  $O(b)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^b$ . Hence, if  $b > 1$ , then the assertion is clear. In the case where  $b = 1$ , one knows that  $O(1) = \{\pm 1\}$  acts on  $\mathbb{R}$  naturally, and hence, on its unit sphere  $\{\pm 1\}$  transitively.  $\square$

REMARK 4.2. *Denote by  $N_K^o(S_b)$  the identity component of the normalizer  $N_K(S_b)$  of  $S_b$  in  $K$ . Then, the action of  $N_K^o(S_b)S_b$  on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  is of cohomogeneity one. If  $b > 1$ , especially, the orbit  $N_K^o(S_b)S_b.o = S_b.o$  is a singular orbit. Refer to [3], [7] for more details.*

Let  $\gamma_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  be the unit-speed geodesic defined by

$$\gamma_0(0) = o, \quad \dot{\gamma}_0(0) = -X_1. \quad (4.2)$$

LEMMA 4.3. *Let  $p \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ , and  $t_0 \geq 0$  be the distance between the orbit  $S_b.p$  and the origin  $o$ . Then,  $S_b.p$  is isometrically congruent to  $S_b.\gamma_0(t_0)$ .*

PROOF. Take any point  $p \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ . In the case where  $p \in S_b.o$ , one knows  $t_0 = 0$ , and hence we have nothing to prove more.

Thus, we now consider the case where  $p \notin S_b.o$ . Since the orbit  $S_b.p$  is closed, there exists  $q \in S_b.p$  such that the distance between  $o$  and  $q$  is equal to  $t_0$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$  is complete, there exists a unit-speed geodesic  $\gamma$  satisfying  $\gamma(0) = o$  and  $\gamma(t_0) = q$ . A standard variational argument implies that  $\gamma$  intersects the orbit  $S_b.q$  perpendicularly. It, hence, follows that  $\gamma$  intersects all orbits of  $S_b$  perpendicularly (see for instance [9, p. 78]). Put

$$V := \dot{\gamma}(0) \in \nu_o(S_b.o). \quad (4.3)$$

Then, Lemma 4.1 shows that there exists  $k \in N_{K_0}(S_b)$  such that  $\text{Ad}(k)V = -X_1$ , that is,  $(dk)_o\dot{\gamma}(0) = \dot{\gamma}_0(0)$ . Since  $k$  is an isometry, we have  $k.\gamma(t) = \gamma_0(t)$  for

any  $t$ . Consequently, it follows that

$$k(S_b.p) = kS_b.\gamma(t_0) = S_b k.\gamma(t_0) = S_b.\gamma_0(t_0), \quad (4.4)$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

Recall that  $b \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , and let  $\varphi \in [0, \pi/2[$ . Recall also that  $S_b(\varphi)$  is the connected Lie subgroup of  $S$  with Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi) = \mathfrak{s} \ominus (\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\xi_0\} \oplus \mathfrak{w}_b), \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\xi_0 = \cos(\varphi)X_1 + \sin(\varphi)A_0$ , and  $\mathfrak{w}_b$  is a  $(b-1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathfrak{w}$  orthogonal to  $\xi_0$ . In this case, according to Remark 3.2, one may assume that

$$\mathfrak{w}_b = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_2, \dots, X_b\} \quad (4.6)$$

without loss of generality. Then, we have

$$\mathfrak{s}_b = \mathfrak{s} \ominus (\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1\} \oplus \mathfrak{w}_b) = \mathfrak{s}_b(0). \quad (4.7)$$

**PROPOSITION 4.4.** *Let  $t \geq 0$ . Then, the orbit  $S_b.\gamma_0(t)$  is isometrically congruent to  $S_b(\varphi).o$ , where  $\varphi := \arcsin(\tanh(t/2)) \in [0, \pi/2[$ .*

**PROOF.** Take any  $t \geq 0$ . Consider the connected Lie subgroup  $H$  of  $S$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_0, X_1\}$ . Since  $H.o$  is a totally geodesic real hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{R}H^2$ , the geodesic  $\gamma_0$  lies in  $H.o$ . It, hence, follows that there exists  $g \in H$  such that  $g.o = \gamma_0(t)$  holds. One can readily see that

$$g^{-1}(S_b.\gamma_0(t)) = g^{-1}S_b g.o = I_{g^{-1}}(S_b).o. \quad (4.8)$$

This means that the orbit  $S_b.\gamma_0(t)$  is isometrically congruent to  $I_{g^{-1}}(S_b).o$ , since  $g^{-1}$  is an isometry of  $\mathbb{C}H^n$ . Now it remains to show that  $I_{g^{-1}}(S_b) = S_b(\varphi)$ , or equivalently,  $\text{Ad}(g^{-1})\mathfrak{s}_b = \mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$ . Since  $g \in H \subset S$ , one has  $\text{Ad}(g^{-1})\mathfrak{s}_b \subset \mathfrak{s}$ . For our goal, hence, it suffices to prove that  $\text{Ad}(g^{-1})\mathfrak{s}_b$  is orthogonal to  $\xi_0$  and  $\mathfrak{w}_b$ .

Firstly, we show that  $\text{Ad}(g^{-1})\mathfrak{s}_b$  is orthogonal to  $\mathfrak{w}_b$ . One can see that  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{s}_b \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1\}$ , and  $\mathfrak{s}_b \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1\}$  is a subalgebra. It, hence, follows that

$$\text{Ad}(g^{-1})\mathfrak{s}_b \subset \mathfrak{s}_b \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1\} = \mathfrak{s} \ominus \mathfrak{w}_b. \quad (4.9)$$

Next we show that  $\text{Ad}(g^{-1})\mathfrak{s}_b$  is orthogonal to  $\xi_0 = \cos(\varphi)X_1 + \sin(\varphi)A_0$ . For this purpose, we consider  $X_1$  and  $A_0$  as left-invariant vector fields on  $S$ . Since  $\dot{\gamma}(t)$  is a unit normal vector of  $S_b.\gamma(t)$  at  $\gamma(t)$ , and the left-translation  $L_{g^{-1}}$  is an isometry, one can see that  $(dL_{g^{-1}})_e \dot{\gamma}(t)$  is a unit normal vector of  $I_{g^{-1}}S_b.o$  at  $o$ . On the other hand, by [8, Theorem 2, p.94] one can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\gamma}(t) &= (1/\cosh(t/2))(-X_1)_g - \tanh(t/2)(A_0)_g \\ &= -(\cos(\varphi)(X_1)_g + \sin(\varphi)(A_0)_g) = -(\xi_0)_g, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

and hence,  $(dL_{g^{-1}})_e \dot{\gamma}(t) = -(\xi_0)_e$ . Therefore, we have that  $\text{Ad}(g^{-1})\mathfrak{s}_b$  is orthogonal to  $\xi_0$ .

Altogether, we have proved that  $\text{Ad}(g^{-1})\mathfrak{s}_b \subset \mathfrak{s}_b(\varphi)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

From the arguments above, one can readily obtain the following.

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Let  $p \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ . Denote by  $t \geq 0$  the distance between the orbit  $S_b.p$  and the origin  $o$ , and set  $\varphi := \arcsin(\tanh(t/2))$ . Then,  $S_b.p$  is isometrically congruent to the orbit  $S_b(\varphi).o$ .*

Therefore, in order to study the geometry of orbits of the  $S_b$ -action, it is sufficient to study  $S_b(\varphi).o$  for  $\varphi \in [0, \pi/2[$ . We conclude this section by proving the first assertion of the main theorem.

THEOREM 4.6. *For each  $b \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , the action of  $S_b$  has exactly one minimal orbit, which is through the origin  $o$ .*

PROOF. It readily follows from Proposition 3.12 that  $S_b.o = S_b(0).o$  is minimal. Now we show the uniqueness. Assume that  $p \notin S_b.o$ , and let  $t > 0$  be the distance between the orbit  $S_b.p$  and the origin  $o$ . Since we have  $\varphi = \arcsin(\tanh(t/2)) \neq 0$ , it also follows from Proposition 3.12 that  $S_b.p = S_b(\varphi).o$  is not minimal.  $\square$

REMARK 4.7. *In fact, it has been known that the orbit  $S_b.o$  through the origin is minimal. In the case where  $b = 1$ , Berndt has proved its minimality in [1]. On the other hands, if  $b > 1$ , one knows that  $S_b.o$  is a singular orbit of a cohomogeneity one action on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ , as we mentioned in Remark 4.2. It has been proved that any singular orbit of a cohomogeneity one action is an austere submanifold, and hence, a minimal submanifold (see [17] for more details).*

## 5. Orbits of the N-type actions

In this section, we consider the N-type actions on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^n$ , namely, the  $N_b$ -actions, and study the geometry of their orbits. In particular, we show that the action of  $N_b$  has the congruency of orbits, and has no minimal orbits.

Throughout this section, we fix  $b \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Recall that  $N_b$  is the connected Lie subgroup of  $S$  with Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{n}_b := \mathfrak{s} \ominus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_0, X_1, \dots, X_{b-1}\}. \quad (5.1)$$

We consider the case where  $\varphi = \pi/2$ . In this case, according to Remark 3.2, one may assume that

$$\mathfrak{w}_b = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1, \dots, X_{b-1}\}, \quad (5.2)$$

without loss of generality. Note that  $\mathfrak{w}_b$  is a  $(b-1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathfrak{w}$  orthogonal to  $\xi_0 = A_0$ . Then, we have

$$\mathfrak{n}_b = \mathfrak{s} \ominus (\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{A_0\} \oplus \mathfrak{w}_b) = \mathfrak{s}_b(\pi/2). \quad (5.3)$$

Now we show the second assertion of the main theorem.

THEOREM 5.1. *For each  $b \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , the action of  $N_b$  has the congruency of orbits, that is, all of the  $N_b$ -orbits are isometrically congruent to each other. Moreover, the action has no minimal orbits.*

PROOF. We first show the congruency of orbits. Recall that  $S$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{C}H^n$ . One can directly see that  $\mathfrak{n}_b$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{s}$ . Hence, it follows from [16, Lemma 2.1] that the action of  $N_b$  has the congruency of orbits.

Recall that  $N_b.o = S_b(\pi/2).o$  is not minimal by Proposition 3.12. Hence, owing to the congruency, we conclude that the action of  $N_b$  has no minimal orbits.  $\square$

### Acknowledgment

The author would like to express his deepest gratitude to Hiroshi Tamaru for the valuable suggestions and advice. The author is grateful to Yoshio Agaoka, Jürgen Berndt, and Kazuhiro Shibuya for their helpful comments and warm encouragements. The author would like to thank also Takahiro Hashinaga, Koshiro Wada, and Yuichiro Taketomi for the useful discussion. The author was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (26 · 6060).

### References

- [1] J. Berndt, Homogeneous hypersurfaces in hyperbolic spaces, *Math. Z.*, **229** (1998), no. 4, 589–600.
- [2] J. Berndt, Polar actions on symmetric spaces, in: *Proceedings of the Fifteenth International Workshop on Diff. Geom.*, **15** (2011), 1–10.
- [3] J. Berndt and M. Brück, Cohomogeneity one actions on hyperbolic spaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **541** (2001), 209–235.
- [4] J. Berndt, S. Console and C. Olmos, *Submanifolds and holonomy*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, **434**. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2003.
- [5] J. Berndt and J. C. Díaz-Ramos, Homogeneous polar foliations of complex hyperbolic spaces, *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, **20** (2012), no. 3, 435–454.
- [6] J. Berndt and H. Tamaru, Homogeneous codimension one foliations on noncompact symmetric spaces, *J. Differential Geom.*, **63** (2003), no. 1, 1–40.
- [7] J. Berndt and H. Tamaru, Cohomogeneity one actions on noncompact symmetric spaces of rank one, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **359** (2007), no. 7, 3425–3438.
- [8] J. Berndt, F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, *Generalized Heisenberg groups and Damek-Ricci harmonic spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **1598**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [9] R. Bott, *The geometry and representation theory of compact Lie groups*, in: *Representation Theory of Lie Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note, **34**. Cambridge University Press, 1979.
- [10] J. C. Díaz-Ramos, Polar actions in complex space forms, in: *Proceedings of the Sixteenth International on Diff. Geom.*, **16** (2012), 71–90.
- [11] J. C. Díaz-Ramos, M. Domínguez-Vázquez and A. Kollross, Polar actions on complex hyperbolic spaces, preprint, arXiv:1208.2823v2.
- [12] T. Hamada, Y. Hoshikawa and H. Tamaru, Curvature properties of Lie hypersurfaces in the complex hyperbolic space, *J. Geom.*, **103** (2012), no. 2, 247–261.
- [13] T. Hashinaga, A. Kubo and H. Tamaru, Homogeneous Ricci soliton hypersurfaces in the complex hyperbolic spaces, preprint, arXiv:1305.6128v1.
- [14] E. Heintze, X. Liu and C. Olmos, Isoparametric submanifolds and a Chevalley-type restriction theorem, in: *Integrable systems, geometry, and topology*, 151–190, *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, **36**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [15] E. Heintze, R. S. Palais, C. L. Terng and G. Thorbergsson, *Hyperpolar actions on symmetric spaces, Geometry, topology, & physics*, 214–245, *Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Geom. Topology*, IV, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.

- [16] A. Kubo and H. Tamaru, A sufficient condition for congruency of orbits of Lie groups and some applications, *Geom. Dedicata*, **167** (2013), 233–238.
- [17] F. Podestá, Some remarks on austere submanifolds, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B(7)*, **11** (1997), no. 2, suppl., 157–160.

*Akira Kubo*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Graduate School of Science*  
*Hiroshima University*  
*Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526 JAPAN*  
*E-mail: akira-kubo@hiroshima-u.ac.jp*