

Abstract

1 **1. Introduction**

1 define a food's texture more directly than the above-mentioned sound recording method.

19 storage using the acoustical vibration method. 1

2 **2. Materials and Methods**

mastication speed (Roudaut et al., 2002). The data sampling rate was 80 kHz. The obtained texture signals were

- 2 filtered using a half-octave multi-filter for analyses in the frequency domain (Taniwaki et al., 2006a, 2006b).
- 3 4 5 6 7 8 The "amplitude density" of the vibration was introduced previously as TI to quantify the texture of food (Taniwaki et al., 2006a, 2006b). However, the vibration amplitudes become lower as the frequency increases. For that reason, the amplitude density tends to underestimate the TI in the high-frequency region (> 1000 Hz). To overcome this problem, we introduced other definition. It is based on the concept of vibration energy of waves (sum of the potential and kinetic energy of waves). This energy *E* is defined by the amplitude *a* of a wave and its frequency *f* as

$$
B \propto (2\pi f)^2 a^2 \,, \tag{1}
$$

[\(Feynman](http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/search-handle-url?%5Fencoding=UTF8&search-type=ss&index=books&field-author=Richard%20P.%20Feynman) et al., 1963). The amplitude *a* can be expressed as $\sqrt{2} a_{rms}$ using the rms amplitude a_{rms} . We here define 11 the frequency by the representative central frequency of each frequency band as $\sqrt{f_i \times f_u}$, where f_i and f_u 10 12 13 respectively represent the lowest and the highest frequency of each frequency band determined by the half-octave multi-filter. Using the definitions above, the energy density can be defined as $(f_i \times f_u) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n$ $f_i \times f_u$) $\cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i$ 14 $(f_i \times f_u) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i^2$, (2)

15 16 17 18 19 where V_i is the amplitude of the texture signal, and n is the number of data points. Note that this equation was applied to the texture signal data of each frequency band after the raw texture signal data was filtered by using the half-octave multi-filter. The output signal can be converted to the equivalent pressure applied to the probe by using the following equation: $P = -1.0 \times 10^6 + (1.1 \times 10^7) V$, where $P(Pa)$ represents the pressure applied to the probe and *V* (V) is the output signal. This relation was obtained by applying a force to the probe and measuring the force by a 1 platform scale.

1 3). On the other hand, Kinkei-201 showed no such distinctive four sharp peaks: it showed lower signals after the

2 first increase in the signal (ca. 1.2 s) than the other cultivars.

19 Clear differences in the texture signals between the cultivars were apparent with data obtained using our

19 compared to the TI determined by the "amplitude density" introduced previously by Taniwaki, (2006a, 2006b).

The "energy density" was determined by the [integration](javascript:goWordLink(%22integration%22)) of squared amplitudes of texture signals multiplied by a factor of a frequency band, while the "amplitude density" was integration of amplitudes of texture signals. Figure 6 shows the difference between two TI definitions by using the "energy density" and the "amplitude density". In this case, the enhancement factor was 1.2×10^3 at the frequency band of 800-1120 Hz. This enhancement factor was 1 2 3 4 5 calculated by the following equation:

$$
\frac{TI_{eng(800-1120\text{Hz})}/TI_{eng(0-50\text{Hz})}}{TI_{amp(800-1120\text{Hz})}/TI_{amp(0-50\text{Hz})}},
$$
\n(3)

7 8 9 where $T_{\ell_{\text{pro}(0.50\text{Hz})}}$ is the TI of the frequency band 0-50 Hz defined by the "energy density", and $T_{\ell_{\text{ampl}(0.50\text{Hz})}}$ is that defined by the "amplitude density". This enhancement in the high-frequency region enabled discernment of clear differences in the TI of cabbage cultivars, especially in the high-frequency region (Fig. 4, Table 1).

10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 For evaluating the texture or quality of fresh commodities, non-destructive measurement methods have been available. One such method used a laser Doppler vibrometer and a shaker to measure the vibrations of a sample (Muramatsu et al., 2000). However, that method was inappropriate for measuring the texture of cabbages because of their leafy shape. A destructive measurement method such as tensile testing has also been used to measure the mechanical properties of leaf products such as lettuce (Toole et al., 2000; Newman et al., 2005). The method was good for mechanical property measurement, but it was inappropriate for texture measurement of cabbages because of the effect of their veins. A cabbage leaf is, like a lettuce leaf, an extremely complex structure, as noted by Newman et al. (2005). The network of veins complicates the measurement of mechanical properties. In our measurement method, we carefully avoided the main and sub-main veins during probe penetration. We applied the previously developed acoustical vibration method to measurement of the cabbage texture.

- 4 369-383.
- 5 Choong, M.F., Lucas, P.W., Ong, J.S.Y., Pereira, B., Tan, H.T.W., Turner, I.M., 1992. Leaf fracture toughness and
- 6 sclerophylly: their correlations and ecological implications. New Phytologist 121, 597-610.
- 7 Christensen, C.M., Vickers, Z.M., 1981. Relationships of chewing sounds to judgments of food crispness. J. Food
- 8 Sci. 46, 574-578.
- 9 Dacremont, C., 1995. Spectral composition of eating sounds generated by crispy, crunchy and crackly foods. J.
- 10 Texture Studies 26, 27-43.
- 11 Drake, B.K., 1963. Food crushing sounds. An introductory study. J. Food Sci. 28, 233-241.
- 12 Drake, B.K., 1965. Food crushing sounds: comparisons of objective and subjective data. J. Food Sci. 30, 556-559.
- 13 Duizer, L., 2001. A review of acoustic research for studying the sensory perception of crisp, crunchy and crackly
- 14 textures. Trends in Food Sci. & Technol. 12, 17-24.
- 15 Edwards, C., Read, J., Sanson, G., 2000. Characterising sclerophylly: some mechanical properties of leaves from
- 16 heath and forest. Oecologia 123, 158-167.
- 17 [Feynman,](http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/search-handle-url?%5Fencoding=UTF8&search-type=ss&index=books&field-author=Richard%20P.%20Feynman) R.P., [Leighton,](http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/search-handle-url?%5Fencoding=UTF8&search-type=ss&index=books&field-author=Robert%20B.%20Leighton) R.B., [Sands](http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/search-handle-url?%5Fencoding=UTF8&search-type=ss&index=books&field-author=Matthew%20Sands), M., (1963). The Feynman Lectures on Physics, Vol. 1. Addison Wesley,

Figure captions

 Fig. 1: Perpendicular cross-sectional view of the cabbage cultivars used for texture measurements.

 Fig. 2: Schematic of the experimental setup for measuring the cabbage sample textures. The probe was made to

 penetrate the four leaves of cabbage samples completely to obtain the texture signals.

 Fig. 3: Typical texture signals of the six cabbage cultivars obtained using the texture-measurement device. The

 arrows indicate the peaks due to the penetration of different leaves.

 Fig. 4: Texture indices of the six cabbage cultivars. The bars indicate S.E. (*n* = 24 for SK-1 and Kinkei-201; *n* = 36

 for other cultivars).

 Fig. 5: Changes in the texture indices of the four cabbage cultivars after cold storage for 10 or 19 d: (a) T-520, (b)

 60 for M-3 and Fuyu-nobori).

Fig.6: Comparison of the TI defined by the "energy density" with the "amplitude density". The "amplitude density" was defined by $(1/T)\sum |V_i|$, where *T* (s) was the time of sampling and *V_i* (V) was the amplitude of each

data point (Taniwaki et al., 2006ab). The data was taken with an apple sample.

Table 1

Texture index level of different cabbage cultivars and their classification by the TI in different frequency band.

Cultivar	Frequency band (Hz)		
	$10 - 1120$	1120 - 8920	8920 - 25600
T-520	High ^a	High ^a	Middle ^b
$M-3$	High ^a	Middle ^b	High ^a
Fuyu-nobori	High ^a	Middle ^b	Middle ^b
Fuyu-kuguri	Low $^{\rm b}$	Middle bc	Low ^c
$SK-1$	Low ^b	Low ^c	Low ^c
Kinkei-201	High ^a	Low ^c	Low ^c

a, b, c: classification in each frequency band by the TI using ANOVA ($P < 0.05$).