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#### Abstract

It has long been known that eukaryotic cells with more DNA content are larger in cell size. However, no molecular mechanisms for this universal rule have been given. In the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe, diploid cells grow faster at 1.5 -fold rate than haploid cells during the same cycle time. Here I discovered that cell division genes control not only cell growth or cell extension rate (CER) but also cycle time dose-dependently in diploid cells. These genes are well-known regulators for Cdc2, a conserved master regulator of eukaryotic cell cycle, such as inhibitors (weel ${ }^{+}$and poml ${ }^{+}$) and activators ( $c d c 25^{+}$and $\mathrm{niml} l^{+}$). Actin content and its dynamics between monomer and polymer forms also control CER and cycle time through nuclear accumulation of Wee1 and Cdc25. Remarkably, doubling these genes in haploids reproduced CER of diploids. I propose a model in which regulatory cascades for Cdc2 activity govern the cell-size scaling with ploidy.
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## Introduction

The general association between DNA content and cell size has long been recognized in unicellular eukaryotes, plants, and animals [1-3]. Polyploid cells with multiple copies of chromosome sets occur frequently in the development and differentiation of plants and animals, and large polyploid cells are crucial to morphology, metabolism, and tissue-
specific function $[4,5]$. However, no mechanisms explaining this universal rule for cell size determination have been demonstrated. Here I used the fission yeast and explored the possibility for the involvement of cell division genes that control cyclin-dependent protein kinase, Cdc2 [6]: cdc13 ${ }^{+}$(cyclin) [7], weel ${ }^{+}$(an inhibitory protein kinase) [8], $c d c 25^{+}$(an activating protein phosphatase with antagonistic action to Wee1) [9], nim $1^{+} / c d r 1^{+}$kinase (inducer of mitosis by inhibiting Wee1) [10,11], and its upstream inhibitory effectors, pom $1^{+}$[12] and $n i f 1^{+}$[13]. I also examined whether act1 $1^{+}$(actin) [14] is involved in cell-size control because actin cables are thought to serve as tracks for secretory vesicle transport to the tip [15-17].

Cdc2 is a conserved key regulator for entry into mitosis and its activity is thought to be critical to cell-size determination [18]. Loss of Wee1 activity induces premature activation of Cdc2 and therefor causes cells to enter mitosis before sufficient growth has occurred, producing two abnormally small daughter cells. Similarly, mutants with lower Cdc2 activity (such as $c d c 2^{-}, c d c 13^{-}$, and $c d c 25^{\circ}$ ) undergo delayed entry into mitosis, producing abnormally large cells. However, these genetic analyses overlook the possibility that Cdc2 controls growth rate during G2 period. Throughout this work, I measured growth rate as a major determinant of cell-size scaling with ploidy because diploid cells grow faster than haploid cells during the same doubling time in fission yeast [19] as well as in budding yeast [20]. By using diploid and tetraploid cultivars of ryegrass, Sugiyama demonstrated that polyploidy increases leaf size mainly by increasing the cell elongation rate without significant differences in cell division parameters [21]. Zhou et al. also reported that tetraploid crucian carp cells grow bigger than diploid cells without affecting proliferation (or cell division) [22]. Collectively, these results may lead to a generalization that polyploid cells scale their size or volume by controlling growth rate.

The aim of my investigation was to search for mechanisms by which cell division genes control Cdc2 activity and growth rate dose-dependently during G2. I propose systems level control of Cdc 2 as a critical regulator of growth rate, in which limited number of cell division genes may account for the cell-size scaling with ploidy.

## Materials \& methods

## Strains

The $S$. pombe strains used in the experiment are listed in Supplemental Table 1. The strains bearing mutant alleles ( $c d c 2-L 7, c d c 2-3 w, c d c 25-22, c d c 13-117$, and wee1-50) or deletions (cdc254::ura4 ${ }^{+}$, wee14::ura $4^{+}$, and nim14 $\because: L E U 2$ ) were gifts from P. Russell and P. Nurse $[8-10,23]$. A strain bearing pom14::ura $4^{+}$was from J. Bähler and J. R.

Pringle [12]. A strain bearing cps8-188 and a plasmid carrying $a c t 1^{+}$were from J. Ishiguro [14]. Strains bearing cdc254::ura4 $4^{+}: c d c 25-G F P \because: l e u 1^{+}$and $c d c 254::$ ura $^{+}:: c d c 25-N L S-$ $G F P:: l e u 1^{+}$were from P. G. Young [24]. Strains bearing weeld::ura4 $4^{+}$and either lys $I^{+}: \because G F P$-weel or lys $I^{+}: \because G F P-N E S x 2$-weel were from H. Masuda [25]. Strains bearing deletions of act14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$[14], cdc134::ura4 ${ }^{+}$[7], and nif14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$[13] were constructed as described previously by the one-step gene disruption method [26]. Strains bearing two copies of act1 ${ }^{+}\left(2 \mathrm{xact1}^{+}::\right.$ura4 $\left.^{+}\right)$, cdc13 ${ }^{+}$(2xcdcl3 $\left.{ }^{+}:: u r a 4^{+}\right)$, cdc25+
 constructed by insertion into native loci of pBR322-based plasmids carrying ura4 ${ }^{+}$and the respective genes $\left(6.5-\mathrm{kb}\right.$ EcoRI-HindIII fragment of actl $^{+}$, $4.6-\mathrm{kb}$ PvuII-BamHI fragment of $c d c 13^{+}, 5.2-\mathrm{kb}$ SphI-PvuII fragment of $c d c 25^{+}, 3.3-\mathrm{kb}$ BamHI-EcoRI fragment of niml $1^{+}$, and $6.5-\mathrm{kb}$ NheI-SphI fragment of poml ${ }^{+}$) after linearization by digestion within coding regions with $\mathrm{BamHI}, \mathrm{XhoI}, \mathrm{BamHI}, \mathrm{XhoI}$, and $B g l \mathrm{II}$, respectively. Plasmids carrying $c d c 13^{+}, c d c 25^{+}$, nifl $l^{+}$, niml $l^{+}$, and $p o m l^{+}$and an adfl-1 strain were provided by the National Bio-Resource Project (NBRP), Japan.

Standard procedures were used for cell culture and genetic manipulations [27]. Diploid cells were constructed by isolation of prototrophic cells after crossing haploid cells with opposite mating type ( $\mathrm{h}^{+}$or $\mathrm{h}^{-}$), each bearing ade6-M210 or ade6-M216. Diploid cells were also selected after cultivation of haploid cells on YES plates containing phloxin-B. Diploid cells homozygous for mating type ( $\mathrm{h}^{+/+}$and $\mathrm{h}^{-/}$) were isolated by manipulator after repeated (usually 2 or 3 times) cultivation of $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$diploid on EMM2 plates at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. Diploid cells heterozygous for two or three deletions (marked with $\mathrm{ura4}^{+}$) were selected after tetrad dissection of asci from tetraploid cells made by mating between $\mathrm{h}^{+/+}$and $\mathrm{h}^{-/-}$diploids, each heterozygous for one or two deletions. Diploids heterozygous for two or three deletions were verified by tetrad analysis after crossing with tester diploids bearing ura4-D18/ura4-D18, in which $\mathrm{Ura}^{+}$segregants appear in a manner of PD : NPD : $\mathrm{T}=1: 1: 4$ from the cross with the diploid heterozygous for two deletions or in a manner of ( $2 \mathrm{Ura}^{+}: 2 \mathrm{Ura}^{-}$) : ( $3 \mathrm{Ura}^{+}: 1 \mathrm{Ura}^{-}$) : (4 $\mathrm{Ura}^{+}: 0 \mathrm{Ura}^{-}$) $=1: 16: 19$ from the cross with the diploid heterozygous for three deletions. Haploids bearing two or three loci of two copies of genes (marked with $\mathrm{ura4}^{+}$) were also verified by tetrad analysis after crossing with tester haploids bearing ura4-D18, in which Ura ${ }^{+}$ segregants appear as above. Haploids bearing four or five loci of two copies of genes were verified by tetrad analysis after back-crossing with haploids bearing three or four loci of two copies of genes, respectively, in which tetrads were segregated as 2 (longer cells) : 2 (shorter cells).

## Measurement of CER

Cells were grown exponentially in EMM2 for 24 h to a maximum density of $5 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{ml}$ before the initiation of all experiments. Temperature-sensitive cells were cultured at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and other cells were grown at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as indicated. Before measurement of CER, more than three strains with the same genotype were measured for length of long axes of more than 100 cells with septum. Strains having the closest match to average cell length of genotype were chosen for measurement of CER. Aliquot of culture was collected by centrifugation for 30 sec , resuspended and spread on thin EMM2 agar plates. A block ( $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was cut and set upside down on a glass-base dish (diameter, 35 mm ; code 3970-035, Iwaki, Japan). The dish was sealed by parafilm and set on a thermo plate (MATS-55RAF20, Tokai Hit, Japan) on inverted microscope (Nikon Eclipse TE2000-U). The dish was fastened with cellotape on the thermo plate, and finally covered by a plastic petri dish (diameter, 85 mm ) for temperature control. The temperature on the agar was checked with a contact thermistor in trial experiments, and kept at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as indicated. Room temperature was controlled by air conditioner more than $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ lower than the temperature of the agar. Cells were visualized under x 40 magnification, and photographed every 30 min for 6 to 10 h depending on doubling time of strains. Images were acquired with a digital CCD camera (C4742-95-12ERG, Hamamatsu Photonics) and processed using HCImage Live U11158-01 software (Hamamatsu Photonics). Long axes of cells were measured during the first and the second divisions. The average cell-length values of 50 to 70 individual cells were plotted against time axis, and CER was calculated every 30 min . For determination of CER of temperaturesensitive strains, cells were precultured at $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min on agar film and photographed every 30 min . For $c d c 25-22, c d c 2-L 7$, and $c d c 13-117$ cells, average cell length of individual cells in the same field was calculated and plotted against incubation time, from which CER was calculated. A minor population of cells that divided within 3 h after the temperature shift was omitted. For actl-ts or adfl-1 cells, cell length of individual cells was measured until cell lysis and plotted against incubation time, and maximum growth rate of individual cells was used as estimate of growth rate against initial cell length at the temperature shift. Cell length and CER are presented by average with standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was tested by student's two-sided $t$ test. $P$ values are presented as follows: ${ }^{*},<0.05 ;{ }^{* *},<0.001 ;{ }^{* * *},<0.0001$; and n.s., not significant.

## Fluorescence microscopy

Cells were grown exponentially at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In most experiments, exponential cells grown at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were shifted to $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for further incubation as indicated. Cells were visualized under x 40 or x 100 oil magnification using the same microscopy set as above with a GFP filter. Number of cells showing nuclear localization (not uniform distribution in both nucleus and cytoplasm) of GFP signal was scored. Nuclear localization for each genotype was estimated as an average of more than three independent strains with SD. Total content and intensity of GFP signal in the nuclei were measured by manually surrounding the nuclei and processing using the same software as above. Values are presented by average (arbitrary unit) with SD. Statistical significance was as described above.

## Results

## Diploid cells grow faster than haploid cells

The previous study reported, by measuring cell length of individual cells at birth and septation from time-lapse films, that diploid cells grow faster than haploid cells during the same cycle time [19]. I began my inquiry by measuring CER of haploid and diploid cells throughout the cell cycle. For this purpose, cells were pre-cultured exponentially in EMM2 at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, spread on EMM2 agar film, and incubated at the same temperature. Cell growth was monitored by taking photos of cells on the agar film every 30 min between one division and the next (Figure 1(a)) and by measuring long axis of $S$. pombe cells, because they grow only by tip extension. CER was calculated in every 30 min from average cell length of 65 cells (Figure 1(b)). This analysis clearly indicated that diploid cells grow faster than haploid cells at about 1.5 -fold rate until arrest of extension near the septation stage in the cell division cycle.

## Cell division genes control cell growth

To explore whether cell division genes are involved in growth control, temperaturesensitive mutations that affect Cdc2 activity were examined. For this purpose, cells were pre-cultured exponentially at a permissive temperature $\left(28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, spread on EMM2 agar film, and incubated at a restrictive temperature $\left(36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Mutations that abolish Cdc2 activity such as $c d c 25-22, c d c 2-L 7$, and $c d c 13-117$ caused longer cells than wild-type (not shown) and increased CER in both haploid and diploid ( $p<0.0001$, maximum CER compared between wild and mutant) (Figure 2). On the contrary, weel-50 giving higher Cdc2 activity decreased CER of both haploid and diploid ( $p<0.0001$ ) (Figures 2). These results indicate that Cdc2 activity controls CER negatively.

## Haplo-insufficient roles of cell division genes

If cell division genes were involved in ploidy-dependent growth control, deletion of one of two copies of them in diploid would affect CER. To explore this idea, heterozygotes (cdc254/+, wee14/+, or act14/+) were examined for growth kinetics (Figure 3(a)) and CER (Figure 3(b)). All heterozygotes grew more slowly than parental diploid, indicating haplo-insufficient positive roles of $c d c 25^{+}$, weel $1^{+}$, and act1 $1^{+}$. The $c d c 254 /+$ cells extended cycle time and were finally longer at septation stage than wild-type cells. The weeld/+ cells showed an equivalent CER to haploid control, but grew for a longer time as well as the wee14/weel4 cells used as a reference. The act14/+ cells, in which actin polymers were considerably reduced (Supplemental Figure 1), slowed down CER appreciably later in the cycle and underwent premature septation before relatively delayed cell separation.

To investigate genetic epistatic relationship, double heterozygotes harboring weel山/+ (wee1 $\Delta /+c d c 25 \Delta /+$ and wee1 $1 /+$ act1 $1 /+$ ) were examined (Figure 3(b)). Compared with the weeld/+ single heterozygote, they showed no reduction or indeed slight elevation of CER. Conversely, wee14/+ reduced CER of $c d c 254 /+$ and act14/+ heterozygotes. These results indicate that wee14/+ is epistatic to cdc254/+ and act14/+ and suggest that positive roles of Cdc25 and Act1 (actin) work in the presence of sufficient amount of Wee1. Next, to investigate relationship between cdc254/+ and act14/+, double heterozygote ( $c d c 254 /+a c t 14 /+$ ) was compared with single heterozygotes ( $c d c 254 /+$ and act14/+), resulting in similar CER in both cases (Figure 3(b)). This suggests that Cdc25 and Act1 function positively in the presence of sufficient amount of actin and Cdc25, respectively. I also examined the triple heterozygote (weel4/+ cdc254/+ act14/+). CER of the strain was elevated compared with the double heterozygotes of wee14/+ cdc254/+ and wee14/+ act14/+ (Figure 3(b)), confirming negative roles of actin and Cdc25. The triple heterozygote showed similar CER to the $c d c 254 /+$ act14/+ heterozygote (Figure 3(b)), indicating that positive role of Wee1 depends on dosage of Cdc25 and actin. Together, I conclude that actin, Cdc25, and Wee1 execute positive roles interdependently and that actin and Cdc25 also act negatively under a Wee1-insufficient condition. Considering that Cdc2 is known to be involved in actin dynamics: actin filaments develop well or poorly in cells with lower or higher Cdc2 activity, respectively (Supplemental Figure 1) [16,28-30], I set up a hypothetical pathway that may explain the above genetic hierarchy (Figure 3(c)).

## Cdc2 and actin dynamics control nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1

Since the genetic analysis predicted that Cdc25 and Act1 stimulate CER under the presence of sufficient amount of Wee1, together with my observation that longer cells
have more contents of nuclear GFP-Wee1 in the cell cycle (Supplemental Figure 2), I supposed that Cdc2 activity and actin dynamics would control cellular behavior of Wee1. For this purpose, I examined whether several mutations affecting Cdc2 activity or actin dynamics as follows could affect intracellular behavior of GFP-Wee1: cdc2-3w (giving higher Cdc2 activity), $c d c 2-L 7, c d c 25-22, c d c 13-117$ (severe reduction of Cdc2 activity), and two counteracting temperature-sensitive mutations affecting actin dynamics: cps8188 [14], wild type of which encodes actin itself, makes the mutant actin filament collapse to a significant extent at restrictive temperature (hereafter called actl-ts); and adfl-1 [31], wild type of which encodes actin-depolymerizing factor/cofilin that promotes dissociation of monomers from the filament, disrupts actin dynamics and makes mutant cells depleted of monomers. I observed an increase both in nuclear localization (Figure 4(a)) and nuclear content (Supplemental Figure 3) of GFP-Wee1 in both haploid and diploid cells harboring $c d c 2-3 w$ cells, in contrast, reduced nuclear localization of GFPWee1 in $c d c 2-L 7, c d c 25-22$, and $c d c 13-117$ cells regardless of ploidy (Figure 4(a)). Furthermore, heterozygotes for either $c d c 254$ (Figures 4(b)) or $c d c 134$ (Figures 4(a)) also showed reduced nuclear localization. These results suggest that Cdc2 activity controls nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1 in both haploid and diploid, and that two copies of $c d c 25^{+}$or $c d c 13^{+}$are required to keep GFP-Weel in the nuclei of diploid cells. Next, I observed that act1-ts elevated nuclear content of GFP-Wee 1 (Figure 4(c)), suggesting that increased amounts of actin monomers account for nuclear accumulation of GFP-Wee1. In favor with this result, the adf1-1/adf1-1 diploid cells decreased nuclear content of GFPWee1 (Figure 4(c)), which was suppressed by act1-ts/act1-ts (Figure 4(a)). I also found that act14/+ heterozygote showed reduced nuclear content of GFP-Weel (Figures 4(b)), indicating that one copy of $\mathrm{actl}^{+}$in diploid is not enough to accumulate GFP-Wee1 in the nucleus. Furthermore, the $3 \mathrm{xactl} 1^{+}$and actl-ts/actl-ts suppressed the reduced nuclear content of GFP-Wee1 in the $c d c 254 /+$ or $c d c 134 /+$ heterozygote and the $c d c 13-$ 117/cdc13-117 diploid, respectively (Figure 4(a)). Conversely, the adf1-1/adf1-1 suppressed the increased nuclear accumulation of GFP-Wee1 in the $c d c 2-3 w / c d c 2-3 w$ cells (Figure 4(a)). Together, these results reveal a specific role of actin monomer (or short actin oligomer) in the nuclear accumulation of GFP-Wee1 in diploid and suggest that Cdc2 controls nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1 through modulation of actin dynamics. These controls may be associated with nucleo-cytoplasmic transport system because GFP-NESx2-wee1, a version of GFP-Wee1 tagged with two copies of nuclear export signal [25], was mostly localized around the nuclear periphery with some in the nucleus and the cytoplasm regardless of temperature, ploidy, and mutations of cell division genes including actl-ts, adf1-1, and 3xact1 ${ }^{+}$(Supplemental Figure 3 and data not shown).

However, actin dynamics play no or limited role in haploid because no apparent effect was found in the act1-ts and adf1-1 haploids (Figure 4(a)).

## Cdc2 and actin dynamics control nuclear localization of Cdc25-GFP

Next, I searched for possible relation of nuclear localization of Cdc25-GFP to Cdc2 activity and actin dynamics, since nuclear content of Cdc25-GFP increases with cell size (Supplemental Figure 2). I first examined whether mutational modulation of Cdc2 activity could affect nuclear localization of Cdc25-GFP by using mutant cells with higher (weel50) or severely reduced (cdc13-117) Cdc2 activity. I observed reduced nuclear localization by the weel-50 mutation regardless of ploidy (Figure 5(a)), however, constant nuclear localization of Cdc25-NLS-GFP (a version of Cdc25-GFP fused with a nuclear localization signal) (Figure 5(a)), suggesting a specific role in nuclear transport. I also examined the effect of weel $\Delta /+$, resulting in reduction of nuclear content of Cdc25-GFP (Figure 5(b)) but constant nuclear localization of Cdc25-NLS-GFP (Figure 5(a)). Conversely, cdc13-117 cells accumulated nuclear Cdc25-GFP more abundantly irrespective of ploidy (Figure 5(a)). These results indicate that nuclear localization of Cdc25-GFP is associated with Cdc2 activity.

Next, I searched for control of nuclear localization of Cdc25-GFP through actin dynamics. To test this, I first used latrunculin A, a chemical reagent that destabilizes actin filament [32]. I found uniform cellular distribution of Cdc25-GFP but constant nuclear localization of Cdc25-NLS-GFP (Supplemental Figure 4), suggesting a specific role of actin dynamics in nuclear localization of Cdc25-GFP. I next used two counteracting temperature-sensitive mutations for actin dynamics, act1-ts and adf1-1. I observed that both act1-ts and adf1-1 mutations greatly decreased nuclear accumulation of Cdc25-GFP irrespective of ploidy, but did not affect nuclear localization of Cdc25-NLS-GFP (Figure 5(a)). The adfl-1 mutation was also effective in both cdcl3-117 haploid and cdc13$117 / c d c 13-117$ diploid (Figure 5(a)), in which otherwise Cdc25-GFP accumulates in the nuclei more abundantly than in wild-type cells (Figure 5(a)), revealing an active role of actin dynamics in the nuclear localization of Cdc25-GFP. However, I observed that act1$t s$ decreased nuclear localization of Cdc25-GFP in $c d c 13-117 / c d c 13-117$ diploid (Figure 5(c)) but did not in cdc13-117 haploid (Figure 5(a)), suggesting more active roles of actin in diploid than in haploid. I also examined the effect of actl1//+, resulting in reduced nuclear content of Cdc25-GFP (Figure 5(b)). This indicates that one copy of act1 ${ }^{+}$in diploid is not enough to accumulate Cdc25-GFP in the nucleus.

## Positive roles of Cdc25 both in CER and division timing

I postulated that the reduced nuclear content of Cdc25-GFP accounts for both the decrease in CER and the elongated cycle time in the heterozygote harboring weel山/+. To test this, I constructed wee14/+ diploids harboring $c d c 25-N L S-G F P / c d c 25-N L S-G F P$ for forced expression of Cdc25 in the nucleus as well as haploid and diploid controls (1n $c d c 25$ $N L S-G F P$ and $2 \mathrm{n} c d c 25-N L S-G F P / c d c 25-N L S-G F P$, respectively). I observed that both controls showed ploidy-dependent growth kinetics and CER like the cdc25-GFP background albeit slower ones (Figure 6). However, the wee14/+ diploids harboring $c d c 25-N L S-G F P / c d c 25-N L S-G F P$ elevated CER and simultaneously recovered the delayed cycle time compared with the wee14/+ diploids harboring $c d c 25-G F P / c d c 25-$ GFP (Figure 6). These results suggest that nuclear accumulation of Cdc 25 could act positively both for CER and cycle time at least under the Weel-insufficient condition. These observations agree with my proposal that Cdc25 acts as a positive regulator for cell extension during G2 and are consistent with the previous conclusion that Cdc25 constitutes a key molecule in the auto-regulatory loop for acute activation of Cdc2 at the G2/M boundary [33]. Remarkably, the simultaneous manipulation of $c d c 25^{+}$(addition of NLS for nuclear localization) and weel ${ }^{+}$(heterozygosity) made diploid cells grow at the same rate and in the same cycle time as haploid cells (Figure 6), supporting again that both genes are key elements to the cell-size scaling with ploidy.

## Feedforward network controls CER

Because Weel plays a critical role in ploidy-dependent growth control, I asked whether Nim1, known as an inhibitory kinase against Wee 1, would control CER dose-dependently. I also examined dose-dependent abilities of Pom1 and Nif1, both acting as negative regulators of Nim1. According to the traditional way of thought, nim14/+ heterozygote would activate Weel then increase CER whereas pom14/+ and nif14/+ heterozygotes would activate Nim1 and slow down CER. I observed that both heterozygotes ( $4 /+$ ) and homozygotes ( $4 / \Delta$ ) for each of pom14 and nif1 4 decreased CER (Figures 7(a)), indicating haplo-insufficient positive roles of these genes. They also showed delayed cycle time except the nif14/+ strain. Unexpectedly, I observed that nim14/+ heterozygote also decreased CER to an equivalent level to the haploid control (Figure 7(a)), indicating a positive role of Nim1. This was confirmed by the observation that double heterozygote nim14/+ pom14/+, in which Nim1 activity is higher than in the nim14/+ heterozygote, grew faster than the nim14/+ heterozygote (Figure 7(a)). The nim14/+ cells vastly extended cycle time and were finally longer at septation than wild-type cells. However, nim14/nim14 homozygote grew faster than wild-type diploid (Figure 7(a)), indicating a
negative role of Nim1. The nim14/nim14 homozygote also extended cycle time. Together, these results revealed a feedforward network comprised of Nim1, Pom1, and Nifl acting both as a dose-dependent positive or negative regulator for CER and as a cycle-time keeper.

## Nim1 controls nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1 dose-dependently

I asked whether Nim1 would control nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1. I supposed that nim14/+ and nim14/nim14 would decrease nuclear GFP-Wee1 as observed in the $c d c 254 /+$ heterozygote (Figure 4(b)) with the expectation that low Cdc2 activity caused by nim14/+ and nim14/nim14 removes GFP-Wee1 from the nuclei. As expected, I observed reduced nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1 in the nim14/+ heterozygote (Figure 8(a)(b)). However, I observed increased content of nuclear GFP-Wee1 in the nim14/nim14 homozygote (Figure 8(b)). Together, these results indicate that Nim1 controls nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1 in opposite manners dose-dependently.

To further investigate the activity of Nim1, I examined the effect of increased Nim1 dosage ( 4 x nim $1^{+}$) and activity (pom14/+ and pom14/pom14). In all conditions, I observed severe reduction of nuclear localization of GFP-Weel at $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but no apparent effect at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure $8(\mathrm{a})(\mathrm{b})$ ). In trial experiments with the $G F P$-weel background, I observed significant effects of pom14/+ as well as actlu/+ on CER at $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but not $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Supplemental Figure 5). They also showed no effect on CER even at $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the cells harboring GFP-NESX2-weel that expresses Wee1 out of the nucleus (Supplemental Figure 5). These results suggest that the reduction in nuclear localization of GFP-Weel at $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the increased Nim1 activity is responsible for the reduced CER. The different effects of the temperatures on the nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1 may occur by lower sensitivity of GFP-Wee1 to Nim1 at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which coincides with both observations that diploid cells bearing GFP-weel become shorter in length at septation after the temperature shift from $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (approximately $10 \%$ reduction for 4 h ) and that nuclear content of GFP-Wee1 is concordantly lower at $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figures 4(c), 8(b)). Collectively, these results suggest that Nim1 controls nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1 through two separate pathways, one for nuclear accumulation and another for exclusion from the nuclei.

Next, I examined the effect of the increased dosage of pom1 $+\left(4 x p o m 1^{+}\right)$, resulting in reduced nuclear GFP-Weel content at $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure $8(\mathrm{a})$ ) possibly through partial inhibition of Nim1 as observed in the nim14/+ heterozygote. As expected, in the nim14/nim14 background, the pom14/+ did not affect the nim14-induced nuclear accumulation of GFP-Wee1 (Figure 8(a)).

I also examined the effect of nif1 $\Delta$ on nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1. I observed relatively uniform cellular distribution of GFP-Wee 1 at $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in cells of both nif1 $1 /+$ heterozygote and nif14/nif14 homozygote, indicating a reduction in nuclear localization (Figure 8(a)). Since Nif1, like Pom1, expectedly accumulates GFP-Wee1 in the nucleus through inhibition of Nim1, I also examined the Nim1-dependent ability of Nif1. Unexpectedly, I observed that the nif14/nif1 $\Delta$ was so effective as to reduce the nuclear content of GFP-Weel in the nim14/nim14 background (Figure 8(a)), suggesting a Nim1independent role.

I also asked whether the feedforward network would control nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1 in haploid cells. I observed no clear effect in haploid cells bearing pom1 $1 \Delta$, nim14, or nif14 (Figure 8(a)), indicating no or limited role of Pom1, Nim1, and Nif1 in haploid.

Since actin dynamics modulate nuclear content of GFP-Wee1 in response to Cdc2 activity, I asked whether the control of nuclear GFP-Weel content by the feedforward network would be associated with actin dynamics. To explore this, I examined the effects of actl-ts and 3xactl ${ }^{+}$on the reduced nuclear content of GFP-Weel in cells harboring each of pom14/+, nim14/+, nif14/+, nif1 $1 /$ nif1 $1, ~ 4 x p o m I^{+}$, and $4 \times n i m l^{+}$, and also the effect of adfl-1 on the increased nuclear content of GFP-Wee1 in the nim14/nim14 cells. I observed clear suppression by actl-ts, $3 \mathrm{xactl} 1^{+}$, and $\operatorname{adfl-1}$ (Figures 8(a)). These results indicate that actin dynamics control nuclear content of GFP-Wee1 in concert with the feedforward network.

## Feedforward network controls CER both in Wee1-dependent and -independent pathways

Since the feedforward pathway controls CER in association with alteration of nuclear GFP-Weel content, I asked whether modulation of Weel dosage would affect CER of nim14/+ or pom14/+ cells. For this purpose, I constructed two types of strains, each harboring weelU/+ for half dosage of weel ${ }^{+}$or $3 \mathrm{xactl} 1^{+}$for increased content of nuclear Wee1. I observed that neither nim14/+ nor pom14/+ further decreased CER in wee14/+ cells (Figure 7(a)), indicating that weel4/+ is epistatic to nim14/+ and pom14/+. Furthermore, the $3 \mathrm{xact1}{ }^{+}$-induced preservation of nuclear Weel also cancelled the defect by nim14/+ and pom14/+ (Figure 7(a)). These results are consistent with a proposal that Nim1 and Pom1 play a positive role for CER while maintaining nuclear content of Weel. As expected, nim14/nim14 elevated CER in 3xact1 ${ }^{+}$cells significantly (Figure 7(a)), which may occur through both nuclear accumulation of Weel and activation of Weel kinase activity by relief from the Nim1 inhibitory kinase.

To investigate whether Pom1 and Nif1 control CER through inhibition of Nim1, I examined genetic hierarchy between nim14 and either pom14 or nif14. The pom14/+ did not affect CER of the nim14/nim14 homozygote as expected, while the pom14/pom14 clearly decreased CER in the nim14/nim14 homozygote (Figure 7(a)). The latter result may occur by monopolar extension specific to pom14/pom14 [34]. Next, I observed that the nif1 1 /nif1 $\Delta$ decreased CER in the nim14/nim1 4 homozygote (Figure 7(a)), suggesting a niml ${ }^{+}$-independent positive role of Nifl. This is consistent with the observation that Nif1 is required for the increased accumulation of nuclear GFP-Wee1 in the nim1 $1 \mathrm{mim} 1 \Delta$ homozygote (Figure 8(a)).

To confirm the genetic cascade in which Weel functions at the most upstream position, I constructed strains harboring weeld/weeld in combination with nif14/nifl 4 and/or nim14/nim14. Surprisingly, I observed that wee14/weeld homozygotes each bearing nif14/nif14, nim14/nim14, or nif14/nif14 nim14/nim14 grew more slowly and were smaller in cell length at division than the weeld/weeld homozygote (Figure 7(b)), revealing a weel ${ }^{+}$-independent positive role of Nim1 and Nif1. Furthermore, I gave a clear evidence for the positive role of Nim 1 in the absence of both $c d c 25^{+}$and weel $1^{+}$. The nim14/nim1 $\Delta$ cells bearing both $c d c 25-22 / c d c 25-22$ and weel $\Delta /$ weel $1 \Delta$ grew more slowly with shorter cell length at septation than the control cells harboring $c d c 25-22 / c d c 25-22$ and weel $\Delta /$ weel $1 \Delta$ (Figure 7(c)). Collectively, these results revealed that Nim1 increases CER independently of both Wee1 and Cdc25 possibly playing an inhibitory role against Cdc2. In the present study, Nif1 may control CER in a more complicated manner such that it increases CER through inhibition of Nim1 in the authentic pathway, retention of nuclear Weel antagonistically against Nim1, and a Nim1- and Weel-independent pathway.

## Genetic hierarchy between Cdc25 and Nim1

Curiously, Cdc 25 and Nim1 regulate CER in both positive and negative manners dosedependently. To clarify how they control CER cooperatively, I examined genetic hierarchy between Cdc 25 and Nim1. For this purpose, I first constructed double heterozygotes harboring $c d c 254 /+$ and nim 14/+, and examined growth kinetics and CER. I observed that $c d c 25 \Delta /+$ did not decrease CER in the nim14/+ heterozygote, while nim14/+ decreased CER in the $c d c 25 \Delta /+$ heterozygote (Figure 9). These results indicate that Nim1 acts downstream of Cdc25 and suggest that Cdc25 serves as a positive regulator in the cascade where Nim1 increases CER. However, the cdc254/+ nim14/+ double heterozygote further prolonged cycle time compared with the single heterozygotes,
indicating that Cdc25 and Nim1 function to end cell cycle additively or in different pathways.

Next, I asked how Cdc 25 regulates CER under the condition where cells express more active Nim1. To explore this, I combined cdc254/+ with pom14/+ that activates Nim1 and examined the effect of their combination on CER. I observed that $c d c 25 \Delta /+$ increased CER of the pom14/+ heterozygote, while pom14/+ did not decrease CER of the $c d c 254 /+$ heterozygote (Figure 9). Since single heterozygosity of $c d c 254 /+$ and pom14/+ decreases CER, these results revealed interdependency between pom ${ }^{+}$and $c d c 25^{+}$, both acting as positive regulators for CER by accumulating Weel in the nuclei. More interestingly, cellular activity (or content) of Nim1 may control action mode of Cdc25: when cells express more active Nim1, Cdc25 serves as a negative regulator.

## Actin monomers control CER both in positive and negative manners

The present study suggests that Cdc25 and Nim1 control CER positively through nuclear localization of Weel possibly with the aid of actin monomer. I wondered how actin monomers and polymers (or actin cables) work for cell extension at the same time since actin cables and exocytic machineries play parallel roles for polarized growth in fission yeast [15,17]. To clarify this, I first examined the effects of actl-ts. Cells pre-grown exponentially at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were shifted to $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and inspected for cell growth. I observed three growth patterns regardless of ploidy (Figure 10(a)): smaller cells at the temperature shift did not grow for about 2 h and thereafter initiated extension (late induction) or a minor fraction of the cells did not grow at all during the experiment (no growth), while longer cells grew immediately (early induction) at moderate CER (Supplemental Figure 6(b)). These results are consistent with the previous report that actin cables are not essential for polarized growth [15] and suggest a specific role of actin filament in the initiation of growth during early G2 phase, or alternatively, that actin monomers inhibit the growth initiation.

I also examined the effect of act1-ts in cells with higher or lower Cdc2 activity (induced by weel-50 or $c d c 25-22$, respectively), because the modulation of Cdc2 activity is effective for gathering early or late G2 cells and for change in actin dynamics: higher or lower Cdc2 activity is expected to strengthen or weaken the effect of act1-ts, respectively. As expected, wee1-50/weel-50 greatly increased population with no growth in actl-ts/actl-ts diploid (Figure 10(a)), and conversely, $c d c 25-22 / c d c 25-22$ attenuated the defective growth in act1-ts/act1-ts or act1-ts/act1-ts wee1-50/weel-50 diploid (Supplemental Figure 6(a)). Haploid act1-ts cells responded weakly to the modulation of Cdc2 activity (Supplemental Figure 6(a)). In these experiments, cells with early induction
grew at moderate CER irrespective of ploidy (Supplemental Figure 6(b)). These results further underline a critical role of actin dynamics in the initiation of growth during early G2 phase.

To examine whether Nim1 is involved in the regulation of growth initiation, I used the diploid strain harboring triple mutation (act1-ts/act1-ts, cdc25-22/cdc25-22, and weel-50/weel-50) as a control. Half of the population arrested growth after the shift to $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure $10(\mathrm{~b})$ ). However, pom14/+ completely abolished the negative effect of act1-ts/act1-ts, which was suppressed by further addition of nim14/nim14 (Figure 10(b)). These results suggest that reactivation of Nim1 (caused by pom14/+) cancelled the negative effect of act1-ts/act1-ts on Nim1. Collectively, I propose that actin dynamics affects Nim1 activity directly or indirectly through Pom1 independently of Cdc25 and Wee1. Considering the hypothetical pathway in which Nim1 inhibits Cdc2 (Figure 7 (b)(c)), these results suggest that actin dynamics constitute a positive feedback loop, in which Cdc2 stimulates actin monomer formation, resulting in inhibition of Nim1 and feedback activation of Cdc2.

Next, I asked whether the positive feedback loop would account for the increased CER caused by actl4/+ in wee14/+ cells (Figure 3(b)), because the half dosage of act1+ is expected to decrease actin monomers, resulting in activation of Nim1, inhibition of Cdc2, and elevation of CER, and additionally because act14/+ showed no effect on nuclear content of Cdc25-GFP in wee14/+ cells (Figure 5(a)). For this purpose, I examined the effect of act14/+ in double heterozygote harboring weeld/+ and nim14/+ in the hope that the half niml $I^{+}$dosage would reduce active Nim1. As expected, I observed that act14/+ did not elevate but decreased CER of the wee14/+ nim14/+ heterozygote (Figure 10(c)). The decrease in CER would be caused by reduced activity of the positive pathway in which actin monomers stimulate CER through nuclear localization of Wee1. Together, these results confirm the negative role for CER of the positive feedback loop. I also found that act14/+ shortened cycle time in wee14/+ cells (Figure 10(c)) as well as in wild-type cells (Figure 3(a)). However, act14/+ rather lengthened cycle time of the wee14/+ nim14/+ heterozygote (Figure 10(c)). These results suggest that actin controls cycle time through Nim1 in the positive feedback pathway.

To verify the positive role of actin monomers, I used the GFP-NESx2-wee1 background because different kinds of treatment that disrupt normal actin dynamics such as an increased dosage of $a c t l^{+}$, actl-ts, and adfl-1 did not apparently affect the nuclear exclusion of GFP-NESx2-Wee1 (data not shown). As controls, I examined the effects of act1-ts, adf1-1, and their combination act1-ts adf1-1 on CER of diploid cells harboring $G F P$-weel/GFP-weel. For this purpose, cells grown exponentially at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were shifted
to $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and further incubated before cell lysis, during which CER of individual cells was estimated and plotted against initial cell length at the temperature shift. I observed that the cells harboring actl-ts/actl-ts GFP-weel/GFP-weel initiated growth immediately without showing late induction found in the act1-ts/act1-ts cells of normal weel ${ }^{+}$background (Figure 10(a)) and extended cell length at moderately decreased CER compared with the control bearing GFP-weel/GFP-weel (Figure 10(d)). The absence of the initial growth inhibition may occur because actl-ts-induced monomers do not effectively increase Cdc2 activity in the GFP-weel/GFP-wee1 cells that are longer in cell length ( $28.9 \mu \mathrm{~m} \pm 3.6$ for $2 \mathrm{n} G F P$-weel/GFP-weel cells $v 22.7 \mu \mathrm{~m} \pm 2.2$ for 2 n wild-type at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in EMM2) and considered to have lower Cdc2 activity than normal wee $1^{+}$diploid. The moderate decrease in CER would occur if increased actin monomers activated the negative route more actively than they did the positive pathway. On the other hand, the adf1-1/adf1-1 cells showed a considerable decrease in CER (Figure 10(d)), which was expected if supposed that substantial decrease in actin monomers by the adfl-1 mutation would abolish positive route and simultaneously release the suppression from the negative route. If the above speculation would be correct, cells harboring both adf1-1/adf1-1 and act1-ts/act1-ts would increase monomer forms of actin and recover CER at a similar level to actl-ts/act1-ts cells. As expected, the double heterozygote showed elevated CER compared with the adf1-1/adf1-1 cells (Figure 10(d)). Next, I made similar experiments using the GFP-NESx2-weel background in the expectation that actl-tsinduced increase in actin monomers could not activate positive route but activate negative route normally, then would greatly decrease CER. As expected, I observed that CER was heavily decreased by act1-ts/act1-ts in diploid cells bearing GFP-NESx2-wee1/GFP-NESx2-weel (Figure 10(d)). However, adf1-1/adf1-1 reduced CER of GFP-NESx2-weel/GFP-NESX2-weel cells at the same level as did in the GFP-weel/GFP-weel cells (Figure 10(d)), consistent with the notion that only Niml freed from the actin monomerassociated repression activates CER in both cells. Remarkably, act1-ts/actl-ts did not suppress adf1-1/adf1-1 in diploids bearing GFP-NESx2-wee1/GFP-NESx2-wee1 (Figure $10(\mathrm{~d})$ ), confirming a significant positive role of actin monomers in association with nuclear localization of Wee 1. Collectively, I propose that actin monomer acts positively on CER through nuclear localization of Weel and negatively through inhibition of Nim1 in the positive feedback pathway.

## Doubling cell division genes in haploid reproduces diploid growth

Since I identified key genes to ploidy-dependent control of growth rate by deleting one copy of them from diploid, I next explored whether these genes could replicate this
control in haploid by constructing haploid cells bearing two copies of each genes or their combinations. I observed that two copies of weel ${ }^{+}$(GFP-weel and wee1 ${ }^{+}$) elevated CER effectively as compared with wild-type haploid or a reference haploid bearing GFP-weel (1n GFP-weel weel $\Delta$ ) (Figure 11(a)). However, two copies of pomI ${ }^{+}\left(2 x p o m l^{+}\right)$or act1 $1^{+}\left(2 \mathrm{x} a c t 1^{+}\right)$did not affect or slightly decreased CER, respectively. As expected, two copies of $c d c 25^{+}\left(2 \mathrm{x} c d c 25^{+}\right)$and $c d c 13^{+}\left(2 \mathrm{x} c d c 13^{+}\right)$decreased CER (Figure 11(a)).

Next, I investigated the effect of quintuple combination of two copies of genes (GFPweel plus weel ${ }^{+}, 2 \mathrm{xactl} 1^{+}, 2 \mathrm{xpoml} 1^{+}, 2 \mathrm{xcdc} 25^{+}$, and $2 \mathrm{xcdcl} 3^{+}$). I observed that haploid cells with the quintuple combination showed an equivalent CER to the control diploid ( 2 n GFP-weel/lysl wee1 $1 /+$ ), albeit earlier slowdown of CER and consequent shorter cell length at septation (Figure 11(b)). Replacement of GFP-wee1 with GFP-NESx2-wee1 and quadruple combinations without each of $2 \mathrm{xactl}^{+}, 2 \mathrm{xpoml} 1^{+}, 2 \mathrm{x} c d c 25^{+}$, and $2 \mathrm{xcdcl3} 3^{+}$ decreased CER (Figure 11(b)), confirming the dose-dependent positive roles of these genes.

Next, I asked whether two copies of niml $^{+}\left(2 \mathrm{xnim} l^{+}\right)$could act positively for CER in haploid. For this purpose, I constructed haploids bearing all combinations of $2 \mathrm{xniml} l^{+}$, GFP-weel (or GFP-NESx2-weel) plus weel ${ }^{+}$, $2 \mathrm{xact1} 1^{+}, 2 \mathrm{xcdc} 25^{+}$, and $2 \mathrm{xcdcl} 3^{+}$, and examined growth kinetics and CER. I observed that $2 \mathrm{xniml} 1^{+}$exclusively increased CER in haploid cells bearing $G F P$-weel plus weel $I^{+}, 2 \mathrm{xact1}^{+}, 2 \mathrm{x} c d c 25^{+}$, and $2 \mathrm{x} c d c 13^{+}$at a time and decreased CER in haploid cells with all other combinations (not shown) as shown partly: replacement of GFP-wee1 with GFP-NESS2-weel, or subtraction of each of $1 \mathrm{x} a c t 1^{+}, 1 \mathrm{x} c d c 25^{+}$, and $1 \mathrm{x} c d c 13^{+}$(Figure $11(\mathrm{c})$ ). The positive effect of $2 \mathrm{xnim} 1^{+}$was lost by addition of 2 xpom $1^{+}$(Figure 11(c)), indicating a specific activity of Nim1. Collectively, these results indicate that the dose-dependent positive role of Nim1 works in haploid coordinately with Wee1, actin, Cdc25 and Cdc13. I also observed that $2 \mathrm{xnim} I^{+}$shortened cycle time of the haploid cells bearing two copies of weel ${ }^{+}$(GFP-weel plus weel ${ }^{+}$), $2 \mathrm{xact1} 1^{+}, 2 \mathrm{xcdc} 25^{+}$, and $2 \mathrm{xcdc} 13^{+}$(Figure 11(c)) but did not of other haploid cells that lacked one of the set (not shown). The shortened cycle time was also recovered by further addition of 2 xpoml $l^{+}$(Figure 11(c)). The $2 \times n i m l^{+}$-induced shortening of cycle time reminds of the prolonged cycle time caused by nim14/+ (Figure 7(a)), indicating that Nim1 also works in haploid for control of cycle time coordinately with Wee1, actin, Cdc25, and Cdc13.

## Discussion

## A model for genetic control of cell-size scaling with ploidy

This study uncovers a genetic mechanism contributing to cell-size scaling with ploidy, and establishes unambiguously that limited numbers of specific genes but not total mass of DNA determine cell size. I propose that systems level control of Cdc2 activity is crucial for cell size determination and that copy number of cell division genes controlling Cdc2 activity is origin of ploidy information (Figure 12(a)). In this model, Cdc2 activity level determines CER during the G2 growth phase: higher or lower Cdc2 activity inhibits or accelerates CER, respectively. Scaling device consists of five pathways, three negative and one positive feedback loops and a feedforward network. In the feedback circuits, Cdc2 controls actin dynamics such that higher Cdc2 activity produces more numbers of actin monomers. They are related to nuclear accumulation of Weel and cause lower Nim1 activity in the cytoplasm (or interphase cortical nodes) [35]. The resultant active Wee1 inhibits nuclear Cdc2 activity, which stimulates CER. Conversely, lower Cdc2 activity stimulates actin polymer formation, which is associated with nuclear localization of Cdc 25 and subsequent activation of nuclear Cdc2 activity. In the positive feedback loop, actin monomers are involved in activation of cytoplasmic Cdc2 independently of Wee1 through association with the weakened Nim1 activity. Feedforward network consisting of Nim1, Pom1, and Nif1 controls Wee1 activity through the authentic way [ $6,36,37$ ] while playing an inhibitory role against Cdc2 independently of Wee1. Recently, Cao et al. reported that a synthetic gene circuit generates robust scaling of ring formation with colony size in bacteria, which is mediated by integral feedback and incoherent feedforward control [38]. Thus, this type of regulatory network may be underscored as a common mechanism for biological scaling.

## A model for how Nim1 controls nuclear content of Weel

I propose that the nuclear content of Weel observed in the pom14/+, +/+ (wild-type), nim14/+, and nim14/nim14 cells fluctuated up and down (blue line) by summation of inward (IN) and outward (OUT) amount of Weel according to the change in Nim1 activity (Figure 12 (b)). Nim1 controls Cdc2 activity in opposite manners through two independent pathways. It activates Cdc2 by inhibiting Wee1 kinase activity while causes inhibition of Cdc2 separately in the cytoplasm. I propose that the bidirectional activity of Nim1 causes the dose-dependent effects of Nim1 on nuclear content of Weel through the control of cytoplasmic Cdc2 activity. In the former pathway (termed import pathway), increasing Nim1 activity leads to nuclear accumulation of Weel by inhibition of Wee1
and consequent activation of Cdc2 in the cytoplasm while decreasing Nim1 activity leads to exclusion of Wee1 from the nuclei by activation of Wee1 and resultant inhibition of Cdc2 in the cytoplasm (black line). Conversely, in the latter pathway (termed export), increasing Nim1 activity leads to exclusion of Weel from the nuclei by inhibiting cytoplasmic Cdc2 activity while decreasing Nim1 activity leads to nuclear accumulation of Wee1 by activating cytoplasmic Cdc2 activity (light blue line).

## Mirror-image relation between CER and nuclear Cdc2 activity

Regulators of Cdc2 activity are present in the cytoplasm and the nucleus while play distinct roles according to the cellular localization (Figure 12(C)). I observed that Cdc25GFP and GFP-Wee1 increased in nuclear accumulation as diploid cells progressed in G2 except the constant nuclear content of GFP-Wee1 during late G2 (Supplemental Figure 2). Cytoplasmic Nim1 activity is considered to be increased as cells extend their size longitudinally through the relief from Pom1 that localizes at the cell tip [35,39]. Actin undergoes a dynamic change in structure between polymer and monomer in the cytoplasm. As cells progress in G2, polymer forms increasingly while monomer decreases consequently. These regulators control Cdc2 activity and are conversely under the domination of Cdc2 in accordance with the genetically determined pathway (Figure 12(a)). CER increases gradually until middle G2 and thereafter decreases relatively quickly. I propose that CER is finally determined by nuclear Cdc2 activity that is regulated in inverse relation to CER (Figure 12(C)). During early to middle G2, nuclear Cdc2 activity becomes decreased progressively in response to increasing nuclear content of Wee1. Cdc25, Nim1, and actin are responsible for the nuclear accumulation of Wee1, because half dosage of them in diploid cells causes the decrease in nuclear Wee 1 content. They also play key roles in increasing nuclear Cdc2 activity during late G2, which may result in a decline in CER and the successful termination of cell extension.

## Nucleo-cytoplasmic change in Cdc2 activity

I propose that actin dynamics is central to the regulation of nuclear Weel content and Cdc2 activity in diploid cells. Although Cdc2 controls nuclear accumulation of Wee1 in both haploid and diploid cells, actin dynamics is epistatic to Cdc2 in diploid cells while it is not related to the nuclear localization of Wee1 in haploid cells. At early G2, actin monomer stimulates nuclear accumulation of Wee1, which leads to a decrease in the nuclear Cdc2 activity and a subsequent increase in CER (Figure 12(d)). As cells approach to middle G2, increasing Nim1 activity inhibits cytoplasmic Wee1, which activates cytoplasmic Cdc2 activity together with Cdc25. The resulting higher Cdc2 activity in the
cytoplasm may further increase nuclear Weel content, which leads to an additional decline in nuclear Cdc2 activity and a simultaneous increase in CER. As the nuclear Cdc2 activity becomes lower, actin begins to form polymer. As cells grow longer, Nim1 activity becomes increasing with a release from the inhibition by both Pom1 and actin monomer. The resulting higher Nim1 activity may cause inhibition of cytoplasmic Cdc2 activity in the Wee1-independent pathway, which makes cells enter into late G2. The cytoplasmic lower Cdc2 activity along with fewer content of actin monomers stimulates exclusion of Weel from the nuclei, which may cause activation of nuclear Cdc2 together with incoming Cdc25 into the nuclei which is associated with growing actin polymers. The resulting higher Cdc2 activity in the nucleus begins to decrease CER and finally arrests cell extension.

Here I identified a genetic architecture for control of cell-size scaling with ploidy, however, many issues including molecular details remain unsolved in the present model. It is unknown whether cells form a gradient of Cdc 2 activity ranging between the nucleus and the cytoplasm. However, the hypothetical control of Cdc2 activity at different cellular compartments is consistent with the genetic conclusion that Cdc2 activity is required both for the nuclear accumulation of Wee1 and for the consequent low Cdc2 activity that increases CER. I also claim that higher Nim1 activity should be the trigger for entering into late G2 or the CER-decreasing period. For this purpose, Nim1 is hypothesized to eventually inhibit Cdc2 activity in the cytoplasm independently of Wee1. This can be inferred from the genetic data that Nim1 not only increases CER in the absence of Wee1 (Figures 7(b)(c)) but also removes nuclear Weel dependently upon actin dynamics which is under the control of Cdc 2 (Figures 4(a), 8(a)). Finally, future works should shed light on how actin dynamics is related with nuclear accumulation of Weel and Cdc25. It is of great interest whether actin dynamics plays a pivotal role in the yeast nuclear transport system as in mammalian cells [40]. Regardless of the exact mechanism, my findings have important implications for understanding a longstanding and universal issue 'DNA content-cell size rule' in other eukaryotes including plants and animals, in which polyploidy may control tissue-specific cell size and function [5,41]. Finally, my results may advance agricultural application to breeding of crops and gardening plants and lay the groundwork for therapy of diseases in which polyploid cells are involved.
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Figure 1. Diploid cells grow faster than haploid cells.
(a) Time-lapse images of wild-type haploid (1n) and diploid (2n) cells at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Photographs were taken every 30 min . Arrowheads indicate the same growing cells. Bar, $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (b) Growth kinetics (top) and CER (bottom), starting from one division to the next.

Figure 2. Cell division genes control cell growth.
CER of the wild-type and temperature-sensitive mutants as indicated after the shift to $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Figure 3. Haplo-insufficient roles of cell division genes.
(a) Growth kinetics and (b) maximum CER during incubation at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of the heterozygotes indicated. The weel $14 /$ weel $1 \Delta$ strain was used as a reference. Data for wildtype cells are the same as shown in Figure 1. Statistical significance ( $p$ value) against the strain marked by bar is presented. (c) A hypothetical genetic pathway. Arrow and T-bar indicate activation and repression, respectively.

Figure 4. Cdc2 and actin dynamics control nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1.
(a) Nuclear localization of GFP-Wee 1 at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and after the shift to $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 and 30 min in the haploid and diploid cells indicated, respectively. (b, c) Fluorescence images of live cells. Bar, $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Figure 5. Cdc2 and actin dynamics control nuclear localization of Cdc25-GFP.
(a) Nuclear localization of Cdc25-GFP or Cdc25-NLS-GFP at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and after the shift to $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 or 2 h . (b, c) Fluorescence images of live cells. Bar, $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Figure 6. Positive roles of Cdc 25 both in CER and division timing.
Growth kinetics and maximum CER at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of the cells harboring $c d c 25-G F P$ or $c d c 25$ -NLS-GFP.

Figure 7. Feedforward network controls CER.
(a-c) Growth kinetics and maximum CER at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ and $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{c})$.

Figure 8. Feedforward network controls nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1.
(a) Nuclear localization of GFP-Wee 1 at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and after the shift to $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 and 30 min in the haploid and diploid cells, respectively. (b) Fluorescence images of live cells. Intensity of nuclear GFP-Wee1 is also presented. Bar, $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Figure 9. Genetic hierarchy between Cdc 25 and Nim1.
Growth kinetics and maximum CER at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Figure 10. Actin monomers control CER both in positive and negative manners.
(a) Actin dynamics controls the initiation of growth at early G2. Growth kinetics and maximum CER of individual actl-ts cells after the shift to $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ plotted against initial cell length at the temperature shift. Early and late induction of growth was represented by blue and red marks, respectively. No growth during the incubation was by bright green. (b) Actin dynamics affects cell growth through Pom1-Nim1. (c) Actin controls both CER and cycle time through Nim1. (d) Actin monomer controls CER both positively through nuclear localization of Wee1 and negatively in a separate pathway.

Figure 11. Doubling cell division genes in haploid reproduces diploid growth. (a-c) Growth kinetics and maximum CER at $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (a) Haploids each bearing two copies of $c d c 13^{+}, c d c 25^{+}$, poml $^{+}$, actl $1^{+}$, or weel ${ }^{+}\left(G F P\right.$-weel and weel $\left.{ }^{+}\right)$and haploid controls (wild and GFP-weel wee14). (b) A haploid bearing quintuple combination of the two copies of genes and haploids bearing quadruple combination that lack one of five. Diploid control (2n GFP-wee1/lysl wee1 $1 /+$ ) is also shown. (c) Positive role of Nim1 in haploid. Series of haploids bearing quadruple or triple combination and those bearing in addition two copies of niml $l^{+}\left(2 \mathrm{xniml} 1^{+}\right)$or $2 \mathrm{xniml} 1^{+}$plus $2 \mathrm{xpoml} 1^{+}$.

Figure 12. A model for how fission yeast cells scale their size with ploidy.
(a) Genetic framework for control of CER in diploid. Arrow and T-bar indicate activation and repression, respectively. (b) Nim1 controls nuclear content of Weel through two different pathways. Blue circle, nuclear Weel content observed in the strains indicated. Black circle, hypothetical current of Weel into (IN) or out (OUT) of the nucleus through the import pathway. Light blue circle, that through the export pathway. (c) Proposed fluctuation of protein content or activity in the nucleus and cytoplasm together with CER during G2 progression in diploid cells. Values are relative in each protein and not comparable among proteins. (d) Nucleo-cytoplasmic change in Cdc2 activity during G2 progression. Arrows indicate flow of Weel and Cdc25 into and out of the nucleus. The Weel oval with dotted slant lines indicates inhibition by Nim1. Cytoplasmic areas of active Pom1 and Nim1 are colored yellow and brown, respectively. Actin monomers and polymers are presented by oval and its chain, respectively.

Supplemental Figure 1. Fluorescence images of actin.
Cells pre-grown exponentially at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in EMM2 (or supplemented with requirements) were incubated at $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h before staining with rhodamine-phalloidin as described previously [32]. Bar, $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Supplemental Figure 2. Fluorescence images of GFP-Wee1 and Cdc25-GFP.
Cells were cultured exponentially at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in EMM2. Total contents of GFP-Wee1 and Cdc25-GFP (in arbitrary unit) in the nuclei of individual cells were plotted against cell length. Bar, $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Supplemental Figure 3. Effects of $c d c 2-3 w$ on nuclear localization of GFP-Wee1 and GFP-NESx2-Wee1.
Cells were cultured exponentially at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in EMM2. Nuclear intensity of GFP-Wee1 also shown. Bar, $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Supplemental Figure 4. Actin dynamics controls nuclear localization of Cdc25-GFP. Cells were grown at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and viewed by fluorescence microscopy for nuclear localization of Cdc25-GFP and Cdc25-NLS-GFP after the treatment with latrunculin A $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ or DMSO for the indicated time. Bar, $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Supplemental Figure 5. Effect of the temperature on CER in the cells bearing GFP-weel and GFP-NESx2-wee1.
CER was measured at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the cells bearing GFP-weel and GFP-NESx2weel. Heterozygosity of act14 and pom14 decreased CER only at $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the GFPweel background.

Supplemental Figure 6. Actin dynamics controls cell growth cooperatively with Cdc2. (a, b) Cells were cultured at $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (a) Proportion of cells showing early or late induction and no growth. (b) Average values of maximum CER in the individual cells showing early induction.

Supplemental Table 1. The S. pombe strains used.

| Experiment | Strain | Genotype |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figure 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2802 \\ & 2829 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{h}^{-} \text {wild } \\ & \mathrm{h}^{+/-} \text {ade6-M210/ade6-M216 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Figure 2 | 2802 2829 3967 4031 3823 3691 3779 3800 4017 4035 | ```h- wild \mp@subsup{h}{}{+/-}}\mathrm{ ade6-M210/ade6-M216 h- weel-50 \mp@subsup{h}{}{+/-}}\mathrm{ wee1-50/wee1-50 leu1-32/+ ade6-M210/ade6-M216 h}\mp@subsup{}{}{-}cdc25-2 \mp@subsup{h}{}{+/-}}cdc25-22/cdc25-22 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 h- cdc2-L7 \mp@subsup{h}{}{+/-}}cdc2-L7/cdc2-L7 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 h- cdc 13-117 \mp@subsup{\textrm{h}}{}{+/-}cdc13-117/cdc13-117 ade6-M210/ade6-M216``` |
| Figure 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2802 \\ & 2829 \\ & 5646 \\ & 4684 \\ & 5649 \\ & 5667 \\ & 5670 \\ & 5669 \\ & 6021 \\ & 4688 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{-}$wild <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-/}$cdc254::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-/}$act14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 <br> ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/+}$cdc254::ura4 $4^{+} /+$wee14: :ura $4^{+} /+$leu1-32/+ <br> ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-/}$act14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$cdc254::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$leu1-32/+ <br> ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-/}$act14::ura4 $4^{+} /+$wee14 $::$ura $^{+} /+$leu1-32/+ <br> ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{--}$cdc254::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$act14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$ <br> leu1-32/+ (or +/+) ura4-D18/ura4-D18 <br> ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$wee14::ura $4^{+} /$wee14::ura $4^{+}$leu1-32/+ <br> ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 |
| Figure 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 3174 \\ & 3234 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{+}$lys1 $1^{+}:: G F P$-weel wee14: $:$ ura $4^{+}$ura4-D18 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-}$cdc2-3w lys $1^{+}: \because G F P$-weel wee14::ura $4^{+}$ura4-D18 |


| Figure 4 | 3707 <br> 3891 <br> 4042 <br> 4181 <br> 5701 <br> 1371 <br> 5685 <br> 4699 <br> 5301 <br> 3180 <br> 3256 <br> 3428 <br> 3892 <br> 4060 | $\mathrm{h}^{-}$cdc2-L7 lys1 $1^{+}:$GFP-weel wee14: :ura $4^{+}$ ura4-D18 (or -294) <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+}$cdc25-22 lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-weel wee14: $:$ ura $^{+}{ }^{+}$ura4-D18 ade6-M210 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+}$cdc13-117 lys1 $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee1 wee14::ura $4^{+}$ura4-D18 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+} 2$ xact $1^{+}::$ura $^{+}$lys $1^{+} \because: G F P-$ weel wee1 $1::$ ura4-3233 ura4-D18 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+}$cdc13-117 2xact1 $1^{+}:$ura $^{+}$lys $1^{+} \because$ GFP-weel wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18 ade6-M210 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-}$act1-ts lys $1^{+} \because G F P-$ weel wee14::ura $4^{+}$leu1-32 ura4-D18 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-}$act1-ts cdc13-117 lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-weel wee14: $:$ ura $4^{+}$ leu1-32 ura4-D18 ade6-M210 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-}$adf1-1 lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee1 wee14: $:$ ura $4^{+}$ura4-D18 h adfl-1 cdc2-3w lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-weel weel $1::$ ura $^{+}$ ura4-D18 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee1/lys $1^{+} \because G F P$-weel wee14::ura $4^{+}$wee14:::ura $4^{+}$leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$cdc2-3w/cdc2-3w lys $1^{+}:: G F P-w e e 1 / l y s 1^{+} \because G F P-$ wee1 wee14::ura $4^{+}$/wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$cdc2-L7/cdc2-L7 lys1 ${ }^{+} \because$ GFP-wee1/lys $1^{+} \because: G F P$-wee1 wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$wee14::ura $4^{+}$ <br> ura4-D18 (or -294)/ura4-D18 (or -294) <br> ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$cdc25-22/cdc25-22 lys $1^{+} \because: G F P-$ wee1/lys $1^{+} \because: G F P-$ weel wee14::ura $4^{+}$/wee14::ura $4^{+}$leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$cdc13-117/cdc13-117 <br> lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P$-weel <br> wee14::ura $4^{+}$/wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-/}$cdc $254::$ ura4 $4^{+} /+$lys $1^{+}: \because G F P$-wee1/lys $1^{+} \because G F P$-weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Figure 4 | 3927 <br> 3322 <br> 4813 <br> 5731 <br> 4951 <br> 4953 <br> 3636 <br> 5691 <br> 4734 <br> 4854 | $\mathrm{h}^{-/}$cdc $134::$ ura $4^{+} /+$lys $1^{+} \because G F P$-wee $1 /$ lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee 1 wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+-}$act14: :ura $4^{+} /+$lys $1^{+} \because G F P-$ wee1/lys $1^{+}:: G F P-$ weel 1 wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-} 2$ xactl $^{+}::$ura4 $^{+} /+\left(3 \mathrm{xact1}{ }^{+}\right)$ lys $1^{+}:: G F P-$ wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P-$ weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$cdc13-117/cdc13-1172xact1 ${ }^{+}::$ura $^{+} /+\left(3 \mathrm{xact1} 1^{+}\right)$ lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}: \because G F P$-weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$cdc254::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+2$ xactl $^{+}::$ura4 $^{+} /+\left(3 \mathrm{xactl} 1^{+}\right)$ lys $1^{+}:: G F P-$ wee $1 / l y s 1^{+} \because: G F P-$ wee1 wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{-/}$cdc134::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+2$ xact1 $^{+}::$ura4 $^{+} /+\left(3 \mathrm{xact1} 1^{+}\right)$ lys $1^{+} \because G F P-$ wee $1 / l y s 1^{+} \because G F P$-weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$act1-ts/act1-ts lys1 ${ }^{+}:: G F P$-wee1/lys $1^{+}: \because G F P$-wee1 wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$/wee14::ura4-3233 leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$act1-ts/act1-ts cdc13-117/cdc13-117 lys $1^{+} \because G F P-$ wee $1 / l y s 1^{+} \because G F P-$ wee1 wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$wwee14::ura $4^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$adf1-1/adf1-1 lys $1^{+} \because: G F P-w e e 1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P$-wee1 wee14::ura $4^{+}$/wee14::ura $4^{+}$ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$act1-ts/act1-ts adf1-1/adf1-1 lys $1^{+} \because G F P-$ wee $1 / l y s 1^{+} \because G F P-$ weel wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$/wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Figure 4 | 5320 | $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$adf1-1/adf1-1 cdc2-3w/cdc2-3w lys $1^{+}:: G F P-$ wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P-$ weel wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$wee14:::ura $4^{+}$ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figure 5 | 1461 | $\mathrm{h}^{-}$cdc $254::$ ura $4^{+}::$cdc $25-G F P::$ leul $^{+}$leu1-32 ura4-D18 |
|  | 1557 | $\mathrm{h}^{-}$cps8-188 (act1-ts) cdc254::ura4 ${ }^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P::$ leu1 ${ }^{+}$ leu1-32 ura4-D18 ade6-M210 |
|  | 4708 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{h}^{-} \text {adf1-1 cdc254::ura4 } 4^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P:: \text { leul }^{+} \text {leu1-32 } \\ & \text { ura4-D18 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1464 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{h}^{-} \text {wee1-50 cdc254::ura } 4^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P:: \text { leu1 }{ }^{+} \text {leu1- } 32 \\ & \text { ura4-D18 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1846 | $\mathrm{h}^{-}$cdc13-117 cdc254::ura $4^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P::$ leu1 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32 ura4-D18 ade6-M210 |
|  | 1909 | $\mathrm{h}^{-}$act1-ts cdc13-117 cdc254:::ura4 ${ }^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P \because:$ leu1 $^{+}$ leu1-32 ura4-D18 ade6-M210 |
|  | 4780 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { h- adf1-1 cdc13-117 cdc254::ura } 4^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P: \because l e u 1^{+} \\ & \text {leu1-32 ura4-D18 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1587 | $\mathrm{h}^{-}$cdc254::ura $4^{+}:: c d c 25-N L S-G F P::$ leu1 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32 ura4-D18 ade6-M216 |
|  | 1870 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{h}^{-} \text {wee1-50 cdc } 25 \Delta:: \text { ura }^{+}:: c d c 25-N L S-G F P:: \text { leul }^{+} \\ & \text {leu1-32 ura4-D18 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2792 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{h}^{+} \text {act1-ts cdc } 254:: \text { ura }^{+} \because:: c d c 25-N L S-G F P:: l e u 1^{+} \\ & \text {leu1-32 ura4-D18 ade } 6-M 210 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 4796 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { h- adf1-1 cdc254::ura4 } 4^{+}:: c d c 25-N L S-G F P:: l e u 1^{+} \text {leu1-32 } \\ & \text { ura4-D18 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 3614 | ```\mp@subsup{h}{}{-/}}\mathrm{ cdc254::ura4+ ::cdc25-GFP::leu1+/ cdc254::ura4 }\mp@subsup{}{}{+}::cdc25-GFP::leu1+ leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216``` |
|  | 1740 | ```\mp@subsup{h}{}{+/-}}\mathrm{ act1-ts/act1-ts cdc254::ura4 +}::cdc25-GFP::leu1+// cdc254::ura4 }\mp@subsup{}{}{+}::cdc25-GFP::leu1+ leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216``` |
|  | 4736 | $\mathrm{h}^{+/-} \text {adf1-1/adf1-1 }$ |
|  |  | cdc250::ura4 ${ }^{+}:$:cdc25-GFP:: leul $^{+} /$ |
|  |  | $\text { cdc254::ura4 }{ }^{+} \because: c d c 25-G F P:: \text { leu1 }^{+}$ |
|  |  | leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 |
|  |  | ade6-M210/ade6-M216 |


| Figure 5 | 1488 <br> 1861 <br> 1925 <br> 4808 <br> 3592 <br> 2141 <br> 3705 <br> 6134 <br> 1886 | $\mathrm{h}^{+/}$wee1-50/wee1-50 cdc254:::ura4 ${ }^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P::$ leul $^{+} /$ cdc254::ura $4^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P::$ leu1 $^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$cdc13-117/cdc13-117 cdc254::ura4 ${ }^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P::$ leu1 $^{+} /$ cdc254::ura $4^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P:: l e u 1^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$act1-ts/act1-ts cdc13-117/cdc13-117 cdc254::ura4 $4^{+}:$cdc25-GFP: :leu1 ${ }^{+} /$ cdc254::ura $4^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P::$ leul $^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$adf1-1/adf1-1 cdc13-117/cdc13-117 cdc254::ura4 ${ }^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P::$ leu1 $^{+} /$ cdc254::ura $4^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P::$ leul $^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{-/}$wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$ cdc254::ura4-2012::cdc25-GFP $:$ :leu1 ${ }^{+} /$ cdc254::ura4-2012::cdc25-GFP::leu1 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{-/}$act14::ura $4^{+} /+$ cdc254::ura4-2012::cdc25-GFP: :leu1 ${ }^{+} /$ cdc254::ura4-2012::cdc25-GFP::leu1 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{-/-}$act14::ura4 $4^{+} /+$wee14::ura4 $4^{+} /+$ cdc254::ura4-2012::cdc25-GFP: :leu1 ${ }^{+} /$ cdc254::ura4-2012::cdc25-GFP::leu1 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$cdc254::ura $4^{+}:: c d c 25-N L S-G F P::$ leu1 $^{+} /$ cdc251::ura $4^{+}:: c d c 25-N L S-G F P::$ leu1 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/}$weel-50/weel-50 cdc254::ura4 ${ }^{+}:: c d c 25-N L S-G F P::$ leu1 $^{+} /$ cdc251::ura $4^{+}:: c d c 25-N L S-G F P::$ leu1 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Figure 5 | $2797$ <br> 4811 $6139$ | ```h+/- act1-ts/act1-ts cdc254::ura4+ ::cdc25-NLS-GFP::leu1+/ cdc254::ura4 4}::cdc25-NLS-GFP::leu1+ leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 h +/- adf1-1/adf1-1 cdc254::ura4+ ::cdc25-NLS-GFP::leu1+/ cdc254::ura4 4'::cdc25-NLS-GFP::leu1+ leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 h+/- wee1\Delta::ura4+/+ cdc254::ura4+ ::cdc25-NLS-GFP::leu1+/ cdc254::ura4 4}::cdc25-NLS-GFP::leu1+ leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figure 6 | 1461 <br> 3614 <br> 3592 <br> 6112 <br> 6134 <br> 6139 | ```h \mp@subsup{h}{}{-/-}}\mathrm{ cdc254::ura4+}::cdc25-GFP::leu1+/ cdc254::ura4 }\mp@subsup{}{}{+}::cdc25-GFP::leu1+ leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 h-/ wee1\Delta::ura4 4}/ cdc254::ura4-2012::cdc25-GFP::leu1+/ cdc254::ura4-2012::cdc25-GFP::leu1+ leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 h- cdc254::ura4 }\mp@subsup{}{}{+}::cdc25-NLS-GFP::leu1+ leu1-3 ura4-D18 \mp@subsup{h}{}{+/-}}\mathrm{ cdc254::ura4 }\mp@subsup{}{}{+}::cdc25-NLS-GFP::leu1+// cdc254::ura4 }\mp@subsup{}{}{+}::cdc25-NLS-GFP::leu1+ leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 h+/- wee1\Delta::ura4+/+ cdc254::ura4+ ::cdc25-NLS-GFP::leu1+/ cdc254::ura4 4}::cdc25-NLS-GFP::leu1+ leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216``` |
| Figure 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 2802 \\ & 2829 \\ & 6136 \\ & 4445 \end{aligned}$ | ```h- wild h+/- ade6-M210/ade6-M2 16 h+/- nim14::LEU2/+ leu1-32/leu1-32 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \mp@subsup{h}{}{+/} nim1\Delta::LEU2/ nim14::LEU2 leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/+ ade6-M210/ade6-M216``` |



| Figure 7 | 5335 <br> 4688 <br> 5469 <br> 4693 <br> 5473 <br> 5409 <br> 5388 | $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$nim14: $:$LEU2/nim14: $:$LEU2 <br> nif14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$nif14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 <br> ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /$wee14::ura $4^{+}$leu1-32/+ <br> ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/}$nif14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /$nif14::ura $4^{+}$ <br> wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$/wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18/ura4-D18 <br> ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$nim14::LEU2/nim14: $:$LEU2 <br> wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$/wee14::ura4 $4^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 <br> ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$nif14::ura4 $4^{+} /$nif14::ura $4^{+}$ <br> nim14::LEU2/nim14::LEU2 wee14::ura4 $4^{+}$wee1 $1::$ ura $^{+}{ }^{+}$ <br> leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 <br> ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$cdc25-22/cdc25-22 wee14::ura4 $4^{+}$wee14:: ura $4^{+}$ <br> ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$cdc25-22/cdc25-22 wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /$wee14::ura $4^{+}$ <br> nim14 : :LEU2/nim14::LEU2 leu1-32/leu1-32 <br> ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figure 8 | 3174 <br> 3507 <br> 4542 <br> 4607 <br> 5452 <br> 3180 <br> 3526 | $\mathrm{h}^{+}$lys $1^{+}:: G F P-$ weel wee14::ura $4^{+}$ura4-D18 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+}$pom14::ura $4^{+}$lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-weel weel $1::$ ura4-3233 <br> ura4-D18 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+}$nim14::LEU2 lys $1^{+} \because: G F P-$ weel weel $1::$ ura $^{+}{ }^{+}$leu1-32 ura4-D18 ade6-M210 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+}$nim $14::$ LEU2 pom $14::$ ura $^{+}$lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-weel wee14::ura4-3233 leu1-32 ura4-D18 ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+}$nif14::ura $4^{+}$lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-weel weel4::ura4-3233 ura4-D18 ade6-M210 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+-}$lys $1^{+} \because G F P$-weel/lys $1^{+} \because G F P$-weel <br> wee14::ura $4^{+}$/wee14:::ura4 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32/+ <br> ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+-}$pom14::ura $4^{+} /$pom14::ura $4^{+}$ <br> lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P$-weel <br> wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 <br> ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 |


| Figure 8 | 3529 <br> 5277 <br> 3673 <br> 4574 <br> 4880 <br> 6126 <br> 4612 <br> 4554 <br> 5233 | $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$pom14::ura4 $4^{+} /+$lys $1^{+} \because$ GFP-wee1/lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee1 wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-} 2$ xpom $1^{+}::$ura $^{+} / 2$ xpom $1^{+}::$ura $4^{+}\left(4 x\right.$ pom $\left.1^{+}\right)$ lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee1/lys $1^{+}: \because G F P$-weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$act1-ts/act1-ts pom14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$ lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee $1 / l y s 1^{+} \because G F P$-weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$nim14::LEU2/nim14 $::$LEU2 lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P$-weel wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$/wee14::ura4-3233 leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$adf1-1/adf1-1 nim14::LEU2/nim14: $:$LEU2 lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}: \because G F P$-weel wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$weeld::ura4 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$nim14 $\because: L E U 2 /+$ lys $1^{+}:: G F P-$ wee1/lys $1^{+} \because: G F P-$ weel 1 wee14::ura $4^{+}$/wee14::ura4-3233 leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}+/-$ nim14::LEU2/nim14 $::$ LEU2 pom14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$ lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P$-weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$nim14::LEU2/+ pom14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$ lys $1^{+} \because G F P$-wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}: \because G F P$-weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-} 2 \mathrm{xniml} 1^{+}::$ura $^{+} / 2 \mathrm{xniml} 1^{+}:$ura $^{+}{ }^{+}\left(4 \mathrm{xnim} 1^{+}\right)$ lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee1/lys $1^{+} \because G F P$-weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Figure 8 | 5457 <br> 5460 <br> 5502 <br> 4813 <br> 4816 <br> 4865 <br> 5444 <br> 5491 | ```\(\mathrm{h}^{+/-}\)nif14::ura4 \({ }^{+}\)nif14::ura4 \({ }^{+}\) lys \(1^{+}:: G F P-\) wee \(1 / l y s 1^{+} \because G F P-\) weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-}\)nif14::ura \(4^{+} /+\)lys \(1^{+}:: G F P\)-weel/lys \(1^{+}:: G F P\)-weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-}\)nif14::ura \(4^{+} /\)nif1 \(1::\) ura \(^{+}\) nim14 : :LEU2/nim1 \(1:: L E U 2\) lys \(1^{+}:: G F P\)-wee \(1 / l y s 1^{+} \because G F P\)-wee1 wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-} 2 \mathrm{xactl}{ }^{+}:: \mathrm{ura4}^{+} /+\left(3 \mathrm{xactl} l^{+}\right)\) lys \(1^{+}:: G F P-\) weel \(1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P\)-weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-} 2 \mathrm{xact1}{ }^{+}::\)ura \(^{+} /+\left(3 \mathrm{xact1} 1^{+}\right)\)pom14: \(:\)ura \(^{+} /+\) lys \(1^{+} \because G F P\)-wee1/lys \(1^{+} \because G F P\)-weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-} 2 \mathrm{xact1}{ }^{+}::\)ura4 \(^{+} /+\left(3 \mathrm{xact1} 1^{+}\right)\)nim \(1 \Delta \because:\) LEU2/+ lys \(1^{+}:: G F P\)-wee \(1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P\)-weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+-} 2 \mathrm{xact1}{ }^{+}::\)ura4 \(^{+} /+\left(3 \mathrm{xact1}{ }^{+}\right)\) \(2 \mathrm{xpom} 1+::\) ura \(^{+} / 2 \mathrm{xpom} 1^{+}::\)ura \(^{+}\left(4 \mathrm{xpom} 1^{+}\right)\) lys \(1^{+}:: G F P-\) wee1/lys \(1^{+}:: G F P\)-wee1 wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-} 2 \mathrm{xact1} 1^{+}:: \mathrm{ura4}^{+} /+\left(3 \mathrm{xactl} 1^{+}\right)\) \(2 \mathrm{xnim} 1^{+}::\)ura \(^{+} / 2 \mathrm{xnim} 1^{+}::\)ura \(^{+}\left(4 \mathrm{xniml} 1^{+}\right)\) lys \(1^{+}:: G F P-\) wee1/lys \(1^{+}: \because G F P\)-wee1 wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Figure 8 | 5567 $5563$ | ```\mp@subsup{h}{}{+/- 2xact1 }\mp@subsup{1}{}{+}::ura4\mp@subsup{4}{}{+}/+ (3xact\mp@subsup{1}{}{+}) nif1\Delta::ura4 4}/nif1\Delta::ura4 + lys1+ wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 h +/ 2xact1 + ::ura4 +/+ (3xact1 +) nif10::ura4 +}/ lys\mp@subsup{1}{}{+}::GFP-wee1/lys1+ wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figure 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 2829 \\ & 5646 \\ & 6136 \\ & 6146 \\ & 6057 \\ & 6145 \end{aligned}$ | ```\(\mathrm{h}^{+/-}\)ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{-/}\)cdc254:: ura4 \(4^{+} /+\)leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-}\)nim14::LEU2/+ leu1-32/leu1-32 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-}\)cdc254:: ura \(4^{+} /+\)nim14::LEU2/+ leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/+ (or -/-) ade6-M2 10/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{-/}\)pom14:: ura \(4^{+} /+\)leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-}\)cdc254::ura \(4^{+/+}\)pom14:: ura \(4^{+} /+\)leu1-32/+ (or +/+) ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216``` |
| Figure 10 | 1345 <br> 3991 <br> 3660 <br> 4068 <br> 3797 <br> 1701 <br> 3872 <br> 5681 | ```\(\mathrm{h}^{-}\)cps8-188 (act1-ts) \(\mathrm{h}^{-}\)act1-ts weel-50 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-}\)act1-ts/act1-ts ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-}\)act1-ts/act1-ts wee1-50/wee1-50 leu1-32/+ ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-}\)cdc25-22/cdc25-22 weel-50/weel-50 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-}\)act1-ts/act1-ts cdc25-22/cdc25-22 wee1-50/wee1-50 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-}\)act1-ts/act1-ts cdc25-22/cdc25-22 wee1-50/wee1-50 pom14::ura4 \({ }^{+}+\)leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \(\mathrm{h}^{+/-}\)act1-ts/act1-ts cdc25-22/cdc25-22 wee1-50/wee1-50 pom14::ura4 \({ }^{+} /+\)nim1 \(1: \because\) LEU2/nim14 \(\because: L E U 2\) leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216``` |


| Figure 10 |  | $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-/}$act14::ura4 $4^{+} /+$wee14 $::$ura $^{+} /+$leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{\text {-/- }}$ wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$nim14 $:$LEU2/+ leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$act14::ura $4^{+} /+$wee14 $\because:$ ura $^{+} /+$nim14 $\because: L E U 2 /+$ leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 <br> ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$lys $1^{+}!: G F P-$ wee1/lys $1^{+}: \because G F P$-weel wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$weeld::ura4 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$act1-ts/act1-ts lys $1^{+} \because G F P$-weel/lys1 $1^{+}:: G F P$-weel wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$/wee14::ura $4^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$adf1-1/adf1-1 lys1 $1^{+}:: G F P-$ wee1/lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-weel 1 wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$wee14::ura $4^{+}$ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$act1-ts/act1-ts adf1-1/adf1-1 <br> lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P$-weel <br> wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$/wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$lys $1^{+}:: G F P-N E S x 2-$ wee1/lys $1^{+} \because: G F P-N E S x 2$-weel wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$/wee14::ura $4^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$act1-ts/act1-ts <br> lys $1^{+}:: G F P-N E S x 2-$ wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P-N E S x 2$-weel 1 wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$wee14::ura $4^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M2 16 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$adf1-1/adf1-1 lys $1^{+}:$GFP-NESx2-wee1/lys $1^{+}:: G F P-N E S x 2-w e e 1$ wee14::ura $4^{+}$wee14::ura $4^{+}$ura4-D18/ura4-D18 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$act1-ts/act1-ts adf1-1/adf1-1 lys $1^{+}:: G F P-N E S x 2-$ wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P-N E S x 2-w e e 1$ wee14::ura4+/wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Figure 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 5115 \\ & 4208 \\ & 5112 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{-} 2 \mathrm{xnim} 1^{+}::$ura $4^{+} 2 \mathrm{xact1} 1^{+}::$ura $^{+} 2 \mathrm{xcdc} 13^{+}::$ura $^{+}$ lys $1^{+}:: G F P$-weel ura4-D18 <br> h- $2 \mathrm{xact1} 1^{+}::$ura $4^{+} 2 \mathrm{xcdc} 25^{+}::$ura $4^{+}$lys $1^{+} \because$ GFP-weel ura4-D18 <br> h- $2 \mathrm{xnim} 1^{+}::$ura $4^{+} 2 \mathrm{xact1} 1^{+}::$ura $^{+} 2 \mathrm{xcdc} 25^{+}::$ura $^{+}$ lys $1^{+}:: G F P-$ weel ura4-D18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supplemental <br> Figure 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1579 \\ & 1286 \\ & 1845 \\ & 1289 \\ & 2266 \\ & 3351 \\ & \hline 2148 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{+}$cdc254::ura4 ${ }^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P::$ leu1 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32 ura4-D18 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-}$cdc25-22 leu1-32 ura4-D18 ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+}$cdc13-117 cdc254::ura4 ${ }^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P::$ leu1 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32 ura4-D18 ade6-M210 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-}$wee1-50 leu1-32 ura4-D18 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-} 2 \mathrm{xact1}{ }^{+}::$ura $^{+}$leu1-32 ura4-D18 ade6-M210 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-/-}$cdc254::ura4 $4^{+}:$cdc25-GFP::leu1 ${ }^{+} /$ <br> cdc254::ura $4^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P:: l e u 1^{+}$ <br> leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$act14: :ura $4^{+} /+$ <br> cdc254::ura4-2012::cdc25-GFP $:$ leu1 $^{+} /$ <br> cdc254::ura4-2012::cdc25-GFP::leu1 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32/leu1-32 <br> ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 |
| Supplemental <br> Figure 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 3174 \\ & 3180 \\ & \\ & 1461 \\ & 3614 \end{aligned}$ | ```\mp@subsup{\textrm{h}}{}{+}}\mathrm{ lys }\mp@subsup{1}{}{+}:\becauseGFP-weel wee14::ura4 4 ura4-D18 \mp@subsup{h}{}{+/- lys I } wee14::ura4+/wee1\Delta::ura4+ leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216```   ```cdc254::ura4 }\mp@subsup{}{}{+}::cdc25-GFP::leu1+' leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216``` |
| Supplemental <br> Figure 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3180 \\ & 3256 \end{aligned}$ | ```\mp@subsup{h}{}{+/- lys }\mp@subsup{1}{}{+}\because:GFP-wee1/lys1}\mp@subsup{1}{}{+}\becauseGGFP-wee wee14::ura4+/wee14::ura4+ leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 \mp@subsup{h}{}{+/-}cdc2-3w/cdc2-3w lys1+}\mp@subsup{I}{}{+}:GFP-wee1/lys\mp@subsup{I}{}{+}\because:GFP-wee wee14::ura4+/wee14::ura4+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216``` |


| Supplemental <br> Figure 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3212 \\ & 3260 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$lys $1^{+}:: G F P-N E S x 2$-wee1/lys $1^{+} \because G F P-N E S x 2$-wee1 wee14::ura $4^{+}$/wee14::ura $4^{+}$leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-} c d c 2-3 w / c d c 2-3 w$ <br> lys $1^{+} \because: G F P-N E S x 2-$ wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P-N E S x 2-$ wee 1 wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$/wee14::ura $4^{+}$ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supplemental <br> Figure 4 | YEY132 <br> YHO182 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{h}^{+} \text {cdc } 254:: \text { ura }^{+}:: c d c 25-G F P:: \text { leul }^{+} \text {leu1-32 ura4-D18 } \\ & \mathrm{h}^{-} \text {cdc254::ura } 4^{+}:: c d c 25-\text { NLS-GFP }:: \text { leul }^{+} \text {leu1-32 } \\ & \text { ura4-D18 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Supplemental <br> Figure 5 | 3180 <br> 3322 <br> 3529 <br> 3212 <br> 3325 <br> 3533 | $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$lys $1^{+} \because: G F P-$ weel $/ /$ lys $1^{+}: \because G F P$-weel 1 wee14::ura4+/wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$act14::ura $4^{+} /+$lys $1^{+}:: G F P-$ weel $/ l y s 1^{+} \because: G F P-$ weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$pom14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$lys $1^{+} \because G F P-$ wee1/lys $1^{+}:: G F P-$ weel wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$lys $1^{+} \because: G F P-N E S x 2-$ wee1/lys $1^{+} \because: G F P-N E S x 2$-wee1 wee14::ura4 ${ }^{+}$wee14::ura $4^{+}$leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{+/+}$act14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$ <br> lys $1^{+}:: G F P-N E S x 2-$ wee $1 / l y s 1^{+}:: G F P-N E S x 2-$ wee 1 wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 leu1-32/+ ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 $\mathrm{h}^{+/-}$pom14::ura4 ${ }^{+} /+$ lys $1^{+}:: G F P-N E S x 2-$ wee1/lys $1^{+}:: G F P-N E S x 2-$ wee 1 wee14::ura4-3233/wee14::ura4-3233 ura4-D18/ura4D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 |
| Supplemental <br> Figure 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 2802 \\ & 1345 \\ & 3823 \\ & 1664 \\ & 3967 \\ & 3991 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{-}$wild <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-}$cps8-188 (act1-ts) <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-} \mathrm{cdc} 25-22$ <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-}$act1-ts cdc 25-22 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-}$weel-50 <br> $\mathrm{h}^{-}$act1-ts weel-50 |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Supplemental } & 3760 & \mathrm{~h}^{-} \text {cdc25-22 wee1-50 } \\ \text { Figure } 6 & 1689 & \mathrm{~h}^{-} \text {cdc25-22 wee1-50 act1-ts ade6-M216 } \\ 2829 & \mathrm{~h}^{+/-} \text {ade6-M210/ade6-M216 } \\ \mathrm{h}^{+-} \text {act1-ts/act1-ts ade6-M210/ade6-M216 } \\ & 3660 & 3691 \\ 3677 & \begin{array}{l}\mathrm{h}^{+/-} \text {cdc25-22/cdc25-22 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 } \\ \mathrm{h}^{+/-} \text {act1-ts/act1-ts cdc25-22/cdc25-22 } \\ \text { ade6-M210/ade6-M216 }\end{array} \\ & 4031 & \begin{array}{l}\mathrm{h}^{+/-} \text {wee1-50/wee1-50 leu1-32/+ ade6-M210/ade6-M216 } \\ \mathrm{h}^{+/-} \text {act1-ts/act1-ts wee1-50/wee1-50 leu1-32/+ } \\ \text { ade6-M210/ade6-M216 }\end{array} \\ \mathrm{h}^{+/-} \text {cdc25-22/cdc25-22 wee1-50/wee1-50 } \\ \text { ade6-M210/ade6-M216 } \\ \mathrm{h}^{+/-} \text {act1-ts/act1-ts cdc25-22/cdc25-22 wee1-50/wee1-50 } \\ \text { ade6-M210/ade6-M216 }\end{array}\right]$

Figure 1.


Figure 2.


## Figure 3.


b

| Genotype | CER (Max) | $p$ va |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 n wild | $3.94 \pm 0.71$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2n wee14/+ | $2.66 \pm 0.66$ | *** | - |  |  |  |  |
| 2n cdc25 $/+$ | $3.57 \pm 0.95$ | * |  | - |  | n.s. |  |
| $2 \mathrm{nact1} \mathrm{\Delta /+}$ | $3.33 \pm 0.84$ | *** |  |  | - | n.s. |  |
| 2 n wee14/+ cdc254/+ | $2.75 \pm 0.87$ | *** | n.s. | *** |  |  | *** |
|  | $2.98 \pm 0.81$ | *** | * |  | * |  | * |
|  | $3.47 \pm 1.0$ | * |  |  |  | - | n.s. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2n wee } 1 \Delta /+ \text { cdc } 25 \Delta /+ \\ & \text { act1 } /+ \end{aligned}$ | $3.43 \pm 0.81$ | ** |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2 n wee14/wee14 | $2.08 \pm 0.58$ | *** | *** |  |  |  |  |
| 1n wild | $2.47 \pm 0.59$ | *** | n.s. |  |  |  |  |
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Cell extension

Figure 4.


Figure 4. (continued)


## Figure 5.

## a

Ploidy cdc25-GFP act1 adf1 wee1 cdc13


Ploidy cdc25-GFP act1 adf1 wee1 cdc13


Figure 5. (continued)
b


C
2n cdc13-117/cdc13-117 act1-ts/act1-ts


Figure 6.


| Genotype | CER (max) | P value |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1n $c d c 25-G F P$ | $2.02 \pm 0.67$ | $* * *$ |  |  | - |
| 2n $c d c 25-G F P / c d c 25-G F P$ | $2.71 \pm 0.76$ | - |  |  |  |
| 2n wee14/+ | $1.38 \pm 0.83$ | $* * *$ |  | - |  |
| $\quad c d c 25-G F P / c d c 25-G F P$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1n $c d c 25-N L S$ | $1.57 \pm 0.47$ |  | $* * *$ |  |  |
| 2n $c d c 25-N L S / c d c 25-N L S$ | $2.50 \pm 0.74$ |  | - |  |  |
| 2n wee14/+ <br> $c d c 25-N L S / c d c 25-N L S$ | $2.09 \pm 0.63$ |  | $*$ | $* * *$ | n.s. |

Figure 7.


| Genotype$2 n$ wild | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CER (max) } \\ & \hline 3.94 \pm 0.71 \end{aligned}$ | $P$ value |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | $2.54 \pm 0.87$ | *** | - |  |  |  |
| $2 \mathrm{n} \operatorname{nim} 1 \Delta / n \operatorname{mim} 1 \Delta$ | $4.62 \pm 0.80$ | *** |  |  |  | - |
| 2n pom14/+ | $3.02 \pm 0.86$ | *** |  |  |  |  |
|  | $2.38 \pm 0.89$ | *** |  |  |  |  |
|  | $3.49 \pm 0.92$ | * |  |  |  |  |
| 2n nif1 $/$ /nif1 $\Delta$ | $3.16 \pm 0.79$ | *** |  |  |  |  |
| 1 n wild | $2.47 \pm 0.59$ | *** | n.s. |  |  |  |
|  | $3.36 \pm 1.38$ |  | ** |  |  |  |
| 2 n wee14/+ | $2.66 \pm 0.66$ |  |  | - |  |  |
| 2 n wee1 $1 \Delta /+$ nim1 ${ }^{\text {/ }}+$ | $2.42 \pm 0.73$ |  |  | n.s. |  |  |
|  | $2.82 \pm 0.81$ |  |  | n.s. |  |  |
| 2n 3xact1+ | $3.17 \pm 0.80$ |  |  |  | - |  |
|  | $3.54 \pm 1.39$ |  |  |  | n.s. |  |
| 2n 3xact1+ nim14/nim14 | $3.95 \pm 1.02$ |  |  |  | *** |  |
|  | $3.04 \pm 0.83$ |  |  |  | n.s. |  |
|  | $4.51 \pm 1.19$ |  |  |  |  | n.s. |
|  | $3.37 \pm 0.82$ |  |  |  |  | *** |
|  | $4.18 \pm 0.87$ |  |  |  |  | * |

Figure 7. (continued)
b


| Genotype | CER (max) | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 n wee14/wee14 | $2.08 \pm 0.58$ | - |
| 2 n wee1 $1 \Delta /$ wee1 $1 \Delta$ nif1 $/$ /nif1 $\Delta$ | $1.77 \pm 0.50$ | * |
| 2 n wee $1 \Delta /$ wee1 $\Delta$ nim1 $1 /$ nim1 $\Delta$ | $1.76 \pm 0.81$ | * |
| $2 n$ wee1 $1 \Delta /$ wee1 $\Delta$ <br> nif1 $/$ /nif1 $\Delta$ nim1 $\Delta / n i m 1 \Delta$ | $1.63 \pm 0.69$ | ** |



## Figure 8.

a


Figure 8. (continued)


Figure 9.


| Genotype | CER (max) | P value |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2n cdc25 $/+$ | $3.57 \pm 0.95$ | - |  |  |
| 2n nim1 $/++$ | $2.54 \pm 0.87$ |  | - |  |
| 2n pom1 $/+$ | $3.02 \pm 0.86$ |  |  | - |
| 2n cdc25 $/+$ nim1 $/+$ | $2.78 \pm 0.89$ | $* * *$ | n.s. |  |
| 2n cdc25 $/+$ pom1 $/++$ | $3.89 \pm 0.98$ | n.s. |  | $* * *$ |
| 2n wild | $3.94 \pm 0.71$ | $*$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ |

## Figure 10.


b
Ploidy cdc25 wee1 act1 pom1 nim1

| 2 n | -/- | -/- | +/+ | +/+ | +/+ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Early |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 n | -/- | -/- | -/- | +/+ | +/+ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - Late |
| 2 n | -/- | -/- | -/- | $+/ \Delta$ | +/+ |  |  |  |  |  |  | No |
| 2 n | -/- | -/- | -/- | $+/ \Delta$ | $\Delta / \Delta$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Early |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 20 | $40 \quad 60$ | 80 | 100 |  | Late |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cell (\%) |  |  |  | No |



## Figure 10. (continued)

C



## Figure 11.


b


| Genotype | CER (max) | P value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2n control | $5.43 \pm 1.49$ | n.s. |
| 1n Complete | $5.30 \pm 1.06$ | - |
| 1n NES | $2.34 \pm 0.90$ | $* * *$ |
| 1n - pom1+ | $1.85 \pm 0.96$ | $* * *$ |
| $1 n-$ act1+ | $2.40 \pm 0.99$ | $* * *$ |
| $1 n-c d c 25+$ | $4.29 \pm 1.48$ | $* * *$ |
| $1 n-c d c 13+$ | $3.27 \pm 1.20$ | $* * *$ |

## Figure 11. (continued)
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## Supplemental Figure 2.



## Supplemental Figure 3.



## Supplemental Figure 4.




## Supplemental Figure 5.

Ploidy GFP-wee1 act1 pom1 temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )


## Supplemental Figure 6.

## a

Ploidy act1 cdc25 wee1

b


