

Original contribution

Human PATHOLOGY www.elsevier.com/locate/humpath

Clinicopathological significance of claspin overexpression and its association with spheroid formation in gastric cancer $\overset{\sim}{\sim}, \overset{\sim}{\sim}\overset{\sim}{\sim}$



Go Kobayashi MBBS^{a,b}, Kazuhiro Sentani MD, PhD^{a,*}, Takuya Hattori MD, PhD^a, Yuji Yamamoto MD^a, Takeharu Imai MD^c, Naoya Sakamoto MD, PhD^a, Kazuya Kuraoka MD, PhD^d, Naohide Oue MD, PhD^a, Naomi Sasaki MD, PhD^b, Kiyomi Taniyama MD, PhD^d, Wataru Yasui MD, PhD^a

^aDepartment of Molecular Pathology, Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, 734-8551 Japan

^bDepartment of Pathology, Kure-Kyosai Hospital, Federation of National Public Service Personnel Mutual Aid Associations, Hiroshima, 737-8505 Japan

^cDepartment of Surgical Oncology, Graduate School of Medicine, Gifu University, Gifu, 501-1194 Japan

^dDepartment of Pathology, National Hospital Organization Kure Medical Center and Chugoku Cancer Center, Kure-City, Hiroshima, 737-0023 Japan

Received 27 May 2018; revised 31 August 2018; accepted 6 September 2018

Keywords: Cancer stem cell; CD44; Claspin; Gastric cancer; SpheroidSummary Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the leading causes of cancer-related death worldwide. Spheroid colony formation is a useful method to identify cancer stem cells (CSCs). The aim of this study was to identify a novel prognostic marker or therapeutic target for GC using a method to identify CSCs. We analyzed the microarray data in spheroid body-forming and parental cells and focused on the <i>CLSPN</i> gene because it is overexpressed in the spheroid body-forming cells in both the GC cell lines MKN-45 and MKN-74. Quantitative reverse- transcription polymerase chain reaction analysis revealed that <i>CLSPN</i> messenger RNA expression was up- regulated in GC cell lines MKN-45, MKN-74, and TMK-1. Immunohistochemistry of claspin showed that
94 (47%) of 203 GC cases were positive. Claspin-positive GC cases were associated with higher T and N grades, tumor stage, lymphatic invasion, and poor prognosis. In addition, claspin expression was coex-

 $\stackrel{\text{\tiny{th}}}{\sim}$ Competing interests: None.

 $\stackrel{\textrm{\tiny free}}{\to}$ Funding/Support: This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (16K08691) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Molecular Pathology, Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, 1-2-3 Kasumi, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 734-8551, Japan.

E-mail address: kzsentani@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (K. Sentani).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humpath.2018.09.001 0046-8177/© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is a common type of human cancer, and although therapeutic outcomes have recently improved for early GC, it remains one of the world's leading causes of cancer-related death [1]. In the past decade, GC has been recognized as a stem cell disease [2]. Cancer stem cells (CSCs) have been suggested to drive tumor initiation and sustain self-renewal [3]. Also, CSCs are closely associated with chemotherapy resistance, recurrence, and metastasis [3]. Therefore, characterizing CSCs is important to establish more effective cancer treatments. One effective method of characterizing CSCs is spheroid colony formation [3-7]. Previously, we performed microarray analyses in spheroid body–forming and parental cells in GC cell lines and reported up-regulation of several kinesin genes including *KIFC1* and *KIF11* [4]. In the present study, we searched candidate genes from the previous microarray data and found that the *CLSPN* gene was up-regulated in both the MKN-45 and MKN-74 GC cell lines.

Claspin is a nuclear protein related to DNA replication and damage response and is an important regulator for the S-phase checkpoint [8]. Phosphorylated claspin interacts with checkpoint kinase 1 (CHK1) promoting its activation by ataxia telangiectasia-mutated and Rad3-related kinase (ATR)dependent phosphorylation [8-10]. Down-regulation of claspin, and ATR and Chk1 greatly reduces cell survival and promotes alterations in cell cycle checkpoints and DNA repair systems [9]. These alterations may lead to genomic instability that triggers cancer development [11-13]. However, overexpression of claspin has also been reported in several human solid tumors such as colon, lung, bladder, breast, and cervical cancers [13-15]. Therefore, previous results indicate that depending on the circumstances, claspin is involved in functions that promote both tumor suppression and cell proliferation. To our knowledge, however, detailed function and expression profiles of the CLSPN gene in human GC remain to be analyzed.

Thus, the present study is the first detailed analysis of claspin expression in GC including its clinicopathological significance and biological function. To clarify the pattern of expression and localization of claspin in GC, we performed immunohistochemical analysis of surgically resected GC samples and investigated the association between claspin and various molecules including CSC markers.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Tissue samples and cell lines

In this retrospective study, 203 primary tumors were collected from patients diagnosed as having GC who underwent curative resection surgery at Hiroshima University Hospital (Hiroshima, Japan). All samples were obtained with patient consent, and the present study was approved by the Ethical Committee for Human Genome Research of Hiroshima University. Only patients without preoperative radiotherapy or chemotherapy were enrolled in the study. Ninety-eight of 102 patients with stage II/III/IV received chemotherapy after the surgery, but 4 patients who had worse performance status did not receive chemotherapy. The chemotherapy modalities are almost the same during the spanning of the inclusive years. The study population included 124 men and 79 women. Postoperative follow-up was scheduled every 1, 2, or 3 months during the first 2 years after surgery and every 6 months thereafter, unless more frequent follow-up was deemed necessary. Chest x-rays, chest computed tomographic scans, and serum chemistry analyses were performed at every follow-up visit. Recurrence was evaluated from the patient records at Hiroshima University Hospital. Patients were followed up by their physician until the patient's death or date of the last documented contact. Archival formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues from the 203 patients who had undergone surgical excision for GC were examined using immunohistochemical analysis. All 203 GC cases were histologically classified into differentiated type (well- or moderately differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma and papillary adenocarcinoma) and undifferentiated type (poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma and signet-ring cell carcinoma) according to the Japanese classification of GC. Tumor staging was performed according to the TNM stage grouping system. Written informed consent was not obtained; thus, for strict privacy protection, all identifying information associated with the samples was removed before the analysis.

Human GC–derived cell lines MKN-1, MKN-7, MKN-45, MKN-74, and TMK-1 were purchased from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources Cell Bank (Osaka, Japan). All cell lines were maintained in RPMI 1640 (Nissui Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Whittaker, Walkersville, MD) in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 95% air at 37°C.

2.2. Quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction analysis and Western blotting

Total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and 1 μ g of total RNA was converted to cDNA using the First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). Quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed using the ABI PRISM 7700 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), as described previously [16]. β -Actin (*ACTB* gene) was used as an internal housekeeping control. Western blotting was performed as described previously [17].

2.3. Immunohistochemistry

We used archival formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues from 203 patients who had undergone surgical excision of GC between 2003 and 2007 at Hiroshima University Hospital. One or 2 representative tumor blocks, including the tumor center, invading front, and the tumor-associated nonneoplastic mucosa, from each patient were examined by immunohistochemistry (IHC). For large, late-stage tumors, 2 different sections were examined to include representative areas of the tumor center and the lateral and deep tumor invasive front. Immunohistochemical analysis was performed using a Dako Envision+ Mouse Peroxidase Detection System (Dako Cytomation, Carpinteria, CA). Antigen retrieval was performed by microwave heating in citrate buffer (pH 8.0) for 60 minutes.



Fig. 1 Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of *CLSPN*. A, *CLSPN* mRNA was measured in MKN-45 and MKN-74 cells. B, *CLSPN* mRNA expression level in 14 normal tissues and 5 GC cell lines. C, T/N ratio of *CLSPN* mRNA level between GC tissue (T) and corresponding nonneoplastic mucosa (N) in 14 GC cases. A T/N ratio greater than 2 was considered to represent overexpression. Up-regulation of the *CLSPN* gene was observed in 5 (35.7%) of 14 GC cases.

Peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% H₂O₂-methanol for 5 minutes, and the sections were incubated with normal goat serum (Dako Cytomation) for 20 minutes to block nonspecific antibody binding sites. Sections were incubated with a rabbit polyclonal anticlaspin antibody (dilution 1:20 000) for 1 hour at room temperature, followed by incubation with Envision+ antimouse peroxidase for 1 h. The sections were incubated with DAB Substrate-Chromogen Solution (Dako Cytomation) for 5 minutes for color reaction and then were counterstained with 0.1% hematoxylin. Negative controls were created by omission of the primary antibody.

The expression of claspin in GC was scored in all tumors as positive or negative. When more than 5% of tumor cells were stained, immunostaining was considered positive for claspin (according to the median cutoff values rounded off to the nearest 5%). Using these definitions, 2 observers (G. K. and K. S.) without knowledge of clinical and pathologic parameters or the patient outcomes, independently reviewed immunoreactivity in each specimen. If there were slight discrepancies between 2 sections or interobserver differences, it was resolved by consensus review at a double-headed microscope after independent review. The expression of CD44, aldehyde dehydrogenase isoform 1 (ALDH1), CD133, matrix metalloproteinase 7 (MMP7), human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2 (HER2), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), β -catenin, and p53 was scored in all tumors as positive or negative. When more than 10% of tumor cells were stained, the immunostaining was considered positive for each molecule. HER2 IHC score was defined as scores of 0, 1+, 2+, and 3+, following the scoring system of Hofmann et al [18]. All cases with IHC 3+ or IHC 2+ were defined as HER2 IHC positive.

2.4. Fluorescence in situ hybridization

All HER2 IHC 2+ cases were examined by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) using the PathVysion HER2 DNA Probe Kit (PathVysion Kit; Abbott Molecular, Des Plaines, IL) containing a spectrum orange–labeled *HER-2* gene (17q11.2-q12) probe and a spectrum green–labeled centromere control for chromosome 17 (17p11.1-q11.1). Analysis was carried out using a Leica CytoVision fluorescence microscope (CytoVision; Leica Biosystems, Nußloch, Germany) equipped with appropriate filters. A minimum of 60 nonoverlapping nuclei were evaluated, and the ratio of *HER-2* signals per nuclei relative to chromosome 17 centromere signals were calculated. Ratio scores of greater than 2.0 were classified as *HER2* amplification. HER2 IHC 2+ tumors with *HER2* amplification or HER2 IHC 3+ were finally considered HER2 positive.



Fig. 2 Immunohistochemical analysis of claspin. A, Claspin expression in differentiated-type GC tissue. B, Claspin expression in undifferentiated-type GC tissue. C, Claspin expression in corresponding nonneoplastic gastric mucosa. D, Kaplan-Meier plot of survival for patients with GC by tumor claspin expression. Anticlaspin antibody immunohistochemical staining, original magnifications ×100 (A and B) and ×40 (C).

Table 1 Relationship between claspin expression and clinicopathological characteristics in the 203 GC cases

	Claspin expression		Р
	Positive, n (%)	Negative	
Age, y			
$\leq 65 \ (n = 102)$	47 (46)	55	NS
$\geq 66 (n = 101)$	47 (47)	54	
Sex			
Female $(n = 78)$	34 (44)	44	NS
Male $(n = 125)$	60 (48)	65	
T grade			
T1 (n = 83)	28 (34)	55	.0028
T2/T3/T4 (n = 120)	66 (55)	54	
N grade			
N0 $(n = 106)$	39 (37)	67	.0045
N1/2/3 (n = 97)	55 (57)	42	
M grade			
M0 (n = 150)	65 (43)	85	NS
M1 $(n = 37)$	22 (59)	15	
Stage			
Stage I $(n = 101)$	34 (34)	67	.0003
Stage II/III/IV $(n = 102)$	60 (59)	42	
Histology			
Differentiated $(n = 95)$	39 (42)	56	NS
Undifferentiated $(n = 108)$	55 (52)	53	
Lymphatic invasion			
1y0 (n = 80)	27 (34)	53	.0025
ly1 (n = 107)	60 (56)	47	
Venous invasion			
v0 (n = 108)	45 (41)	63	NS
v1 (n = 79)	42 (53)	37	

Alternation NC and similar

Abbreviation: NS, not significant.

2.5. RNA interference

To knock down endogenous claspin, RNA interference (RNAi) was carried out as described previously [19]. Small interfering RNA (siRNA) oligonucleotides for claspin and a negative control were purchased from Invitrogen. Transfection was performed using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 60 pmol of siRNA and 10 μ L of Lipofectamine RNAiMAX were mixed in 1 mL of RPMI medium (10 nmol/L final siRNA concentration). After 20 minutes of incubation, the mixture was added to the cells, and these were plated on dishes for each assay. The cells were analyzed at 48 hours after transfection in all experiments.

2.6. Cell growth and in vitro invasion assays

A 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was performed to examine cell growth. The cells were seeded at a density of 2000 cells/well in 96-well plates. Cell growth was monitored after 1, 2, and 4 days. Modified Boyden chamber assays were performed to evaluate the invasiveness. The cells were plated at 10 000 cells/well in RPMI 1640 medium plus 1% serum in the upper chamber of a Transwell insert (pore diameter, 8 µm; Chemicon, Temecula, CA) coated with Matrigel. Medium containing 10% serum was placed in the bottom chamber. After 1 and 2 days, the cells in the upper chamber were removed by scraping, and the cells remaining on the lower surface of the insert were stained with CyQuant GR dye to assess the number of cells. We performed 3 different experiments and calculated the mean and SD in each of the MTT assays and the Modified Boyden chamber assays.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Correlations between the clinicopathological parameters and claspin expression were analyzed using the Fisher exact test. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were constructed for claspin-positive and claspin-negative patients, and survival rates of the 2 groups were compared. Differences between the survival curves were tested for statistical significance using the



Fig. 3 Immunohistochemical analysis of the correlation between claspin expression and various molecules including CSC markers in consecutive tumor sections of GC. The expression of claspin was coexpressed with CD44, HER2, and p53. A, C, and E, The nuclear expression of claspin (A, C, and E, anticlaspin antibody immunohistochemical staining, original magnifications ×200, ×200, and ×200, respectively). B, The membrane expression of CD44 (anti-CD44 antibody immunohistochemical staining, ×400). D, The membrane expression of HER2 (anti-HER2 antibody immunohistochemical staining, ×400). D, The membrane expression of p53 (anti-p53 antibody immunohistochemical staining, ×400).

log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were used to evaluate the associations between clinical covariates and survival as described previously [20]. A *P* value of less than .05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. The SPSS software program (SPSS, Chicago, IL) was used for all statistical analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Messenger RNA expression of *CLSPN* in the spheroid body-forming GC cells, systemic normal organs, GC cell lines, and GC tissue

To confirm up-regulation of the *CLSPN* gene in the spheroid body–forming cells, the expression of *CLSPN* messenger RNA (mRNA) was measured by quantitative RT-PCR in the MKN-45 and MKN-74 cell lines. *CLSPN* mRNA expression was more than 2-fold higher in the spheroid body–forming cells than in the parental cells in both the MKN-45 and MKN-74 cells (Fig. 1A). Next, to confirm whether the *CLSPN* gene is cancer specific, quantitative RT-PCR was performed in 5 GC cell lines and in 14 types of normal tissue. *CLSPN* expression was detected at low levels or to even a lesser extent in various normal organs. However, high *CLSPN* expression was observed in GC cell lines MKN-45, MKN-74 and TMK-1 (Fig. 1B). Moreover, we analyzed *CLSPN* expression in 14 GC tissue samples and 14 corresponding nonneoplastic mucosa samples by quantitative RT-PCR. We calculated the ratio of mRNA expression levels between GC tissue (T) and corresponding nonneoplastic mucosa (N). T/N ratios greater than 2 were considered to represent overexpression. *CLSPN* mRNA was up-regulated in 5 (35.7%) of the 14 cases (Fig. 1C).

3.2. Immunohistochemical analysis of claspin in GC

To analyze the tissue localization, pattern of distribution, and relationship between clinicopathological characteristics and claspin in GC, we performed IHC in the 203 human GC samples. Claspin expression was detected in 94 (47%) of the 203 GCs, and it showed nuclear staining in tumor cells irrespective of the histology (Fig. 2A and B). In the nonneoplastic gastric mucosa, the staining of claspin was either weak or absent in epithelial and stromal cells (Fig. 2C). Next, we analyzed the relationship between claspin expression and various clinicopathological characteristics. Claspin expression was associated with higher T grade (P =.0028), N grade (P = .0045), tumor stage (P = .0003), and lymphatic invasion (P = .0025) in claspin-positive than claspin-negative GC cases (Table 1). Claspin expression was not associated with age, sex, M grade, histology, or venous invasion.

Table 2 Relationship between claspin expression and various molecules including CSC markers in 123 of the GC cases

	Claspin expression		Р
	Positive, n (%)	Negative	
CD44			
Positive $(n = 67)$	38 (56)	29	.0336
Negative $(n = 56)$	21 (38)	35	
ALDH1			
Positive $(n = 64)$	33 (55)	31	NS
Negative $(n = 59)$	26 (44)	33	
CD133			
Positive $(n = 28)$	14 (50)	14	NS
Negative $(n = 95)$	45 (47)	50	
MMP7			
Positive $(n = 79)$	41 (51)	38	NS
Negative $(n = 44)$	18 (41)	26	
β-Catenin			
Positive $(n = 46)$	22 (48)	24	NS
Negative $(n = 77)$	37 (48)	40	
p53			
Positive $(n = 49)$	29 (59)	20	.0423
Negative $(n = 74)$	30 (40)	44	
HER2 (IHC 2+, 3+)			
Positive $(n = 32)$	21 (65)	11	.0201
Negative $(n = 91)$	38 (42)	53	
HER2 (IHC 3+, FISH +)			
Positive $(n = 20)$	13 (65)	7	.0957
Negative $(n = 103)$	46 (44)	57	
EGFR			
Positive $(n = 30)$	14 (47)	16	NS
Negative $(n = 93)$	45 (48)	48	

NOTE. *P* values were calculated using the Fisher exact test.

Abbreviation: NS, not significant.

3.3. Relationship between claspin expression and prognosis in GC

We performed a Kaplan-Meier analysis to investigate the association between claspin expression and patient prognosis to further elucidate the clinical impact of claspin on GC in 187 of our patients. Claspin expression was significantly associated with a poorer prognosis (P = .0468, log-rank test; Fig. 2D). We also performed univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards analyses but did not find claspin expression to be an independent prognostic predictor (data not shown).

3.4. Analysis of the correlation between claspin expression and various molecules including CSC markers

We revealed that claspin could contribute to tumor progression in GC. However, it remains unclear what molecules claspin is associated with. Therefore, we investigated the relationship between claspin expression and various molecules, including some stem cell markers (CD44, ALDH1, CD133), MMP7, β -catenin, p53, HER2, and EGFR. We revealed that claspin expression was coexpressed with CD44 (P = .0336), HER2 IHC (P = .0201), and p53 (P = .0423; Fig. 3, Table 2). Also, both CD44 and claspin expressions in consecutive tumor sections were observed in regions of lymphatic invasion (Fig. 3A and B).

Next, we performed *HER2* FISH analysis in all of 20 HER2 IHC 2+ cases. *HER2* gene amplification was demonstrated in 8 (40%) of 20 cases, and HER2 positivity including *HER2* gene amplification or HER2 IHC 3+ was confirmed in 20 (16%) of 123 cases (Fig. 3D). The result showed a tendency of claspin expression to be associated with HER2 positivity (P = .0957; Table 2).

3.5. Effect of claspin down-regulation on cell growth and invasive activity of GC cells

To analyze the biological significance of claspin in GC, siRNA knockdown was performed on the MKN-45 GC cell line and confirmed by Western blot and quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 4A and B). To investigate the possible antiproliferative effects of *CLSPN* knockdown, we performed an MTT



Fig. 4 Effect of claspin down-regulation on cell growth and cell invasion. A, Western blot analysis of claspin in MKN-45 cells transfected with the claspin siRNA and negative control siRNA. B, Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of *CLSPN* in MKN-45 cells transfected with the *CLSPN* siRNA and negative control siRNA. C, Cell growth was assessed by an MTT assay on 96-well plates in MKN-45 cells. Means and SD of 3 different experiments. D, Effect of claspin knockdown on cell invasion in MKN-45 cells. MKN-45 GC cells transfected with negative control siRNA or claspin siRNA-1, siRNA-2, and siRNA-3 were incubated in Boyden chambers. After 24 and 48 hours of incubation, invading cells were counted. Means and SD of 3 different experiments. OD, Optical density; NS, not significant. **P* < .05.

assay at 4 days after the transfection of siRNA. Cell proliferative ability was significantly reduced in *CLSPN* knockdown GC cells compared with negative control siRNA-transfected GC cells (Fig. 4C). Next, we performed a Transwell invasion assay to determine the possible role of claspin in the invasiveness of GC cells. On day 2, the invasiveness of the *CLSPN* knockdown GC cells was significantly reduced compared with the negative control siRNA-transfected GC cells (Fig. 4D). To clarify the molecular signaling pathways associated with proliferation activities, we elaborated the phosphorylation of EGFR downstream molecules as described previously. *CLSPN* knockdown did not affect the levels of EGFR, Akt, ERK, and their phosphorylated forms (data not shown).

4. Discussion

In this study, we investigated a gene expression profile with GC cell lines that were previously analyzed by microarray analysis [4] and focused on *CLSPN* as a novel target gene. The rationale for in-depth analysis of *CLSPN* was based on 3

main reasons. First, *CLSPN* expression was more than twice higher in spheroid body–forming cells than in parental cells in both MKN-45 and MKN-74 cells. Second, quantitative RT-PCR analysis revealed that *CLSPN* was more frequently up-regulated in GC tissue than in nonneoplastic gastric mucosa. Third, the expression and biological significance of *CLSPN* in human GC have not been investigated. The present immunohistochemical analyses showed that claspin expression was associated with T and N grades, tumor stage, lymphatic invasion, and poor prognosis. Furthermore, knockdown of *CLSPN* by RNAi was found to inhibit cancer cell proliferation and invasion in GC cell lines. Taken together, these results suggested that claspin likely plays an important role in tumor progression.

Immunohistochemical analysis showed that 94 (47%) of the 203 GC cases displayed claspin expression. Also, claspin expression was coexpressed with CD44, HER2, and p53. In GC, CD44 is up-regulated in spheroid formation and is widely used as one of the cell surface markers associated with CSCs [21,22]. Moreover, CD44 expression was reported to significantly correlate with lymphatic invasion and poor survival in GC [23]. Indeed, both CD44 and claspin expression showed coexpression in regions of lymphatic invasion. The tumor suppressor p53 is a key regulator of the DNA damage response [24], and it also coexpressed with claspin. Mutations of p53 have already been shown to lead to the generation of CSCs [25]. Claspin is reported to be modulated by HERC2/USP20 in coordinating CHK1 activation, leading to genome stability and suppression of tumor growth [26]. When DNA damage occurs, HERC2 disassociates from USP20, resulting in USP20 up-regulation, which in turn stabilizes claspin and promotes the activation of ATR-claspin-CHK1 [27]. In contrast, USP20 itself is considered a tumor suppressor protein [26], whereas CHK1 is involved in promoting tumor growth in a variety of human tumors and its overexpression promotes CSC properties [28,29]. In the present study, claspin expression was also associated with CD44 and the tumor progression. Thus, claspin might induce CSC properties in collaboration with CHK1. Of note, our immunohistochemical analysis showed that the percentage of claspin-positive GC cells was almost 5% to 10%. Because CSCs are minor population of cancer cells [30], claspin might have potential as a marker for gastric CSCs.

Although claspin expression was associated with higher T grade, N grade, tumor stage, and lymphatic invasion, the *P* value of prognosis was borderline. We speculate that it might be related to analyzing overall survival. There might have been more significant difference if we investigated disease-specific survival rate. Thus, extensive study would be required to clarify the more detailed relationship between claspin expression and prognosis in GC.

To date, there have been no studies in the literature concerning the biological function and role of claspin in GC. In the present study, knockdown of claspin resulted in decreased cell proliferation and invasion in comparison with negative control cells. However, CLSPN knockdown did not significantly affect the levels of EGFR and its downstream molecules. Li et al [31] showed that the inhibitor of both EGFR and HER2 significantly suppressed claspin and induced apoptosis in drug-sensitive breast cancer cells. Indeed, our immunohistochemical results showed that claspin expression significantly correlated with HER2 expression in GC. However, there were no significant association between claspin expression and HER2 positivity including HER2 gene amplification. One of the reasons is speculated to be due to the heterogeneity of HER2 protein in GC, as previously reported that concordance between HER2 IHC 2+ and HER2 amplification is more variable, especially in GC [32]. The present result that claspin positivity was detected more frequently in HER2-positive GC suggests that claspin expression might be an effective predictor in HER2 targeting advanced GC. Further studies are needed in the near future to elucidate the tissue specificity of the detailed signaling pathway involving CLSPN.

The present study showed that claspin might be a promising molecule for treating GC. However, extensive study is required to elucidate the molecular mechanism of its activity in tumor cell biology. Evaluating the molecular mechanism of claspin involvement in tumor cell growth might improve our understanding of GC carcinogenesis and tumor progression.

Acknowledgments

We thank Shinichi Norimura for the excellent technical assistance and the Analysis Center of Life Science, Hiroshima University, for the use of its facilities. We also thank Naoko Yasumura for the excellent technical assistance and the Department of Pathology, National Hospital Organization Kure Medical Center and Chugoku Cancer Center.

References

- Oue N, Sentani K, Sakamoto N, Yasui W. Clinicopathologic and molecular characteristics of gastric cancer showing gastric and intestinal mucin phenotype. Cancer Sci 2015;106:951-8.
- [2] Bessède E, Dubus P, Mégraud F, Varon C. *Helicobacter pylori* infection and stem cells at the origin of gastric cancer. Oncogene 2015;34: 2547-55.
- [3] Takaishi S, Okumura T, Wang TC. Gastric cancer stem cells. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:2876-82.
- [4] Oue N, Mukai S, Imai T, et al. Induction of KIFC1 expression in gastric cancer spheroids. Oncol Rep 2016;36:349-55.
- [5] Imai T, Oue N, Yamamoto Y, et al. Overexpression of KIFC1 and its association with spheroid formation in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Pathol Res Pract 2017;213:1388-93.
- [6] Imai T, Oue N, Nishioka M, et al. Overexpression of KIF11 in gastric cancer with intestinal mucin phenotype. Pathobiology 2017;84:16-24.
- [7] Imai T, Oue N, Sentani K, et al. KIF11 is required for spheroid formation by oesophageal and colorectal cancer cells. Anticancer Res 2017;37: 47-55.
- [8] Chini CC, Chen J. Claspin, a regulator of Chk1 in DNA replication stress pathway. DNA Repair (Amst) 2004;3:1033-7.
- [9] Chini CC, Chen J. Human claspin is required for replication checkpoint control. J Biol Chem 2003;278:30057-62.
- [10] Jeong SY, Kumagai A, Lee J, Dunphy WG. Phosphorylated claspin interacts with a phosphate-binding site in the kinase domain of Chk1 during ATR-mediated activation. J Biol Chem 2003;278:46782-8.
- [11] Focarelli ML, Soza S, Mannini L, Paulis M, Montecucco A, Musio A. Claspin inhibition leads to fragile site expression. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2009;48:1083-90.
- [12] Azenha D, Lopes MC, Martins TC. Claspin functions in cell homeostasis —a link to cancer? DNA Repair (Amst) 2017;59:27-33.
- [13] Lin SY, Li K, Stewart GS, Elledge SJ. Human claspin works with BRCA1 to both positively and negatively regulate cell proliferation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:6484-9.
- [14] Tsimaratou K, Kletsas D, Kastrinakis NG, et al. Evaluation of claspin as a proliferation marker in human cancer and normal tissues. J Pathol 2007; 211:331-9.
- [15] Benevolo M, Musio A, Vocaturo A, et al. Claspin as a biomarker of human papillomavirus-related high grade lesions of uterine cervix. J Transl Med 2012;10:132.
- [16] Kondo T, Oue N, Yoshida K, et al. Expression of POT1 is associated with tumor stage and telomere length in gastric carcinoma. Cancer Res 2004;64:523-9.
- [17] Yasui W, Ayhan A, Kitadai Y, et al. Increased expression of p34cdc2 and its kinase activity in human gastric and colonic carcinomas. Int J Cancer 1993;53:36-41.
- [18] Hofmann M, Stoss O, Shi D, et al. Assessment of a HER2 scoring system for gastric cancer: results from a validation study. Histopathology 2008; 52:797-805.

- [19] Sakamoto N, Oue N, Sentani K, et al. Liver-intestine cadherin induction by epidermal growth factor receptor is associated with intestinal differentiation of gastric cancer. Cancer Sci 2012;103:1744-50.
- [20] Hattori T, Sentani K, Naohide O, Sakamoto N, Yasui W. Clinicopathological significance of SPC18 in colorectal cancer: SPC18 participates in tumor progression. Cancer Sci 2017;108:143-50.
- [21] Mayer B, Klement G, Kaneko M, et al. Multicellular gastric cancer spheroids recapitulate growth pattern and differentiation phenotype of human gastric carcinomas. Gastroenterology 2001;121:839-52.
- [22] Ishimoto T, Nagano O, Yae T, et al. CD44 variant regulates redox status in cancer cells by stabilizing the xCT subunit of system xc(-) and thereby promotes tumor growth. Cancer Cell 2011;19:387-400.
- [23] Cao X, Cao D, Jin M, et al. CD44 but not CD24 expression is related to poor prognosis in non-cardia adenocarcinoma of the stomach. BMC Gastroenterol 2014;14:157.
- [24] Lakin ND, Jackson SP. Regulation of p53 in response to DNA damage. Oncogene 1999;18:7644-55.
- [25] Shetzer Y, Solomon H, Koifman G, Molchadsky A, Horesh S, Rotter V. The paradigm of mutant p53-expressing cancer stem cells and drug resistance. Carcinogenesis 2014;35:1196-208.

- [26] Zhu M, Zhao H, Liao J, Xu X. HERC2/USP20 coordinates CHK1 activation by modulating CLASPIN stability. Nucleic Acids Res 2014;42: 13074-81.
- [27] Yuan J, Luo K, Deng M, et al. HERC2-USP20 axis regulates DNA damage checkpoint through claspin. Nucleic Acids Res 2014;42:13110-21.
- [28] Zhang Y, Hunter T. Roles of Chk1 in cell biology and cancer therapy. Int J Cancer 2014;134:1013-23.
- [29] Bartucci M, Svensson S, Romania P, et al. Therapeutic targeting of Chk1 in NSCLC stem cells during cells during chemotherapy. Cell Death Differ 2012;19:768-78.
- [30] Al-Hajj M, Wicha MS, Benito-Hernandez A, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF. Prospective identification of tumorigenic breast cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003;100:3983-8.
- [31] Li J, Lv B, Li X, He Z, Zhou K. Apoptosis-related molecular differences for response to tyrosin kinase inhibitors in drug-sensitive and drug-resistant human bladder cancer cells. J Cancer Res Ther 2013;9:668-71.
- [32] Okines AF, Thompson LC, Cunningham D, et al. Effect of HER2 on prognosis and benefit from peri-operative chemotherapy in early oesophago-gastric adenocarcinoma in the MAGIC trial. Ann Oncol 2013; 24:1253-61.