1	Opposing genetic polymorphisms of two ABC transporters contribute to the variation of
2	nukacin resistance in Streptococcus mutans
3	
4	Naoki Sadaoka, ^{a,b} Mi Nguyen-Tra Le, ^{a,c} Miki Kawada-Matsuo, ^{a,c} # Sopongselamuny
5	Eng, ^a Takeshi Zendo, ^d Jun Nakanishi, ^b Katsuhiro Takeda, ^b Hideki Shiba, ^b Hitoshi
6	Komatsuzawa ^{a,c} #
7	^a Department of Bacteriology, Hiroshima University Graduate School of Biomedical and
8	Health Sciences, Hiroshima, Japan.
9	^b Department of Biological Endodontics, Graduate School of Biomedical and Health
10	Sciences, Hiroshima University.
11	°Project Research Centre for Oral Infectious Diseases, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima,
12	Japan
13	^d Department of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Graduate School,
14	Kyushu University.
15	
16	Running Head: Variation of nukacin resistance in S. mutans
17	
18	#Address correspondence to Miki Kawada-Matsuo, mmatsuo@hiroshima-u.ac.jp or
19	Hitoshi Komatsuzawa, komatsuz@hiroshima-u.ac.jp
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25 ABSTRACT

We investigated the susceptibility of 127 Streptococcus mutans strains to nukacins 26produced by Staphylococcus spp. and detected diverse susceptibilities. Nineteen strains 27had a disrupted LctF (type I), which is responsible for nukacin susceptibility, whereas the 2829remaining 108 strains had an intact LctF (type II) and displayed resistance to nukacins. 30 However, the type I strains still showed low resistance to nukacins to some extent, indicating that other factors are involved in this resistance. Interestingly, 18/19 (94.7%) 31type I strains carried a *mukA-T* locus, which is related to the synthesis of mutacin K8, and 32mukFEG, an ABC transporter. In contrast, among type II strains, only 6/108 strains 33 (5.6%) had both the mukA-T locus and mukFEG, 19/108 strains carried only mukFEG, 3435 and 83/108 strains (76.9%) harbored neither mukA-T nor mukFEG. We also found that MukF had two variants: 305 amino acids (type α) and 302 amino acids (type β). All type 36 I strains showed a type α MukF (MukF α), whereas most type II strains with *mukFEG* 37 38 (22/25 strains) had a type β MukF (MukF β). To determine the contribution of both types 39 of MukF to nukacin resistance, we constructed a *mukFEG*-deletion mutant complemented with MukFαEG or MukFβEG and found that only MukFαEG was involved in nukacin 40 resistance. The nukacin resistance capability of type II-LctFEG (LctFIIEG) was stronger 41 than that of MukFaEG. In conclusion, we identified a novel nukacin resistance factor, 42MukFEG, and either LctFEG or MukFEG was active in most strains via genetic 4344 polymorphisms depending on *mukA-T* genes.

45

46 **IMPORTANCE**

47 *Streptococcus mutans* is an important pathogenic bacterium for not only dental caries but
48 also systemic diseases. *S. mutans* is known to produce a variety of bacteriocins and retain

resistance to these bacteriocins. In this study, we found that two ABC transporters, 49LctFEG and MukFEG, were associated with nukacin resistance. Each ABC transporter 50has two subtypes: active and inactive. Of the two ABC transporters, only one ABC 51transporter was activated, whereas the other ABC transporter was inactivated by genetic 5253mutation. Interestingly, this phenomenon was defined by the presence or absence of the 54mutacin K8 synthesis gene region, one of the bacteriocins of S. mutans. This finding suggests that the acquisition of resistance factors is tightly controlled in each strain. This 55study provides important evidence showing that the insertion of bacteriocin synthesis 56 genes is involved in the induction of genetic polymorphisms and suggests that bacteriocin 57synthesis genes may play an important role in bacterial evolution. 58

59

60 INTRODUCTION

S. mutans is a known cariogenic bacterium, and one of its pathogenic properties is the ability to form dental plaques (1), which facilitate the occurrence of dental caries and periodontitis (1, 2). The major virulence factors for this bacterium include three glucosyltransferases, which synthesize sticky water-insoluble glucans for dental plaque formation, and organic acids, mainly lactic acid, for the demineralization of teeth. In addition, *S. mutans* produces various bacteriocins to compete with other bacteria in the oral cavity (3–5).

Bacteriocins are antibacterial peptides that are ribosomally produced by bacteria, and studies have identified various bacteriocins, especially those of the genera *Lactococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus* and *Enterococcus* (6–11). Bacteriocins are generally effective against the same bacterial species or closely related species. Therefore, bacteriocins are considered the weapons used by bacteria to maintain and expand their own survival area by excluding other bacteria within a bacterial community (12, 13). Most bacteriocins have a length of 20-70 amino acid residues and show stability to heat and acids (10, 11). There are two types of bacteriocins: posttranslationally modified peptides, which contain unusual amino acids such as lantibiotics, and unmodified peptides. Bacteriocins kill bacteria by physically disrupting the cell membrane or forming channels. Nisin, a type-AI lantibiotic, binds to lipid II on the cell membrane and ultimately disrupts the cell membrane, causing cell death (14, 15).

Nukacins are lantibiotics produced by Staphylococci (16-19) and are categorized as 80 type-AII lantibiotics, which contain one dehydrobutyrin, one 3-methyl lanthionine, and 81 two lanthionine residues (20). Analyses of their mode of action have revealed that their 82 initial target are lipid II, similar to that of nisin, but unlike nisin, nukacins do not exert a 83 pore-forming effect on the cell membrane, resulting in bacteriostatic activity (21). 84 Nukacins are found within the lacticin 481 group, which includes lacticin 481 of L. 85 86 lactis(8), mutacin II(9) and mutacin K8 (22) of S. mutans, salivaricin A2 of S. salivarius(23), and streptococcin A-F22 of S. pyogenes (24) (Fig. S1). Additionally, we 87 previously identified nukacin KSE650 produced by S. epidermidis isolated from the oral 88 89 cavity (19). Therefore, nukacins and closely related bacteriocins are thought to be produced by oral bacteria. We previously demonstrated that the transporter LctFEG is 90 associated with nukacin ISK-1 resistance in the S. mutans UA159 strain (25). The 91 92 expression of *lctFEG* is significantly induced by the addition of nukacin ISK-1. In this study, we investigated the susceptibility of 127 S. mutans strains to nukacins produced by 93 staphylococcal species and found variations in susceptibility. A genomic analysis of the 94127 strains identified MukFEG, a novel factor involved in nukacin resistance. We then 95 investigated the relationship between MukFEG and LctFEG, both of which are involved 96

97 in nukacin resistance.

98

99 **Results**

100

101 Susceptibility of 127 S. mutans strains to nukacins

102

The susceptibility of 126 clinical isolates of *S. mutans* and UA159 to nukacin ISK-1 and nukacin KSE650 was tested (Fig. 1A). In the nukacin ISK-1 susceptibility test, 99/127 strains (78%) showed no inhibition zone, whereas 28/127 strains (22%) exhibited a range of inhibition zones (Fig. 1A, left). In contrast, in the nukacin KSE650 susceptibility test, 121 strains (95.3%) showed no inhibition zone, whereas 6 strains (4.7%) presented a range of inhibition zones (Fig. 1A, right).

109

110 Gene structure of the *lctFEG* and *mukFEG* regions

We previously performed whole-genome sequencing of 126 clinically isolated S. mutans 111 strains (5). Using these 126 S. mutans genomes and the UA159 genome (obtained from 112the NCBI database), we focused on the *lctEFG* genes, which are responsible for nukacin 113 resistance. The results showed that 19 strains carried a disrupted LctF due to introduction 114 of a premature termination codon in *lctF* (Fig. 1B and 2A), whereas the amino acid 115116 sequence of LctEG was intact in all 127 strains. The strains with a disrupted LctF were 117 designated type I (LctFI), whereas the strains with an intact LctF were designated type II (LctFII). The susceptibility of types I and II to nukacin ISK-1 and nukacin KSE650 are 118 shown in Fig. 1C. Against nukacin ISK-1, the type I strains showed higher susceptibility 119 than the type II strains, but the susceptibility varied among the type I strains. No 120

significant difference in the susceptibility to nukacin KSE650 was found between the type 121I and type II strains, and no inhibition zone was detected with all type I (19 strains) and 122most type II strains (102/108 strains). The varied susceptibility of most type I strains 123(17/19 strains) to nukacin ISK-1 suggests that other factors may also be involved. 124Therefore, we focused on another ABC transporter, namely, MukFEG (gene ID, 125126 SMU RS08310-RS08300 in S. mutans UA159 from the NCBI database), which is highly homologous to the ABC transporter ScnFEG (S. pyogenes), a known immunity factor for 127 streptococcin A-FF22 (26, 27) (Fig.S2???). An analysis of the genomic data of the 126 S. 128 mutans strains and the UA159 strain detected a mukFEG locus in all (19/19 strains) type 129I strains and some (25/108 strains) type II strains (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, MukF was 130 131divided into two types, which were designated type α and type β (Fig. 1B and 2A): the sequence of type α is three amino acids longer than that of type β (Fig. 1D), whereas the 132other sequences are similar. 133

134Comparison of the type I and type II strains showed that all type I strains (19 strains) were MukFa, whereas among the type II strains (108 strains), 3 strains were MukFa, 22 135strains were MukFβ, and 83 strains (77%) did not harbor *mutFEG* and were designated 136 type IIy (Fig. 1B and Fig. 2A). In addition, the genetic structure upstream of *mukFEG* of 137 each type (type Iα, IIα, and IIβ) showed variation depending on the structure of mukAl-138 T (Fig. 2A). Most type Ia strains (18/19 srains) carried the mukA1-T locus, while most 139140 type II β strains (19/22 strains) did not harbor this locus. Among the three type II α strains, 141 two strains (KSM125 and 153) had a complete *mukA1-T* locus, and one isolate (KSM55) 142carried an incomplete locus with deletion of *mukA1* and *mukA2*.

143

144 Variation in the susceptibility of 127 S. mutans strains to nukacins

We compared susceptibilities of the four above-mentioned types to nukacin ISK-1 and 145nukacin KSE650. No type IIy strains showed an inhibition zone against nukacin ISK-1 or 146 KSE650 (Fig. 2B), and no type Ia strains presented an inhibition zone against nukacin 147KSE650, whereas the type Ia strains exhibited diverse susceptibilities ranging from low 148 149 (no inhibition zone) to high (15-mm inhibition zone) susceptibility (Fig. 2B). Among the 150three type IIa strains, one strain showed no inhibition zone against nukacin ISK-1, whereas two strains presented no inhibition zone against nukacin KSE650. Among the 22 151type IIB strains, 9 and 5 strains exhibited an inhibition zone against nukacin ISK-1 and 152KSE650, respectively, whereas the remaining strains did not (Fig. 2B). Three type IIB 153strains with the *mukA1-T* region (Fig. 2A) showed varied susceptibility against nukacin 154155KSE650 (2 strains with an inhibition zone) and nukacin ISK-1 (3 strains with an inhibition 156zone).

157

158 Relationship between nukacin susceptibility and *mukF* and *lctF* gene expression

We previously reported that *lctFEG* expression is induced by nukacin ISK-1 (25). Here, 159we investigated the gene expression of *mukF* (type Ia, IIa and IIB) and *lctF* (all types) 160 with or without nukacin ISK-1 in each group by quantitative PCR (Fig. 3 and 4). Based 161 on the results of susceptibility testing, all type I α (19 strains), 17/22 type II β strains, and 162all type IIy (83 strains) strains did not show inhibition zones against nukacin KSE650 163 164 (Fig. 2B). The expression of *mukF* and *lctF* was examined in three representative strains 165of these three groups (Fig. 3). The type Ia strains (KSM8, KSM97, KSM182) significantly induced *mukF* expression, but not *lctF* expression, upon addition of nukacin 166 ISK-1. On the other hand, the type IIB strains (KSM95, KSM110, UA159) significantly 167 induced *lctF* expression, but not *mukF* expression, upon addition of nukacin ISK-1. The 168

amount of *lctF* expression by nukacin ISK-1 observed in the type II β strain was comparable to that seen in the type II γ strain(KSM16, KSM20, KSM25), which does not have the *mukFEG* gene.

Among the 108 type II strains with LctF_{II}, 11 strains (10.2%) (including two type II α 172173strains and nine type IIβ strains) showed an inhibition zone against nukacin ISK-1, and 6 174strains (5.6%) (including one type IIa strain and five type IIB strains) exhibited an inhibition zone against nukacin KSE650. To determine the relationship between 175*lctF/mukF* expression and susceptibility to nukacin, we investigated *lctF* and *mukF* 176 177expression in one type II α strain and 5 type II β strains, which showed an inhibition zone against nukacin KSE650, and in two type IIa strains and one type IIB strain, which 178 179exhibited no inhibitory zone (Fig. 4). The type IIa strain KSM153, which presented no inhibition zone against either nukacin (indicated as (R) in Fig. 4), showed increased 180 expression of *lctF* but not of *mukF*. KSM125 and KSM55, which had an inhibition zone 181 182against nukacin ISK-1, showed *mukFa* expression but not *lctF* expression (Fig. 4, left). Among five type IIB strains (KSM2, KSM60, KSM117, KSM154, and KSM183) with an 183 inhibition zone against nukacin KSE650, increased expression of *lctF* was observed in 184 only two strains (KSM2 and KSM154), but their expression level was significantly lower 185 than that found in KSM110, which showed no inhibition zone. In contrast, three strains 186 (KSM60, KSM117, and KSM183) did not show increased *lctF* expression. In addition, 187 188 increased *mukF* expression was observed only in one strain (KSM2), whereas the other 189 strains, including KSM110, did not show increased expression of *mukF*.

190

191 Association of MukF and LctF types with susceptibility to nukacins

192 Because two types of MukF (type α and type β) were found among the MukFEG-

193 harboring strains, we investigated the contribution of MukF variation to nukacin

194 susceptibility (Fig. 5). We constructed *mukF* α *EG*-deletion mutants (Δ *mukFEG*) of

- 195 KSM182 (type I α) and two types of *mukFEG* (*mukF* α from one type α isolate
- 196 [KSM182] and *mukF* β from one type β isolate [UA159])-complemented strains
- 197 ($\Delta mukFEG::mukF\alpha EG$ or $\Delta mukFEG::mukF\beta EG$). The $\Delta mukFEG$ strain showed
- 198 increased susceptibility to nukacin ISK-1, and $\Delta mukFEG::mukF\alpha EG$ exhibited reduced

susceptibility to nukacin ISK-1, whereas $\Delta mukFEG::mukF\beta EG$ showed no change in

- susceptibility. Introduction of *lctFIIEG* into the $\Delta mukFEG$ of KSM182 reduced
- 201 susceptibility.

202

203 Discussion

In this study, we observed a phenomenon in which conflicting genetic polymorphisms 204 contribute to the mechanism underlying the nukacin resistance phenotype of S. mutans. 205206 Diversity in nukacin susceptibility was observed among 127 S. mutans strains. This diversity was apparently caused by the presence of conflicting combinations of amino 207 acid sequence patterns in the previously reported nukacin resistance factor LctF and the 208 newly identified resistance factor MukF in the genome. Nukacins are lantibiotics 209 categorized as type-AII lantibiotics. We previously identified nukacin KSE650 of S. 210epidermidis isolated from the oral cavity (19). Because S. epidermidis and S. warneri are 211212commensal bacteria in the skin and nasal cavity, these bacteria have also been isolated 213from the oral cavity (28, 29). Additionally, nukacins belong to the lacticin 481 group, which includes lacticin 481 produced by L. lactis, mutacin II and mutacin K8 (22) 214produced by S. mutans, salivaricin A2 produced by S. salivarius (23) and streptococcin 215A-F22 produced by S. pyogenes (24) (Fig. S1). Therefore, S. mutans may come into 216

217 contact with nukacins and nukacin-like bacteriocins in the oral cavity.

Among 127 S. mutans strains, 44 (35%) had a mukFEG region with two types of amino 218acid patterns of MukF, type α and type β : three amino acids found in type α are absent in 219the 243-245 region (EYG) of type β. Interestingly, all 22 strains with MukFβ showed 220 LctF type II. In contrast, 19 (86%) of the 22 strains with MukFa showed LctF type I. In 221222 addition, 21 (87.5%) of the 24 strains with the *mukA-T* region were of the MukF α type, whereas 19 (95.0%) of the 20 strains without the *mukA-T* region were classified into the 223MukF^β type. These results suggest that the *mukA-T* region may be a key factor defining 224the diversity of MukF and LctF. The *mukA-T* region is a gene cluster associated with the 225synthesis of mutacin K8 (22), a lantibiotic of the same type as nukacin (30), although we 226227 found that the 23 strains with the *mukA-T* region did not exhibit mutacin K8 expression under our conditions (Fig. S3). Therefore, whether mutacin K8 itself is involved in the 228diversity of MukF and LctF remains unknown. The results presented in Fig. 5 show that 229230the MukFα type, which has three additional amino acids, is responsible for resistance to 231nukacins, whereas the MukF β type has no effect on resistance. Therefore, the factors responsible for resistance to nukacins are LctFIIEG and MukFaEG. The comparison of 232nukacin ISK-1 susceptibility between the type I α (MukF α EG and LctFIEG) and type II γ 233(LctFIIEG, no MukFEG) strains (Fig. 2B) revealed that all type IIy strains showed 234 resistance without forming an inhibition zone, whereas many type Ia strains presented an 235236inhibition zone. However, the results of the nukacin KSE650 susceptibility test showed 237 that all type Ia strains did not form an inhibition zone, although the amino acids of nukacin ISK-1 and nukacin KSE650 were quite similar (Fig. S1). In addition, when 238purified nukacins were used for the evaluation of MIC values against S. mutans UA159, 239we found no difference in the MIC values between the two nukacins (Table S1). The 240

direct assay results for S. warneri ISK-1 (nukacin ISK-1) and S. epidermidis KSE650 241(nukacin KSE650) using *M. luteus* as an indicator showed that the inhibition zone of *S.* 242warneri was larger than that of S. epidermidis KSE650 (Table S1). These results suggest 243that S. warneri ISK-1 produces greater amounts of nukacin than S. epidermidis KSE650. 244 245Based on these findings, it can be hypothesized that MukF α EG contributes to sufficient 246resistance to low concentrations of nukacin but it may not fully contribute to sufficient resistance to high nukacin concentrations. Furthermore, LctFIIEG acts more strongly as 247a resistance factor against nukacins than MukF α EG, which could be inferred from the 248results of complementation experiments (Fig. 5). 249

Among the strains harboring *lctF*IIEG, some were less resistant to nukacins. Because 250251the induction of *lctF* and *mukF* expression by nukacin ISK-1 in these strains was low (Fig. 4), we inferred an association between nukacin susceptibility and gene expression 252level in these strains. For example, among the two type IIa strains harboring *lctF*II and 253254 $mukF\alpha$, one strain (KSM153) was resistant to nukacins, whereas the KSM125 and the 255KSM55 exhibited a reduced degree of resistance compared with strain KSM153. Gene expression analysis showed that the susceptible strains (KSM125 and KSM55) showed 256no induction of *lctF* but did show induction of *mukF*, whereas the resistant strain 257KSM153 exhibited induction of *lctF* but not of *mukF* (Fig. 4, left). We speculated that 258the observed differences in expression could be due to differences in the promoter 259260activity of each gene and the involvement of transcriptional regulatory factors. 261However, because no differences in the sequences of the *lctF* promoter regions of 262 KSM125 and KSM153 were found, the differences in expression could have been caused by other unknown factors, such as regulatory factors. A similar tendency was 263observed for type IIβ (Fig. 4, right). 264

The results obtained in the present study speculate that the insertion of a bacteriocin 265production-related gene leads to a high frequency of modification in existing immunity 266 factors. In a previous study, we showed that the presence of gene variants of the ABC 267 transporter MutFEG and its neighboring regions in S. mutans defined its individual 268responsiveness to mutacin I (4), III (31), and IIIb (known as mutacin B-Ny226) (32), 269 270which are S. mutans-derived bacteriocins belonging to the group of type-AI lantibiotics (33). In this study, we found variation between two ABC transporters, LctF and MukF, 271depending on the presence or absence of the *mukA-T* region involved in mutacin K8 272 synthesis. Mutacin K8 is a bacteriocin belonging to the same class (type-AII lantibiotics) 273as nukacins and has a similar structure, as shown in Fig. S1. However, our genetic analysis 274275showed that only 23 out of the 127 strains had *mukA-T* regions associated with mutacin K8 synthesis and that strains with *mutA-T* regions tended to function via MukFEG, 276suggesting the existence of an immune response against mutacin K8, and those without 277 278*mutA-T* regions tended to function via LctFEG. Based on these findings, we proposed the 279occurrence of a polymorphism between the two ABC transporters, LctFEG and MukFEG, with or without the *muk* region. In the presence of two factors responsible for the 280resistance function, 'switching' is thought to occur, and in this process, gene insertion 281results in genetic modification of the unwanted ABC transporter, which would allow only 282the more effective factor to function in resistance. The studies suggest that bacteriocin 283284synthesis genes play a central role in this switching function. However, the mechanism 285of this gene switching is unknown, and this study suggests the existence of a novel mechanism of gene mutation that allows bacteria to acquire bacteriocin resistance 286 mechanisms. The results of this study are important for understanding the evolution of 287 bacteria through gene acquisition. 288

9	Q	0
	0	J

- 290 Materials and Methods
- 291

292 Bacterial strains

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. *S. mutans* UA159 ((34) and l26 clinical strains (5) of nukacin KSE650/ISK-1 producing *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (19, 25) were used. *S. mutans* strains were grown in trypticase soy broth (TSB) (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) at 37°C under 5% CO₂. *Staphylococci* were grown in TSB at 37°C under aerobic conditions. When necessary,

erythromycin (10 mg/ml) or spectinomycin (500 mg/ml) was added to the medium.

299

300 Genome analysis

- 301 The whole-genome data of 126 clinically isolated *S. mutans* strains were obtained
- 302 previously (5). The S. mutans UA159 genome sequence was obtained from the NCBI
- database (NC_004350.2). The amino acid sequences of each ORF from the *S. mutans*
- 304 genomes were extracted and compared using BLAST
- 305 (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).
- 306 The genomic data from 127 *S. mutans* strains were analyzed using SnapGene v5.3.2 (GSL
- 307 Biotech LLC), and the amino acid sequence identity was verified using NCBI BLAST.
- 308

309 **Construction of gene knockout and complement strains**

The methods used for gene deletion and complementation in *S. mutans* are described elsewhere (35). Briefly, the erythromycin resistance gene (Em^r) or the spectinomycin gene without a terminator (Spc^r) was amplified by PCR from pAMβ1 (36) or pDL55 (37), respectively. Approximately 500 bp of the 5' and 3' flanking regions of the target gene was amplified by PCR from the chromosomal DNA of the respective strain. The PCR amplicons were manipulated with complementary sequences for cloning at both ends of the Em^r or Spc^r gene, thus creating a gene cassette consisting of antibiotic resistance genes flanked by upstream and downstream sequences of the target gene. The PCR amplicons were transformed into *S. mutans*, and the corresponding deletion mutants were constructed and selected based on erythromycin or spectinomycin resistance.

320 For genetic complementation, we constructed a DNA fragment to insert Em^r and the target gene into the *ftf* gene, which encodes fructosyltransferase. A gene cassette 321 containing four regions, the upstream region of the *ftf* gene (ftf-UP), the Em^r gene, the 322323 coding region of the target gene without its putative promoter region and the upstream region of the *ftf* gene (ftf-DW), was constructed. The target genes ftf-UP, ftf-DW, and Em^r 324 were amplified using specific primers containing complementary sequences. Then, 325 326 overlap extension PCR was performed, and the complementary sequences facilitated amplicon assembly and ligation. The entire cassette [fttUP-Em^r-target gene-ftfDW] was 327 then transformed into a deletion mutant to generate a complementary strain via 328 erythromycin and spectinomycin resistance selection. The primers used are shown in 329 Table S2. 330

331

332 Susceptibility tests

To assess the antimicrobial activity of bacteriocins, two methods, MIC determination and direct assay, were used as previously reported (5). In the direct assay, overnight cultures of each bacteriocin-producing strain were spotted on TSA plates and incubated for 24 h at 37°C with (*S. mutans*) or without (*Staphylococci*) 5% CO₂. After confirming that the

diameter of the growth zone of the bacteriocin-producing strain was uniformly 5 mm, 5 337 ml of prewarmed TSA soft agar (1%) containing indicator bacteria (10⁷ cells/ml) was 338 poured onto the TSA plate and incubated at 37°C for 16 h under appropriate conditions. 339 The diameter of the growth inhibition zone surrounding the bacteriocin-producing strains 340 was measured in two directions. Because the colony size was 5 mm, the value obtained 341 by subtracting 5 mm from the actual value was evaluated as the antimicrobial activity 342(range of inhibition zone). Three independent experiments were performed, and the 343 average value (mm) was calculated. 344

In the MIC evaluation, the concentration of purified nukacin ISK-1/KSE650 was adjusted to 0.5 mg/ml in TSB. The bacteriocin solution was subjected to 2-fold serial dilutions (2-fold to 128-fold dilution), and bacterial cells (10^5 cells/ 100μ l) were then inoculated into each well. After incubation at 37° C for 24 h, the MIC value was determined.

350

351 **Quantitative PCR analysis**

Quantitative PCR was performed to assess the expression of *lctF* and *mukF*. cDNA 352generation from extracted RNA was performed according to previously published 353 methods (38). A small portion of the overnight culture (10^8 cells) was inoculated into 5 354ml of fresh TSB and grown at 37°C with 5% CO₂. When the optical density at 660 nm 355reached 0.5, nukacin ISK-1 at 1/8 MIC was added to the culture. After incubation for 15 356 min, bacterial cells were collected. The collected bacterial cells were subjected to RNA 357 extraction followed by cDNA synthesis and quantitative PCR according to the 358 manufacturer's protocol as described elsewhere (38). RNA extraction was performed 359 using the FastRNA Pro Blue Kit (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH, USA), and cDNA 360

361 synthesis was performed using the First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche, Tokyo,
362 Japan). Quantitative PCR was performed using FastStart Essential DNA Green Master
363 Mix and a LightCycler 96 instrument (Roche, Tokyo, Japan). The primer sequences are
364 shown in Table S1.

365

366 **Purification of nukacin ISK-1 and KSE650**

The purification of nukacin ISK-1 and nukacin KSE650 from supernatants of S. warneri 367 ISK-1 and S. epidermidis KSE650 cultures, respectively, was performed according to 368 previously published methods (19). Briefly, overnight cultures (500 ml) of S. warneri 369 ISK-1 were centrifuged at 4,000 x g for 15 min. Macro-Prep cationic resin (1.5 ml) (Bio-370 371Rad, USA) was added to the supernatant and stirred for 12 h at 4°C. The resin was then collected into an open column and washed three times with 10 ml of 25 mM ammonium 372acetate (pH 7.5). To elute the bacteriocin, the resin was treated with 500 µl of 5% acetic 373 374acid. This elution was repeated 10 times. After each fraction was evaporated completely, 375the samples were dissolved in 50 µl of distilled water. Each solution was tested for antibacterial activity against *Micrococcus luteus*. Overnight cultures of *M. luteus* (100 µl) 376 were inoculated on TSA plates. Then, 5 µl of each solution was spotted on TSA. Samples 377 with antibacterial activity were subjected to HPLC using a C18 column, and a linear 378 gradient from 0 to 60% acetonitrile was then used for 30 min. Each peak was fractionated, 379 380 and the samples were evaporated and then dissolved in 50 µl of distilled water. 381 Subsequently, the antibacterial activity of each fraction was tested using the abovedescribed method. 382

383

384 Statistical analysis

Student's t test (for comparisons of susceptibility, Fig. 1) and one-way ANOVA (for 385 comparison of the susceptibility test results (Figs. 2B and 5) and for comparisons of gene 386 expression (Figs. 3 and 4)) were performed using GraphPad Prism version 10.1.0 387 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). 388 389 390 **References.** 391 1. Loesche WJ. 1986. Role of Streptococcus mutans in human dental decay. Microbiol 392 393 Rev 50:353–80. 2. Bowen WH, Koo H. 2011. Biology of *Streptococcus mutans*-derived 394 395 glucosyltransferases: role in extracellular matrix formation of cariogenic biofilms. Caries Res 45:69-86. 396 3. Qi F, Chen P, Caufield PW. 2001. The group I strain of *Streptococcus mutans*, 397 398 UA140, produces both the lantibiotic mutacin I and a nonlantibiotic bacteriocin, 399 mutacin IV. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:15–21. 400 4. Qi F, Chen P, Caufield PW. 2000. Purification and biochemical characterization of mutacin I from the group I strain of Streptococcus mutans, CH43, and genetic 401 analysis of mutacin I biosynthesis genes. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:3221-9. 402 5. Watanabe A, Kawada-Matsuo M, Le MN-T, Hisatsune J, Oogai Y, Nakano Y, 403 404 Nakata M, Miyawaki S, Sugai M, Komatsuzawa H. 2021. Comprehensive analysis 405 of bacteriocins in Streptococcus mutans. Sci Rep 11:12963. 406 6. Hernández-González JC, Martínez-Tapia A, Lazcano-Hernández G, García-Pérez BE, Castrejón-Jiménez NS. 2021. Bacteriocins from Lactic Acid Bacteria. A 407 408 Powerful Alternative as Antimicrobials, Probiotics, and Immunomodulators in

- 409 Veterinary Medicine. Animals (Basel) 11.
- 410 7. Terzić-Vidojević A, Veljović K, Tolinački M, Živković M, Lukić J, Lozo J, Fira Đ,
- 411 Jovčić B, Strahinić I, Begović J, Popović N, Miljković M, Kojić M, Topisirović L,
- 412 Golić N. 2020. Diversity of non-starter lactic acid bacteria in autochthonous dairy
- 413 products from Western Balkan Countries Technological and probiotic properties.
- 414 Food Res Int 136:109494.
- 8. Bravo D, Rodríguez E, Medina M. 2009. Nisin and lacticin 481 coproduction by
- 416 *Lactococcus lactis* strains isolated from raw ewes' milk. J Dairy Sci 92:4805–11.
- 417 9. Biswas S. 2023. Synthesis of a Novel Lantibiotic Using Mutacin II Biosynthesis
 418 Apparatus. Microbiol Spectr 11:e0303022.
- 419 10. Cotter PD, Hill C, Ross RP. 2005. Bacteriocins: developing innate immunity for
 420 food. Nat Rev Microbiol 3:777–88.
- 11. Cotter PD, Ross RP, Hill C. 2013. Bacteriocins a viable alternative to antibiotics?
 Nat Rev Microbiol 11:95–105.
- 423 12. Zheng J, Gänzle MG, Lin XB, Ruan L, Sun M. 2015. Diversity and dynamics of
- 424 bacteriocins from human microbiome. Environ Microbiol 17:2133–43.
- 13. Heilbronner S, Krismer B, Brötz-Oesterhelt H, Peschel A. 2021. The microbiome-
- 426 shaping roles of bacteriocins. Nat Rev Microbiol 19:726–739.
- 427 14. Lubelski J, Rink R, Khusainov R, Moll GN, Kuipers OP. 2008. Biosynthesis,
- immunity, regulation, mode of action and engineering of the model lantibiotic nisin.
- 429 Cell Mol Life Sci 65:455–76.
- 430 15. Islam MR, Nagao J-I, Zendo T, Sonomoto K. 2012. Antimicrobial mechanism of
- 431 lantibiotics. Biochem Soc Trans 40:1528–33.
- 432 16. Janek D, Zipperer A, Kulik A, Krismer B, Peschel A. 2016. High Frequency and

- Diversity of Antimicrobial Activities Produced by Nasal *Staphylococcus* Strains
 against Bacterial Competitors. PLoS Pathog 12:e1005812.
- 435 17. Wilaipun P, Zendo T, Okuda K, Nakayama J, Sonomoto K. 2008. Identification of
- 436 the nukacin KQU-131, a new type-A(II) lantibiotic produced by *Staphylococcus*
- 437 *hominis* KQU-131 isolated from Thai fermented fish product (Pla-ra). Biosci
- 438 Biotechnol Biochem 72:2232–5.
- 439 18. Nagao J-I, Harada Y, Shioya K, Aso Y, Zendo T, Nakayama J, Sonomoto K. 2005.
- 440 Lanthionine introduction into nukacin ISK-1 prepeptide by co-expression with
- 441 modification enzyme NukM in Escherichia coli. Biochem Biophys Res Commun
- 442 **336:507–13**.
- 443 19. Nakazono K, Le MN-T, Kawada-Matsuo M, Kimheang N, Hisatsune J, Oogai Y,
- 444 Nakata M, Nakamura N, Sugai M, Komatsuzawa H. 2022. Complete sequences of
- 445 epidermin and nukacin encoding plasmids from oral-derived *Staphylococcus*

446 *epidermidis* and their antibacterial activity. PLoS One 17:e0258283.

- 447 20. Kimura H, Matsusaki H, Sashihara T, Sonomoto K, Ishizaki A. 1998. Purification
- and Partial Identification of Bacteriocin ISK-1, a New Lantibiotic Produced by
- 449 Pediococcus sp. ISK-1. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 62:2341–5.
- 450 21. Asaduzzaman SM, Nagao J, Iida H, Zendo T, Nakayama J, Sonomoto K. 2009.
- 451 Nukacin ISK-1, a bacteriostatic lantibiotic. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:3595–
 452 8.
- 453 22. Robson CL, Wescombe PA, Klesse NA, Tagg JR. 2007. Isolation and partial
- 454 characterization of the *Streptococcus mutans* type AII lantibiotic mutacin K8.
- 455 Microbiology (Reading) 153:1631–1641.
- 456 23. Wescombe PA, Upton M, Dierksen KP, Ragland NL, Sivabalan S, Wirawan RE,

- 457 Inglis MA, Moore CJ, Walker G V, Chilcott CN, Jenkinson HF, Tagg JR. 2006.
- 458 Production of the lantibiotic salivaricin A and its variants by oral streptococci and
- 459 use of a specific induction assay to detect their presence in human saliva. Appl
- 460 Environ Microbiol 72:1459–66.
- 461 24. Hynes WL, Ferretti JJ, Tagg JR. 1993. Cloning of the gene encoding Streptococcin
- 462 A-FF22, a novel lantibiotic produced by *Streptococcus pyogenes*, and determination
- 463 of its nucleotide sequence. Appl Environ Microbiol 59:1969–71.
- 464 25. Kawada-Matsuo M, Oogai Y, Zendo T, Nagao J, Shibata Y, Yamashita Y, Ogura Y,
- 465 Hayashi T, Sonomoto K, Komatsuzawa H. 2013. Involvement of the novel two-
- 466 component NsrRS and LcrRS systems in distinct resistance pathways against nisin
- 467 A and nukacin ISK-1 in *Streptococcus mutans*. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:4751–5.
- 468 26. Jack RW, Carne A, Metzger J, Stefanović S, Sahl HG, Jung G, Tagg J. 1994.
- 469 Elucidation of the structure of SA-FF22, a lanthionine-containing antibacterial
- 470 peptide produced by *Streptococcus pyogenes* strain FF22. Eur J Biochem 220:455–
- 471 62.
- 472 27. McLaughlin RE, Ferretti JJ, Hynes WL. 1999. Nucleotide sequence of the
- 473 streptococcin A-FF22 lantibiotic regulon: model for production of the lantibiotic
- 474 SA-FF22 by strains of *Streptococcus pyogenes*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 175:171–7.
- 475 28. Garbacz K, Wierzbowska M, Kwapisz E, Kosecka-Strojek M, Bronk M, Saki M,
- 476 Międzobrodzki J. 2021. Distribution and antibiotic-resistance of different
- 477 *Staphylococcus* species identified by matrix assisted laser desorption ionization-time
- 478 of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) isolated from the oral cavity. J Oral
- 479 Microbiol 13:1983322.
- 480 29. Hirose M, Aung MS, Fukuda A, Murata Y, Saitoh M, Kobayashi N. 2019.

- 481 Prevalence and Genetic Characteristics of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus*
- 482 *aureus* and Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci Isolated from Oral Cavity of Healthy
- 483 Children in Japan. Microb Drug Resist 25:400–407.
- 484 30. Bierbaum G, Sahl H-G. 2009. Lantibiotics: mode of action, biosynthesis and
- 485 bioengineering. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 10:2–18.
- 486 31. Qi F, Chen P, Caufield PW. 1999. Purification of mutacin III from group III
- 487 Streptococcus mutans UA787 and genetic analyses of mutacin III biosynthesis
- 488 genes. Appl Environ Microbiol 65:3880–7.
- 489 32. Mota-Meira M, Lacroix C, LaPointe G, Lavoie MC. 1997. Purification and structure
- 490 of mutacin B-Ny266: a new lantibiotic produced by *Streptococcus mutans*. FEBS
- 491 Lett 410:275–9.
- 492 33. Le MN-T, Kawada-Matsuo M, Komatsuzawa H. 2022. Gene Rearrangement and
- 493 Modification of Immunity Factors Are Correlated with the Insertion of Bacteriocin

494 Cassettes in *Streptococcus mutans*. Microbiol Spectr 10:e0180621.

- 495 34. Murchison HH, Barrett JF, Cardineau GA, Curtiss R. 1986. Transformation of
- 496 *Streptococcus mutans* with chromosomal and shuttle plasmid (pYA629) DNAs.
- 497 Infect Immun 54:273–82.
- 498 35. Fujishima K, Kawada-Matsuo M, Oogai Y, Tokuda M, Torii M, Komatsuzawa H.
- 499 2013. *dpr* and *sod* in *Streptococcus mutans* are involved in coexistence with S.
- 500 sanguinis, and PerR is associated with resistance to H2O2. Appl Environ Microbiol501 70.1426 42
- 501 79:1436–43.
- 50236. Gibson EM, Chace NM, London SB, London J. 1979. Transfer of plasmid-mediated
- antibiotic resistance from streptococci to lactobacilli. J Bacteriol 137:614–9.
- 504 37. LeBlanc DJ, Lee LN, Inamine JM. 1991. Cloning and nucleotide base sequence

- analysis of a spectinomycin adenyltransferase AAD(9) determinant from
- 506 *Enterococcus faecalis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 35:1804–10.
- 507 38. Arii K, Kawada-Matsuo M, Oogai Y, Noguchi K, Komatsuzawa H. 2019. Single
- 508 mutations in BraRS confer high resistance against nisin A in *Staphylococcus aureus*.
- 509 Microbiologyopen 8:e791.

511 Acknowledgements

- 512 This study was supported in part by Grant in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (Grant No.
- 513 21K09858) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Sciences and Technology
- of Japan.
- 515

516 Author contributions

- 517 N. S., M. N. L., M. KM., T. Z., N. J., and H. K. performed the majority of the
- 518 experiments and participated in interpreting the data and writing the manuscript.
- 519 N. S., M. KM., T. Z., and S. E performed the purification nukacin.
- 520 N. S., and S. E performed the direct assay and MIC.
- 521 N. S. and M. KM. performed a construction of deletion mutants and complement
- 522 mutants. M.N.L. and M. KM. analyzed genome data.
- 523 All authors read and approved the manuscript.
- 524

525 **Competing interests.**

- 526 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 527 Additional informat
- 528 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M. KM.

530 Figure legends.

Fig. 1. Variation in nukacin susceptibility among 126 S. mutans clinical strains and
UA159.

- 533 (A) Susceptibility against S. warneri ISK-1 (Sw ISK-1) and S. epidermidis KSE650 (Se
- KSE650). The number in brackets represents the number of resistant strains (no inhibitionzone).
- 536 (B) Classification of 127 S. mutans strains by variation in LctF and MukF. Type I,
- 537 truncated LctF (LctFI); type II, intact LctF (LctFII); type α, 301AA (MukFα); type β,
- 538 298AA (MukF β); type γ , no MukF.
- 539 (C) Comparison of susceptibility against S. warneri ISK-1 and S. epidermidis KSE650
- 540 between type I (19) and type II (108) strains.
- 541 The number in brackets represents the number of resistant strains (no inhibition zone). *,
- 542 p < 0.0001 (Student's t test)
- 543 (D) Alignment of MukF between UA159 (type β) and KSM182 (type α). *, site with 544 amino acid deficiency in the UA159 strain.
- 545

546 Fig. 2. ORF map of *mukFEG* and *lctFEG* loci and susceptibility to nukacin ISK-1

547 (*Sw* ISK-1) and nukacin KSE650 (*Se* KSE650) in each group.

- 548 (A) ORF map of *mukFEG* and *lctFEG* in representative strains of each type (left and
- 549 middle) and number of resistant strains (no inhibition zone) of each type obtained by the
- 550 direct method (right). (B) Distribution of zones of inhibition obtained with all strains of
- 551 each type by the direct method.
- 552 Sw ISK-1, susceptibility against Staphylococcus warneri ISK-1; Se KSE650,

555 Fig. 3. *lctF* and *mukF* expression among types Iα, IIβ, and IIγ under nukacin ISK-I

- 556 induction.
- 557 Gene expression of the ABC transporters *mukF* (upper) and *lctF* (lower) with and without
- nukacin ISK-1 induction in representative type I α , II β , and II γ strains which showed no
- inhibition zone against nukacin KSE650.

560 *,
$$p < 0.05$$
; **, $p < 0.01$ (Student's *t* test)

561

562 Fig. 4. Comparison of *lctF* and *mukF* expression among strains of the same type.

mukF and *lctF* gene expression with and without nukacin ISK-1 was investigated in all type II α strains (3 strains) and type II β (5 strains with the inhibiton zone, 1 strain with no inhibition zone against nukacin KSE650) strains. S and R represent susceptible (inhibition zone observed) and resistant (no inhibition zone), respectively.

567 *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.005; ***, p < 0.0005; ****, p < 0.0001 (one-way ANOVA followed
568 by Tukey's post hoc multiple comparison test.)

569

Fig. 5. Susceptibility of *S. mutans* wild types and their mutants against *S. warneri*

- 571 **ISK-1.**
- 572 Direct assay was performed using host strain, *S. warneri* ISK-1.

573 *, p < 0.01; **, p < 0.001 (One-way ANOVA for comparison between group by Dunnett's

- 574 post hoc multiple comparison test.)
- 575
- 576

- 577 Supplemental figure legends.
- 578

579 Fig. S1. Similarities of type-AII lantibiotics.

- 580 Alignment of lacticin 481 group lantibiotics. Red arrow, consensus AA. (B) Structures of
- nukacin ISK-1, nukacin KSE650, mutacin K8, salivaricinA2, mutacin II, and lacticin 481.
- 582 Red, amino acids different from those of nukacin ISK-1; gray, no amino acids from
- 583 Nukacin ISK-1; blue and green, unusual amino acid.
- 584

585 Fig. S2. Amino acid sequence alignment among MukF and LctF.

- 586 1, Streptococcus mutans; 2, Streptococcus pyogenes; 3, Lactococcus lactis.
- 587
- 588 Fig. S3. Gene expression of *mukA*1 in type Iα strains.
- 589 The gene expression of *mukA1*, which encodes mutacin K8, was investigated in type Ia
- 590 strains.





101 QKMLSFDKNNIWPTLELVGLADEKNTKKLVKAYSLGMKQRLALAFALVKRPKILLLDEPTNGLDPAGIHEIRELIVTLAKEKGLTVFISSHILSEIEHIA 101 QKMLSFDKNNIWPTLELVGLADEKNTKKLVKAYSLGMKQRLALAFALVKRPKILLLDEPTNGLDPAGIHEIRELIVTLAKEKGLTVFISSHILSEIEHIA KSM182-Mukα. UA159-MukFβ

201 DrVGIINHGRLVYEGAIEAIQSNAWIEIGGDFSTGDITTALN---EYGLVRVLDIAANKLTLGDFSNNDLADFVTYLVEKGFRIFRVVRETETLEDIFLN KSM182-Mukfα 201 DRVGIINHGRLVYEGAIEAIQSNAWIEIGGDFSTGDITTALNEYGEVGLVRVLDIAANKLTLGDFSNNDLADFVTYLVEKGFRIFRVVRETETLEDIFLN UA159-MukFβ

UA159-MukFβ 298 LTTEV KSM182-MukFα 301 LTTEV

Fig. 1. Susceptibility variation of 126 S. mutans clinical isolated strains and UA159 against nukacins.

301AA (MukFB); type Y, no MukF. (C) Comparison of susceptibility against S. warneri ISK-1 and S. epidermidis KSE650 between Type I (19 isolates) and Type II (A) Susceptibility against S. warneri ISK-1 (Sw ISK-1) and S. epidermidis KSE650 (Se KSE650). Score represents the number of strains without halo. (B) Classification of S. mutans 127 strains by variation of LctF and MukF. Type I, truncated LctF (LctFI); type II, intact LctF (LctFII): Type a, 298AA (MukFa); Type β , (108 isolates). Score represents the number of strains without halo. *, p < 0.0001 (student's t-test)

(D) Alignment of MukF between UA159 (Type β) and KSM182 (Type α). *, deficient site of amino acid in UA159 strain.



(A) ORF map of mukFEG and IctFEG in representative strains of each type (left and middle) and the averages of nukacins susceptibility for individual type by direct Fig. 2. ORF map of mukFEG loci and IctFEG loci and the susceptibility to nukacin ISK-1(Sw ISK-1) and nukacin KSE650(Se KSE650) in each group. method (right).

(B) Type-specific susceptibility in all strains by direct method for each type.

Sw ISK-1, indicator as Staphylococcus warneri ISK-1; Se KSE650, indicator as Staphylococcus epidermidis KSE650.



Gene expression of the ABC transporters mukF (upper) and IctF (lower) with and without nukacin ISK-1 in representative strains for Fig. 3. Gene expression among representative type Iα, IIβ and Ilγ strains with no inhibition zone against nukacin KSE650. each type.

*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.005; ***, p < 0.0005; ****, p < 0.0001 (One-way ANOVA for comparison between group by Tukey's post hoc multiple comparison test)



Fig. 4. Comparison of *lctF* and *mukF* expression among strains of same type.

mukF (upper) and IctF (lower) gene expression with and without nukacin ISK-1 were investigated in Type IIa (left) and Type IIβ (right). S and R represent susceptible and resistant, respectively.

*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.005; ***, p < 0.0005; ****, p < 0.0001 (One-way ANOVA for comparison between group by Tukey's post hoc multiple comparison test.)



Fig. 5. Susceptibility of S. mutans wild types and their mutants against S. warneri ISK-1.

Direct assay was performed using host strain, S. *warneri* ISK-1. *, *p* < 0.05 ; **, *p* < 0.005; ***, *p* < 0.001 (One-way ANOVA for comparison between group by Dunnett's post hoc multiple comparison test.)

Strains	Character	origin
Staphylococcus epidermidis KSE650	Wild type, nukacin KSE650 production	19
Staphylococcus warneri ISK-I	Wild type, nukacin ISK-1 production	21
Streptococcus mutans	Clinical isolate (KSM strains)	5
Streptococcus mutans UA159	Wild type	33
Streptococcus mutans KSM182	Wild type	5
DictFEG	∆ <i>lctFEG</i> inactivation, Em ^r	This study
∆mukFEG	∆ <i>mukFEG</i> inactivation, Em ^r	This study
ΔlctFEG	Δ <i>lctFEG</i> inactivation, Spc ^r	This study
∆ <i>mukFEG</i>	Δ <i>mukFEG</i> inactivation, Spc ^r	This study
∆mukFEG::lctFIIEG	Complementation, Em ^r and Spc ^r	This study
$\Delta mukFEG::mukFaEG$	Complementation, Emr and Spcr	This study
∆mukFEG∷mukFβEG	Complementation, Em ^r and Spc ^r	This study

Table1. Strains used in this study.

Em^r, Erythromycin resistance Spc^r, Spectinomycin resistance



Fig. S1. Similarities of Type-All lantibiotics. Alignment of Lacticin481 group lantibiotics. Red arrow, consensus AA

58 58 60	116 71 116 120	176 129 176 178	234 185 234 232	294 240 291	is
XXXXEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	* : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	:*.* :::: ****.************************	<pre>* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *</pre>	 	**:*.: EXXFXXXXXXX EXXFXXXXXXX EXTUNT EXXF EXTENTENCE 305 EVELON EVELON 305 EVELON 205 EVELON 20
consensus MukF-KSM182 ¹ Letf-UJ159 ¹ MukF-S.py ² Letf-L.lactis ³	consensus MukF-KSM182 LetF-LA159 MukF-S. py LetF-L.lactis	consensus MukF-KSM182 Leff-UA159 MukF-S.py Leff-L.lactis	CONSENSUS MukF-KSM182 LctF-JJA159 MukF-S.py LefF-Liacits	consensus MukF-KSM182 LcfF-UA159 MukF-S.py LcfF-LJacts	consensus MukF-KSM182 Letf-JJA159 MukF-S.py Letf-L.lacits Fig. 5 1, Str



Fig. S5. Gene expression of *mukA1* **in Type lα strains** Gene expression of *mukA1* encoding mutacin K8 was investigated in Type lα strains.

		Direct assay ²
		(mm)
Sw ISK-1	64	16.7 ± 0.5
Se KSE650	64	21.3 ± 0.6

Table. S1. Activity assessment between nukacin ISK-1 and nukacin KSE650 against S. mutans UA159.

Purified nukacin ISK-1 (Sw ISK-1) and nukacin KSE650 (Se KSE650) were utilized .

2. Direct assay of Sw ISK-1 and Se KSE650 against Micrococcus luteus.

Reference

H. 2013. Involvement of the novel two-component NsrRS and LcrRS systems in distinct resistance pathways against nisin A 1. Kawada-Matsuo M, Oogai Y, Zendo T, Nagao J, Shibata Y, Yamashita Y, Ogura Y, Hayashi T, Sonomoto K, Komatsuzawa and nukacin ISK-1 in Streptococcus mutans. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:4751-5.

Table S2. primers used in this study			
target gene ID	primer-forward	primer-reverse	reference
Constructi	on of gene-deletion mutants		
letFEG-UP	5'-aaaagcttcactcacaacttgatatc-3'	5'-cagtcgaggattagccgtgcttttgcca-3'	-
IctFEG-DW	5'-gctgacctagtttagcagtcagtcagctt-3'	5'-aagcagcagatcgta-3'	-
<i>mukFEG</i> (for UA159)-UP	5'-tagaaggtctttgaaagtc-3'	5'-cagtcgaggatgttttcactcctttggtta-3'	This study
mukFEG (for UA159)-DW	5'-gctgacctagtagaatcaaagattagaaaattc-3'	5'-tacttactcccaaaatacat-3'	This study
mukFEG (for KSM182)-UP	5'-attatttgagtgtggtgct-3'	5'-cagtcgaggatgttttcactcctttggtta-3'	This study
mukFEG (for KSM182)-DW	5'-gctgacctagtagaatcaaagattagaaaattc-3'	5'-attttagcataattttctatca-3'	This study
lcrRS-UP	5'-aatttaagcaaacgttga-3'	5'-cagtcgaggatcatgctgttacctcgata-3'	-
lcrRS-DW	5'-gctgacctagtttgaaagtgacgattgtc-3'	5'-attgactttgacggctga-3'	-
scnRK (for UA159)-UP	5'-gtcgtccgcatcaagatagtg-3'	5'-cagtcgaggatggatatgctttttcaataatt-3'	~
scnRK (for UA159)-DW	5'-gctgacctagttacttctggttcagacaat-3'	5'-acacgagaaatcaatga-3'	-
scnRK (for KSM182)-UP	5'-gtcgtccgcatcaagatagtg-3'	5'-cagtcgaggatggatatgctttttcaataatt-3'	This study
scnRK (for KSM182)-DW	5'-gctgacctagttacttctggttcagacaat-3'	5'-acacgagagaaatcaatga-3'	-
Constructi	on of complement mutants		
ftf-UP	5'-aagaaacaaagaaagctcatcatgtttcaac-3'	5'-gcacaggctattcctatt-3'	This study
ftf-DW	5'-aatgtggttaaattaggaga-3'	5'-actaggtcagcttatttcctcccgttaaa-3'	This study
lctFEG-comp	5'-gctgacctag <u>t</u> atgacaggacactaaacg-3'	5'-tctttgtttctttattgttatgaagtaagacc-3'	This study
mukFEG-comp	5'-gctgacctagttaaccaaaggagtgaaaac-3'	5'-tctttgtttcttgaattttctaatctttgattc-3'	This study
Primers fo	r quantitative PCR		
IctF	5'-tgatgatgcactcgctgaa-3'	5'-aacagccgctgcgatatt-3'	~
mukF	5'-tggtgaaagggccaaaatc-3'	5'-cccacacgatctgcaatatg-3'	This study
Primers us	sed for DNA sequence		
<i>lctF</i> -seq-F6	5'-caattacatcattgaaacca-3'		This study
<i>lctF</i> -seq-R909	5'-ttacaagacacctccttctc-3'		This study
mukF-seq-F3	5'-ggacaatgttattgaactacag-3'		This study
<i>mukF</i> -seq-R901	5'-ccgttgttaaattaaggaaa-3'		This study