Cation Absorption by Excised Barley Roots
in Relation to
Root Cation-Exchange Capacity

Tadao ANDO

Laboratory of Grassland Science, Faculty of Fisheries and Animal Husbandry,
Hiroshima University, Fukuyama
(Figs. 1-16; Tables 1-5)

CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION

Many investigators believe that the uptake of cations by plants consists of two consecutive processes characterized by the passive entry, mainly cation exchange of ions into tissues, and their active accumulation within tissues in contact with the ionic environment[27,30,53,61,86].

However, there is considerable diversity of opinion on the function of cation exchange adsorption in cation uptake. It has been proposed, on the one hand, that the exchange adsorption of cations from the environment is a predominant process in the cation uptake by plant roots resulting in the differential uptake of monovalent and divalent cations. This proposal has been theorized by the Donnan principle[20, 42, 62, 77, 78, 91, 95]. On the other hand, it has been suggested by many investigators that exchange adsorption has little or no effect on the accumulation of cations by plants[14, 25, 52, 53].

In spite of considerable research in recent years on these processes, the question whether, and to what extent, the initial exchange adsorption of cations by plant roots determines their subsequent accumulation remains unsolved. Because of the considerable ecological and agricultural importance of the subject, much more information about this problem is required.

The difficulty of evaluating the role of cation-exchange capacity (CEC) of plant roots in the cation uptake process comes from the complexity of the uptake process and the general nature of the root CEC. The relationship of CEC to both quantitative and qualitative or ratio of mono-/divalent cation uptake must be considered. In addition to these difficulties, the methods for determining the root CEC have served to complicate studies of the relationship of CEC to the cation uptake process.

Although large differences in root CEC among some plant species and their concomitant effect on cation absorption have been reported[20,28,42,77,78], there are probably other genetical, physiological or cytological differences among species that influence uptake. The root CEC values have been altered by some nutrio-environmental factors, especially by source and level of nitrogen[14,18,35,65,77,95]. This method of inducing differences appeared to be a means of providing roots genetically

alike but differing in root CEC. Such roots could be used to examine the effect of CEC on cation uptake without introducing variables arising from different plant species.

In this study, efforts were made to improve the method to determine the root CEC and to characterize the non-metabolic absorption by excised barley roots. The primary object of this study, however, is to evaluate the role of root CEC in the cation absorption process.

CHAPTER II. TERMINOLOGY

In order to avoid ambiguity, a number of words will be defined as follows:

Absorption and uptake are used synonymously, and imply every kind of net accumulation of ions from external solutions by the root. Desorption implies outward net movement from the root to the external solution. Metabolic absorption is used for absorption requiring metabolic energy. Non-metabolic absorption is used for exchange adsorption and diffusion.

A tissue of the root into which ions move passively is defined as the Free Space. The Free Space consists of two main components, the Water Free Space (WFS), where the concentrations of ions quickly become equal to those of the external solution, and the Donnan Free Space (DFS), containing a high concentration of exchange adsorption sites or non-diffusible anions.

Native cation refers to Na, K, Ca, Mg, etc., normally occurring in the plant root as opposed to Li, Rb, Ba, Sr, etc., not normally found in the root.

CHAPTER III. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Section 1. The Mechanism of Cation Uptake by Plant Roots and the Function of Cation Exchange in this Process.

Recognition that inorganic salts are taken up by plant roots and other biological systems led naturally to speculation about the mechanism of uptake. From the latter half of the nineteenth century, considerable effort has been made to elucidate the mineral uptake process and many hypotheses on this process have been proposed.

With the early extensive studies dealing with the time course of ion uptake into plant tissues, there has been recognition of the fact that a relatively brief interval of rapid intake is normally followed by a slower but more prolonged period of absorption[7]. In addition to the distinctions regarding the duration and velocity of the two phases, several features distinguish uptake as it occurs during the periods. Thus, steady-state uptake, rigidly dependent on concomitant respiratory metabolism, is in most instances an obligatory aerobic process, has a high temperature coefficient, and is most often characterized by the absorption of both members of an ion pair. On the other hand, the initial phase appears non-metabolic, may occur anaerobically, has a temperature coefficient typical of a physical process, and is predominantly concerned with cation absorption[53].

It is known that some cations may be non-metabolically absorbed by plant roots. MOORE et al.[69], reported that the Ca uptake by 6-day-old barley roots appeared to be largely non-metabolic because the Ca uptake at pH 5 was found to be insensitive to low temperature and dinitrophenol. However, the view that cation uptake involves both non-metabolic and metabolic absorption is generally accepted in most cases of cation uptake[27,30,53,61,86]. The outstanding question is, whether, and to what extent, the non-metabolic uptake is related to metabolic uptake. The answer to this question has been offered by many investigators, but still they are far from the point of agreement. Before the literature on non-metabolic uptake as related to metabolic one is reviewed, the concept of "free space" and "carrier theory" will be briefly reviewed.

Early investigators of ion absorption viewed the cytoplasm simply as a membrane across which transport into the central vacuole occurs. The observations about the complexity of cell structure, however, made it difficult to presume a simple membrane which separates the external and internal solution. Attempts have been made to determine more exactly the location of permeability barriers in plant cells by estimating the volume of tissue which is passively penetrated by salts or organic solutes[3,4,8,9,31,71]. These attempts introduced a concept of "free space" in the study of salt uptake mechanism[9]. In order to define a tissue into which ions move passively to a concentration equalling that of the medium, the terms "water-free space" and "outer space" have been applied. If the freely accessible space within a cell or tissue contains immobile electrical charges or adsorption sites, Donnan equilibria will be established in the space. In this case, the actual volume of a cell or tissue penetrated passively by ions may be different from that calculated by assuming that ions reach the same concentration in the cell tissue as in the medium. For this reason, it is often necessary to refer to the "apparent" free space rather than actual free space of a tissue or cell.

From measurements of passive ion uptake from salt solutions of different concentrations, it is possible to deduce that the "apparent free space" consists of two components, the "water-free space" and a "Donnan free space". Attempts to determine the concentrations of immobile anions in Donnan free space have been made[4]. According to BRIGGS[4], penetration of salt into the roots occurs by free diffusion through the free space. HOPE and STEVENS[40] and BUTLER[8] have regarded the initial flux as a diffusion process from external solution into free space.

The early findings that plant roots can absorb mineral salts against a concentration gradient and that the absorption process is characterized by a considerable degree of selectivity led to the "carrier theory". General reviews of the "carrier theory" include those by USSING[89], ROBERTSON[73], STEINBACH[79], LATIES[53,] and EPSTEIN[27]. OSTERHOUT[20,70] and JACOBSON et al.[45], have outlined several properties which the ion binding compounds must possess to account for characteristic features of the absorption process. These workers also stress the need for assuming that the complex is labile and breaks down again, releasing the ions. This absorption process then has been pictured as follows. At the outer surface of a membrane, imperme-

able to the free ions, the ions combine with metabolically produced binding com-
pounds or carriers, traversing the membrane in this form.   Upon reaching the inner
surface, the binding compound or carrier is chemically altered by metabolic processes
so that the ions are set free.   These assumptions can be expressed in the following
equations:

1) $R+M$ outside $\underset{k_2}{\overset{k_1}{\rightleftarrows}}$ MR

2)   MR $\underset{k_4}{\overset{k_3}{\rightleftarrows}}$ $R'+M$ inside

where R and R' represent different chemical states of the metabolically produced
carrier, M the ion, MR the unstable carrier-ion complex and k the rate constant for
each reaction indicated.   The overall reaction is essentially irreversible[23].   Although
kinetic studies of cation uptake have supported this concept[23,24,25,26,54], the process
remains a "carrier theory" in that no carriers have been identified.

It has been widely held that cation exchange adsorption at the cell surface is
the initial step in ionic entry[23,24,44,45,59,60,74,85].   However, there is a diversity of
opinion on the possible function of cation exchange in the cation uptake process.

MATTSON[62] and ELGABALY and WIKLANDER[20] have made application of the
Donnan equation to explain the differential cation uptake by plant roots.   According
to the Donnan theory of membrane equilibria, the distribution of ions of different
valence in a Donnan system is governed by the relative activity of both inside and
outside solutions.   The distribution of monovalent and divalent cations between the
colloid and the outside solution is generally given by the following equation:

$$\frac{(M^+)_i}{(M^+)_o} = \frac{\left(M^{++}\right)_i^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(M^{++}\right)_o^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

where $(M^+)_i$ and $(M^+)_o$ represent the activity in the inside and outside solution,
respectively, of the ion M^+.   From the square root relation, it follows that divalent
ions will accumulate to a greater degree than monovalent ions in the phase with the
higher activity.   Furthermore, it follows that a relatively concentrated inside solu-
tion and a dilute outside solution would favor the adsorption of divalent ions[20].
This is referred to as the "valence effect"[19].   Thus, when ion activity in soils is
very low, this valence effect is larger, but diminishes with increased ion activity in
the soil solution.

On the basis of the Donnan theory described above, ELGABALY and WIKLAN-
DER[20]studied the effect of the cation exchange capacity of the plant root on the uptake
of Na and Ca from bentonite suspensions.   Their results showed a higher Ca/Na
ratio in the pea roots with the higher CEC and a lower ratio in barley roots with
the lower CEC.   They stated that these results were in good qualitative agreement
with those expected according to the Donnan theory, and that the Donnan distribu-
tion or ions of different valence would tend to be reflected in the composition of the

plants. ELGABALY[22] and WIKLANDER[21] have applied the Donnan principle to differential anion uptake by plant roots. They found that the roots with higher CEC absorbed a lower amount of Cl^-. These results were explained on the assumption that roots with higher negative charges may tend to repel to Cl^-.

VERVELDE's observations[91] on the surface potentials of plant roots showed that the ionic distribution across the exterior walls of the outer cells of the roots was in accordance with the laws of the Donnan equilibrium. He summarized his observation as follows: As the Donnan distribution may be regarded as adsorption, these observations confirm the idea that the first step of ion uptake is an adsorption on to cell constituents. The adsorptive forces are not restricted to surface layers. Therefore, though entire organs do not reach a state of equilibrium with the medium, the tendency to reach such an equilibrium is indicated from the occurrence of ionic compositions of plant roots or plant organs which are in agreement with the requirements of the Donnan theory. An example of this is to be seen in the preferential uptake of divalent ions by plant roots with high CEC contents.

WALLACE and his associates[76,77,78], have reported a positive relationship between the root CEC and the plant content of Ca or K. HUFFAKER and WALLACE[42] found that the K, Ca, and Mg contents of different plant species grown under similar conditions could be related in a majority of cases by $CEC_1/CEC_2 = K_2/K_1 = (Ca_1 + Mg_1/Ca_2 + Mg_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where the subscripts 1 and 2 represent different species.

HEINTZE[37] also reported positive relationships between CEC values and relative uptakes of mono- and divalent cation by plant species grown under controlled nutrient solutions. High CEC values were associated with low K/Ca ratios of plant tops or of the whole plant when comparisons were restricted to the same K level of the growing medium.

WHITE, DRAKE and BAKER[95] reported the effect of induced changes on root CEC and Ca absorption from bentonite systems by excised barley roots. Calcium gains increased directly with N-induced increases in CEC of barley roots when the excised roots were reacted 24 hours with 100% Ca saturated bentonite. The excised barley roots with high CEC gained more Ca than the low CEC roots from the 94 and 100% Ca clay suspensions.

Recently FRANKLIN[28] studied the possible relations between the CEC of plant roots and the uptake of ions. In his study, plants were pretreated to alter the root CEC. The amounts of Ca and K absorbed by the excised roots after a one-hour uptake period in 10^{-3} N chloride solution were displaced by a series of successive rinses, the pH ranging from 2 to 12. The results showed a significant linear correlation between the total uptake of both Ca and K and the exchangeable ions which were not removed by the first acid rinse, but which were removed with subsequent rinses.

McLEAN[66] found no systematic relationship between root CEC (or per cent N) with the composition of Ca, Mg, P, K, and Na when various crops were grown in 1/5 Hoagland solution. He explained that this might not be unexpected in specific media devoid of competing colloids where the Donnan effects are at a minimum.

CUNNINGHAM and NIELSEN[14] offered evidence against relationship between root CEC and cation uptake by plants. Their experimental results on Italian rye grass showed that the differential uptake of mono-and divalent cations was not related to the root CEC.

FRIED, NOGGLE, and HAGEN[29] applied steady-state analysis to the absorption of cations by excised barley roots. Their results indicated that ions were adsorbed and absorbed by specific ion binding compounds of the roots and that only negligible amounts of the cations tested were absorbed nonspecifically.

WALLACE[92] introduced a concept of "effective" root CEC rather than total root CEC to explain the discrepancies in the effect of the root CEC on both the total cation absorption and on the ratio of divalent to monovalent cations in the plants. As one approach to this problem, he attempted to saturate the root CEC sites by pretreatment with cations not readily exchangeable and then to determine this effect on cation accumulation, but failed to produce any definite conclusion.

LAGERWERFF and PEECH[52] conducted experiments in which excised barley roots were exposed to solutions containing RbCl and $CaCl_2$, in different combinations of the activity ratio $(Rb^+)/(Ca^{++})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the ionic strength. They concluded that exchange adsorption and metabolic accumulation of cations are two independent co-existing processes. A comparison of the calculated per cent saturation of the exchange sites with Rb^+ and Ca^{++} with the amounts of these two cations absorbed by the roots, showed that the changes in the uptake of Rb^+ by the roots reflected only a small fraction of the sharp changes in the per cent saturation of the exchange sites with Rb^+, whereas the uptake of Ca^{++} reflected more nearly the slight changes in the percentage saturation of the exchange sites with Ca^{++}. They stated that the rate of accumulation of the two cations was not determined primarily by the relative amounts of these two cations adsorbed on the exchange sites.

EPSTEIN and LEGGETT[25], in a study of the time course of absorption of Sr^{89} by excised barley roots, distinguished between adsorption exchange and true accumulation by the simple experiment of removing all exchangeable Sr^{89} at the end of each absorption interval by exposing the tissue to nonradioactive Sr. When this was done absorption proved a linear function of time from the beginning of the absorption period. Thus, while the adsorption-exchange reaction proceeds for at least half an hour, the accumulation rate is clearly independent of the saturation state of the adsorption-exchange sites. In the same experiment, however, they stated that cations exchangeably adsorbed on the exchange surfaces of the root, in the absence of an external reservoir of the ions in the solution, are absorbed very slowly by the active mechanism. In the absence of a reservoir of ions in the external solution, the exchange sites effectively competed with the carriers for the limited supply of ions.

LATIES[53] demonstrated in his review of salt transport that the root CEC has little or nothing to do with metabolic accumulation mechanism.

Section 2. The Origin and Nature of Cation Exchange Sites of Plant Roots.

At the beginning of the twentieth century, DEVAUX[16] noticed that plant

cellular walls and pectose of these cellular walls could fix an appreciable amount of cations in salt solutions.   A prolonged washing with distilled water could not remove the cations that were fixed, but the immersion for a short time in a solution of another cation released completely the cation from the cellular wall.   His findings on the cation exchange phenomenon between plant roots and a salt solution led to his early hypothesis that the cation exchange reaction may play vital role in the nutrient uptake process.

Although the plant component responsible for cation exchange reactions is not completely understood, several studies of this component have been reported. MATTSON and his co-workers[63] have shown by staining-methods with methylene blue that the most active acidoids of the root are localized in the surface layer and most of all in the growing tip.   It was also pointed out by them that the root acidoid content could be attributed to pectic substances, and that these can function as cation exchangers.   WILLIAMS and COLEMAN[92] studied the cation exchange properties of plant root surfaces.   They reported that the rapidity of the exchange reactions between plant roots and salt solutions indicated the existence of a cation double layer associated with root surface and that the cation exchange phenomena did not depend directly upon root metabolism, since the same results were obtained at $0°C$ and $25°C$, with living roots, and with ether killed roots.

More recently, using ether killed plant roots, KEELLER and DEUEL[48] were able to demonstrate that 70 to 90 per cent of their CEC was due to their pectic content. CROOKE and his associates[11] studied the relationship between CEC and pectin content of leek roots.   They found that the root CEC, pectin content, respiration and nitrogen content were highest at the root tip and decreased with increasing distance from the root tip.   KNIGHT et al.[49,50] estimated the uronic acid content of plant roots by a micro-decarboxylation method and chromatographically identified the extracted uronic acids.   They concluded that CEC is, in general, accounted for by uronic acid content.   These findings indicate that carboxylic groups of pectin may be some of the main acidic groups of plant roots.   HEINTZE[37] studied the origin of the negative charges on root membranes by comparing the titration curves of plant roots.   The buffer curves of root suspensions showed that at least some of the acidic groups of root surfaces are derived from carboxylic groups of pectic acids.   This result is in accordance with the results which were shown by MEHLICH[68] for wheat and alfalfa by the same method as HEINTZE's.

Section 3.   The Effect of Nitrogen on the Root CEC[37].

It has been reported that plant root CEC is affected by several factors: age of plant, metabolic activity of plant roots, and environmental circumstances in which plants are grown[35,37].   Among these factors, the effect of nitrogen on root CEC has been under relatively intensive investigation, because of the possibility that high CEC induced by high nitrogen level may cause differential uptake of mono- and divalent cations.

McLEAN, ADAMS and FRANKLIN[65] investigated the relationships between the root CEC and the root nitrogen content of a number of agronomic crops grown in

gravel cultures to which 1/5 Hoagland solution was applied. Some of these crops were grown at three different nitrogen levels in the culture media. The root CEC was determined by using electrodialysis. They found high correlation (r=0.866) between the CEC of plant roots and their nitrogen content. They stated that an increased level of nitrogen was generally accompanied by a corresponding increase in the nitrogen content and the CEC of the plant roots.

SMITH and WALLACE[77] studied the influence of nitrogen fertilization on root CEC and their concomitant effects on cation uptake by plants. Cucumbers and a fescue grass were grown in pot culture with a mixture of synthetic ion exchange resins, bentonite and sand for 140 days. Nitrogen was supplied at the rates of 4 and 48 meq/pot (300g clay and 3,500g sand). The results showed nitrogen fertilization increased the root CEC of at least some plant species, and that the N influence on CEC of the roots was most marked on plants with a low root CEC. As a result of the nitrogen application, the following relationship was found between the root CEC and the cation content:

$$\frac{CEC_1}{CEC_2} = \left[\frac{Ca_1}{Ca_2}\right]^2 = \frac{K_2}{K_1}$$

where the subscripts 1 and 2 refer to two different species. They stated that these results indicated a possible nitrogenous nature of cation exchange sites and help to substantiate the inverse K and Ca ratio square relationships for explaining differential cation uptake by plants. Furthermore, this helps to explain nitrogen fertilizer influence on differential absorption by plants. The reports of the effect of nitrogen on root CEC and of the concomitant effect of differential cation uptake by plants have been made by several other investigators[18,35,37,95].

On the other hand, it was also reported that higher application of nitrogen did not result in higher root CEC[14,28,43,93]. CUNNINGHAM and NIELSEN[14] showed evidence against the reported relationship between root CEC and nitrogen application. In their experiment, six levels each of NO_3-N or NH_4-N (0–500 ppm) were thoroughly mixed into the clay loam soil in which Italian ryegrass was grown from seed for 9 weeks. The experimental results showed that the root CEC was constant at different N levels and did not vary with increased nitrogen content in the grass.

Since HEINTZE[37] found no close relationship between CEC of various species and the nitrogen content of their roots, he did not support the suggestion that cation exchange sites of roots are of a nitrogenous nature. Although there is no clear explanation as to the mechanism of the nitrogen effects on root CEC, increased exchange sites resulting from increased carboxyl groups and/or amino groups has been suggested[19].

Section 4.   The Methods to Measure the Root CEC.

It is essential to estimate accurately the value of the CEC or roots in order to evaluate the function of cation exchange adsorption in the process of cation uptake. Many methods have been employed to estimate the root CEC[1,2,10,13,17,31,34,64,67,97]. They are mainly divided into two methods; estimating exchange acidity of roots

and exchange reactions between roots and salt solution.

When the root CEC is determined by estimating the exchange acidity of the roots, the roots are saturated with hydrogen ions by electrodialysis or acid washing. The amount of the hydrogen ion replaced with salt solution is determined by potentiometric titration with standard base solution. The method using electrodialysis is essentially the same as described by DRAKE et al.[17]. In this method, fresh roots were placed in Visking bags and electrodialysed at 120 volts for 90 minutes. After dialysis, five grams of centrifuged roots were placed in a beaker containing 200 ml. 1 N–KCl solution. The root-KCl system was titrated with KOH to pH 7.0 as measured by the glass electrode. A 5-minute interval was adopted as an arbitrary titration period. The root CEC of the dicotyledonaus plants investigated using this technique were roughly double the values for monocots. They stated that these fundamental differences help to explain the relatively high content of divalent cations in plant materials of legumes and other dicots with high CEC roots.

CROOKE[10] used an acid washing technique to measure root CEC. In this technique, 5 g of roots was placed twice in 400 ml. of 0.01 N–HCl for 5 minutes. After the acid washing and water rinse, the roots were placed in 200 ml. of N–KCl for titration. The roots–KCl system was titrated to pH 7.0 over a 5-minute period with 0.01 N–KOH. The amount of KOH consumed was expressed as the CEC value. CROOKE[13] modified his fresh root acid-washing method to study dry roots. In this method, dried milled root material was washed with 0.01 N–HCl for 5 minutes and rinsed with water. The root-KCl suspension was titrated to pH 7.0 with 0.01 N–KOH during the arbitrary five-minute titration time. He stated that this method was used successfully for measuring of higher and lower plants and gave values which correlated well with their uronic acid content.

WILLISMS and COLEMAN[97] determined the CEC of roots by saturating them with Ba^{++} or NH_4^+ or H^+ by means of a 10-second immersion in neutral salt or acid solution, followed by a 10-second immersion in a replacing solution. Their preliminary experiments involving varying times of contact between roots and saturating and replacing solutions showed that exchange capacities for a given set of roots were relatively constant as long as contact with the two solutions was of the order of 30 seconds or less. With longer times of contact, the amount of ions taken up and released became larger. An exchange procedure was also developed by BARTLETT[1] for the simultaneous measurement of cation- and anion-exchange capacities of roots, using an unbuffered salt solution. In this method, intact roots were immersed with agitation for 5 minutes in 50 ml. of 0.2 N–NaCl, and then rinsed five separate times in about 50 ml. distilled water. The sodium absorbed by the roots was replaced by placing the roots for 1 minute with agitation in 25 ml. N–HNO$_3$. The amount of sodium replaced was expressed as the root CEC. He stated that the procedure has the advantage of not requiring (a) titration involving an arbitrary end point or (b) electrodialysis or prewashing in acid solution, both of which affect the measured CEC of roots.

BELL and WALKER[2] studied the relationship between the measurement of CEC

on surface area-basis and a dry weight-basis. Cation exchange values which were determined by the standard base titration of the electrodialyzed roots were found to have a straight line relationship with surface area when only surface exchange was measured.

CHAPTER IV. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Section 1. Plant Materials.

In all experiments, excised roots of Erie barley were used and prepared essentially as described by JACOBSON et al.[47]. Fifty grams of seed were activated and sterilized with 75 m*l*. of 10 per cent H_2O_2 for 20 minutes. After the H_2O_2 treatment, the seeds were rinsed with distilled water and soaked 24 hours in 1.5 liters of distilled water with continuous aeration. The seeds were then thoroughly washed with distilled water and evenly distributed on two sheets of cheesecloth supported by a nylon screen. The end of the cheesecloth was dipped into the nutrient solution. The seedlings were grown in the dark using a dilute nutrient solution consisting of 0.1 m mole/liter each of Ca $(NO_3)_2$, $MgSO_4$, and KH_2PO_4. The composition of the nutrient solution was subjected to certain treatments to meet the special requirements to be described briefly under each experiment. The nutrient solution was gently aerated. Three days after transferring the seed to the nylon screen, the seedlings were rinsed with distilled water and the nutrient solution was renewed. Two days after the renewal of the nutrient solution, it was replaced with distilled water and 24 hours later, the roots were excised just below the seed.

Section 2. Condition of Cation Absorption Experiments.

The excised roots were washed twice with distilled water and the adhering water was removed by pressing soft tissue paper to the roots. A ratio of one gram of root material to 500 m*l*. of solution was employed in the absorption experiments, normally conducted at 24°C.

Uptake of cations was studied under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions of solution. The aerobic conditions of the solution were provided by gentle aeration and anaerobic conditions were provided by gently bubbling N_2 gas before and during the uptake experiment. The N_2 gas was first passed through 3 per cent alkaline pyrogallol solution to remove contaminating O_2 and then was washed with distilled water before bubbling in the absorption solution. Before the uptake experiments, the N_2 gas was bubbled for 90–120 minutes to lower the O_2 partial pressure in the solution to 0.2–0.3%. The oxygen concentration of the solution was measured using a Beckman Laboratory Oxygen Analyzer, Model 777. The mouth of the solution container, 500 m*l*. erlenmeyer flask, was covered with two sheets of polyethylene film in order to lower efficiently the O_2 partial pressure in the solution.

Section 3. Determination of Cation Content of the Sample.

After the cation uptake experiments, the excised roots were washed six times with 50 m*l*. of distilled water, dried first at 90°C. for 60 minutes and then at 75°C.

in an oven overnight.   The weighed dry root materials were ashed at $500°C$. in a muffle furnace.   The ashes were dissolved into 2 m*l*. of 1 : 1 HNO_3 solutions which were diluted to proper concentrations of cation contents.   The cations studied were determined using a Perkin Elmer Model 214 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. When Ba or Rb was determined, 1,000 ppm of K was added to the solution to eliminate the effect of other alkaline cations.   In the case of Ba, the acetylene–N_2O flame was used[15,90].   For other cations, the acetylene-air flame was used.

CHAPTER V.   RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Section 1.   Study on the Root CEC Assay.

Much confusion exists in the role of root CEC on the cation absorption process and on the effect of the environmental factors on the root CEC.   This confusion can be partially explained by the methodology of the root CEC assay.   Although many methods to determine the root CEC have been proposed, the values of the root CEC obtained by different methods are not always the same for the same plants while remaining consistent within themselves[1,13,37].   In spite of the necessity of accurately determining the root CEC in these studies, it is very difficult to decide which method is most accurate.

Those methods proposed are roughly divided into two groups.   One group is based on the estimation of exchange acidity of the roots which are saturated with hydrogen ions by electrodialysis or acid washing.   The other is a method to use direct exchange reactions between roots and a salt solution.   Although the electrodialysis method has been intensively employed in the studies of the root CEC, this method is a drastic process and is open to some criticism on the grounds that bases and organic materials of the roots may be released from the interior of the roots[1,13]. BARTLETT[1] studied the effect of electrodialysis on the root CEC value which was measured by his Na exchange method.   He found that electrodialysis removed amino acids and other organic compounds from the roots and abruptly increased the measured CEC, the increases in the CEC being closely correlated to the severity of the treatment.

The acid washing method proposed by CROOKE[10,13] appeared not to seriously injure the roots and gave values which were well correlated to the uronic acid content[13].   The acid washing method is based on the assumptions that the plant roots are almost completely saturated with H^+ by the acid washing and that the H^+ adsorbed is almost completely replaced by a salt solution, such as *N*-KCl.   However, there is room for doubt in whether these assumptions are correct.   Plant roots usually contain much larger amounts of cations than the root CEC values reported. Therefore, it may become difficult to saturate the root exchange sites with H^+ if acid washing causes cation leakage from the inside of the root cells.   Moreover, it has been reported that cation exchange between a salt solution and exchange sites lasted about half an hour[54].   If a short period of acid washing is employed to avoid cation leakage, the roots will not be saturated with H^+.   From the standpoint of the

information mentioned above, an experiment was conducted to study whether acid washing of the roots causes cation leakage from the interior of the root cells.   Five grams of the excised barley roots obtained as described above were mixed with 500 ml. of various concentration of HCl for 5 minutes and then rinsed with 300 ml. of distilled water.   The acid washing and rinsing procedures were repeated with new solution.   This acid washing technique is essentially the same as the one proposed by CROOKE[10].   The amounts of K, Ca and Mg lost into the acid and water and remaining in the roots were determined.   The results are reported in Table 1.

Table 1.   Successive cation losses from the excised barley roots by acid washing with HCl.

Conc. of HCl, N	The amounts of cations removed*			The amount* of cations remained in the roots
	Cation	1st acid** washing	2nd acid** washing	
10^{-2}	K	6. 42	7. 25	21. 54
	Ca	1. 27	1. 49	4. 15
	Mg	5. 26	7. 90	39. 37
10^{-3}	K	2. 24	3. 12	31. 91
	Ca	0. 71	0. 60	5. 71
	Mg	3. 00	1. 85	45. 21
10^{-4}	K	0. 77	0. 74	35. 76
	Ca	0. 52	0. 29	6. 10
	Mg	2. 24	1. 20	46. 71
H_2O	K	0. 43	0. 27	37. 22
	Ca	0. 26	0. 15	6. 56
	Mg	0. 10	0. 79	49. 75

 * meq/100g dry roots, mean of three values.
 ** 5 minute-acid washing and 5 minute-rinse with distilled water.

When 10^{-2} N–HCl was employed to rinse the roots, the resulting solutions contained about 30 meq/100g dry roots.   This value is much higher than the reported value of the root CEC.   Therefore, this result indicates that not only cations on the exchange sites but also cations in the root cells are removed by the acid washing procedure.   The amounts of cations removed by the acidic rinsings decreased with decreasing concentrations of HCl.   When lower concentrations of acid are employed, it may be difficult to sufficiently remove the cations on the exchange sites.   Moreover, almost equal amounts of cations were removed by the first acid washing and water rinse as were removed by the second treatment.   This result indicates that the cation removal by this treatment is continuously taking place, and that such a continuous leakage of cations disturbs the root exchange sites that are to be saturated with H^+ by the acid washings.

CROOKE[10] adopted this method of 10^{-2} N–HCl washing to saturate the roots with H^+ because these treatments gave comparable values with those obtained by

electrodialysis. However, the results in Table 1 indicate that any procedure of acid washing of the roots is unable to completely saturate the roots with H^+.

Even though electrodialysis or acid washing were able to completely saturate the roots with H^+, another problem arises from the titration of such a H^+–saturated root with standard base. Some investigators pointed out that the titration involves an arbitrary end point[1,13,17,37]. Similar results were obtained in this study (Fig. 1). The dried and milled barley roots were treated with 10^{-2} N–HCl in the same manner as described by Crooke[13]. The root–KCl suspension was titrated with 0.01 N–KOH to restore and maintain the pH at 7.0 for a 5–minute titration period. As an acidic drift was observed after the titration, the titration was repeated 15 minutes after the first titration until no more acidic drift was observed. The amount of 0.01 N–KOH required for each titration was plotted as a function of time (Fig. 1). The acidic drift continued as long as 3 hours. The total amount of 0.01 N–KOH required to maintain the dry roots-KCl suspension at pH 7.0 for 5 minutes without more acidic drift was twice as much as the value for the first 5–minute titration period, expressed as the root CEC.


Fig. 1. Titration curve of the HCl–washed roots–1N–KCl
suspension with 0.01 N–KOH.

As this titration was done without eliminating the effect of atmospheric CO_2 on the suspension, CO_2 may be partially responsible for the acidic drift. With fresh living roots an acidic drift has been observed due to metabolic uptake of K and the conquest leakage of H^+[13], and the release of H^+ following enzymatic breakdown of pectin to pectic acid and methanol[37]. Crooke[13] stated that the acidic drift in the titration of dried, milled root material is much smaller and is due simply to diffusion

of H^+ from the interior of this material. However, Figure 1 shows that a respectable degree of acid drift took place in the titration of dried and milled root material. The results obtained in this study indicate that an estimation of the acidity of "hydrogen ion saturated roots" can not give a quantitatively accurate value for the root CEC, although it may represent the relative value of the root CEC.

Another group of methods to determine the root CEC is based on the direct exchange reaction between the roots and a salt solution. The amount of the cation adsorbed by the roots is expressed as the root CEC. Many modifications of this method have been reported[1, 28, 43, 67, 97]. BARTLETT[1] recently developed an exchange procedure for the simultaneous measurement of the cation and anion exchange capacities of roots, using 0.2 N–NaCl solution. In this method, intact roots were immersed with agitation for 5 minutes in 50 ml. of 0.2 N–NaCl, and then rinsed five separate times with about 50ml. distilled water. The sodium absorbed by the roots was removed by agitating them for 1 minute with 25 ml. N–HNO_3. The amount of sodium removed was expressed as the root CEC. It is generally accepted that the cation exchange reaction itself is completed very rapidly on the surface of clays and synthetic exchange resin particles. Although the locus of the cation exchange sites in the roots is in or on the cell walls[53], the structure of the cell walls appears complicated enough to require much longer times for the completion of the cation exchange reaction. EPSTEIN and LEGGETT[25] found that Sr^{++} exchange between nonradioactive $SrCl_2$ and radioactive Sr^{++} on the barley root exchange sites lasted for half an hour. This result indicates that the short exchange period of 5 minutes suggested by BARTLETT[1] will be unable to complete the exchange reaction between the salt solution and cations adsorbed on the root exchange sites.

In order to study the cation exchange reaction as a function of time, the following experiment was conducted. Excised barley roots (2 g fresh weight) were mixed with 500 ml. of 0.1 N–NaCl solution for 5 to 60 minutes. This mixture was aerated gently during the absorption period. A second identical mixture was bubbled with N_2 gas before and during the absorption period. The O_2 partial pressure of the solution bubbled with air or N_2 gas was found as 17.5 and 0.3%, respectively, at the beginning of the experiment. The N_2 gas employed to prevent the metabolic absorption of Na by the roots. The effects of the anaerobic condition on the cation uptake will be discussed in detail later.

Although the barley seedlings were grown without Na in the nutrient solution, the roots contained about 5 meq/100 g dry roots. The amount of Na absorbed by the roots under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions was plotted against time (Fig. 2). Sodium absorption under the aerobic condition increased rapidly with time, but the increase of Na absorption under anaerobic conditions was slower and stopped within 30 minutes. As will be explained later, metabolic uptake is almost completely eliminated under anaerobic conditions. Therefore, the Na uptake under anaerobic conditions represents the non-metabolic absorption of Na and the difference between Na absorption under the N_2 gas and under aeration represents metabolic absorption which increases linearly with time. If Na absorption under anaerobic

Fig. 2. Effect of O_2 on Na absorption by excised barley
roots from 10^{-1} N-NaCl.

conditions is largely exchange adsorption as discussed later, it indicates that it takes 30 minutes to complete the Na exchange between the roots and NaCl solution. This is in agreement with Epstein and Leggett[25]. Therefore it is obvious that the 5-minute exchange period proposed by Bartlett[1] is too short to complete the exchange reaction.

During the first five minutes, the roots absorbed 4.8 meq of Na per 100 g dry root basis under the aerobic condition and 2.6 meq of Na under the anaerobic condition. At least 2.2 meq of Na was metabolically absorbed during the exchange period. Since almost all of the Na in the roots is easily extracted by nitric acid, the CEC values obtained by Bartlett's method include not only exchangeable Na, but also Na which originated from the seeds and absorbed metabolically. Therefore, it is impossible to get an exact value of the root CEC using Bartlett's method. The result in Figure 2 also indicates that the following conditions must be provided for the quantitative measurement of the root CEC by a direct exchange method. First, a proper exchange period must be provided. Second, the cations which are not normally contained in the roots must be employed as exchange cations to avoid cation leakage from within the root cells. Third, metabolic absorption causing erroneous high values of CEC must be eliminated.

Section 2. Cation Absorption under Anaerobic Conditions.

In the Section 1, it was concluded that metabolic absorption must be eliminated in order to measure accurately root CEC using a direct exchange method. As metabolic absorption of cations is greatly dependent on the root metabolism, especially oxidative metabolism, metabolic absorption is largely prevented by anaerobic conditions, low temperature, or a metabolic inhibitor. These techniques are commonly employed to distinguish non-metabolic from metabolic absorption. Among them dinitrophenol (DNP) and other metabolic inhibitors have been extensively used in the study of cation absorption by roots. It was shown, however, in my preliminary work, that pretreatment of barley roots by DNP resulted in the removal of an appreciable amount of cations from these roots. This appears reasonable because relatively low pH, pH 4–5, must be provided for DNP to work effectively[80]. Other metabolic inhibitors which contain cation in the molecule were excluded from this consideration in order to avoid the concomitant effect of the cation on cation absorption. Therefore, efforts were concentrated to characterize cation absorption under anaerobic conditions and to determine whether a drastic reduction of O_2 in an exchange solution can completely eliminate metabolic absorption.

Earlier studies by HOAGLAND[38,39], STEWARD[81,82,83,84], and others on the effect of oxygen on ion accumulation revealed that oxygen concentrations which limit respiration are also those which limit ion absorption, and that aerobic and not anaerobic metabolic processes are related to ion accumulation. Extensive investigations on ion absorption by excised roots and tissue disks have confirmed general dependence of respiration and ion accumulation on aerobic metabolism. The experimental results in Figure 2 also show that Na absorption is drastically reduced by anaerobic conditions. A question arises, however, as to whether metabolic absorption is completely eliminated under such anaerobic conditions, and what is the nature of the absorption under anaerobic conditions. Moreover, it has been reported that the degree of response of individual cations to anaerobic conditions is not always the same. LETEY et al.[55,56,57,58] applied varied soil oxygen level treatments to plant roots and found that the Na content of the plants increased with decreasing O_2, while K and P content decreased with decreasing O_2. From these observations, they suggested that the normal relative exclusion of Na from the shoots of these plants is due to an oxygen-requiring metabolic mechanism. Similar results were obtained by JACKSON and ADAMS[44]. Their experimental results showed that K absorption from 10^{-5} M–KCl by excised barley roots was reduced to one-third of the absorption by the aerated roots under 1% oxygen level in the solution. On the other hand, Na absorption was not inhibited by such a low O_2 level. However, 10^{-4} N–DNP depressed both K and Na absorption, indicating that the absorption of both cations is dependent on respiratory metabolism. The experimental data in Figure 2 clearly shows that Na absorption by excised barley roots under such a low oxygen level as 0.3% in the solution ended within 30 minutes. This result is in agreement with EPSTEIN and HAGEN[23], who reported that aerated excised barley roots absorbed three or four times as much Na as the N_2 gas-bubbled roots. The difference in the effect of anaerobic conditions on Na absorption may be explained

by a small difference in O_2 concentration in the medium. From these results mentioned, it is obvious that individual cation absorption differs in sensitivity to anaerobic conditions. Therefore, considerable caution was taken to keep a low O_2 level (0.3%) in the solution of this study as was described above.

The time course of Li absorption over 60 minutes under both aeration and N_2 gas bubbling is shown in Figure 3. The mode of Li absorption was similar to Na absorption. The rapid Li absorption under N_2 ended within 30 minutes and was followed by subsequent gradual absorption. The estimated metabolic uptake of Li increases almost linearly with time.


Fig. 3. Time course of Li absorption by excised barley roots from 10^{-1} N-LiCl.

As discussed previously, metabolic ion absorption is dependent upon temperature, as well as aerobic conditions. Therefore, if the metabolic process is involved in Li absorption under anaerobic conditions, low temperature must result in lower absorption of Li. The effect of temperature and oxygen on Li absorption from 0.1 N-LiCl is shown in Table 2. Low temperature (0.5°C) markedly reduced Li absorption by aerated roots, but the effect of low temperature on Li absorption by N_2-

Table 2. The effect of O_2 and temperature on Li absorption from 0.1 N-LiCl

Temperature, °C.	0.5		20.5	
O_2 partial pressure, %	0.2	17.5	0.2	17.5
Li-absorption, meq/100g dry roots	10.03	10.83	10.60	15.68

Absorption period: 60 minutes

bubbled roots was slight.   Moreover, either low temperature or anaerobic conditions
or both resulted in a very similar amount of Li absorption.   The insensitivity of Li
absorption by N_2–bubbled roots at low temperature indicates that metabolic absorp-
tion was almost completely eliminated under anaerobic conditions.

Fig. 4.   Li absorption under anaerobic conditions as a function
of LiCl concentration.

    Figure 4 shows Li absorption by barley roots under anaerobic conditions as a
function of time and of the concentration of LiCl solution.   Li absorption increased
with time and with increasing concentration of Li.   For each Li concentration, the
first rapid Li absorption which ended within 30 minutes was followed by gradual
and linear increases in Li absorption.   The slope of the steady-state Li absorption
became steeper as the Li concentration increased from 10^{-3} to 10^{-1} N.   This may
be the result of one or more of the following processes: a) cation exchange adsorp-
tion,   b) diffusion, and   c) metabolic absorption which is not prevented by anaerobic
conditions.
    When the concepts of WFS and DFS are introduced, the mode of cation absorp-
tion may be explained as follows[4,53]: cations in the external solution enter in
accordance with diffusion expectations into the WFS which in essence constitutes an
extension of the environment.   Penetration of cations to the sites of exchange
adsorption occurs by free diffusion through the free space.   Then, the cation may
be actively transported inside of the cell across permeation barriers from either the
WFS or the DFS or both.   In this study, the treated roots were rinsed six times with

about 50 m*l*. of aliquots of distilled water immediately after the absorption period. Because the last rinsing water did not indicate Cl^- when it was detected by 1% $AgNO_3$, it is reasonable that almost all cations in the WFS would be removed by these successive rinses. It was also demonstrated that metabolic absorption by N_2-bubbled roots during 60 minutes was negligible (Table 2). From these considerations, it is concluded that at least the initial rapid cation absorption by N_2-bubbled roots is primarily the result of cation exchange adsorption between cations in the external solution and cations on the exchange sites in the DFS.

Further attempts were made to elucidate the prolonged and gradual cation absorption by N_2-bubbled roots. BRIGGS *et al.*[4] reported an analogous instance; the exchange between inactive Rb–saturated beet disks and 1 meq/*l*. of Rb^{86} in the external solution at low temperature has at least two phases. One phase was about 90% completed in 40 minutes and was nearly completed in about 90 minutes. The other phase which has a very much slower exchange lasted more than 20 hours. However, they did not mention any definite evidence that the slow Rb absorption was due to slow exchange. The second stage of the Li absorption will be explained by one or more of the following mechanisms: a) Metabolic absorption which is not prevented by the anaerobic condition. b) Slow diffusion of cations across permeation barriers. c) Slow exchange between cations in external solution and cations bound tightly to the exchange sites in the DFS or inside the permeation barrier.


Fig. 5. Effect of anaerobic conditions and temperature on
Li absorption from 10^{-3} *N*-LiCl.

Figure 5 shows the effect of temperature (0.5 vs. 23.5°C) on Li absorption over 300 minutes. Both anaerobic conditions and low temperature resulted in a great reduction of Li absorption. Although the difference between Li absorption by N_2-bubbled roots at 0.5°C and 23.5°C is slight, the excised barley roots absorbed even larger amounts of Li under low than high temperature after a 60-minute absorption period. These results indicate again that metabolic absorption under anaerobic conditions is almost negligible, and also that the subsequent gradual absorption after the rapid absorption is of a non-metabolic nature.

Absorption of Ba, Sr, Rb and Li from 10^{-3} N single chloride solution under anaerobic conditions is shown in Figure 6. One of the striking characteristics of


Fig. 6. Cation absorption under anaerobic conditions.

these cation absorptions is that the four different cations showed quite similar absorption patterns. The major difference among them occurred in the initial 60 minutes and the amount of cation absorption was in the order of lyotropic series. This result is analogous to the results obtained by EPSTEIN and LEGGETT[25], who showed that exchangeable Sr was replaced by other cations in the order of lyotropic series. Straightforward ion exchange is controlled primarily by the valence and the position in the lyotropic series of the exchanging ions, therefore these results indicate again that the first rapid absorption is mainly due to exchange adsorption.

According to EPSTEIN and his associates[23,24,25], competition among ions for combination with ion carriers is characterized by considerable ion specificity. If this metabolic absorption process is involved in cation absorption under anaerobic conditions, cationic specificity must be reflected in the absorption under N_2 gas. It is clearly shown in Figure 7 that such a specificity is not reflected in Rb and Sr

Fig. 7. Effect of anaerobic conditions on Rb or Sr absorption
from 10^{-3} N chloride solution.

absorption from 10^{-3} N single chloride solution under anaerobic conditions. Therefore, from these data it may be postulated that the site of the carrier molecule is not involved in the exchange adsorption site in the DFS.

It is noteworthy that N_2-bubbled roots absorbed slightly higher amounts of Sr than aerated roots. Although no statistical analysis was applied on these data, it appears reasonable to conclude that Sr absorption by excised barley roots is mainly non-metabolic or independent of aerobic metabolism. This result is very comparable to MOORE and his associates' report[69] on the behavior of Ca. They concluded that Ca absorption by excised barley roots is largely non-metabolic, because of the insensitivity of the Ca absorption to low temperature and DNP.

The data described above indicate that metabolic absorption is excluded under anaerobic conditions. However, the question of the nature of such a non-metabolic absorption, exchange adsorption or diffusion, remains unsolved. This question is related, to a great extent, to the following questions: Where is the location of the permeation barriers and what is the degree of permeability of the barriers? Also, to what extent is exchange across the permeation barrier possible? This subject is thoroughly reviewed by LATIES[53]. Although no further attempt to distinguish exchange adsorption and diffusion during the prolonged absorption period was made, an indication may be given by the following consideration. If diffusion is the rate-limiting process in the cation absorption, the entry of a certain cation will not be

affected by the presence of another cation at low concentrations. However, if the presence of sites on which exchange adsorption occurs is the controlling factor, interionic competition is to be expected.

On the basis of the idea mentioned above, some evidence has been reported that cationic entry by diffusion is very small[74,87]. Figure 8 shows the effect of Sr and


Fig. 8.   Effect of anaerobic conditions and 10^{-3} N-SrCl$_2$ on Rb absorption from 10^{-3} N-RbCl.

oxygen on Rb absorption from 10^{-3} N-RbCl. Rubidium absorption by N$_2$-bubbled roots in the presence of Sr is very small. This result is in agreement with RUSSELL[74] and TADANO[87], and indicates that metabolic absorption of Rb is inhibited by anaerobic conditions and that Rb exchange adsorption is interfered by Sr. Even though Rb absorption by N$_2$-bubbled roots in the presence of Sr involved the absorption by diffusion, the absorption by diffusion will be very small. These results lead to the conclusion that cation absorption by N$_2$-bubbled roots from dilute solution is exclusively due to exchange adsorption. The reduction of Rb absorption by Sr took place at almost the same degree under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. These results indicate that regardless of the O$_2$ concentration, Sr occupied exchange sites which were occupied by Rb in the absence of Sr. The relationship between mono- and divalent cations on the exchange sites is best explained by "valence effect" which will be discussed in detail later.

It was briefly discussed previously that individual cations may differ in sensiti-

vity to anaerobic conditions. The responses of Na, Li and Rb to anaerobic conditions were essentially the same (Figs. 2, 3 and 6) and the absorption of these cations by N_2–bubbled roots was found to be mainly by exchange adsorption. However, the behavior of Sr under anaerobic conditions is different from the other cations, because the anaerobic condition was favorable for only a very small extent of Sr absorption (Fig. 7). HANDLEY et al.[33] reported that uptake of Sr is a non-metabolic process in the meristematic portion of the root tip of corn plants and that this Sr absorption was markedly increased under anaerobic conditions. They explained this phenomenon in that the permeation barrier for Sr is metabolically maintained and is readily destroyed by anaerobiosis, permitting larger amounts of Sr to enter the tissue. It is suggested that under anaerobic conditions, destruction of the permeation barrier for Sr may have caused a slight increase of Sr absorption by N_2–bubbled roots.

The effect of oxygen and KCl on Ca absorption from 10^{-3} N–$CaCl_2$ or 10^{-3} N–$CaCl_2$ and –KCl mixed solution is shown in Figure 9 where Ca absorption over the native Ca content, 4.19 meq/100g dry roots, was plotted against time. The


Fig. 9. Effect of anaerobic conditions and 10^{-3} N-KCl on Ca absorption from 10^{-3} N-$CaCl_2$.

amount of Ca absorbed under aerobic conditions increased with time, while the Ca absorbed under anaerobic conditions reached a maximum whithin 60 minutes and then gradually decreased. The depressing effect of KCl on Ca absorption by aerated roots is obvious, while the effect is not clear under the anaerobic condition. If the destruction of permeation barriers by anaerobiosis permitted larger amounts of Ca to enter the tissue, as suggested by HANDLEY et al.[33] for Sr absorption by corn roots, it appears very difficult to explain why the gradual decrease of Ca took place after

the initial 60–minute absorption. HANDLEY et al.[32,33] reported that $CaCl_2$ and $SrCl_2$ stimulated the respiration of corn roots. Although MOORE et al.[69] concluded that Ca absorption by excised barley roots is largely non-metabolic, the behavior of Sr and Ca under anaerobic conditions may be best explained in relation to anaerobic metabolism of the roots.

The behavior of K under aerobic and anaerobic conditions is contrasted with Ca (Fig. 10). The amounts of K absorption over and desorption from the native K


Fig. 10. Effect of anaerobic conditions and 10^{-3} N-$CaCl_2$ on K content of the excised barley roots.

content of the roots, 30.76 meq/l. was plotted against time. Potassium absorption by aerated roots from 10^{-3} N-KCl and 10^{-3} N-$CaCl_2$ mixed solution was similar to Rb absorption from 10^{-3} N-RbCl and 10^{-3} N-$SrCl_2$ mixed solution (Fig. 8). However, K desorption took place regardless of the presence of K under the anaerobic condition. On the other hand, the aerated roots did not lose K into the $CaCl_2$ solution. These results indicate great dependence of K absorption on aerobic metabolism. Although no further attempts to elucidate these phenomena were made, it appears that the interaction between the native cations in the roots and those in the external solution must be taken into consideration in order to study the behavior of cations under anaerobic conditions.

The effect of anaerobic conditions on cation absorption by excised barley roots was discussed in the previous paragraph. It will be necessary to examine the effect

of the anaerobic condition on the cation absorbing capacity of the roots themselves. A simple experiment was conducted to determine whether the roots lose their cation absorbing capacity under anaerobic conditions.   The first and second set of the roots were allowed to absorb Rb from 10^{-3} N-RbCl under aerobic and anaerobic conditions, respectively.   The third set of the roots was exposed to 10^{-3} N-RbCl solution under anaerobic conditions for 60 minutes and then transferred to the aerated 10^{-3} N-RbCl solution.   The results shown in Figure 11 clearly demonstrate that me-


Fig. 11.   Effect of anaerobic conditions on the roots' ability
to absorb Rb.

tabolic absorption of Rb is completely recovered by the aerobic condition.   From this result, it is concluded that the anaerobic condition for a period of at least 60 minutes has no effect on the Rb-absorbing capacity of the roots.

Section 3.   Proposal of a New Method to Determine the Root CEC and the Effect of Nitrogen on the Root CEC.

It was pointed out in the studies of the methodology of the root CEC assay that the following conditions must be provided for the quantitative measurement of the root CEC by a direct exchange method.   First, a proper exchange period must be provided.   Second, cations which are not normally contained in the roots must be employed as an exchange-cation.   Third, metabolic absorption must be eliminated. It was indicated in the subsequent studies on the characterization of cation absorp-

tion by excised barley roots under anaerobic conditions that Li absorption from 10^{-1} N–LiCl under anaerobic conditions is exclusively cation exchange adsorption which is completed in about 30 minutes. Because Li is not contained in the roots, a technique using Li as an exchange cation under anaerobic conditions to prevent metabolic absorption for a 30-minute exchange period with enough rinses with distilled water to remove a diffusible portion of cation appears to be able to satisfy the requirements for the quantitative measurements of the root CEC by a direct exchange method. From the considerations described above, a new method was developed to determine more exactly the root CEC. The procedure of the method is described as follows:

1) Two grams of fresh excised roots were immersed for 30 minutes in 250 m*l*. of 0.1 N–LiCl solution in which N_2 gas was bubbling ($O_2\%$ in the solution should be less than 0.3% at the beginning of the treatment).

2) The roots were transferred to a small funnel and then rinsed with distilled water immediately after the exchange period until the rinse was free of Cl^-. The presence of Cl^- in the rinse was examined by the 1% $AgNO_3$ test. Six 50 m*l*. aliquot rinses with distilled water are usually adequate.

3) The roots were dried at 90°C for 30 minutes and then at 75°C in an oven overnight.

4) The dried roots were weighed and then ashed at 500°C for three hours in a muffle furnace. The cooled ashes were wet with a drop of distilled water and dissolved into 2 m*l*. of 1 : 1 HNO_3. The nitric acid solution was gently warmed for 20 minutes on a hot plate.

5) Lithium content of the nitric acid solution which were properly diluted were measured using the Perkin Elmer Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer.

6) The content of Li of the roots was expressed as the root CEC in meq/100 g dry weight.

A comparison of the root CEC values measured for barley roots by this Li-exchange method with the ones measured by three other methods for the same roots is shown in Table 3. As predicted previously, the acid washing method proposed

Table 3. Comparison of four methods for root CEC determination of barley roots.

Method	Root CEC, meq/100g dry roots
Electrodialysis method	12. 7*
Acid washing method proposed by Crooke (13)	7. 9
Na–exchange method proposed by Bartlett (1)	27. 6
Li–exchange method	12. 8

* After White, Drake and Baker[95]

by CROOKE[13] and the Na exchange method proposed by BARTLETT[1] gave lower and higher values, respectively. Although the electrodialysis gave a similar value, this is a complex result of the positive error by the drastic electrodialysis treatment and

the negative error by the arbitrary titration period.

The opinions of the effects of nitrogen level on the root CEC is not always consistent. Although there has been reported many instances where higher nitrogen level in the medium resulted in the higher root CEC, some investigators have reported that there was no relation between the root CEC and nitrogen level in the medium.

The discrepancy of these results may be partially explained by the methodology of the root CEC assay and by growth conditions other than nitrogen level in the medium. An experiment was conducted to measure the effect of nutrient nitrogen on the root CEC of barley roots. The nitrogen level in the nutrient solutions was varied from 0 to 5 mM of NH_4NO_3. The other nutrients, 0.1 mM of $CaSO_4$, $MgSO_4$ and KH_2PO_4, were the same at each nitrogen level. The CEC of the roots obtained from these seedlings was measured by the Li-exchange method described above. The result, given in Figure 12, shows that N level up to 2.5 mM of NH_4NO_3 increased the root CEC.


Fig. 12.   Effect of N-level in the nutrient solution on the
CEC of barley roots.

Section 4.   Function of the Root CEC in Cation Absorption Process.

There is considerable diversity of opinion on the function of cation adsorption in the cation accumulation process. LATIES[53] concluded that exchange adsorption was not related to accumulation, a view that was shared by EPSTEIN[27] and LAGERWERFF and PEECH[52]. There is, however, a long-standing view that the root

CEC system is related to the mineral composition of the plants. CROOKE and KNIGHT[12] have evaluated published data on the mineral composition of plants in the light of the root CEC and concluded that CEC of the roots is positively correlated with the content in the tops of (a) the total cations, (b) the ash, (c) the excess base, and (d) the total elements. BROWN[5] argues that too much evidence exists showing interactions involving soil colloids, cation exchange in roots, and nutrient elements to dismiss the root CEC as having no influence on the nutrient status of plants.

In order to prove that cation exchange adsorption is a predominant process in the cation absorption by plant roots and results in differential accumulation of monovalent and divalent cation, at least two assumptions must be satisfied. One is the assumption that cation exchange adsorption on the roots satisfies the Donnan expectation and the other is that cation exchange adsorption is a rate-limiting step of cation accumulation. From the standpoint mentioned above, this study was conducted to evaluate the function of the root CEC in cation absorption process.

The first interest of this study is to clarify the relationship between the ionic composition of the external solution and the relative amounts of the different cations adsorbed on the exchange sites in the DFS of the roots. This relationship is based on the postulate regarding the existence of electro-chemical equilibrium between the adsorbed and solution ions. If this equilibrium is assumed to be reached, the relationship between adsorbed (subscript i) and solution ions (subscript o) in the systems containing monovalent (superscript $+$) and divalent (superscript $++$) cations can be described by the Donnan equation;

$$\frac{(A^+)_o}{(A^{++})_o^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{(A^+)_i}{(A^{++})_i^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots (1)$$

where (A) represents the activity of the cation.

Equation (1) is further described by Equation (2);

$$\frac{[M^+]_o^2 \cdot (\gamma_o^+)^2}{[M^{++}]_o \cdot \gamma_o^{++}} = \frac{[M^+]_i^2 \cdot (\gamma_i^+)^2}{[M^{++}]_i \cdot \gamma_i^{++}} \quad\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots (2)$$

where $[M]$ is molar concentration of cation, γ is activity coefficient.

Equation (2) is arranged into Equation (3);

$$\frac{[M^+]_o^2}{[M^{++}]_o} = k \cdot \frac{[M^+]_i^2}{[M^{++}]_i} \quad\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots (3)$$

where

$$k = \frac{(\gamma^+)_i^2 \cdot \gamma_o^{++}}{(\gamma^+)_o^2 \cdot \gamma_i^{++}}$$

If the exchange sites in the DFS are saturated with M^+ and M^{++}, the following equation will be given;

$$[M^+]_i + 2[M^{++}]_i = Ec \quad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots (4)$$

where Ec is concentration of the exchange sites in the DFS in equiv./*l*. of the DFS. Equation (4) holds so long as the mobile anion concentration in the Donnan phase is negligible compared with Ec.

From Equations (3) and (4)

$$2k[M^+]_i^2 + R \cdot [M^+]_i - R \cdot Ec = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots (5)$$

where

$$R = \frac{[M^+]_o^2}{[M^{++}]_o}$$

If *k* is assumed to be unity, the following equation will be obtained from (4) and (5);

$$\frac{[M^{++}]_i}{[M^+]_i} = \frac{1}{4}\left\{ \left(1 + \frac{8Ec}{R}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right\} \quad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots (6)$$

The ratio of divalent to monovalent cation adsorbed on the exchange sites in the DFS varies as a function of Ec and R. This relation is visualized in Figure 13 and Figure 14, where the ratio of $[M^{++}]_i/[M^+]_i$ increases with decreasing R or increasing Ec. Accordingly, if cation concentration of the external solution containing both divalent and monovalent cations in a certain ratio decreases, the ratio of divalent to monovalent cation adsorbed on the exchange site increases. If the


Fig. 13.   Relation between $[M^+]_i/[M^+]_i$ and R.
$[M^+]_i/[M^+]_i$: ratio of divalent to monovalent cations
           adsorbed on the exchange sites in the DFS.
R: $[M^+]_o^2/[M^{++}]_o$ in molar concentration.
Ec: concentration of the exchange sites in the DFS in
   meq/*l*. of the DFS.

Fig. 14. Relation between $[M^+]_i/[M^+]_i$ and Ec.

concentration of exchange sites in the DFS increases, the ratio of divalent to mono-valent cations adsorbed on the exchange sites in the DFS should increase.

   If the exchange adsorption which occurs on the root exchange sites in the DFS in accordance with the Donnan distribution is a rate-limiting step of cation accumulation, such a differential cation exchange adsorption must be reflected in total cation accumulation. From the consideration described above, experiments were conducted to determine whether exchange adsorption on the root exchange sites follows the Donnan distribution and whether the exchange adsorption is a rate-limiting step of cation accumulation.

   Barley seedlings were grown in nutrient solutions containing two different concentrations of nitrogen, 0 and 1 mM of NH_4NO_3. The other nutrients, 0.1 mM of $CaSO_4$, $MgSO_4$ and KH_2PO_4, were the same at both nitrogen levels. The other aspects of the growth condition was the same as described earlier. The CEC of the roots obtained from these seedlings was measured by Li–exchange method and found to be 7.31 and 9.44 meq/100 g dry roots in the absence and presence of nitrogen in the nutrient solutions, respectively.

   The previous discussions on characterization of cation absorption under anaerobic conditions revealed that the absorption of Li and Sr by N_2–bubbled roots during a short absorption period is mainly due to exchange adsorption and that cations not normally found in the roots must be employed in the study of cation exchange adsorption in order to avoid the interaction between native cations in the roots and cations in absorption solutions. Therefore, one gram of the excised barley roots were exposed to 500 ml. of the Li and Sr mixed solutions under anaerobic or aerobic conditions for 30 minutes. In this case, cation absorption under anaerobic and aerobic conditions represents exchange adsorption and total absorption, respectively.

Each concentration of LiCl and SrCl$_2$ in the mixed solution varied from 10^{-4} to 10^{-2} N. The ratio of Sr to Li in the solution was 1:1 on an equivalent scale. The roots were rinsed with distilled water immediately after the absorption period until the diffusible Cl$^-$ disappeared from the last rinsing water. The rinses were usually carried out within two minutes with six 50-m*l*. portions of distilled water. The results are reported in Table 4.

Table 4. Lithium and strontium absorption by excised barley roots as a funtion of R*.

N-level in the nutrient solution NH$_4$NO$_3$, m*M*.	Treatment		Amount of cation absorbed (m mole/100g dry roots)		
	O$_2$	R*	Li	Sr	Sr/Li
0	N$_2$	2×10^{-4}	0.027	1.14	42.2
		2×10^{-3}	0.10	1.33	13.3
		2×10^{-2}	0.36	1.55	4.31
	Air	2×10^{-4}	0.21	0.76	3.62
		2×10^{-3}	0.36	1.05	2.91
		2×10^{-2}	0.65	1.25	1.93
1	N$_2$	2×10^{-4}	0.045	1.40	31.1
		2×10^{-3}	0.16	1.63	10.2
		2×10^{-2}	0.58	1.89	3.26
	Air	2×10^{-4}	0.24	1.02	4.25
		2×10^{-3}	0.39	1.40	3.08
		2×10^{-2}	1.08	1.66	1.53

* R $= [Li^+]_o^2/[Sr^{++}]_o$ in molar concentration.

Under the anaerobic conditions, the sum of Li and Sr adsorption increased gradually with increasing concentrations in the external solution. Similar results were obtained by BRIGGS et al.[4] in beet disks where a gradual rise in the amount of Rb adsorbed in the DFS took place as the external Rb concentration rose from 1 to 20 meq/*l*. This gradual rise was explained as consistent with the Donnan anions having arisen from weak acids with a pK of about 3. The same explanation may be applied for the gradual rise in Li and Sr adsorption observed in this study.

The ratio of Sr to Li absorbed under the anaerobic condition increased markedly with decreasing concentrations in the external solution. These results indicate that Li and Sr absorption under anaerobic conditions are exclusively exchange adsorption and that the distribution of Sr and Li on the exchange sites follows the Donnan distribution.

The CEC of the roots which were grown in the nutrient solution with no nitrogen and with 1 m*M* of NH$_4$NO$_3$ was found to be 7.31 and 9.44 meq/100g dry roots, respectively. If the volume of the DFS is the same for the roots grown under both conditions, the ratio of Sr to Li should be higher in the roots with higher root CEC measured. The results in Table 4, however, did not show the relationship expected.

The alternative explanation for this result may be given in that a higher N level in the nutrient solution resulted in a higher amount of exchange sites per unit of dry weight and in much larger volume of the DFS.   In short, the nitrogen pretreatment might result in the lower concentration of exchange sites in the DFS.   If the ratio of Sr to Li obtained represents the result of the Donnan distribution, it is possible to calculate Ec, the concentration of exchange sites in the DFS, from Equation (6). The calculated value of Ec for the given roots, reported in Table 5, does not deviate

Table 5.   Caluculated concentration of the cation exchange sites in the Donnan Free Space.

N-level in the nutrient solution, NH_4NO_3, mM.	R	Calculated Ec*, meq/l.	Mean value of Ec, meq/l.
0	2×10^{-4}	721	761
	2×10^{-3}	736	
	2×10^{-2}	829	
1	2×10^{-4}	393	440
	2×10^{-3}	437	
	2×10^{-2}	490	

* The value of Ec was calculated from the equation (6);

$$Ec = \frac{R}{8} \left\{ \left(4 \cdot \frac{Li^+}{Sr^{++}} + 1 \right)^2 - 1 \right\}$$

markedly in the different concentrations of the external solution.   The mean concentration of exchange sites in the DFS was 761 and 440 meq/l. of the DFS for the roots grown in the absence and presence of nitrogen in the nutrient solution, respectively.   The estimated values of the concentration of the exchange sites in the DFS is similar to the value obtained by BRIGGS et al.[4] for beet disks.   The method employed by them to estimate the concentration of the exchange sites (non-diffusible anions in the DFS) is described as follows; "In disks pretreated with solutions of RbI to remove all other mobile ions from the free space, the amount of exchangeable I and Rb was measured by the uptake of I^{131} and Rb^{86} at various external concentrations.   The excess of cations over anions (the extra exchangeable Rb^+) was used as an estimate of the amount of non-diffusible anions in the DFS.   This was approximately constant at 10–13 meq/Kg of fresh weight.   The volume of the DFS was estimated from the amount of extra exchangeable Rb in disks which had previously been treated so that the counter-ions in the DFS were exclusively Ca, and which were subsequently brought to equilibrium with various concentrations of RbBr.   The mean volume from four experiments was 2.1 per cent, so that the concentration of exchange sites in the DFS was 560 meq/l." If the concentration of the exchange sites in the DFS are assumed as 760 and 440 meq/l. of the DFS for the roots grown with and without nitrogen source in the nutrient solution, respectively, it is also possible to calculate the ratio of Sr to Li adsorbed on the exchange sites in the DFS. The results, given in Figure 15, show that the experimental values almost exactly fit the theoretical values.   Therefore, it is quite reasonable to conclude that exchange

Fig. 15. Sr and Li adsorption by excised barley roots as a
          function of R.

○·········○   Theoretical line when Ec $=$ 760 meq/l.
□·········□   Theoretical line when Ec $=$ 440 meq/l.
●————●   Experimental line for N $=$ 0
■————■   Experimental line for N $=$ 1

adsorption on the root exchange sites follows the Donnan expectation.

The subsequent question is whether the Donnan distribution of cations on the root exchange sites results in a differential accumulation of divalent and monovalent cations inside of the roots. This question is equivalent to the question whether cation accumulation process occurs through the DFS of the roots or not. EPSTEIN *et al.*[25] concluded that cation exchange adsorption is not essentially involved in the active accumulation process but that the cations adsorbed on the exchange sites are absorbed very slowly by the metabolic processes in the absence of external reservoir of the ions in the solution. LAGERWERFF and PEECH[52] concluded that exchange adsorption and active accumulation of cations are two independent coexisting processes. The details of their experiment are reviewed previously. However, it is obvious that they ignored the isotopic exchange of Ca between native Ca and external Ca^{45} and the stimulative effect of $CaCl_2$ on Rb absorption which might cause erroneous values of Ca and Rb absorption. Therefore, their experiment is not helpful in the elucidation of the relationship between cation exchange adsorption and active accumulation.

The results given in Table 4 show that the ratio of Sr to Li absorbed under aerobic conditions increased with decreasing concentrations of the external solution, indicating that the valence effect was reflected to some extent in the total absorption. However, it does not necessarily mean that exchange adsorption was involved in the active accumulation of cations because the exchange adsorption was the predominant

process during the initial 30–minute absorption period, even under aerobic condi-
tions.   In another view, it is an important point in this experiment (Table 4) that
the valence effect was diminished even in the short term of the absorption of Li and
Sr under aerobic conditions.   If exchange adsorption were a rate-limiting step in
their absorption, the ratio of Sr to Li absorbed would be the same under both aerobic
and anaerobic conditions.   Figure 16 shows ,Rb and Sr absorption by the excised


Fig. 16.   Rb and Sr absorption by excised barley roots from
10^{-3} N single or mixed chloride solution.

barley roots from 10^{-3} N–RbCl and 10^{-3} N–SrCl$_2$ mixed solution under aerobic condi-
tions.   As discussed previously, the presence of Sr in the solution depressed Rb
absorption by almost the same amount, in the presence of oxygen during the 60–150
minutes absorption period.   Hence the rate of Rb absorption after 60 minutes absorp-
tion period was almost the same in both the single and mixed solutions.   The fact
that the presence of divalent cations at 10^{-3} N does not alter the monovalent cation
absorption after the initial rapid absorption stage provided one of the strong bases
for the viewpoint that exchange adsorption has little effect on active accumulation
of cations[53].

The experimental results obtained in this study will come to the conclusion that
cations adsorbed exchangeably by roots distribute in accordance with the Donnan
principle but that exchange adsorption is not necessarily a rate-limiting step in cation
absorption resulting in the differential accumulation of monovalent and divalent

cations by plants.

CHAPTER VI.   SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

This study was undertaken primarily to evaluate the role of cation-exchange capacity (CEC) of plant roots in the cation absorption process.   Efforts were also made to improve the method to determine the root CEC and to characterize cation absorption by excised barley roots under anaerobic conditions.

Studies on the methodology on the root CEC assay revealed that it is very difficult to determine accurately the root CEC by estimating the root exchange acidity.   This is because it is difficult to saturate the roots with H^+ and select an arbitrary end point of the titration.   It was found that Na–exchange method by Bartlett[1] is not a quantitatively accurate method because of the contamination by the native and metabolically absorbed Na, and the short exchange period.   The following conditions must be provided for the quantitative measurement of the root CEC by a direct exchange method;

    a)   A proper exchange period (30 minutes).

    b)   The use of exchange cations which are not normally contained in the roots.

    c)   Elimination of metabolic absorption during the exchange period.

Cation absorption under anaerobic conditions was characterized and examined to find whether a drastic reduction of O_2 in an exchange solution can completely eliminate metabolic absorption.   Lithium absorption by the roots under anaerobic conditions was insensitive to low temperature and ended within 30 minutes.   Absorption of Ba, Sr, Rb and Li from 10^{-3} N single chloride solution under anaerobic conditions showed that the absorption patterns were quite similar and that the amount of cation absorption was in the order of a lyotropic series.   Rubidium absorption by N_2-bubbled roots in the presence of Sr was almost negligible.   These results indicate that Li, Rb and Sr were absorbed under anaerobic conditions mainly exchange adsorption excluding diffusion and metabolic absorption.

Excised roots kept under anaerobic conditions for 60 minutes, when removed to aerated solutions absorbed Rb at the same rate as the roots initially in aerobic conditions.   This indicates that the anaerobic treatments for 60 minutes had no adverse effect on Rb-absorption capacity of the roots.

A new method to determine the root CEC was developed on the basis that Li absorption for 30 minutes under anaerobic conditions was exclusively due to cation exchange adsorption.   This method employs a technique using 0.1 N–LiCl as an exchange cation under anerobic conditions during a 30-minute exchange period followed by distilled water rinses to remove the diffusible and occluded portion of Li from the roots.

The CEC value of barley roots determined by the Li–exchange method increased with increasing nitrogen level in the nutrient solution being 10.2, 13.1 and 14.0 meq /100 g dry roots for 0.1 and 2.5 mM of NH_4NO_3, respectively.

The relationship among the ratio of mono- to divalent cations adsorbed on the

exchange sites in the Donnan Free Space (DFS), the cation concentration of the external solution and the concentration of exchange sites in the DFS was expressed as follows;

$$\frac{[M^{++}]_i}{[M^+]_i} = \frac{1}{4}\left\{\left(1 + \frac{8Ec}{R}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1\right\} \quad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots (A)$$

where $[M^{++}]_i$ and $[M^+]_i$ are molar concentrations of a divalent cation M^{++} and a monovalent cation M^+ respectively, adsorbed on the exchange sites in the DFS, Ec is the concentration of exchange sites in the DFS in equiv./l. of the DFS, and R is expressed as $[M^+]_o^2/[M^{++}]_o$, the ratio of the square of the molar concentration of monovalent cations to the molar concentration of divalent cations in the external solution.

The ratio of Sr to Li absorbed from a mixed solution of $SrCl_2$ and LiCl under anaerobic conditions increased with decreasing R, indicating that Sr and Li absorption followed the Donnan distribution. On the basis of the Donnan distribution, it is possible to calculate Ec, the concentration of the cation exchange sites in the DFS, from the ratio of Sr to Li absorbed by the roots. The calculated values of Ec for the barley roots which were grown in the nutrient solution without nitrogen source and with 1 mM of NH_4NO_3 were 760 and 440 meq/l. of the DFS, respectively. These values did not deviate appreciably when 10^{-4}, 10^{-3}, and 10^{-2} N were the concentrations of the external solutions.

The experimental values of the ratio of Sr to Li absorbed under anaerobic conditions exactly fitted to the theoretical values derived from the Equation (A). This assumed that the concentration of exchange sites in the DFS was as 760 and 440 meq/l. of the DFS of roots which were grown without nitrogen and with 1 mM of NH_4NO_3 in the nutrient solution, respectively.

The valence effect which was observed in the absorption of Li and Sr under anaerobic conditions was diminsihed in their absorption under aerobic conditions. Moreover, the rate of Rb absorption from 10^{-3} N–RbCl after 60–minute absorption period was almost the same regardless of the presence of 10^{-3} N–$SrCl_2$. These results indicate that exchange adsorption is not a rate-limiting step in the absorption of Li or Rb by the excised barley roots.

On the basis of the information obtained, the possible function of exchange adsorption in differential accumulation of mono- and divalent cations by plants was discussed. It was concluded that the cations adsorbed exchangeably by roots distribute in accordance with the Donnan principle but that exchange adsorption is not necessarily a rate-limiting step in cation absorption resulting in the differential accumulation of mono- and divalent cations by plants.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

REFERENCES

1) BARTLETT, R.J.: *Soil Sci.*, **98**, 351-357 (1964).
2) BELL, C.W. and WALKER, R.B.: *Plant Physiol.*, **32**, (Supplement) XXI (1957).
3) BERNSTEIN, L. and NIEMAN, R.H.: *Plant Physiol.*, **35**, 589-598 (1960).
4) BRIGGS, G.E., HOPE, A.B. and PITMAN, M.G.: *J. Exp. Bot.*, **9**, 128-141 (1958).
5) BROWN, J.C.: *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, **14**, 93-105 (1963).
6) BROYER, T.C. and OVERSTREET, R.: *Amer. Jour. Bot.*, **27**, 425-430 (1940).
7) BROYER, T.C.: in "Mineral Nutrition of Plants" (Truog, E. ed.), pp. 187-249, University of Wisconsin Press, Milwaukee (1951).
8) BUTLER, G.W.: *Plant Physiol.*, **6**, 617-635 (1953).
9) CONWAY, E.J. and DOWNEY, M.: *Biochem. J.*, **47**, 347-355 (1950).
10) CROOKE, W.M.: *Soil Sci.*, **86**, 231-240 (1958).
11) CROOKE, W.M., KNIGHT, A.H. and MACDONALD, I.R.: *Plant and Soil*, **13**, 123-127 (1960).
12) CROOKE, W.M. and KNIGHT, A.H.: *Soil Sci.*, **93**, 365-373 (1962).
13) CROOKE, W.M.: *Plant and Soil*, **21**, 43-49 (1964).
14) CUNNINGHAM, R.K. and NIELSEN, K.F.: *Nature*, **200**, 1344-1345 (1963).
15) DELGADO, L.C. and SPRAGUE, S.: *Atomic Absorption News Letter*, **4**, 363-364 (1966).
16) DEVAUX, H.: *Compt. rend. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **162**, 561-563 (1916).
17) DRAKE, M., VENGRIS, J. and COLBY, W.: *Soil Sci.*, **72**, 139-147 (1951).
18) DRAKE, M. and WHITE, J.M.: *Soil Sci.*, **91**, 66-69 (1961).
19) DRAKE M.: in "Chemistry of the Soil" (Bear, F.E. ed.) p. 400 (1965).
20) ELGABALY, M.M. and WIKLANDER, L.: *Soil Sci.*, **67**, 419-424 (1949).
21) ELGABALY, M.M. and WIKLANDER, L.: *Soil Sci.*, **93**, 281-285 (1962).
22) ELGABALY, M.M.: *Soil Sci.*, **93**, 350-352 (1962).
23) EPSTEIN, E. and HAGEN, C.E.: *Plant Physiol.*, **27**, 257-274 (1952).
24) EPSTEIN, E.: *Nature*, **171**, 83-84 (1953).
25) EPSTEIN, E. and LEGGETT, J.E.: *Amer. J. Botany*, **41**, 785-791 (1954).
26) EPSTEIN, E.: *Plant Physiol.*, **30**, 529-535 (1955).
27) EPSTEIN, E.: *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, **7**, 1-24 (1956).
28) FRANKLIN, R.E.: *Soil Sci. Soc. Proc. Amer.*, **30**, 177-181 (1966).
29) FRIED, M., NOGGLE, J.C. and HAGEN, C.E.: *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc.*, **22**, 495-499 (1958).
30) GAUCH, H.G.: *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, **8**, 31-64 (1957).
31) GRAHAM, E.R. and BAKER, W.L.: *Soil Sci.*, **72**, 435-441 (1951).
32) HANDLEY, R. and OVERSTREET, R.: *Science*, **135**, 731-732 (1962).
33) HANDLEY, R. and OVERSTREET, R.: *Plant Physiol.*, **38**, 180-184 (1963).
34) HELMY, A.K. and ELGABALY, M.M.: *Plant and Soil*, **10**, 78-92 (1958).
35) HELMY, A.K. and ELGABALY, M.M.: *Plant and Soil*, **10**, 93-100 (1958).
36) HELMY, A.K. and OLIVER, S.: *Soil Sci.*, **91**, 339-340 (1961).
37) HEINTZE, S.G.: *Plant and Soil*, **13**, 365-383 (1961).
38) HOAGRAND. D.R. and BROYER. T.C.: *Plant Physiol.*, **11**, 471-507 (1936).
39) HOAGRAND, D.R. and BROYER, T.C.: *Amer. Jour. Bot.*, **27**, 173-185 (1940).
40) HOPE, A.B. and STEVENS, P.G.: *Aust. J. Sci. Res.*, **5**, 335 (1952).
41) HOPE, A.B.: *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.*, **6**, 396-409 (1953).
42) HUFFAKER, R.C. and WALLACE, A.: *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, **22**, 392-394 (1958).
43) HUFFAKER, R.C. and WALLACE, A.: *Agron. J.*, **51**, 120 (1959).
44) JACKSON, P.C. and ADAMS, H.R.: *J. Gen. Physiol.*, **46**, 369-386 (1963).
45) JACOBSON, L. and OVERSTREET, R.: *Amer. Jour. Bot.*, **34**, 415-420 (1947).
46) JACOBSON, L., OVERSTREET, R., KING, M. and HANDLEY, R.H.: *Plant Physiol.*, **25**, 639-647 (1950).
47) JACOBSON, L., HANNEPEL, R.J., MOORE, J.P. and SCHAEDLE, M.: *Plant Physiol.*, **36**, 58-61 (1961).
48) KELLER, P. and DEUEL, H.: *Z. Pflanzenernähr. Düng. u. Bodenk.*, **79**, 119-131 (1954).
49) KNIGHT, A.H., CROOKE, W.M., MACDONALD, I.R. and SHEPHERD, H.: *J. Exp. Bot.*, **12**, 13 (1961).

50) KNIGHT, A.H., CROOKE, W.M. and INKSON, R.H.E.: *Nature*, **192**, 142-143 (1961).

51) KRAMER, P,J.: *Science*, **125**, 633-635 (1957).

52) LAGERWERFF, J.V. and PEECH, M.: *Soil Sci.*, **91**, 84-93 (1961).

53) LATIES, G.G.: *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, **10**, 87-117 (1959).

54) LEGGETT, J.E. and EPSTEIN, E.: *Plant Physiol.*, **31**, 222-226 (1956).

55) LETEY, J., STOLZY, L.H., BLACK, G.B. and LUNT, O.R.: *Soil Sci.*, **92**, 314-321 (1961).

56) LETEY, J., LUNT, O.R., STOLZY, L.H. and SZUSZKIEWICZ, T.E.: *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, **25**, 183-186 (1961).

57) LETEY, J., STOLZY, L.H., VALORAS, N. and SZUSZKIEWICZ, T.E.: *Agron. J.*, **54**, 183-186 (1962).

58) LETEY, J., STOLZY, L.H., LUNT, O.R. and YOUNGER, V.B.: *Plant and Soil*, **20**, 143-148 (1964).

59) LUNDEGÅRDH, H.: *Ackiv. for Botanik.*, **32**, 1-139 (1945).

60) LUNDEGÅRDH, H.: *Physiol. Plant.*, **3**, 103-151 (1950).

61) LUNDEGÅRDH, H.: *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, **6**, 1-24 (1955).

62) MATTSON, S.: *Ann. Agr. Col. Sweden*, **15**, 308-316 (1948).

63) MATTSON, S.: *Ann. Agr. Col. Sweden*, **16**, 457-484 (1949).

64) MCLEAN, E.O. and BAKER, F.E.: *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, **17**, 100-102 (1953).

65) MCLEAN, E.O., ADAMS, D. and FRANKLIN, R.E.: *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, **20**, 345 (1956).

66) MCLEAN, E.O.: *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, **21**, 219-222 (1957).

67) MEHLICH, A.: *Soil Sci.*, **66**, 429-445 (1948).

68) MEHLICH, A.: *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, **17**, 231-234 (1953).

69) MOORE, D.P., JACOBSON, L. and OVERSTREET, R.: *Plant Physiol.*, **36**, 53-57 (1961).

70) OSTERHOUT, W.J.V.: *Biol. Bull.*, **99**, 308-309 (1950).

71) PITMAN, M.G.: *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.*, **16**, 647 (1963).

72) PITMAN, M.G.: *J. Exp. Bot.*, **15**, 444-456 (1964).

73) ROBERTSON, R.N.: *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, **2**, 1-24 (1951).

74) RUSSELL, R.S. and AYLAND, M.J.: *Nature*, **175**, 204-205 (1955).

75) SCHUFFELEN, A.C. and MIDDELBURG, H.A.: *Trans. V. International Congr. Soil Sci. Leopoldville*, **2**, 348-351 (1954).

76) SMITH, R.L. and WALLACE, A.: *Soil Sci.*, **81**, 97-108 (1956).

77) SMITH, R.L. and WALLACE, A.: *Soil Sci.*, **82**, 9-19 (1956).

78) SMITH, R.L. and WALLACE, A.: *Soil Sci.*, **82**, 165-172 (1956).

79) STEINBACH, H.B.: *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, **2**, 323-342 (1951).

80) STENLID, G.: *Physiol. Plant.*, **2**, 350-371 (1949).

81) STEWARD, F.C.: *Protoplasma*, **18**, 208-242 (1933).

82) STEWARD, F.C., BERRY, W.E. and BROYER, T.C.: *Am. Bot.*, **50**, 345-366 (1936).

83) STEWARD, R.C., STOUT, P.R. and PRESTON, C.: *Plant Physiol.*, **15**, 409-447 (1940).

84) STEWARD, F.C., PREVOT, P. and HARRISON, J.A.: *Plant Physiol.*, **17**, 411-421 (1942).

85) SUTCLIFFE, J,F.: *J. Exp. Bot.*, **5**, 313-326 (1954).

86) SUTCLIFFE, J.F.: in "Mineral Salts Absorption in Plants", Pergamon Press, New York, (1962).

87) TADANO, T.: M.S. Thesis, Univ. of Mass., (1965).

88) ULRICH, A.: *Amer. J. Bot.*, **28**, 526-537 (1941).

89) USSING, H.H.: *Physiol. Rev.*, **29**, 127-155 (1949).

90) VENGHIATTIS, A. and MANNING, D.C.: *Atomic Absorption News Letter*, **5**, 84-88 (1966).

91) VERVELDE, G.J.: *Plant and Soil*, **4**, 309-322 (1953).

92) WALLACE, A.: in "Current Topics in Plant Nutrition." Edwards Brothers Inc., Ann Arbor, p. 110 (1966).

93) WELCH. H,V., Jr., WALLACE, A. and MUELLER, R.T.: *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, **18**, 137-140 (1954).

94) WHITE, R.P., DRAKE, M. and BAKER, J.H.: *Soil Sci.*, **99**, 205-212 (1965).

95) WHITE, R.P., BAKER, J.H. and DRAKE, M.: *Soil Sci.*, **99**, 267-271 (1965).

96) WIKLANDER, L. and ELGABALY, M.M.: *Soil Sci.*, **80**, 91-93 (1955).

97) WILLIAMS, D.E. and COLEMAN, N.T.: *Plant and Soil*, **2**, 423-456 (1950).

根の陽イオン置換容量と関連した大麦切断根の陽イオン吸収について

安　藤　忠　男

　植物根の陽イオン吸収過程において根の陽イオン置換容量（CEC）の果している役割を明らかにする目的で大麦切断根の CEC と陽イオン吸収について研究した．

　従来提唱されている植物根 CEC の測定方法について検討し，直接置換法による測定条件としては通常根に含まれていない陽イオンを用いて30分間陽イオン置換を行なわせ，かつ置換時間中の代謝的吸収を除去することが必要であることを明らかにした．

　根の代謝的陽イオン吸収を除く目的で吸収液を N₂ で嫌気的とし陽イオン吸収を行なわせた．嫌気的条件下での Li の吸収は温度に対し影響されず，また Li, Rb, Sr, Ba ともに同様の吸収経過を示し，その吸収順位は Lyotropic series に従うこと，また1価と2価の陽イオンを共存させた場合には1価の陽イオン吸収が著しく抑えられること等により，嫌気的条件下での陽イオン吸収，特に 60 分以内の短時間の吸収では拡散や代謝的機作による吸収は著しく小さく，置換吸着による吸収が大部分を占めるものと推定された．

　植物根の CEC を測定する方法として，0.1 N LiCl を用い30分間嫌気的条件下で置換を行なわせ，可拡散部分と附着部分の Li を蒸溜水で洗滌除去する Li 置換法を提案した．

　培養液中の NH₄NO₃ 濃度を0から 5 mM まで5段階に変えて7日間生育せしめ，得られた大麦切断根を上記の Li 置換法によって CEC を測定した結果，培養液中の NH₄NO₃ 濃度を 2.5 mM まで上昇するにつれ CEC も増大した．

　60 分間の嫌気的条件による処理は根の Rb 吸収力にほとんど影響を及ぼさなかった．

　根の Donnan Free Space (DFS) の置換基に吸着されている1価と2価の陽イオン比，外液の陽イオン濃度，DFS 中の置換基の濃度の関係式を次のように導いた．

$$-\frac{[M^{++}]_i}{[M^+]_i} = \frac{1}{4}\left\{\left(1+\frac{8Ec}{R}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1\right\} \quad\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \text{(A)}$$

ここで $[M^{++}]_i$ および $[M^+]_i$ はそれぞれ DFS に吸着された2価陽イオン M^{++} および1価陽イオン M^+ のモル濃度であり，Ec は DFS 中の置換基の濃度 (equiv./l.)，R は外液中の陽イオンのモル濃度比 R ＝ $[M^+]^2_0/[M^{++}]_0$ で示される．

　SrCl₂ と LiCl の混合液から嫌気的条件下で根に吸収された Sr と Li 量から Ec を計算すると無窒素培養液および 1 mM NH₄NO₃ 添加培養液で生育させた大麦切断根の Ec はそれぞれ約 760 および 440 meq/l. であり，これ等の値は外液の濃度が変化してもほぼ一定であった．これ等の Ec の値が正しいと仮定すると嫌気的条件下に於ける Sr と Li の吸収比は (A) 式により導かれた理論値と正確に一致した．

　嫌気的条件下で認められた Sr と Li 吸収における valence effect は好気的条件下では認められなかった．また好気的条件下における Rb 吸収は 60 分後では殆ど Sr の存在の影響を受けなかった．これ等の実験結果は置換吸着が大麦切断根の Li や Rb 吸収の速度制限因子ではないことを示している．

　以上の実験結果より，植物根に置換吸着された陽イオンはドナン分布に従うが，置換吸着が植物の1価，2価陽イオン差別吸収に結果する陽イオン吸収の速度制限因子では必ずしもないことを結論した．