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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study the stable extendibility of the tangent bundle $\tau_{n}(p)$ of the $(2 n+1)$-dimensional standard lens space $L^{n}(p)$ for odd prime $p$. We investigate the value of integer $m$ for which $\tau_{n}(p)$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(p)$ but not stably extendible to $L^{m+1}(p)$, and in particular we completely determine $m$ for $p=5$ or 7. A stable splitting of $\tau_{n}(p)$ and the stable extendibility of a Whitney sum of $\tau_{n}(p)$ are also discussed.


## 1. Introduction

Let $F$ be the real number field $R$, the complex number field $C$ or the quaternion number field $H$. For a subspace $A$ of a space $X$, a $t$-dimensional $F$-vector bundle $\zeta$ over $A$ is said to be extendible to $X$, if there is a $t$ dimensional $F$-vector bundle over $X$ whose restriction to $A$ is equivalent to $\zeta$, that is, if $\zeta$ is equivalent to the induced bundle $i^{*} \eta$ of a $t$-dimensional $F$-vector bundle $\eta$ over $X$ under the inclusion map $i: A \rightarrow X$. Instead, if $i^{*} \eta$ is stably equivalent to $\zeta$, namely $i^{*} \eta+m$ is equivalent to $\zeta+m$ for a trivial $F$-vector bundle $m$ of dimension $m \geq 0, \zeta$ is called stably extendible to $X$ (cf. [10], p. 20 and [4], p. 273).

Let $L^{n}(p)=S^{2 n+1} / Z_{p}$ denote the $(2 n+1)$-dimensional standard lens space $\bmod p$. For an $R$-vector bundle $\zeta$ over $L^{n}(p)$, we define an integer $s(\zeta)$ by

$$
s(\zeta)=\max \left\{m \mid m \geq n \text { and } \zeta \text { is stably extendible to } L^{m}(p)\right\}
$$

where $s(\zeta)=\infty$ if $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(p)$ for every $m \geq n$.
Let $\tau_{n}(p)=\tau\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$ be the tangent bundle of $L^{n}(p)$. Then, concerning $s\left(\tau_{n}(p)\right)$, the following theorems have been obtained.

Theorem ([7], Theorem 5.3). Let $p$ be an integer $>1$. Then, $s\left(\tau_{n}(p)\right)=$ $\infty$ if $n=0,1$ or 3 .
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Theorem ([8], Theorem 4.3). Let $p$ be an odd prime. Then, $s\left(\tau_{n}(p)\right)<$ $2 n+2$, if $n \geq 2 p-2$.

Theorem ([6], Theorem 1). $s\left(\tau_{n}(3)\right)=\infty$ if and only if $0 \leq n \leq 3$.
The purpose of this paper is to develop these results on the stable extendibility of the tangent bundle $\tau_{n}(p)$. Our main results are stated as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $p$ be an odd prime. Then, $s\left(\tau_{n}(p)\right)=2 n+1$ if $n \geq$ $2 p-2$.

Theorem 2. (1) If $0 \leq n \leq 5$, then $s\left(\tau_{n}(5)\right)=\infty$.
(2) If $n \geq 6$, then $s\left(\tau_{n}(5)\right)=2 n+1$.

Theorem 3. (1) If $0 \leq n \leq 7$, then $s\left(\tau_{n}(7)\right)=\infty$.
(2) If $n \geq 8$, then $s\left(\tau_{n}(7)\right)=2 n+1$.

These theorems give support to our following conjecture.
Conjecture. For an odd prime $p$,

$$
s\left(\tau_{n}(p)\right)=\infty \quad \text { for } 0 \leq n \leq p, \quad \text { and } \quad s\left(\tau_{n}(p)\right)=2 n+1 \quad \text { for } n \geq p+1
$$

We organize the paper as follows. In §2, we state some known results necessary to establish our results. In $\S 3$ we prove Theorem 1. In §4, we study $\tau_{n}(5)$ and $\tau_{n}(7)$ and prove Theorems 2 and 3 . In §5, as a consequence of the preceding results, we give Theorem 4 concerning Schwarzenberger's property. In $\S 6$, we study the extendibility of the $m$-times Whitney sum $m \tau_{n}(p)$ of $\tau_{n}(p)$ for $m \geq 1$, and show in Proposition 6.1 the inequality

$$
s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right) \geq m(2 n+1) \quad \text { or } \quad s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right) \geq m(2 n+1)-1
$$

if $m$ is an odd or even integer respectively. Then, in Theorem 5 we give some condition for

$$
s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right)=m(2 n+1) \quad \text { or } \quad m(2 n+1)-1 \leq s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right) \leq m(2 n+1)+1
$$

to hold according as $m$ is odd or even.
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## 2. Preliminary

For an odd prime $p$, the structures of the reduced $K$-ring $\tilde{K}\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$ and the reduced $K O$-ring $\widehat{K O}\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$ are determined by Kambe [5].

Let $\eta$ be the canonical $C$-line bundle over $L^{n}(p)$, the induced bundle from the canonical $C$-line bundle over the complex projective space $C P^{n}$ under
the projection $\pi: L^{n}(p) \rightarrow C P^{n}$, and $\sigma=\eta-1$ its stable class in $\tilde{K}\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$. Sometimes, we denote $\eta$ (resp. $\sigma$ ) by $\eta_{n}$ (resp. $\sigma_{n}$ ) to make it clear that $\eta$ (resp. $\sigma$ ) is over $L^{n}(p)$.

Let $r: \tilde{K}(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}(X)$ and $c: \widetilde{K O}(X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}(X)$ be the homomorphisms induced by the real restriction and the complexification of the vector bundles, respectively. We set $\bar{\sigma}=r(\sigma)$ in $\widetilde{K O}\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$. Also, let $L_{0}^{n}(p)$ denote the $2 n$ skeleton of $L^{n}(p)$ as in [5].

Then, we shall use the following result, where $[x]$ denotes the largest integer $m$ with $m \leq x$ for a real number $x$.

Theorem 2.1 ([5], Theorem 2, Lemma 3.4).
(1) We have the following isomorphism of abelian groups:

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(L^{n}(p)\right) \cong \begin{cases}\widetilde{K O}\left(L_{0}^{n}(p)\right) & \text { if } n \not \equiv 0 \bmod 4 \\ Z_{2}+\widetilde{K O}\left(L_{0}^{n}(p)\right) & \text { if } n \equiv 0 \bmod 4\end{cases}
$$

(2) Let $q=(p-1) / 2$ and $n=s(p-1)+r(0 \leq r<p-1)$. Then,

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(L_{0}^{n}(p)\right)=\left(Z_{p^{s+1}}\right)^{[r / 2]}+\left(Z_{p^{s}}\right)^{q-[r / 2]}
$$

and the direct summand $\left(Z_{p^{s+1}}\right)^{[r / 2]}$ and $\left(Z_{p^{s}}\right)^{q-[r / 2]}$ are generated additively by $\bar{\sigma}^{1}, \ldots, \bar{\sigma}^{[r / 2]}$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{[r / 2]+1}, \ldots, \bar{\sigma}^{q}$ respectively. Moreover, the ring structure is given by

$$
\bar{\sigma}^{q+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{-(2 q+1)}{2 i-1}\binom{q+i-1}{2 i-2} \bar{\sigma}^{i}, \quad \bar{\sigma}^{[n / 2]+1}=0
$$

where $\binom{a}{b}$ denotes a binomial coefficient.
We also apply the following property.
Lemma 2.2 ([5], Lemma 3.5(2)). The homomorphism $c: \widehat{K O}\left(L_{0}^{n}(p)\right) \rightarrow$ $\tilde{K}\left(L_{0}^{n}(p)\right)$ is a monomorphism.

The following theorem due to Sjerve [11] is crucial in our proof, where $\pi_{m}: S^{2 m+1} \rightarrow L^{m}(p)$ is the natural projection.

Theorem 2.3 ([11], Theorem A). If $\zeta \in \widetilde{K O}\left(L^{m}(p)\right) \cap$ ker $\pi_{m}^{*}$, then the geometrical dimension of $\zeta$ satisfies $\mathrm{g} \cdot \operatorname{dim} \zeta \leq 2\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]+1$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

By Theorem 2.3, we have the following.
Proposition 3.1. For any $n \geq 1, s\left(\tau_{n}(p)\right) \geq 2 n+1$.

Proof. Let $m \geq n$ be an integer. Since $r\left(\eta_{m}\right)-2 \in \operatorname{ker} \pi_{m}^{*} \subset \widetilde{K O}\left(L^{m}(p)\right)$ for the projection $\pi_{m}: S^{2 m+1} \rightarrow L^{m}(p)$, where $r: \tilde{K}\left(L^{m}(p)\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(L^{m}(p)\right)$ is the homomorphism mentioned in §2, we have

$$
\operatorname{g.dim}\left((n+1)\left(r\left(\eta_{m}\right)-2\right)\right) \leq 2\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]+1
$$

by Theorem 2.3. Thus, there is a $\left(2\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]+1\right)$-dimensional vector bundle $\beta$ over $L^{m}(p)$ satisfying that $(n+1) r\left(\eta_{m}\right)$ is stably equivalent to $\beta$. When $m=$ $2 n+1$, we have $2\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]+1=2 n+1$ and thus $\beta$ is of dimension $2 n+1$. Hence, $(n+1) r\left(\eta_{2 n+1}\right)$ is stably equivalent to $\beta+1$, and $\tau_{n}(p)$ is stably equivalent to $i^{*} \beta$ since $\tau_{n}(p)+1=(n+1) r\left(\eta_{n}\right)$ is stably equivalent to $i^{*} \beta+1$. Therefore, $\tau_{n}(p)$ is stably extendible to $L^{2 n+1}(p)$, and we have the required inequality $s\left(\tau_{n}(p)\right) \geq 2 n+1$.

Proof of Theorem 1. By Theorem 4.3 in [8], we have $s\left(\tau_{n}(p)\right)<2 n+2$ as we described in $\S 1$. Thus, by Proposition 3.1, we obtain the required result.

Remark 3.2. Proposition 3.1 is a special case of Theorem 4.2 in [7]. Therefore, Theorem 1 is originally due to Kobayashi-Maki-Yoshida ([7], [8]).

## 4. Stable extendibility of $\tau_{n}(5)$ and $\tau_{n}(7)$

Let $p$ be an odd prime. Hereafter, we use the same notation $\alpha$ to denote the stable class of $\alpha$ in $\widetilde{K O}\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$ (resp. $\tilde{K}\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$ ) for a real (resp. complex) vector bundle $\alpha$ over $L^{n}(p)$. Also, we simply denote by $\alpha=\beta$ that vector bundle $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are stably equivalent.

Using ring structures of $K O\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$ and $K\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$ for an odd prime $p$, we have the following lemma, where and hereafter we denote $r(\eta)$ or $c(r(\eta))$ simply by $r \eta$ or $c r \eta$ for the homomorphisms $r: K\left(L^{n}(p)\right) \rightarrow K O\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$ and $c: K O\left(L^{n}(p)\right) \rightarrow K\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$.

Lemma 4.1. In $K O\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$,

$$
(r \eta)^{2}=r\left(\eta^{2}\right)+2, \quad(r \eta)^{3}=r\left(\eta^{3}\right)+3 r \eta
$$

Proof. Recall that $c r \eta=\eta+\eta^{-1}$ (cf. [3], Proposition 11.3, p. 191). Since $c: K O\left(L^{n}(p)\right) \rightarrow K\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$ is a ring homomorphism, we have $c\left(r\left(\eta^{2}\right)\right)=$ $\eta^{2}+\eta^{-2}$ and $c\left((r \eta)^{2}\right)=(c \eta)^{2}=\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)^{2}=\eta^{2}+\eta^{-2}+2$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, $(r \eta)^{2}=r\left(\eta^{2}\right)+2$ in $K O\left(L^{n}(p)\right)$. In the same way, $c\left(r\left(\eta^{3}\right)\right)=\eta^{3}+\eta^{-3}$ and $c\left((r \eta)^{3}\right)=(c r \eta)^{3}=\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)^{3}=\eta^{3}+\eta^{-3}+3\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)$. Thus, we have $(r \eta)^{3}=$ $r\left(\eta^{3}\right)+3 r \eta$, and complete the proofs.

Since $\tau_{n}(p)$ is stably trivial for $n=0$ or 1 (cf. [7]), we have

Lemma 4.2.

$$
s\left(\tau_{n}(p)\right)=\infty \quad \text { for } n=0 \text { or } 1
$$

Concerning $\tau_{n}(5)$ for $2 \leq n \leq 5$, we have the following.
Proposition 4.3. The following stable equivalences hold:

$$
\tau_{2}(5)=2 r\left(\eta^{2}\right)+1, \quad \tau_{3}(5)=r\left(\eta^{2}\right)+5, \quad \tau_{4}(5)=9 \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{5}(5)=r \eta+9
$$

Hence, $s\left(\tau_{n}(5)\right)=\infty$ for $2 \leq n \leq 5$.
Proof. Let $n=2$ or 3 . Then, by Theorem 2.1, $\widetilde{K O}\left(L^{n}(5)\right)=Z_{5}\{\bar{\sigma}\}$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{2}=0$. Thus, we have $5 r \eta-10=0$ and $(r \eta)^{2}-4 r \eta+4=0$. Then, using Lemma 4.1, we obtain $r\left(\eta^{2}\right)+r \eta-4=0$. Since $\tau_{n}(5)=(n+1) r \eta-1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{2}(5)=3 r \eta-1=-2 r \eta+9=2 r\left(\eta^{2}\right)+1 ; \\
& \tau_{3}(5)=4 r \eta-1=-r \eta+9=r\left(\eta^{2}\right)+5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for $n=4$ or $5, \widetilde{K O}\left(L_{0}^{n}(5)\right)=Z_{5}\left\{\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}^{2}\right\}$ and thus $5 r \eta-10=0$. Then, we have $\tau_{4}(5)=5 r \eta-1=9, \tau_{5}(5)=6 r \eta-1=r \eta+9$. Thus, we have $s\left(\tau_{n}(5)\right)=\infty$ for $n=2,3,4$ or 5 as is required, since $r\left(\eta^{2}\right)$ and $r \eta$ over $L^{n}(5)$ are extendible to $L^{m}(5)$ for every $m \geq n$.

Remark 4.4. According to Yoshida [12], $L^{3}(p)$ has a tangent 5 -field. Hence, $\tau_{3}(p)=\beta \oplus 5$ for a 2-plane bundle $\beta$ in general.

Proposition 4.5.

$$
s\left(\tau_{n}(5)\right)=2 n+1 \quad \text { for } n=6 \text { or } 7
$$

Proof. Let $n=6$ or 7. By Proposition 3.1, we have $s\left(\tau_{n}(5)\right) \geq 2 n+1$. To establish the opposite inequality, we suppose that $\tau_{n}(5)$ is stably extendible to $L^{2 n+2}(5)$, and derive a contradiction from the hypothesis. Thus, there is a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional vector bundle $\alpha$ over $L^{2 n+2}(5)$ satisfying that $\tau_{n}(5)$ is stably equivalent to $i^{*} \alpha$ for the inclusion map $i: L^{n}(5) \rightarrow L^{2 n+2}(5)$. By Theorem 2.1, $\widehat{K O}\left(L^{2 n+2}(5)\right)$ is generated additively by $\bar{\sigma}$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{2}$ modulo a 2 -torsion. Thus, we can put $\alpha-(2 n+1)=a \bar{\sigma}+b \bar{\sigma}^{2}+\delta$ in $\widetilde{K O}\left(L^{2 n+2}(5)\right)$, where $\delta$ is zero or a 2-torsion element. Then, since $i^{*} \delta=0$ in $\widetilde{K O}\left(L^{n}(5)\right)=Z_{52}\{\bar{\sigma}\}+Z_{5}\left\{\bar{\sigma}^{2}\right\}$, we have $i^{*} \alpha-(2 n+1)=a \bar{\sigma}+b \bar{\sigma}^{2}$ in $\widetilde{K O}\left(L^{n}(5)\right)$.

Since $i^{*} \alpha=\tau_{n}(5)$ and $\tau_{n}(5)-(2 n+1)=(n+1) \bar{\sigma}$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a \equiv n+1 \bmod 5^{2} \\
b \equiv 0 \bmod 5
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, we can put

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a=5 k+a_{1} \quad \text { with } k \equiv 1 \bmod 5, \\
b=5 l
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some integers $k$ and $l$, where $a_{1}=2$ and 3 when $n=6$ and 7 respectively.
Since $K\left(L^{2 n+2}(5)\right)$ has no 2 -torsion (cf. [5]), $c \delta=0$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c \alpha-(2 n+1) & =a c \bar{\sigma}+b c \bar{\sigma}^{2}=a\left(\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)-2\right)+b\left(\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)^{2}-4\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)+4\right) \\
& =(a-4 b)\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)+b\left(\eta^{2}+\eta^{-2}\right)-(2 a-6 b)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $C_{i}(\gamma)$ denote the $i$-th Chern class of a complex vector bundle $\gamma$, and $C(\gamma)=1+C_{1}(\gamma)+\cdots$ the total Chern class. We denote $C_{i}(\gamma)$ and $C(\gamma)$ in the $Z_{5}$-coefficient cohomology group by the same letters. Then, for $x=C_{1}(\eta)$,

$$
\oplus_{i \geq 0} H^{2 i}\left(L^{2 n+2}(5) ; Z_{5}\right) \cong Z_{5}[x] /\left(x^{2 n+3}\right)
$$

as graded algebras (cf. [11]), and we have

$$
C(c \alpha)=C\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)^{a-4 b} C\left(\eta^{2}+\eta^{-2}\right)^{b}=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{a-4 b}\left(1-4 x^{2}\right)^{b}
$$

Since $a-4 b=5(k-4 l)+a_{1}$ with $k \equiv 1 \bmod 5$ and $b=5 l$, and since $n=6$ or 7,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(c \alpha) & =\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{a_{1}}\left(\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{5}\right)^{k-4 l}\left(\left(1-4 x^{2}\right)^{5}\right)^{l} \\
& =\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{a_{1}}\left(1-x^{10}\right)^{k-4 l}\left(1-4^{5} x^{10}\right)^{l} \\
& =\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{a_{1}}\left(1-(k-4 l) x^{10}\right)\left(1-4^{5} l x^{10}\right) \\
& =\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{a_{1}}\left(1-k x^{10}\right) \\
& =\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{a_{1}}\left(1-x^{10}\right) \\
& =1-a_{1} x^{2}+\cdots+(-1)^{a_{1}+1} x^{10+2 a_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $10+2 a_{1}=2 n+2$, we have $C_{2 n+2}(c \alpha) \neq 0$ which contradicts that $\alpha$ is $(2 n+1)$-dimensional. Thus, we have completed the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. We obtain (1) by Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.3, and (2) by Theorem 1 and Proposition 4.5.

Next, we consider the proof of Theorem 3, but we can proceed similarly to Theorem 2.

Proposition 4.6. We have the following stable equivalences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{2}(7)=r\left(\eta^{3}\right)+r \eta+1, \quad \tau_{3}(7)=r\left(\eta^{3}\right)+2 r \eta+1 \\
& \tau_{4}(7)=2 r\left(\eta^{3}\right)+2 r\left(\eta^{2}\right)+1, \quad \tau_{5}(7)=2 r\left(\eta^{3}\right)+2 r\left(\eta^{2}\right)+r \eta+1 \\
& \tau_{6}(7)=13 \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{7}(7)=r \eta+13
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $s\left(\tau_{n}(7)\right)=\infty$ for $2 \leq n \leq 7$.
Proof. First, let $n=2$ or 3. Then, $\widetilde{K O}\left(L^{n}(7)\right)=Z_{7}\{\bar{\sigma}\}, \bar{\sigma}^{2}=0$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{3}=0$ by Theorem 2.1. Thus, we have $7 r \eta-14=0,(r \eta)^{2}-4 r \eta+4=0$ and $(r \eta)^{3}-6(r \eta)^{2}+12 r \eta-8=0$. Then, using Lemma 4.1 and these three equations, we obtain $r\left(\eta^{3}\right)+5 r \eta-12=0$. Since $\tau_{n}(7)=(n+1) r \eta-1$ in $K O\left(L^{n}(7)\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{2}(7)=3 r \eta-1=-4 r \eta+13=r\left(\eta^{3}\right)+r \eta+1 \\
& \tau_{3}(7)=4 r \eta-1=-3 r \eta+13=r\left(\eta^{3}\right)+2 r \eta+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, let $n=4$ or 5 . Then, $\widetilde{K O}\left(L_{0}^{n}(7)\right)=Z_{7}\left\{\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}^{2}\right\}$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{3}=0$ by Theorem 2.1. Thus, we have $7 r \eta-14=0,7(r \eta)^{2}-28 r \eta+28=0$ and $(r \eta)^{3}-6(r \eta)^{2}+$ $12 r \eta-8=0$. Then, using Lemma 4.1 and these three equations, we obtain $r\left(\eta^{3}\right)+r\left(\eta^{2}\right)+r \eta-6=0$. Since $\tau_{n}(7)=(n+1) r \eta-1$ in $K O\left(L^{n}(7)\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{4}(7)=5 r \eta-1=-2 r \eta+13=2 r\left(\eta^{3}\right)+2 r\left(\eta^{2}\right)+1 \\
& \tau_{5}(7)=6 r \eta-1=-r \eta+13=2 r\left(\eta^{3}\right)+2 r\left(\eta^{2}\right)+r \eta+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for $n=6$ or 7 , we also have $7 r \eta-14=0$ by Theorem 2.1. Thus, we have $\tau_{6}(7)=7 r \eta-1=13$ and $\tau_{7}(7)=8 r \eta-1=r \eta+13$. Hence, $s\left(\tau_{n}(7)\right)=\infty$ for $2 \leq n \leq 7$ as is required, since $r\left(\eta^{3}\right), r\left(\eta^{2}\right)$ and $r \eta$ over $L^{n}(7)$ are extendible to $L^{m}(7)$ for every $m \geq n$.

Proposition 4.7.

$$
s\left(\tau_{n}(7)\right)=2 n+1 \quad \text { for } 8 \leq n \leq 11
$$

Proof. Let $n=8,9,10$ or 11. By Proposition 3.1, we have $s\left(\tau_{n}(7)\right) \geq$ $2 n+1$. We suppose that $\tau_{n}(7)$ is stably extendible to $L^{2 n+2}(7)$, and derive a contradiction from the hypothesis. Thus, there is a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional vector bundle $\alpha$ over $L^{2 n+2}(7)$ satisfying that $\tau_{n}(7)$ is stably equivalent to $i^{*} \alpha$. By Theorem 2.1, $\widetilde{K O}\left(L^{n}(7)\right)$ and $\widetilde{K O}\left(L^{2 n+2}(7)\right)$ are both generated additively by $\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}^{2}$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{3}$ modulo a 2 -torsion. Thus, we can put $\alpha-(2 n+1)=a \bar{\sigma}+$ $b \bar{\sigma}^{2}+d \bar{\sigma}^{3}+\delta$, where $\delta$ is zero or a 2 -torsion element. Then, since $i^{*} \delta=0$ in $\widetilde{K O}\left(L^{n}(7)\right)$, we have $i^{*} \alpha-(2 n+1)=a \bar{\sigma}+b \bar{\sigma}^{2}+d \bar{\sigma}^{3}$ in

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(L_{0}^{n}(7)\right)= \begin{cases}Z_{7^{2}}\{\bar{\sigma}\}+Z_{7}\left\{\bar{\sigma}^{2}, \bar{\sigma}^{3}\right\} & n=8 \text { or } 9 \\ Z_{7^{2}}\left\{\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}^{2}\right\}+Z_{7}\left\{\bar{\sigma}^{3}\right\} & n=10 \text { or } 11 .\end{cases}
$$

Since $i^{*} \alpha=\tau_{n}(7)$ and $\tau_{n}(7)-(2 n+1)=(n+1) \bar{\sigma}$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a \equiv n+1 \bmod 7^{2}, \\
b \equiv 0 \bmod 7(n=8,9), \bmod 7^{2}(n=10,11) \\
d \equiv 0 \bmod 7
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, we can put

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a=7 k+a_{1} \quad \text { with } k \equiv 1 \bmod 7 \\
b=7 l, \\
d=7 h
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some integers $k, l$ and $h$, where $a_{1}=2,3,4$ or 5 according as $n=8,9,10$ or 11. Consider the complexification of $\alpha$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
c \alpha-(2 n+1)= & a c \bar{\sigma}+b c \bar{\sigma}^{2}+d c \bar{\sigma}^{3} \\
= & a\left(\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)-2\right)+b\left(\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)^{2}-4\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)+4\right) \\
& +d\left(\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)^{3}-6\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)^{2}+12\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)-8\right) \\
= & (a-4 b+15 d)\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)+(b-6 d)\left(\eta^{2}+\eta^{-2}\right)+d\left(\eta^{3}+\eta^{-3}\right) \\
& -(2 a-6 b+20 d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $\oplus_{i \geq 0} H^{2 i}\left(L^{2 n+2}(7) ; Z_{7}\right) \simeq Z_{7}[x] /\left(x^{2 n+3}\right)$ as graded algebras, where $x=C_{1}(\eta)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(c \alpha) & =C\left(\eta+\eta^{-1}\right)^{a-4 b+15 d} C\left(\eta^{2}+\eta^{-2}\right)^{b-6 d} C\left(\eta^{3}+\eta^{-3}\right)^{d} \\
& =\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{a-4 b+15 d}\left(1-4 x^{2}\right)^{b-6 d}\left(1-9 x^{2}\right)^{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $a-4 b+15 d=7(k-4 l+15 h)+a_{1}$ with $k \equiv 1 \bmod 7, b-6 d=7(l-6 h)$ and $d=7 h$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(c \alpha) & =\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{a_{1}}\left(\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{7}\right)^{k-4 l+15 h}\left(\left(1-4 x^{2}\right)^{7}\right)^{l-6 h}\left(\left(1-9 x^{2}\right)^{7}\right)^{h} \\
& =\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{a_{1}}\left(1-x^{14}\right)^{k-4 l+15 h}\left(1-4^{7} x^{14}\right)^{l-6 h}\left(1-9^{7} x^{14}\right)^{h} \\
& =\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{a_{1}}\left(1-(k-4 l+15 h) x^{14}\right)\left(1-4(l-6 h) x^{14}\right)\left(1-2 h x^{14}\right) \\
& =\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{a_{1}}\left(1-(k-9 h) x^{14}\right)\left(1-2 h x^{14}\right) \\
& =\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{a_{1}}\left(1-(k-7 h) x^{14}\right) \\
& =\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{a_{1}}\left(1-x^{14}\right) \\
& =1-a_{1} x^{2}+\cdots+(-1)^{a_{1}+1} x^{14+2 a_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $14+2 a_{1}=2 n+2$, we have $C_{2 n+2}(c \alpha) \neq 0$, which contradicts that $\alpha$ is $(2 n+1)$-dimensional. Thus, we obtain the required result.

Proof of Theorem 3. We have (1) by Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.6, and (2) by Theorem 1 and Proposition 4.7.

## 5. Application to stably splitting problem

A splitting (resp. stably splitting) problem of vector bundles can be stated: When is a given $k$-plane bundle equivalent (resp. stably equivalent) to a sum of $k$ line bundles? Concerning this, the following result is called Schwarzenberger's property.

Theorem ([1], [2], [9], [10]). Let F=C or $R$. If a k-dimensional F-vector bundle $\zeta$ over $F P^{n}$ is extendible to $F P^{m}$ for every $m>n$, then $\zeta$ is stably equivalent to the Whitney sum of $k$ numbers of $F$-line bundles.

We remark that the theorem is also valid if the condition for extendibility is changed to that for stably extendibility (cf. [8], [4]). Then, some related results are shown as follows:

Theorem ([4], Theorem B). If a $k$-dimensional $H$-vector bundle $\zeta$ over $H P^{n}$ is stably extendible to $H P^{m}$ for every $m>n$ and its top non-zero Pontrjagin class is not zero mod 2, then $\zeta$ is stably equivalent to the Whitney sum of $k$ numbers of $H$-line bundles provided $k \leq n$.

Theorem ([8], Theorem B). If a $k$-dimensional vector bundle $\zeta$ over $L^{n}(3)$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for every $m>n$, then $\zeta$ is stably equivalent to the Whitney sum of $\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]$ numbers of 2 -plane bundles.

We have another answer from Lemma 5.2 in [7], Theorems 2 and 3 and Propositions 4.3 and 4.6.

Theorem 4. Let $p=5$ or 7 and $n \geq 1$. Then, $\tau_{n}(p)$ is stably equivalent to the Whitney sum of $\left[\frac{2 n+1}{2}\right]$ numbers of 2-plane bundles if and only if $s\left(\tau_{n}(p)\right)=\infty$ holds.

## 6. Study on $m \tau_{n}(p)$

Let $m \tau_{n}(p)$ be the $m$-times Whitney sum of the tangent bundle $\tau_{n}(p)$. We have the following in the similar way to the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 6.1. Let $m \geq 1$. Then, for any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right) \geq m(2 n+1) \quad \text { or } \quad s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right) \geq m(2 n+1)-1
$$

if $m$ is an odd or even integer respectively.

Proof. For any integer $k \geq 1$, we have

$$
\mathrm{g} \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(m(n+1)\left(r \eta_{k}-2\right)\right) \leq 2\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]+1
$$

by Theorem 2.3. Thus, there is a $\left(2\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]+1\right)$-dimensional vector bundle $\beta$ satisfying that $m(n+1) r \eta_{k}$ is stably equivalent to $\beta$. Let $m$ be an odd (resp. even) integer. When $k=m(2 n+1)$ (resp. $k=m(2 n+1)-1)$, we have $2\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]+1=m(2 n+1)$ (resp. $\left.=m(2 n+1)-1\right)$. Thus, $m(n+1) r \eta_{m(2 n+1)}$ (resp. $\left.m(n+1) r \eta_{m(2 n+1)-1}\right)$ is stably equivalent to $\gamma+m$ for the $m(2 n+1)$-dimensional vector bundle $\gamma=\beta$ (resp. $=\beta+1$ ). Then, $m \tau_{n}(p)$ is stably equivalent to $i^{*}(\gamma)$ since $m \tau_{n}(p)+m=m(n+1) r \eta_{n}$, and thus we have the required inequality $s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right) \geq m(2 n+1)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right) \geq m(2 n+1)-1\right)$.

Now, in order to consider the case when $s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right)=m(2 n+1)$ or $s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right) \leq m(2 n+1)+1$ holds in Proposition 6.1, we first define an integer $\varepsilon_{p}(t, l)$.

Definition. For a non-negative integer $t$ and a positive integer $l$, define an integer $\varepsilon_{p}(t, l)$ as follows.

$$
\varepsilon_{p}(t, l)=\min \left\{2 j \left\lvert\, 2\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]+1<2 j\right. \text { and }\binom{\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]+l}{j} \not \equiv 0 \bmod p\right\} .
$$

Then, we have $t<\varepsilon_{p}(t, l) \leq 2\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]+2 l$ and $\varepsilon_{p}(t, 1)=2\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]+2$, and the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. Let $p$ be an odd prime and $\zeta$ a $t$-dimensional vector bundle over $L^{n}(p)$. If there is a positive integer $l$ with $\varepsilon_{p}(t, l) \leq n$, then $\zeta$ is not stably equivalent to $\left(\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]+l\right) r \eta$.

Proof. We write simply $\varepsilon(t, l)$ instead of $\varepsilon_{p}(t, l)$. For the Pontrjagin class of $\left(\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]+l\right) r \eta$, we have

$$
P_{\varepsilon(t, l) / 2}\left(\left(\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]+l\right) r \eta\right)=\binom{\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]+l}{\frac{\varepsilon(t, l)}{2}} x^{\varepsilon(t, l)} \in H^{2 \varepsilon(t, l)}\left(L^{n}(p) ; Z\right),
$$

which is not zero by the definition of $\varepsilon(t, l)$ and the assumption $\varepsilon(t, l) \leq n$. However, since $\zeta$ is of dimension $t$ and $\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]<\frac{\varepsilon(t, l)}{2}$, we have $P_{\varepsilon(t, t) / 2}(\zeta)=0$. Thus, $\zeta$ is not stably equivalent to $\left(\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]+l\right) r \eta$, as is required.

The following is also obtained using the calculation in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [7].

Proposition 6.3. Let $p$ be an odd prime, and $\zeta$ a $t$-dimensional vector bundle over $L^{n}(p)$. Assume that there is a positive integer $l$ satisfying
(1) $\zeta$ is stably equivalent to $\left(\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]+l\right) r \eta$, and
(2) $p^{[n /(p-1)]}>\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]+l$.

Then, $s(\zeta)<\varepsilon_{p}(t, l)$.
Proof. Here, we put $h=\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]+l$, and write $\varepsilon(t, l)$ instead of $\varepsilon_{p}(t, l)$. Then, by Lemma 6.2, $n<\varepsilon(t, l)$. Now, we suppose that $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{\varepsilon(t, l)}(p)$, and derive a contradiction from the hypothesis. Thus, there exists a $t$-dimensional vector bundle $\alpha$ over $L^{\varepsilon(t, l)}(p)$ satisfying that $i^{*} \alpha$ is stably equivalent to $h r \eta$.

Now, we apply the same methods used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [7]. The integers $c_{i}$ used there are $c_{1}=h$ and $c_{i}=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq p-1$ in our case. Then, the total Pontrjagin class of $j^{*} \alpha$, where $j$ is the inclusion map $j: L_{0}^{\varepsilon(t, l)}(p) \rightarrow L^{\varepsilon(t, l)}(p)$, is given as

$$
P\left(j^{*} \alpha\right)=\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{h} \quad \text { in } H^{*}\left(L_{0}^{\varepsilon(t, l)}(p) ; Z\right)
$$

Here, the following equality is used to calculate the above Pontrjagin class as in [7]:

$$
\left(1+i^{2} x^{2}\right)^{p / n /(p-1)]}=1+i^{2 p^{n /(p-1)]}} x^{2 p^{n /(p-1)]}}=1 \quad \text { in } H^{*}\left(L_{0}^{\varepsilon(t, l)}(p) ; Z\right)
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$, and it holds because $p^{[n /(p-1)]}>h$ from the assumption (2) and $2 h \geq \varepsilon(t, l)$ as mentioned above. Then, from the total Pontrjagin class of $j^{*} \alpha$ and by the definition of $\varepsilon(t, l)$, we have

$$
P_{\varepsilon(t, l) / 2}\left(j^{*} \alpha\right)=\binom{h}{\frac{\varepsilon(t,)}{2}} x^{\varepsilon(t, l)} \neq 0 \quad \text { in } H^{2 \varepsilon(t, l)}\left(L_{0}^{\varepsilon(t, l)}(p) ; Z\right),
$$

which contradicts that $j^{*} \alpha$ is of dimension $t$ and $t<\varepsilon(t, l)$. Thus, we have completed the proof.

Then, we have the following.
Theorem 5. Let $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ be integers.
(1) If $m$ is odd,

$$
p^{[n /(p-1)]}>m(n+1) \quad \text { and } \quad\binom{m(n+1)}{m(n+1)-\frac{m-1}{2}} \not \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p
$$

then $s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right)=m(2 n+1)$.
(2) If $m$ is even,

$$
p^{[n /(p-1)]}>m(n+1) \quad \text { and } \quad\binom{m(n+1)}{m n+1+\frac{m}{2}} \not \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p,
$$

$$
\text { then } s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right)=m(2 n+1)-1, m(2 n+1) \text { or } m(2 n+1)+1
$$

Proof. First, we assume that $m$ is odd, and prove (1). By Proposition 6.1, we have $s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right) \geq m(2 n+1)$. Thus, we assume further that

$$
p^{[n /(p-1)]}>m(n+1) \quad \text { and } \quad\binom{m(n+1)}{\frac{m(2 n+1)+1}{2}}=\binom{m(n+1)}{m(n+1)-\frac{m-1}{2}} \not \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p
$$

and prove the inequality $s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right) \leq m(2 n+1)$. Consider $\varepsilon_{p}\left(m(2 n+1), \frac{m+1}{2}\right)$. Since $2\left[\frac{m(2 n+1)}{2}\right]+1<m(2 n+1)+1$, and by the latter assumption above, we have $\varepsilon_{p}\left(m(2 n+1), \frac{m+1}{2}\right) \leq m(2 n+1)+1$. Hence, by Proposition 6.3, we have $s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right)<\varepsilon_{p}\left(m(2 n+1), \frac{m+1}{2}\right) \leq m(2 n+1)+1$, and thus we have proved (1).

Next, we assume that $m$ is even, and prove (2). By Proposition 6.1, we have $s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right) \geq m(2 n+1)-1$. Thus, we further assume that

$$
p^{[n /(p-1)]}>m(n+1) \quad \text { and } \quad\binom{m(n+1)}{\frac{m(2 n+1)+2}{2}}=\binom{m(n+1)}{m n+1+\frac{m}{2}} \not \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p,
$$

and prove $s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right) \leq m(2 n+1)+1$. Then, since $2\left[\frac{m(2 n+1)}{2}\right]+1<m(2 n+1)$ +2 , and by the last assumption above, we have $\varepsilon_{p}\left(m(2 n+1), \frac{m}{2}\right) \leq$ $m(2 n+1)+2$. Hence, by Proposition 6.3, $s\left(m \tau_{n}(p)\right)<m(2 n+1)+2$, and thus we have proved (2) and completed the proof of Theorem 5.

We illustrate the results of Theorems 5 for $p=5$ or 7 and for $2 \leq m \leq 5$.
Example. Let $n \geq 1$, and $p=5$ or 7 .
(1) If $n \geq 2 p-2$, then $s\left(2 \tau_{n}(p)\right)=4 n+1,4 n+2$ or $4 n+3$.
(2) Assume that

$$
\begin{cases}n \geq 3 p-3 \text { and } n+1 \not \equiv 0 \bmod p & \text { for } p=5 \\ n=12,14,15 \text { or } n \geq 3 p-3 \text { and } n+1 \not \equiv 0 \bmod p & \text { for } p=7\end{cases}
$$

Then, $s\left(3 \tau_{n}(p)\right)=6 n+3$.
(3) Assume that $n \geq 3 p-3$ and $n+1 \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$. Then, $s\left(4 \tau_{n}(p)\right)=$ $8 n+3,8 n+4$ or $8 n+5$.
(4) Assume that $n \geq 3 p-3$. For $p=5$, we have no information on $s\left(5 \tau_{n}(5)\right)$ from Theorem 5. For $p=7$, if $\frac{1}{2}(5 n+4)(5 n+5) \not \equiv 0$ $\bmod 7$, then $s\left(5 \tau_{n}(7)\right)=10 n+5$.
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