広島大学学術情報リポジトリ Hiroshima University Institutional Repository

Title	Potential impact of predation by larval Spanish mackerel on larval anchovy in the central Seto Inland Sea, Japan
Author(s)	Deguchi, Wataru; Fujita, Tatsunori; Yoneda, Michio; Kono, Naoaki; Yamamoto, Masayuki; Harada, Kaito; Shoji, Jun; Tomiyama, Takeshi
Citation	Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography , 208 : 105272
Issue Date	2023-02-07
DOI	
Self DOI	
URL	https://ir.lib.hiroshima-u.ac.jp/00055316
Right	© 2023. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version. この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版をご 確認、ご利用ください。
Relation	



1	Potential impact of predation by larval Spanish mackerel on larval anchovy in the central Seto
2	Inland Sea, Japan
3	
4	Wataru Deguchi ¹ , Tatsunori Fujita ² , Michio Yoneda ³ , Naoaki Kono ⁴ , Masayuki Yamamoto ² ,
5	Kaito Harada ¹ , Jun Shoji ⁵ , Takeshi Tomiyama ¹
6	
7	¹ Graduate School of Integrated Sciences for Life, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima
8	739-8528, Japan
9	² Kagawa Prefectural Fisheries Experimental Station, Takamatsu 761-0111, Japan
10	³ Fisheries Technology Institute, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency, Imabari
11	794-2305, Japan
12	⁴ Fisheries Resources Institute, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency, Hatsukaichi
13	739-0452, Japan
14	⁵ Faculty of Marine Science and Technology, Fukui Prefectural University, Obama 917-0003,
15	Japan
16	
17	Corresponding author: T. Tomiyama (tomiyama@hiroshima-u.ac.jp)
18	
19	
20	Highlights
21	• Catch of larval anchovy has drastically reduced in Hiuchi-nada in the last decade.
22	· High mortality of anchovy larvae before recruitment is suspected.
23	· The top-down control by predatory Spanish mackerel larvae was examined.
24	· Larval abundance was high in both species in 2018.
25	\cdot Estimated consumption of Spanish mackerel accounted for <4% of the total population.
26	

- 27 Abstract
- 28

29Japanese anchovy is used as an essential dried fish material from the larval to adult stages. In the central Seto Inland Sea, Japan, the catch of larval anchovy has markedly decreased to 30 <3.9% of the maximum recorded in 2002 since 2013; however, the reason causing this 31reduction has not been well understood. The abundance of recruit fish, including larvae and 32early juveniles, has decreased in the last decade, despite abundant eggs, suggesting that the 33 majority of larvae do not survive before recruitment. In contrast, the stock of Japanese 3435Spanish mackerel, whose larvae are the major predator of larval anchovy, has increased in the Seto Inland Sea. It is hypothesized that an increase in the density of Spanish mackerel may 36 37have a top-down control on the decrease in anchovy recruitment by an increase in predation 38 opportunities. In this study, we investigated the abundance of Spanish mackerel and anchovy 39 larvae using a bongo net in the field in 2018 and 2019. The average densities of larvae in late May were 1.5–3.3 individuals (inds) / 100 m³ and 1.058–1.346 inds / 100 m³ for the Spanish 40 41 mackerel and the anchovy, respectively; both were higher than those in 2002–2005. We constructed a Stella model, simulating the growth and survival of larval anchovy until they 4243reached the commercial sizes by taking into account consumption by larval Spanish mackerel. The model suggested that the consumption of larval anchovy by larval Spanish mackerel 44accounted for <4% of the initial abundance of anchovy in 2018, which was not greater than 45that in 2005. In contrast, the reduction in the growth rates of larval anchovy due to reduced 46maternal conditions can adversely affect their survival. Thus, the results did not fully support 47the hypothesis mentioned above. 48

49

50 Keywords: top-down control; predator-prey interaction; simulation model; population

51 dynamics

- 53 **1. Introduction**
- 54

55 Small pelagic fishes such as anchovies and sardines are in high demand for dried fish 56 products worldwide. They also serve as "forage fish", in linking lower to higher trophic levels 57 (Pikitch et al., 2014). Understanding their population dynamics, along with bottom-up and 58 top-down climatic processes, is a critical challenge for sustainable fisheries and ecosystem 59 conservation (Lynam et al., 2017).

Japanese anchovy Engraulis japonicus (hereinafter called anchovy) is the most important 60 target for dried fish in Japan. In particular, larval anchovy (mostly 20-35 mm standard length 61 [SL] and >20 days old; Zenitani et al., 2009, 2011) are in high demand and important for 62 63 coastal fisheries in Japan, with annual landings of 47-75 thousand tons of larvae from 2000 to 2019 (Statistical Survey on Marine Fishery Production, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and 64 65 Fisheries in Japan). The Seto Inland Sea, a semi-closed sea (Fig. 1) and a highly productive area, accounted for 32-56% of the total landings of larval anchovy in Japan from 2000 to 66 67 2019. In Hiuchi-nada, the central Seto Inland Sea, anchovy spawning occurs from April to August, and larvae reaching commercial sizes (recruit fish) are caught from June to 68 September (Fujita et al., 2021). However, despite adequate egg production, the landings of 69 70 larval anchovy have markedly decreased to <3.9% of the peak of 2002 in the last decade (Fig. 2). Fujita et al. (2021) suggested that the increased intraspecific competition for prey among 7172anchovy cohorts in early life stages may be one of the factors contributing to the recruitment failure. Yoneda et al. (2022) suggested that the recent low prey availability experienced by 73adult anchovy reduces the survival of their offspring. Further research on the potential factors 7475influencing larval survival is necessary to understand the implementation of effective fishery 76 management.

77 Predation is a key factor that affects early-stage survival (Baily and Houde, 1989;

Leggett and Deblois, 1994). In the Seto Inland Sea, Japanese Spanish mackerel

79 Scomberomorus niphonius (hereinafter called Spanish mackerel) exhibits strong piscivory

80 from the first feeding stage, that is, 5–6 days after hatching (Kono et al., 2014), and anchovy

81 is the most dominant prey item in their stomachs (Shoji et al., 1997). The stock of Spanish

mackerel in the Seto Inland Sea has increased, and the catch in 2020 is 14 times greater than

in 1998 (Fig. 2). The central Seto Inland Sea is one of the main spawning grounds of Spanish

84 mackerel (Shoji and Tanaka, 2005a; Katamachi et al., 2022), in which the spawning season

85 occurs from May to June (Kishida and Aida, 1989). Spanish mackerel larvae are the most

86 abundant from late May to early June, overlapping the main fishing season of anchovy larvae

(Shoji et al., 1999a). Thus, it is assumed that the inconsistency between increasing anchovy
egg abundance and decreasing anchovy recruitment may be partly due to increased predation
opportunities by Spanish mackerel larvae; however, the amount of predation through preypredator relationships between these two species is vet to be estimated.

This study aimed (1) to evaluate the potential impact of predation by Spanish mackerel 91 92larvae on the recruitment of larval anchovy in Hiuchi-nada through field surveys and model simulation, and (2) to test the hypothesis that an increase in the density of Spanish mackerel 93may have a top-down control on decrease in anchovy recruitment due to an increase in 9495predation opportunities. In the field surveys conducted in 2018 and 2019, we investigated the 96 larval abundance of the two species to test whether their densities increased from the past; the 97 densities were also investigated in 2002–2005. Furthermore, we also constructed a model to 98 simulate the growth and survival of larval anchovy concerning predation by Spanish mackerel 99 larvae. Using this model, we assessed predation mortality in larval anchovy by larval Spanish mackerel in 2005 and 2018. In addition, we examined the response of anchovy survival to the 100 101 10-fold density of Spanish mackerel larvae in 2018 to test whether the increased number of 102Spanish mackerel larvae could explain the recruitment failure of anchovy. Additionally, we 103 simulated the survival of anchovy larvae with reduced growth rates associated with the 104 reduced maternal conditions (Yoneda et al., 2022) to evaluate the impacts of both top-down 105and bottom-up effects.

106

107 **2. Materials and methods**

108

109 2.1. Field survey

110

111 The survey was conducted in Hiuchi-nada, the central Seto Inland Sea (Fig. 1). Spanish mackerel spawns around central Hiuchi-nada, and larvae are transported to the southern area 112along with the southward ocean current (Shoji and Tanaka, 2005a). Therefore, sampling 113114 stations were set up in the southern and eastern areas (Fig. 1). Larvae were collected using a bongo net with a mouth diameter of 60 cm and mesh size of 0.335 mm (Fig. S1) in May and 115116 June 2018–2019. Spanish mackerel larvae are abundant in the middle or bottom layers during 117 the day, while they also appear in the surface layer at night due to their diel vertical migration 118 (Shoji et al., 1999b). The bongo net was towed obliquely to cover the larval distribution 119 layers. Flow meters were attached to the net mouth to measure the volume of filtered water. 120The survey in the southern area was conducted during daytime and nighttime at three

121	stations in May 2018, May 2019, and June 2019. The bongo net was towed by the training
122	and research vessel Toyoshiomaru (256 tons) of Hiroshima University at a speed of 2 knots
123	for 10 min (two round trips). The volume of water filtered by the net was $206 \pm 56 \text{ m}^3$ (mean
124	\pm SD, n = 14) in May 2018, 244 \pm 45 m ³ (n = 12) in May 2019, and 166 \pm 17 m ³ in June 2019
125	(n = 12). The survey in the eastern area was conducted during the daytime at seven stations
126	from May to June in 2018 and 2019. The bongo net was towed by the research vessel Yakuri
127	(19 tons) of the Kagawa Prefectural Fisheries Experimental Station for 4 min (one round trip).
128	The volume of water filtered by the net was $32 \pm 6 \text{ m}^3$ (n = 35).
129	The collected specimens were immediately preserved onboard in 5% seawater formalin
130	(southern area) or were kept in ice and brought to the laboratory (eastern area).
131	
132	2.2. Measurements and analyses
133	
134	The larvae of the Spanish mackerel and anchovy were sorted and counted from the
135	bongo net specimens. To reveal the species composition and proportion of Spanish mackerel
136	or anchovy in the collected larvae, other fish larvae were identified to species or lowest
137	possible levels only for the specimens in May 2018. The SL of the larvae was measured to the
138	nearest 0.1 mm, using a digital caliper under a stereomicroscope. The density was calculated
139	by dividing the number of individuals collected from the volume of filtered water (individuals
140	per 100 m ³). The net efficiency was not taken into consideration.
141	
142	2.3. Simulation model
143	
144	A model based on the literature and our data was constructed to simulate the growth and
145	survival of both anchovy and Spanish mackerel larvae. The consumption of larval anchovy by
146	predators was calculated based on the dry weight (mg).
147	
148	2.3.1. Survival and growth of larval anchovy
149	
150	The daily survival rate of larval anchovy depends on the density of copepod nauplii
151	which is $<100 \ \mu\text{m}$, and the survival rate is constant at 0.89 under nauplius densities of >5
152	individuals/L (Zenitani et al., 2007). The daily survival rate was set to 0.89 to simplify the
153	model because the densities of the copepod nauplius were high in recent years (Fujita et al.,
154	2021).

 $\mathbf{5}$

- The SL at hatching in response to water temperature has not been elucidated, but the SL 155at the first feeding (SL_F) incorporating the effect of water temperature (WT, °C) was shown 156(Fujita et al., 2021): $SL_F = \exp(-0.019 \times WT + 1.74)$. It takes approximately five days from 157hatching to the first feeding at 16 °C in anchovy (Fujita et al., 2021). According to Fukuhara 158(1983), larval anchovy grows by 1 mm after four days of hatching. Thus, the SL at hatch 159(SL_H) was set as follows: SL_H = exp $(-0.019 \times WT + 1.74) - 1.25$. The daily growth rate of 160 anchovy larvae (GR, mm/d), estimated by otolith microstructure analyses, showed a strong 161correlation with WT in Osaka Bay, eastern Seto Inland Sea (Tsujino and Watari, 2001): GR = 162163 $0.6972 \times \ln (WT) - 1.3101$. To calculate the SL on each date, the growth rate of larvae was considered to be 0.25 mm/d until five days after hatching, and thereafter, the above-mentioned 164165formula was used. The body dry weight (BDW, mg) of anchovy larvae was calculated using the formula (Shoji and Tanaka, 2005b): BDW = $2.045 \times 10^{-4} \times SL^{3.385}$. 166
- 167

168 2.3.2. Survival and growth of larval Spanish mackerel

169

In the field survey, the daily mortality (M) of Spanish mackerel larvae was estimated to 170 be 0.625–0.784 (Shoji et al., 2005). In this study, the daily survival rate (e^{-M}) with M = 0.7 171was used in the model. A laboratory experiment revealed that Spanish mackerel hatch with a 172total length of 4.6 mm, absorb egg yolk after five days of hatching, and start feeding after six 173days of hatching (Kono et al., 2014) with a total length of 5.6 mm (Shoji and Tanaka, 2001). 174Although many larvae of SL <5 mm were collected in our field surveys (see results), the SL at 175the first feeding was set to be 5.3 mm, assuming a total length of 5.6 mm. We incorporated 176177larvae after the onset of feeding into the model.

The growth rate of wild Spanish mackerel is approximately 1 mm/d until 20 days after 178hatching (Shoji et al., 1999a), and wild juveniles reach over 70 mm in total length (60 mm 179SL) 35 days after mouth opening (Fukunaga et al., 1982). Based on these data, the growth rate 180 was assumed to be 1 mm/d until 15 days after the first feeding and thereafter set to 2 mm/d. 181182Because the daily food consumption (ration) on a dry weight basis was 66.1% at 18.6 °C and 82.6% at 21.2 °C (Shoji and Tanaka, 2005b), it was set to 70% below 19 °C and 80% above 18319 °C. To estimate the BDW of Spanish mackerel larvae, the following formula was used 184(Shoji et al., 2001): BDW = $2.115 \times 10^{-4} \times SL^{3.587}$. 185

The SL of anchovy larvae vulnerable to predation is assumed to be in the range of 50–
100% of the SL of Spanish mackerel larvae, following stomach content analysis of wild
larvae and juveniles (Shoji and Tanaka, 2005b). The number of anchovy larvae consumed

with the BDW of the anchovy larvae. It was assumed that Spanish mackerel larvae only feed
on anchovy larvae and that they show a size preference for larger prey among the available
sizes. These assumptions were set to simplify the model and were based on previous studies;
wild Spanish mackerel larvae mostly consumed fish larvae (Shoji et al., 1997; Shoji and
Tanaka, 2001), and a strong positive relationship was observed between prey size and the

daily was estimated by dividing the daily food consumption of the Spanish mackerel larvae

- body size of Spanish mackerel larvae (Shoji et al., 1997; Shoji and Tanaka, 2005b).
- 197 2.3.3. Dataset and model simulation
- 198

189

199Using the 2018 dataset, we constructed a model that expresses the dynamics of larval 200density and growth of Spanish mackerel and anchovy larvae (Fig. 3, Fig. S2, Appendix S1). 201To simplify the model, a simulation was performed based on density and SL data without distinguishing the southern and eastern areas. We set four cohorts of anchovy larvae that 202203hatched on May 1 (cohort A1), May 11 (cohort A2), May 21 (cohort A3), and May 31 (cohort A4), while we set three cohorts of Spanish mackerel larvae that started feeding on May 11 204205(cohort M1), May 21 (cohort M2), and May 31 (cohort M3). A cohort of Spanish mackerel 206 that started feeding on May 1 was not considered because no Spanish mackerel larvae were caught during sampling in late April in 2002–2005 (Zenitani and Kono, unpubl. data). 207

Water temperature was incorporated as a physical environmental factor. We used monthly water temperature data at a depth of 10 m observed at four stations in the eastern area, monitored by the Kagawa Prefectural Fisheries Experimental Station. The data for each day was collected based on the linear relationship between the date and the water temperature from April to July ($r^2 > 0.96$; Fig. S3).

The simulation was performed using Stella Professional version 1.0.3 (isee systems 213incorporated). Stella is a useful tool for modelling dynamic systems (Costanza et al., 1998). 214The model was run for 60 days from May 1 to June 29 and the growth and survival of 215216 anchovy during the period from hatching to recruitment (reaching commercial sizes of 20 mm SL) were evaluated for each anchovy cohort. The survival rate and cumulative consumption 217218of Spanish mackerel larvae were calculated. To examine the variability in predation mortality, 219it was assumed that natural mortality, such as harvest through fisheries or predation by other 220predators, was independent of predation by Spanish mackerel larvae. 221The model was run under three scenarios: Scenario 1 assumed the situation of 2018,

The model was run under three scenarios: Scenario 1 assumed the situation of 2018,
 Scenario 2 assumed the increased density of Spanish mackerel with other factors unchanged

 $\mathbf{7}$

from Scenario 1. Scenario 3 assumed the reduced growth rates of anchovy (0.76 fold of 223Scenario 1) by taking the result of Yoneda et al. (2022) into consideration: growth rates of 224larvae from females under low food availability were ~76% of those of larvae from females 225under high food availability. For Scenarios 1–3, the initial density of larval anchovy was 226considered 300 inds / 100 m³ for anchovy cohorts A1 and A2, and 3,000 inds / 100 m³ for 227anchovy cohorts A3 and A4, according to the survey data from 2018 (see results). Similarly, 228the initial density was set as 1, 6, and 11 inds / 100 m³ for cohorts M1, M2, and M3, 229respectively, assuming the situation in 2018 for Scenarios 1 and 3. For Scenario 2, the initial 230231density of Spanish mackerel was 10-fold that of Scenario 1 to examine the response of anchovy survival to the rapid increase in Spanish mackerel. 232

233To examine whether the predation mortality of anchovy by Spanish mackerel increased 234in the last decade, we also ran the model with different densities of cohorts considering the 235survey data in 2005. A similar survey using a bongo net was conducted in Hiuchi-nada from May to June 2002–2005 (Zenitani et al., 2011; Zenitani and Kono, unpubl. data), during 236237which the density of Spanish mackerel larvae was the highest in 2005 (Figs. S4, S5). Initial densities were given as 300 inds / 100 m³ for cohorts A1–A3, 1,000 inds / 100 m³ for A4, and 2381, 2, and 5 inds / 100 m³ for cohorts M1, M2, and M3, respectively. Similarly, water 239temperature was given using the data from 2005. 240

241

242 2.3.4. Sensitivity analysis

243

The model assumed that the survival rates of Spanish mackerel and anchovy are 244constant, and the daily low survival rate of approximately 0.5 (M = 0.7) for Spanish mackerel 245limits the predation of anchovy. However, it is expected that the survival rate of Spanish 246mackerel will increase with an increase in body size or with higher prey availability. 247Therefore, in Scenario 1, we examined the variability in the proportion of predation by 248Spanish mackerel in response to the survival rate of Spanish mackerel using sensitivity 249250analysis. The survival rate changed from 0.2 to 0.8 with an interval of 0.2, corresponding to the M from 1.6 to 0.2. 251

252

253 **3. Results**

254

255 3.1. Occurrence of Spanish mackerel and anchovy larvae

257 Spanish mackerel larvae were observed from early May to mid-June 2018 (Fig. 4). The 258 larval densities of both Spanish mackerel and anchovy exhibited single peaks in early June. 259 The density of anchovy larvae was higher in 2018 than in 2019 and relatively high in the 260 eastern area. The densities were higher in early June than in late May 2018 for both Spanish 261 mackerel and anchovy larvae (Figs. S4).

The anchovy was the most dominant species (64.7%) among the larvae collected in the 262southern area in May 2018 (Table 1). Spanish mackerel accounted for 1.3% of the total larval 263sample. In May and June 2019, 71 and 5 individuals of Spanish mackerel larvae (2.3-5.8 mm 264SL in May and 2.4-5.0 mm in June) and 27,259 and 620 individuals of anchovy larvae (1.7-2652668.9 mm SL in May and 1.4–9.3 mm in June) were collected, respectively. Larval Spanish 267mackerel were mostly mouth-opened in 2018, whereas a large number of larvae collected in 2682019 were unopened (Fig. 5). In the eastern area, 27 individuals of Spanish mackerel larvae 269were collected in 2018, whereas no individuals were found in 2019.

270

271 3.2. Model simulation

272

273The model based on Scenario 1 well reflected the densities of Spanish mackerel and 274anchovy larvae (Fig. S6). The duration from hatching to recruitment (reaching 20 mm SL) was estimated to be 31, 29, 28, and 27 days for cohorts A1, A2, A3, and A4, respectively. 275276Following SL of the larvae, anchovy cohort A1 was targeted by Spanish mackerel cohorts M1, A2 by M1 and M2, A3 by M2 and M3, and A4 by M3. The survival rate of anchovy cohorts 277was estimated to be 3.8% in total (Table 2). In addition, the proportion of predation mortality 278279by Spanish mackerel relative to the initial population size was estimated to be 2.7% in total. 280In Scenario 2, which assumed an increase in predation pressure by Spanish mackerel, the 281survival rate of anchovy decreased to 2.7% in total. Predation by Spanish mackerel increased to 27.4%. In Scenario 3, due to the reduced growth rates of larval anchovy, the duration from 282hatching to recruitment was estimated to be 38, 36, 34, and 33 days for cohorts A1, A2, A3, 283

and A4, respectively. The survival rate of anchovy was lower than that in Scenario 2 although
predation by Spanish mackerel slightly increased from Scenario 1 (Table 2).

In the model assuming the situation in 2005 (Fig. S7), the survival rate of anchovy cohort was similar to that of Scenario 1, whereas the proportions of Spanish mackerel predation was greater than that of Scenario 1.

The sensitivity analysis showed that the variation in anchovy survival rate in response to Spanish mackerel survival rate in Scenario 1 was relatively less (Table 3). The increase in the

survival rate of the anchovy cohort was 0.0-1.7% when the survival rate of the Spanish 291292mackerel was 0.2 compared to the case of 0.8. Predation by Spanish mackerel increased with their higher survival rate, but the proportion of predation mortality for anchovy cohorts at the 293daily survival rate of 0.6 was not largely different from that at 0.4, except for cohort A1 (Table 2942953).

296

2974. Discussion

298

299This study clarified that the recent decline in the survival rate of larval anchovy from 300 hatching to recruitment was rarely attributable to the increased abundance of larval Spanish 301mackerel. Our predator-prey model, incorporating daily consumption, growth, and mortality 302 in both Spanish mackerel and anchovy larvae, revealed that the consumption of larval 303 anchovy by the larval Spanish mackerel accounted for <4% of the initial abundance in 2018 (Table 2), suggesting a small proportion of the Spanish mackerel predation on the mortality of 304 305 anchovy larvae. The model simulating 2005 showed that the relative predation intensity of Spanish mackerel was higher in 2005 than in 2018. Furthermore, the survival of anchovy 306 307 before recruitment did not decrease largely, even under the 10-fold density of Spanish mackerel using the 2018 dataset (Scenario 2) although the proportion of larval anchovy 308309 consumed by larval Spanish mackerel greatly increased. This scenario is unrealistic without prey increase for the larval Spanish mackerel as well, because larval Spanish mackerel are 310 easily susceptible to starvation mortality under low prey concentration (Shoji et al., 2002). In 311contrast, the survival of larval anchovy was more drastically decreased in Scenario 3, in 312313which reduced maternal conditions led to reduced growth rates of larval anchovy. This result indicates a greater bottom-up impact on anchovy recruitment in recent years (Yoneda et al., 3143152022) than the increase in Spanish mackerel. Top-down control is an important issue for understanding the population dynamics of pelagic fishes (Glaser, 2011), but predation has 316 rarely been confirmed as the major reason for the rapid decline in the forage fish population. 317318 For example, Atlantic bluefin tuna juveniles increased, but their consumption of pelagic fishes accounted for only 2% of the abundance (Van Beveren et al., 2017). Thus, the mechanisms 319320 underlying the recent decline in the catch of larval anchovy could be largely attributed to 321bottom-up processes rather than top-down processes. It should be noted that the degree of 322bottom-up process changes has not been assessed in the field and therefore the impact of 323 bottom-up effects is one of the future issues.

324

During the survey of 2018–2019, both the larval density and young-of-the-year (YOY)

fish abundance of Spanish mackerel were higher than those during the previous survey of 3252002–2005 (Figs. S4, S5), when the Spanish mackerel stock level was low. In the Seto Inland 326 Sea, the number of recruited wild YOY Spanish mackerel estimated from landings in fish 327markets was also greater in 2018 and 2019 (3,080 and 2,199 thousand individuals, 328 respectively) than in 2002–2005 (518–1,208 thousand individuals; Katamachi et al., 2022). 329 Notably, the abundance of larval anchovy before recruitment was greater in recent years than 330 in 2002–2005 (Fujita et al., 2021; the present study). Thus, the duration from hatching at 331approximately 3 mm to recruitment at 20 mm should be the critical period for the survival of 332333larval anchovy, and the survival during this period is considered to decrease drastically in 334recent years: recruit abundance relative to the abundance of eggs or that of pre-recruit larvae 335has markedly decreased in the last decade (Fujita et al., 2021). The ratio of larval anchovy to larval Spanish mackerel in late May was 1190, 280, 1356, 208, 408, and 684 in 2002, 2003, 336 337 2004, 2005, 2018, and 2019, respectively, indicating that susceptibility to predation did not increase during 2018–2019 compared to that during 2002–2005. 338

The seasonal changes in the densities of Spanish mackerel and anchovy larvae coincided 339 well in 2018 (Fig. 4). The density of larval anchovy was >400-fold higher than that of Spanish 340 mackerel larvae in both late May and early June 2018, suggesting high prey availability for 341Spanish mackerel. Moreover, the spatial distribution of larvae also coincided between the two 342species (Figs. S8, S9). These characteristics are advantageous for the survival of Spanish 343mackerel because of the low starvation tolerance of Spanish mackerel larvae shortly after the 344first feeding (Shoji et al., 2002). In our model, the daily survival rate of Spanish mackerel was 345set at a constant of M = 0.7, but M should decrease as Spanish mackerel grows. The starvation 346 347tolerance of juvenile Spanish mackerel is higher than larvae (Harada et al., 2021), hence it may be appropriate to set the survival rate of juveniles ≥ 12 mm (Kishida, 1991) to be higher 348 than that of larvae in the model. Notably, the spatial overlap between larval anchovy and 349 larval Spanish mackerel was reduced in 2019. This result was due to the absence of Spanish 350mackerel larvae from specimens collected in the eastern areas. The small volume of water 351352filtered might have affected the absence of Spanish mackerel larvae.

In our model, the survival of anchovy larvae was similar in 2005 and 2018 (Scenario 1), which is inconsistent with the fact that the catch of larval anchovy extremely differed between the two years (Fig. 2). Thus, the incorporation of other processes is important. For example, information on other predators was not considered in our model. Considering anchovy the most important prey for several piscivorous fishes (Tomiyama and Kurita, 2011; Niino et al., 2017), it would be advantageous to include predation pressures by other predators in the 359 model to strengthen the validity of the natural mortality of anchovy larvae. Actually, juvenile

- 360 Japanese flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus* feed on larval anchovy in Hiuchi-nada in June
- 361 (Yamada et al., 2020). Invertebrates such as jellyfish are also predators or competitors for fish

larvae (Cowan et al., 1996; Zenitani et al., 2017). Furthermore, this study did not incorporate

363 changes in prey availability for larval anchovy, although the copepod nauplius density was

higher in 2015–2019 than in 2002–2005 (Fujita et al., 2021). Future models may add more

variables, such as the effects of temperature and prey availability on anchovy reproduction,

- 366 because higher temperature and prey abundance would result in shorter spawning intervals
- 367 (Zenitani et al., 2005; Yoneda et al., 2014) as well as smaller anchovy egg sizes (Yoneda et al.,
 368 2022).

369 This study considered the potential impacts of Spanish mackerel larvae predation on

anchovy larvae survival. Because the bottom-up impacts appeared to be greater (Yoneda et al.,

2022, this study), the recent decline in the recruitment of larval anchovy can largely be

attributed to low prey availability for adult anchovy. Furthermore, dried fish production in

373 Japan is supported by the biological production of anchovy, and the stock level has declined

374 for populations other than the Seto Inland Sea population. To ensure a sustainable dried fish

industry, the population dynamics of anchovy should be monitored.

376

Acknowledgements: We thank Nakaguchi, K., Yamaguchi, S., other staff of Training and
research vessel Toyoshiomaru, and staff of Kagawa Prefectural Fisheries Experimental
Station for their help in the larval collection in the field. We also thank the two anonymous
reviewers for their helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was partly supported by
the Fisheries Agency of Japan and Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency and by
JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19K06207.

383

385

384 **Reference**

- Baily, K.M., Houde, E.D., 1989. Predation on eggs and larvae of marine fishes and the
 recruitment problem. Adv. Mar. Biol. 25, 1–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-</u>
 2881(08)60187-X
- Costanza, R., Duplisea, D., Kautsky, U., 1998. Ecological modelling on modelling ecological
 and economic systems with STELLA. Ecol. Modell. 110, 1–4.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(98)00099-4
- Cowan, J.H., Jr, Houde, E.D., Rose, K.A., 1996. Size-dependent vulnerability of marine fish
 larvae to predation: an individual-based numerical experiment. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 53, 23–
 37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.1996.0003</u>
- Fujita, T., Yamamoto, M., Kono, N., Tomiyama, T., Sugimatsu, K., Yoneda, M., 2021.
 Temporal variations in hatch date and early survival of Japanese anchovy (*Engraulis*)

- *japonicus*) in response to environmental factors in the central Seto Inland Sea, Japan.
 Fish. Oceanogr. 30, 527–541. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12535</u>
- Fukuhara, O., 1983. Development and growth of laboratory reared *Engraulis japonica*(Houttuyn) larvae. J. Fish Biol. 23, 641–652. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-</u>
 8649.1983.tb02943.x
- Fukunaga, T., Ishibashi, N., Mitsuhashi, N., 1982. Artificial fertilization and seedling
 propagation of Spanish mackerel. Saibai Giken 11, 29–48. (in Japanese)
- Glaser, S.M., 2011. Do albacore exert top-down pressure on northern anchovy? Estimating
 anchovy mortality as a result of predation by juvenile north pacific albacore in the
 California current system. Fish. Oceanogr. 20, 242–257. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-</u>
 2419.2011.00582.x
- Harada, K., Morita, T., Deguchi, W., Yamamoto, M., Fujita, T., Tomiyama, T., 2021. Hightemperature and starvation tolerances of juvenile Japanese Spanish mackerel *Scomberomorus niphonius*. Fish. Sci. 87, 513–519. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562-021-</u>
 01521-w
- Katamachi, D., Yasuda, T., Kono, N., Takahashi, M., 2022. Stock assessment and evaluation
 for the Seto Inland Sea stock of Japanese Spanish mackerel (fiscal year 2021). Marine
 fisheries stock assessment and evaluation for Japanese waters (fiscal year 2021/2022).
- Fisheries Agency and Fisheries Research and Education Agency of Japan, FRA-SA2021 RC03-2. (in Japanese)
- Kishida, T., 1991. Fluctuations in year-class strength of Japanese Spanish mackerel in the
 central Seto Inland Sea. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 57, 1103–1109.
 https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.57.1103
- Kishida, T., Aida, K., 1989. Maturation and spawning of Japanese Spanish mackerel in the
 central and western waters of the Seto Inland Sea. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 55, 2065–
 2074. (in Japanese with English abstract) https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.55.2065
- Kono, N., Takahashi, M., Shima, Y., 2014. Time of formation of incremental and
 discontinuous zones on sagittal otoliths of larval Japanese Spanish mackerel *Scomberomorus niphonius*. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 80, 21-26. (in Japanese with
 English abstract) https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.80.21
- Leggett, W.C., Deblois, E., 1994. Recruitment in marine fishes: Is it regulated by starvation
 and predation in the egg and larval stages? Neth. J. Sea Res. 32, 119–134.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0077-7579(94)90036-1.
- Lynam, C.P., Llope, M., Möllmann, C., Helaouët, P., Bayliss-Brown, G.A., Stenseth, N.C.,
 2017. Interaction between top-down and bottom-up control in marine food webs. Proc.
 Nat. Acad. Sci. 114, 1952–1957. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1621037114
- Niino, Y., Shibata, J., Tomiyama, T., Sakai, Y., Hashimoto, H., 2017. Feeding habits of the
 cutlassfish *Trichiurus japonicus* around Hiuchi-Nada, central Seto Inland Sea, Japan.
 Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 83, 34–40. https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.16-00032
- Pikitch, E.K., et al. 2014. The global contribution of forage fish to marine fisheries and
 ecosystems. Fish Fish. 15, 43–64. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12004</u>
- Shoji, J., Tanaka, M., 2001. Strong piscivory of Japanese Spanish mackerel larvae from their
 first feeding. J. Fish Biol. 59, 1682–1685. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-</u>
 <u>8649.2001.tb00232.x</u>
- Shoji, J., Tanaka, M., 2005a. Distribution, feeding condition, and growth of Japanese Spanish
 mackerel (*Scomberomorus niphonius*) larvae in the Seto Inland Sea. Fish. Bull. 103, 371–
 379.
- Shoji, J., Tanaka, M., 2005b. Daily ration and prey size of juvenile piscivore Japanese Spanish
 mackerel. J. Fish Biol. 67, 1107–1118. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-1112.2005.00813.x</u>
- 446 Shoji, J., Kishida, T., Tanaka, M., 1997. Piscivorous habits of Spanish mackerel larvae in the

Shoji, J., Maehara, T., Tanaka, M., 1999a. Short-term occurrence and rapid growth of Spanish 448 mackerel larvae in the central waters of the Seto Inland Sea, Japan. Fish. Sci. 65, 68-72. 449 https://doi.org/10.2331/fishsci.65.68 450Shoji, J., Maehara, T., Tanaka, M., 1999b. Diel vertical movement and feeding rhythm of 451452Japanese Spanish mackerel larvae in the central Seto Inland Sea. Fish. Sci. 65, 726–730. https://doi.org/10.2331/fishsci.65.726 453Shoji, J., Maehara, T., Aoyama, M., Fujimoto, H., Iwamoto, A., Tanaka, M., 2001. Daily 454ration of Japanese Spanish mackerel Scomberomorus niphonius larvae. Fish. Sci. 67, 455238-245. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1444-2906.2001.00246.x 456Shoji, J., Aoyama, M., Fujimoto, H., Iwamoto, A., Tanaka, M., 2002. Susceptibility to 457starvation by piscivorous Japanese Spanish mackerel Scomberomorus niphonius 458(Scombridae) larvae at first feeding. Fish. Sci. 68, 59-64. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1444-459460 2906.2002.00389.x Shoji, J., Maehara, T., Tanaka, M., 2005. Larval growth and mortality of Japanese Spanish 461 mackerel (Scomberomorus niphonius) in the central Seto Inland Sea, Japan. J. Mar. Biol. 462 Assoc. U.K. 85, 1255-1261. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315405012403 463 Tomiyama, T., Kurita, Y., 2011. Seasonal and spatial variations in prey utilization and 464 465condition of a piscivorous flatfish Paralichthys olivaceus. Aquat. Biol. 11, 279–288. https://doi.org/10.3354/ab00319 466 Tsujino, K., Watari, T., 2001. Daily growth of Japanese anchovy larvae Engraulis japonica in 467468 Osaka Bay. Bull. Osaka Prefect. Fish. Exp. Stn 13, 11–18 (in Japanese) Van Beveren, E., Fromentin, J.M., Bonhommeau, S., Nieblas, A.E., Metral, L., Brisset, B., 469 Jusup, M., Bauer, R.K., Brosset, P., Saraux, C., 2017. Predator-prey interactions in the 470471face of management regulations: changes in Mediterranean small pelagic species are not due to increased tuna predation. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 74, 1422-1430. 472https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2016-0152 473Yamada, T., Maehara, T., Watanabe, A, Takashima, K., Tomiyama, T., 2020. Annual variation 474475in the prey utilization of juvenile Japanese flounder in southwestern Hiuchi-Nada, Seto Inland Sea, Japan. Region. Stud. Mar. Sci. 39, 101453. 476https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2020.101453 477Yoneda, M., Kitano, H., Tanaka, H., Kawamura, K., Selvaraj, S., Ohshimo, S., Matsuyama, 478M., Shimizu, A., 2014. Temperature- and income resource availability-mediated variation 479 in reproductive investment in a multiple-batch-spawning Japanese anchovy. Mar. Ecol. 480 481 Prog. Ser. 516, 251–262. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10969 Yoneda, M., Fujita, T., Yamamoto, M., Tadokoro, K., Okazaki, Y., Nakamura, M., Takahashi, 482M., Kono, N., Matsubara, T., Abo, K., Xinyu, G., Yoshie, N., 2022. Bottom-up processes 483 drive reproductive success of Japanese anchovy in an oligotrophic sea: A case study in 484 the central Seto Inland Sea, Japan. Prog. Oceanogr. 206, 102860. 485https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2022.102860 486 Zenitani, H., Kono, N., Tsukamoto, Y., 2005. Effect of sea water temperature and condition 487factor on variability of spawning interval and relative batch fecundity of Japanese 488 anchovy in the Seto Inland Sea in summer and autumn. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 71, 489 490 821–823. (in Japanese) Zenitani, H., Kono, N., Tsukamoto, Y., 2007. Relationship between daily survival rates of 491larval Japanese anchovy (Engraulis japonicus) and concentrations of copepod nauplii in 492the Seto Inland Sea, Japan. Fish. Oceanogr. 16, 473-478. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-493494 2419.2007.00434.x Zenitani, H., Kono, N., Tsukamoto, Y., Masuda, R., 2009. Effects of temperature, food 495availability, and body size on daily growth rate of Japanese anchovy Engraulis japonicus 496

Seto Inland Sea. Fish. Sci. 63, 388–392. https://doi.org/10.2331/fishsci.63.388

- 497
 larvae in Hiuchi-nada. Fish. Sci. 75, 1177–1188. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562-009-498

 0147-4
- Zenitani, H., Kono, N., Tsukamoto, Y., 2011. Simulation of copepod biomass by a prey–
 predator model in Hiuchi-nada, central part of the Seto Inland Sea: does copepod biomass
- 501affect the recruitment to the shirasu (Japanese larval anchovy *Engraulis japonicus*)502fishery? Fish. Sci. 77, 455–466. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562-011-0343-x
- 503 Zenitani, H., Kono, N., Watari, S., 2017. Impact of the jellyfish *Aurelia aurita* on the anchovy
- 504 fishery stock in Hiuchi-nada, central Seto Inland Sea, Japan. Bull. Jpn. Soc. Fish. Oceanogr.
- 505 81, 1–17. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- 506

Table 1.

508	Species con	position of	of fish larva	e collected b	by the bon	go net in	the southern	area in 2018
	1	1			2	0		

Order	Species	English name	Ν	Standard
				length (mm)
Clupeiformes	Konosirus punctatus	Gizzard shad	390	1.5–11.0
	Engraulis japonicus	Japanese anchovy	8,595	1.2–13.5
Aulopiformes	Synodontidae spp.	Lizardfish	122	1.9–3.5
Mugiliformes	Mugilidae spp.	Mullet	50	2.1–9.1
Gasterosteiformes	Syngnathus schlegeli	Seaweed pipefish	3	11.2–11.8
Beloniformes	Unidentified		6	6.2–14.9
Perciformes	Sebastiscus marmoratus	Marbled rockfish	5	3.1-4.2
	Scorpaenoidei sp.		1	2.5
	Pagrus major	Red seabream	2	3.2-6.8
	Acanthopagrus schlegelii	Black seabream	210	1.9–10.9
	Sparidae spp.	Seabream	45	1.5–3.8
	Sillago japonica	Japanese whiting	1	2.0
	Pomacentridae spp.	Damselfish	330	1.6-4.8
	Labridae sp.	Wrasse	1	1.4
	Gracilopterygion	Dringage hlanny	1	7 1
	bapturum	Princess blenny	1	/.1
	Parablennius yatabei	Yatabe blenny	17	3.5-12.5
	Blenniidae spp.	Blenny	8	2.4-4.5
	Callionymidae spp.	Dragonet	308	1.0-8.4
	Gobiidae spp.	Goby	2,867	1.5–24.3
	Scomber spp.	Mackerel	19	2.7-6.5
	Scomberomorus niphonius	Japanese Spanish mackerel	172	1.6–11.7
Pleuronectiformes	Pseudorhombus spp.	Flounder	7	2.4–7.2
	Soleidae spp.	Sole	29	1.6–2.9
Tetraodontiformes	Monacanthidae spp.	Filefish	4	1.6–2.3
	Tetraodontidae	Puffer	6	1.5-2.0
Unidentified	Unidentified		87	1.0-1.8
Total			13,287	

 $509\\510$

512 **Table 2**

Calcart		2018		2005	
Conort	Scenario 1	Scenario 1 Scenario 2 Scenario		2003	
Survival (%)				
A1	2.7	2.6	1.2	2.7	
A2	3.2	1.6	1.4	3.2	
A3	3.7	2.7	1.8	3.4	
A4	4.2	2.8	2.1	4.6	
Total	3.8	2.7	1.9	3.9	
Predation by Spanish mackerel (%)					
A1	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	
A2	4.0	39.6	4.6	3.9	
A3	2.6	25.7	2.7	8.6	
A4	3.1	30.6	3.1	4.2	
Total	2.7	27.4	2.8	4.2	

513 Results of the model simulation of anchovy cohorts survival and predation

514 Scenario 1 assumed a situation in 2018. Scenario 2 assumed a 10-fold density of Spanish 515 mackerel compared to Scenario 1. Scenario 3 assumed a 0.76-fold growth rate of anchovy in 516 Scenario 1. The model was run for 60 days. The initial densities of larval anchovy were set as 517 300 inds / 100 m³ for anchovy cohorts A1 and A2, and 3,000 inds / 100 m³ for anchovy 518 cohorts A3 and A4 in the models of 2018, whereas they were set as 300 inds / 100 m³ for 519 cohorts A1–A3 and 1,000 inds / 100 m³ for A4 in the model of 2005. The initial densities of 520 larval Spanish mackerel for cohorts M1, M2, and M3 were set as 1, 6, and 11 inds / 100 m³ in

521 Scenarios 1 and 3, 10, 60, and 110 inds / 100 m^3 in Scenario 2, and 1, 2, and 5 inds / 100 m^3 522 in the model of 2005.

Table 3

524 Sensitivity analysis of the daily survival of larval Spanish mackerel for the survival or

525 predation by Japanese Spanish mackerel in each cohort of larval Japanese anchovy in the

- 526 simulation model (Scenario 1)

Daily		Surviv	ral (%)		Predatio	on by Spar	nish macke	erel (%)
survival	A1	A2	A3	A4	A1	A2	A3	A4
0.8	2.3	1.6	3.4	4.2	0.994	8.8	3.7	3.1
0.6	2.7	3.1	3.7	4.2	0.09	4.5	2.8	3.1
0.4	2.7	3.3	3.7	4.2	0.01	3.6	2.4	3.1
0.2	2.7	3.3	3.7	4.2	0.00	2.9	1.9	3.1
CV	0.06	0.30	0.04	0.00	1.76	0.53	0.28	0.00

531	Figure captions
532	
533	Fig. 1. Map showing the study area of Hiuchi-nada, the central Seto Inland Sea. Larval
534	collections were conducted in the shaded areas.
535	
536	Fig. 2. The ratio of annual catch to the maximum catch during 1990–2020 for Japanese
537	Spanish mackerel (JSM) in the Seto Inland Sea and for juvenile-adult Japanese anchovy
538	(Anchovy) and larval Japanese anchovy (Larvae) in eastern Hiuchi-nada. The maximum
539	catches were 2,946 tons in 1990 for JSM, 11,080 tons in 1991 for Anchovy, and 1,454
540	tons in 2002 for Larvae. Catch of JSM has increased from 1998 to 2020 whereas that of
541	larval anchovy has decreased to <56 tons (<3.9% of the maximum) since 2013.
542	
543	Fig. 3. Diagrammatic model for the consumption of anchovy larvae by Spanish mackerel
544	larvae, simulated in Stella. Each cohort model involves individual growth and cohort
545	dynamics. Only one cohort was shown for each fish species, as an example. Symbols
546	such as the state variable (square), flow regulator (circle with a faucet), and variable
547	(circle) are explained in Costanza et al. (1998).
548	
549	Fig. 4. Seasonal changes in the larval abundances of Japanese Spanish mackerel and Japanese
550	anchovy in 2018 and 2019. Vertical bars indicate standard deviations.
551	
552	Fig. 5. Length-frequency distributions of larval Japanese Spanish mackerel (JSM) and larval
553	Japanese anchovy collected in 2018 and 2019. The mouth opening of larval JSM was
554	examined only for the southern area. Specimens of larval JSM were collected mostly in
555	May, and the data were pooled for the two months survey (May and June).
556	











Japanese Spanish mackerel







- 576 Supplementary materials can be found at:
- 577 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2023.105272</u>