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#### Abstract

Diels-Alder reaction of 1,6-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)-2,4-hexadiene with $\alpha$-substituted acrylates and 5 to 7 - and 9 to 11 -membered $\alpha$-(methylene)lactones has been carried out to examine correlation of dienophile structure with endo/exo selectivity. While the conformationally flexible acrylates produced cycloadducts of endo/exo $=59: 41$ to $74: 26$, the 5 to 7 -membered lactones with rigid s-cis conjugated system provided cycloadducts of endo/exo $=13: 87$ to $32: 68$ and the 9 to 11 -membered lactones which can take both s-cis and s-trans conformation afforded endo/exo ratios of 37:63 to 57:43.


The usefulness of Diels-Alder (D-A) reaction in organic synthesis has been attributed to its high stereoselectivity based on endo cycloaddition, which is explained by the concept of secondary orbital overlap in the transition state. ${ }^{1}$ Exo-mode addition, however, can predominate in some cases,,$\stackrel{2,4,5}{2,5}$ particularly in the combination of cyclopentadiene and methacrylic dienophiles, ${ }^{2}$ but the factors that determine the abnormal addition mode have not been fully understood. ${ }^{\text {ld }}$ In the course of our synthetic studies on spirotetronic acid containing natural products, we demonstrated that D-A reaction of $\gamma$-(methylene)tetronate $\mathbf{1}$ with triene $\mathbf{3}$ could be used for the direct construction of the subunit structure of kijanolide, though the reaction produced undesired endo adduct in excess (Scheme 1). ${ }^{6}$ Some two years later, Roush and Brown disclosed that a highly exo-selective cycloaddition could be accomplished by the use of $\alpha$-(methylene)dioxolanone $\mathbf{2}$ as a dienophile. ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~b}}$ This sharp contrast in the diastereoselectivities observed with $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ led us to investigate the D-A reactions of $\alpha$-substituted acrylates and of $\alpha$-(methylene)lactones of varying ring size in order to find a general correlation between dienophile structure and endo/exo ratio. As the diene in this study, we made a choice of the particular acyclic diene, 1,6-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)-2,4-hexadiene (4), ${ }^{7}$ based on, for one thing, the ease in stereochemical assignment of cycloadducts (vide infra). We also considered an additional advantage in using the linear diene $\mathbf{4}$ over cyclopentadiene, although the latter has been extensively employed in the stereochemical studies of D-A reaction. Preferential exo selectivity in the cycloaddition of cyclopentadiene with methacrylic dienophiles has been believed partly due to a steric repulsion between the diene $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and the $\alpha-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of the dienophiles in the endo transition state, ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~d},{ }^{8,9}}$ the unfavorable steric factor that we wanted to eliminate in the present study.
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We first conducted the D-A reactions between $\alpha$-substituted acrylates 5a-e and $\mathbf{4}$ in $o$-dichlorobenzene $(1 \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathbf{5}$, molar ratio of $\mathbf{5} / \mathbf{4}=3.0)$ at the temperature of $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ where each reaction proceeded at a reasonable rate. The ratios of endo products ( $\mathbf{6 a - e}$ ) and exo products ( $7 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{e}$ ) recorded in Table 1 were estimated by capillary GLC analysis after determination of their stereochemistries by conversion to bicyclic cis-lactones (8a-e) and dihydroxy ester (9a-e), respectively, by brief treatment with TsOH in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. All the acrylate $(\mathbf{5 a - e})$ showed endo preference (endo/exo $=59: 41$ to $74: 26$ ) in contrast to the exo selectivity reported for the reactions of cyclopentadiene with 2-(trimethylsilyloxy)acrylate (5b) (endo/exo $=29: 71)^{5 \mathrm{c}}$ and methacrylate $(\mathbf{5 e})($ endo/exo $=32: 68) .{ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}$
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Table 1. D-A Reactions of $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}, 10$, 14 and 15 with 4 in $\boldsymbol{o}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ at $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

|  |  | time $(\mathrm{h})$ | yield $(\%)^{a}$ | endc $:$ exo |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OAc})$ | 70 | 65 | $74: 26$ |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OTMS})$ | 46 | 57 | $73: 27$ |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OTBDMS})$ | 70 | 49 | $64: 36$ |
| $\mathbf{5 d}$ | $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OMe})$ | 70 | 31 | $59: 41$ |
| $\mathbf{5 e}$ | $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Me})$ | 70 | 52 | $60: 40$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $(\mathrm{n}=5)$ | 22 | 41 | $29: 71$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $(\mathrm{n}=6)$ | 22 | 59 | $18: 82$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $(\mathrm{n}=7)$ | 22 | 57 | $21: 79$ |

$a$ The yields are based on the consumed dienophiles.

On the other hand, the reaction of $\alpha$-methylene- $\gamma$-butyrolactone (10) with $\mathbf{4}$ at the same temperature resulted in preferential production of the exo adduct 13 in ca. 2.5 -fold excess (endo/exo $=29: 71$ ) (Table 1 ), but this exo preference is much less significant than that reported in the reaction of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with cyclopentadiene (endo/exo $=8: 92$ in refluxing toluene ${ }^{4}$ ). The cis-lactone $\mathbf{1 2}$ isolated in a very small amount should be formed from the endo adduct $\mathbf{1 1}$ by an in situ lactonization-silyl transfer sequence. This type of lactonization under the thermal conditions becomes exclusive in the reaction with $\alpha$-methylene- $\delta$-valerolactone (14), in which only $\gamma$-lactone 16 and $\alpha$-spiro- $\delta$-lactone 17, endo and exo adducts respectively, were obtained in a ratio of 18:82. This exo selectivity higher than that with $\mathbf{1 0}$ was also observed with the 7 -membered $\alpha$ (methylene)lactone 15 (endo/exo $=21: 79) .{ }^{10}$

## Scheme 3
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All of the cycloaddition reactions of the diene $\mathbf{4}$ with $\alpha$-substituted acrylates (5a-e) as well as 5- to 7membered $\alpha$-(methylene)lactones (10,14 and 15) described above were proved to be kinetically controlled by the fact that when each endo/exo mixture was heated with a large excess of diene $\mathbf{4}$ under the same conditions there was no change in endo/exo ratio. Thus, the high exo selectivity with the 5 - to 7 -membered $\alpha$ (methylene)lactones ( $71-82 \%$ exo), in contrast to the endo preference with conformationally flexible 5a-e ( $26-41 \%$ exo), should be ascribed to their rigid s-cis conformation of the conjugated system. In order to know whether these preferential exo mode additions originate from the particular conformation of the dienophiles and/or some other intrinsic bias of the cyclic structure, we undertook D-A reactions of 9- to 11membered $\alpha$-(methylene)lactones (20-22) in which both s-cis and s-trans conformations are permitted (Scheme 4). ${ }^{11}$ If s-cis conformation does correlate with exo selectivity, there should be an enhancement of endo selectivity as the ring size increases.

Scheme 4


Reactions between 20-22 and $\mathbf{4}$ were performed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and also at $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $o$-dichlorobenzene $(\varepsilon=$ $9.9){ }^{12}$ as well as in nitrobenzene $(\varepsilon=34.8)^{12}$ to see if solvent polarity can affect endo/exo ratios. The endo/exo ratios were determined by GLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture and/or by isolation of the cycloadducts after TsOH-catalyzed desilylation procedure. The results summarized in Table 2, in which the data obtained with 5- to 7 -membered dienophiles (10, $\mathbf{1 4}$ and 15) under the same conditions are included for comparison. The data indicate that in the medium-sized lactones ( $\mathbf{( 0 0 - 2 2}$ ) endo-mode cycloaddition becomes significant as expected regardless of the reaction temperature and the solvent employed, and the switching the solvent form $o$-dichlorobenzene to much polar nitrobenzene causes some enhancement of endo addition, the degrees of which depend on the ring size and are notable for the 7 - and 10 -membered lactones ( $\mathbf{1 5}$ and 21).

Table 2. The D-A Reaction of $\alpha$-Methylenelactones 10, 14, 15 and 20-22 with 4. ${ }^{a}$

$a$ The molar ratio of the dienophiles to $\mathbf{4}$ is $1: 3.0$. $b$ The yields are based on the consumed dienophiles. $c$ No reactions were observed.
The overall experimental results in the reactions of the acyclic diene $\mathbf{4}$ and $\alpha$-(methylene)lactones (10, $\mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 5}$ and 20-22) indicate that there exists a correlation between conformation of reacting dienophile and endo/exo selectivity, and it appears that the high exo selectivities with 5 - to 7 -membered lactones ( $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 4}$ and 15) are linked to their rigid s-cis conformations in the conjugated system. However, the level of the observed exo selectivity $\left(71-87 \%\right.$ in $\left.o-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ is lower than the $92 \%$ exo in the reaction of cyclopentadiene and $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$-butyrolactone (10) reported by Buono and co-workers, ${ }^{4}$ who summarized data for the highly exo selective D-A reactions of cyclopentadiene and $\mathbf{2}$ and $\alpha$-methylenecyclohexanones. The remark able exo selectivity in the reaction with cyclopentadiene may be to some extent due to a steric interaction between the methylene group of the diene and the $\beta$-methylene group of $\mathbf{1 0}$ that destabilizes the endo transition state. Recently Roush and Brown ${ }^{3 a}$ reasoned the very high exo selectivity of 2 ( $94 \%$ exo with cyclopentadiene) by applying Berson's dipole moment hypothesis. ${ }^{13}$ Thus, the exo transition state is lower in energy than the endo transition state which has a greater net permanent dipole moment in such a way as translated to Fig 1 for our reaction with 4. The dipolar effect model is consistent with some enhancement of endo/exo ratios on changing the $o-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ solvent to much polar nitrobenzene.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that in D-A reaction of $\alpha$-(methylene)lactones and 4 the secondary orbital interactions are not significantly involved, rather much more important being the preferred conformation of the dienophile en-one system which is associated with a net dipole moment of the transition state. Our results are an indication that more attention should be paid to the correlation of dienophile conformation

Fig 1

 with stereochemistry of D-A reaction.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General: IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer FT1640 spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were taken on a Varian Unityplus-500 ( 500 MHz ), Gemini-300 ( 300 MHz ), or JEOL GX-270 ( 270 MHz ) in CDCl ${ }_{3}$ with reference to $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( $\delta 7.26$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were measured with Varian Unityplus-500 ( 125 MHz ) or Gemini-300 $(75 \mathrm{MHz})$ with reference to the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ triplet ( $\delta 77.2$ ). Resonance patterns were described as s
$=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, and $\mathrm{br}=$ broad. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) (EIMS) were obtained with a JEOL JMS-D-300 spectrometer combined with a JEOL JMA-2000 data processing system. Liquid chromatography under medium pressures (MPLC) was carried out with a Waters Model 6000A chromatograph by using prepacked columns ( $22 \mathrm{~mm} \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}, 10 \mu$ silica gel; $22 \mathrm{~mm} \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5$ $\mu$ silica gel) (Kusano Kagakukikai Co.). For routine chromatography, the following adsorbents were used: Fuji-Davison silica gel BW-200 (150-325 mesh) for column chromatography; Merck precoated silica gel 60 F-254 plates for analytical thin-layer chromatography. GLC analyses were conducted on Shimazu GC-14AH with HiCAP column ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mm} \times 25 \mathrm{~m}$ ) combined with Shimazu C-R6A Chromatopac data processing system. All moisture sensitive reactions were performed under a positive pressure of nitrogen. Dry solvents and reagents were obtained by using standard procedures. Anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ was used for drying all organic solvent extracts in workup, and removal of the solvents was performed with a rotary evaporator. Melting points were determined by using a Yanagimoto micro-melting point apparatus. All melting points are uncorrected. Elemental combustion analysis was performed at the Microanalysis Laboratory of this University.

The acrylates 5a-e ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OAc},{ }^{14} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3},{ }^{5 \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}},{ }^{5 \mathrm{cc}} \mathrm{OMe}^{15}$ ) were prepared according to the literature procedures. $\alpha$-Methylene- $\gamma$-butyrolactone (10) is commercially available.

## Preparation of $\alpha$-methylenelactone.

$\alpha$-methylene- $\varepsilon$-caprolactone (15). This compound was prepared according to Paterson's procedure ${ }^{16}$ for $\alpha$-methylene- $\delta$-valerolactone 14. To a cooled $\left(-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and stirred solution of LDA, prepared from $n$ $\operatorname{BuLi}(1.56 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $59.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 92.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $i-\operatorname{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(14.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.58 \mathrm{~g}, 92.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 200 mL ), was added dropwise a solution of $\varepsilon$-caprolactone ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 33.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) over 15 min . After stirring at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TMSCl}(18.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.2 \mathrm{~g}, 148.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added over 7 min . The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature by removing the cooling bath, and then stirred for 1 h . The solution was concentrated, and the residue was diluted with pentane $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ before filtration. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was distilled to give enol silyl ether ( $10.4 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ), $61-67^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 3.5-4 \mathrm{mmHg}$.

To a solution of the enol silyl ether ( $10.4 \mathrm{~g}, 55.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(56 \mathrm{~mL})$ was successively added $\alpha$ chlorothioanisole ( $10.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.4 \mathrm{~g}, 78.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and powdered $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 28 h , then concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, 500 g ; hexane: $\mathrm{AcOEt}=2: 1$ ) to give $\alpha$-(phenylthiomethyl)- $\varepsilon$-caprolactone ( 12.5 $\mathrm{g}, 95 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil.

A solution of this material $(12.4 \mathrm{~g}, 52.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(450 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(11.2 \mathrm{~g}$, $52.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature in the dark for 15 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ before extraction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(500 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic phases were concentrated. The residue was dissolved in toluene ( 75 mL ) and the solution was refluxed for 5 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 400 g ; hexane: $\mathrm{AcOEt}=2: 1$ ) before bulb-to-bulb distillation to give $6(4.24 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%)$, a colorless oil, bp $90-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $(0.8 \mathrm{mmHg}) . R_{f}=0.34$ (hexane: $\mathrm{AcOEt}=2: 1$ ). IR (film) $1725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.65-1.92(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.36$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brt}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 4.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6), 5.39$ and 5.63 (each $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta$ 27.6, 28.5 (C-4 and C-5), $31.8(\mathrm{C}-3), 69.2(\mathrm{C}-6), 122.6\left(=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 143.0(\mathrm{C}-2), 173.1(\mathrm{C}-1)$. HRMS, $m / e$ 126.0655 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 126.0681$ ).

By using the same procedure, the following $\alpha$-(methylene)lactones were prepared.
$\alpha$-methyleneoctan-8-olide (20). a colorless oil, bp $85-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.15 \mathrm{mmHg}) . R_{f}=0.46$ (hexane:AcOEt = 9:1). IR (film) $1725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.49-1.54(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ m) 1.73-1.76 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-7), 2.47-2.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3), 4.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8), 5.36\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.91\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR 23.9, 28.7, 29.0 (C4-C6), 28.1 (C-7), 33.0 (C-3), 64.5 (C-8), 123.5 (vinylic), 143.5 (C-2), 169.6 (C-1). HRMS, m/e 154.1004 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 154.0993$ ).
$\alpha$-methylenenonan-9-olide (21). ${ }^{17}$ a colorless oil, bp $90-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.4 \mathrm{mmHg}) . \quad R_{f}=0.45$ (hexane: $\mathrm{AcOEt}=7: 1$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.12-1.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 1.35-1.70(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.70-1.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.47(2 \mathrm{H}$, br t, $J$
$=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 4.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-9), 5.47\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ).
$\alpha$-methylenedecan-10-olide (22). ${ }^{17}$ a colorless oil, bp $95-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.4 \mathrm{mmHg})\left(\right.$ lit. $.^{17} \sim 135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.05$ $\mathrm{mmHg}) . R_{f}=0.55$ (hexane:AcOEt = 9:1). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.20-1.60(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 1.70-1.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 4.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-10), 5.44\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.17\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

## General procedure for the D-A reaction of acrylates 5 a-e.

A solution of the acrylate ( 3 mmol ), diene $4(9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4,4'-thiobis(6-tert-butyl-m-cresol) ( 5 mg ) in $o$-dichlorobenzene ( 3 mL ) was placed in a pressure bottle and degassed. ${ }^{18}$ The bottle was placed in a $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and stirred for $70 \mathrm{~h}(46 \mathrm{~h}$ for $\mathbf{5 b}$ ). The mixture was cooled, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure ( $100-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 8 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ). The residue was subjected to bulb-to-bulb distillation to give the crude mixture ( $\mathbf{6 a - e}$ and $\mathbf{7 a - e}$ ) $\left(\sim 200^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.04 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ which was subjected to capillary GC analysis. The crude product was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was allowed to stand at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was concentrated, and a solution of the residue in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 6 mL ) was stirred for 15 min after addition of $p-\mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was diluted with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, then successively washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 mL ) and water ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography and then MPLC to give 8a-e and 9a-e.

## General procedure for the D-A reaction of $\alpha$-(methylene)lactones (10 and 14).

A solution of $\mathbf{1 0}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, diene $\mathbf{4}(1.57 \mathrm{~g}, 6.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4,4'-thiobis(6-tert-butyl-mcresol) ( 5 mg ) in o-dichlorobenzene ( 2 mL ) was placed in a pressure bottle and degassed. The bottle was placed in a $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and stirred for 22 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and filtered through a short column of silica gel ( $20 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{AcOEt}$ ). The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was subjected to GC analysis before column chromatography (silica gel 100 g , hexane:AcOEt $=5: 1$ ) and subsequent MPLC (hexane: $\mathrm{AcOEt}=9: 1$ ) to give $\mathbf{1 2}(9 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \%), \mathbf{1 3}$ ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%$ ), and $\mathbf{1 1}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%)$.

## General procedure for the D-A reaction of $\alpha$-(methylene)lactones (15 and 20-22).

A solution of $15(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol})$, diene $\mathbf{4}(1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 4.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4,4'-thiobis( 6 -tert-butyl-mcresol) ( 5 mg ) in o-dichlorobenzene ( 1.6 mL ) was placed in a pressure bottle and degassed. The bottle was placed in a $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and stirred for 22 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and filtered through a short column of silica gel ( $20 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{AcOEt}$ ). The filtrate was concentrated and the residue was subjected to capillary gas chromatography analysis. The crude product was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was allowed to stand at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was concentrated, and the residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel, 100 g ; hexane- $\mathrm{AcOEt}=2: 1$ to AcOEt) to give a mixture $(180 \mathrm{mg})$ of $\mathbf{1 8}$ and 19, and the starting material $\mathbf{1 5}(44 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%)$. The mixture was subjected to MPLC (hexane: $\mathrm{AcOEt}=\mathrm{AcOEt}$ ) to give 18 ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%$ ) and 19 ( $128 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ).

Table 3. GLC Retention Times of Diels-Alder Adducts. ${ }^{a}$

| compd | $R_{t}{ }^{b}$ | conditions $^{c}$ | compd | $R_{t}{ }^{b}$ | conditions $^{c}$ | compd $^{d}$ | $R_{t}{ }^{b}$ | conditions $^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 a}$ | 5.83 | A | $\mathbf{7 d}$ | 8.89 | B | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 14.70 | B |
| $\mathbf{6 b}$ | 5.13 | A | $\mathbf{7 e}$ | 7.86 | B | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 14.75 | B |
| $\mathbf{6 c}$ | 7.59 | A | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 6.69 | A | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 15.80 | B |
| $\mathbf{6 d}$ | 9.27 | B | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 6.77 | A | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 15.96 | B |
| $\mathbf{6 e}$ | 7.97 | B | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 7.38 | A | $\mathbf{2 5}^{\text {e }}$ | 16.91 | B |
| 7a | 5.94 | A | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 8.68 | A | $\mathbf{2 6}^{e}$ | 16.91 | B |
| $\mathbf{7 b}$ | 5.33 | A | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 9.57 | A | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 16.97 | A |
| $\mathbf{7 c}$ | 7.72 | A |  |  | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 13.36 | A |  |

$a$ The TMS ethers were obtained by treatment with 3 equivs of TMS-Cl and $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt} \mathrm{in}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in the presence of 0.1 equiv of DMAP. $b R_{t}$ in minutes. $c$ A: $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~min})$, then programmed to $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}\right)$; B: $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{~min})$, then programmed to $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}\right) . d$ TMS ether. $e \mathbf{2 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 6}$ were inseparable.

Table 4. Characterization Data for the Cycloadducts from Acrylates. ${ }^{a}$

| compd $^{b}$ | TLC, $R_{f}$ (solvent) | IR (neat), $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ |  | HRMS, calcd (found) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{8 c}$ | 0.53 (hexane-AcOEt = 1:2) | 3420,1780 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right):$ | $299.1676(299.1675)$ |
| $\mathbf{8 d}$ | $0.48($ AcOEt $)$ | 3425,1780 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}:$ | $182.0942(182.0923)$ |
| $\mathbf{8 e}$ | 0.51 (AcOEt) | 3420,1770 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}:$ | $198.0891(198.0867)$ |
| $\mathbf{9 c}$ | 0.37 (hexane-AcOEt = 1:2) | 3380,1740 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right):$ | $331.1938(331.1921)$ |
| 9d | 0.31 (AcOEt) | 3385,1735 | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right):$ | $231.1231(231.1214)$ |
| $\mathbf{9 e}$ | 0.38 (AcOEt) | 3355,1730 | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}:$ | $214.1204(214.1201)$ |

$a$ All compounds were obtained as colorless oils. $b$ For $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$, see ref. 7.
Table 5. Characterization Data for the Cycloadducts from $\alpha$-(Methylene)lactones.

| compd | TLC <br> $R_{f}(\text { solvent })^{a}$ | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> $(\text { solvent })^{a}$ | IR <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$or C/H Combustion analysis <br> calcd (found) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $0.45(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}=4: 1)$ | oil | $1770^{b}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}:$ | $356.1837(356.1823)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $0.50(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}=4: 1)$ | oil | $1775^{b}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}:$ | $356.1837(356.1845)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $0.44(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}=4: 1)$ | $53-54(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A})$ | $1770^{c}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}:$ | $\mathrm{C}, 57.26(57.37) ; \mathrm{H}, 9.04(9.03)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $0.50(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}=4: 1)$ | oil | $1775^{b}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}:$ | $370.1993(370.2011)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $0.38(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}=4: 1)$ | $28-30(\mathrm{H})$ | $1730^{c}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}:$ | $\mathrm{C}, 58.33(58.34) ; \mathrm{H}, 9.25(9.27)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $0.27(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}=99: 1)$ | oil | $3385,1755^{b}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}:$ | $240.1360(240.1337)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $0.26(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}=99: 1)$ | oil | $3385,1720^{b}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}:$ | $240.1360(240.1353)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $0.31(\mathrm{~A})$ | oil | $3385,1720^{b}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}:$ | $268.1673(268.1655)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $0.38(\mathrm{~A})$ | $124-125(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A})$ | $3320,1720^{c}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}:$ | $\mathrm{C}, 67.14(66.93) ; \mathrm{H}, 9.01(8.99)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $0.38(\mathrm{~A})$ | oil | $3404,1755^{b}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}:$ | $282.1829(282.1850)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $0.41(\mathrm{~A})$ | $110-112(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A})$ | $3375,1720^{c}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}:$ | $\mathrm{C}, 68.06(67.95) ; \mathrm{H}, 9.28(9.28)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $0.41(\mathrm{~A})$ | oil | $3385,1760^{b}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4}:$ | $296.1986(296.1946)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $0.43(\mathrm{~A})$ | $117-118(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A})$ | $3335,1725^{c}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4}:$ | $\mathrm{C}, 68.89(68.76) ; \mathrm{H}, 9.52(9.73)$ |

$a \mathrm{H}=$ hexane $, \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{AcOEt}, \mathrm{P}=2$-propanol. $b$ neat. $c \mathrm{KBr}$.
Table 6. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ - and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR Spectral Data for $8 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$.

| position | 8c (X = OTBDMS) |  | 8d (X = OMe) |  | $\mathbf{8 e}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Me})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta \mathrm{C}$ | $\delta \mathrm{H}^{a}$ | $\delta \mathrm{C}$ | $\delta \mathrm{H}^{a}$ | $\delta \mathrm{C}$ | $\delta \mathrm{H}^{a}$ |
| 1 | 123.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.66 \\ & \text { (ddd; 10.0, 4.4, 2.7) } \end{aligned}$ | 123.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.68 \\ & \text { (ddd; 10.4, 4.1, 2.5) } \end{aligned}$ | 124.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.63 \\ & \text { (ddd; 10.0, 4.1, 2.5) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 131.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.90 \\ & (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dm} ; 10.0) \end{aligned}$ | 131.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.92 \\ & (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~d} ; 10.4) \end{aligned}$ | 130.4 | 5.85 <br> (br dm; 10.0) |
| 3 | 34.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.57-2.63 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 34.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.57-2.63 \\ & (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 35.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.38-2.44 \\ & (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 30.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.56 \\ & (\mathrm{dd} ; 13.2,10.4) \\ & 1.88 \\ & (\mathrm{dd} ; 13.2,4.9) \end{aligned}$ | 27.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.76 \\ & (\text { dd; } 13.7,9.3) \\ & 2.05 \\ & (d d ; 13.7,5.5) \end{aligned}$ | 29.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.60 \\ & (\text { dd; 13.2, } 9.3) \\ & 1.65 \\ & \text { (dd; 13.2, 6.0) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 a | 74.4 | - | 77.4 | - | 40.3 | - |
| 5 | 178.1 | - | 176.6 | - | 182.2 | - |
| 7 | 70.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.75 \\ & \text { (dd; } 8.8,8.8 \text { ) } \\ & 4.47 \\ & \text { (dd; } 8.8,8.8) \end{aligned}$ | 70.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.83 \\ & \text { (dd; } 8.8,8.8 \text { ) } \\ & 4.52 \\ & \text { (dd; } 8.8,8.8) \end{aligned}$ | 70.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.84 \\ & (\mathrm{dd} ; 8.8,8.8) \\ & 4.44 \\ & \text { (dd; } 8.8,8.8 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 a | 44.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.85-2.94 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 38.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.11-3.16 \\ & (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 42.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.69-2.72 \\ & \text { (br m) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 65.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.57 \\ & (\text { dd; } 11.0,6.0) \\ & 3.65 \\ & (\text { dd; } 11.0,6.0) \end{aligned}$ | 65.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.60 \\ & (\mathrm{dd} ; 10.7,5.8) \\ & 3.65 \\ & (\mathrm{dd} ; 10.7,6.3) \end{aligned}$ | 65.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.56 \\ & (\mathrm{~d} ; 6.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| X | $\begin{aligned} & 25.8,18.4 \\ & -2.9,-3.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 0.19 \text { (s) } \\ & 0.83 \text { (s) } \end{aligned}$ | 51.8 | 3.42 (s) | 21.2 | 1.23 (s) |

$a$ Multiplicity and $J$ in Hz are recorded in parenthesis.
Table 7. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ - and ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ Spectral Data for 9c-e.

| position | 9c (X = OTBDMS |  | 9d (X = OMe) |  | 9e (X = Me) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta \mathrm{C}$ | $\delta \mathrm{H}^{a}$ | $\delta \mathrm{C}$ | $\delta \mathrm{H}^{a}$ | $\delta \mathrm{C}$ | $\delta \mathrm{H}^{a}$ |
| 1 | 79.6 | - | 82.8 | - | 44.6 | - |
| 2 | 44.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.96-3.00 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 42.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.03-3.06 \\ & \text { (br m) } \end{aligned}$ | 42.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.78-2.81 \\ & (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | 130.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.60-5.70 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 130.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.60-5.70 \\ & (\text { br m) } \end{aligned}$ | 131.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.77-5.78 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 126.8 | overlapped with H-3 | 126.4 | overlapped with H-3 | 129.3 | overlapped with H-3 |
| 5 | 38.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.61-2.67 \\ & (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 38.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.54-2.59 \\ & (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 36.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.43-2.49 \\ & (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | 31.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.87 \\ & (\text { dd; } 12.6,10.4) \\ & 2.26 \\ & (\text { ddd; } 12.6,6.8,1.6) \end{aligned}$ | 27.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.73 \\ & (\text { dd; } 12.9,9.6) \\ & 2.42 \\ & \text { (ddd; 12.9, } 6.6,1.6) \end{aligned}$ | 32.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.70 \\ & (\text { dd; } 13.5,10.4) \\ & 2.03 \\ & (\text { dd; } 13.5,6.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| $2-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 63.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.71-3.78 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 63.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.54-3.72 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 62.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.68 \\ & (\mathrm{dd} ; 11.5,4.4) \\ & 3.76 \\ & (\mathrm{dd} ; 11.5,4.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| $5-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 66.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.54 \\ & (\text { dd; } 10.4,4.9) \\ & 3.62 \\ & \text { (dd; 10.4, 4.9) } \end{aligned}$ | 65.9 | overlapped with $2-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 65.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.53 \\ & (\text { dd; } 12.4,4.4) \\ & 3.62 \\ & (\mathrm{dd} ; 12.4,4.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| CO | 52.2 |  | 52.4 | - | 52.2 | - |
| OMe | 173.2 | 3.70 (s) | 172.1 | 3.74 (s) | 178.1 | 3.65 (s) |
| OH | - | 2.15 (br s) | - | 2.75 (br s) |  | 2.16 (br s) |
| X | $\begin{aligned} & 25.9,18.4 \\ & -2.5,-3.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07(\mathrm{~s}), 0.13(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 0.88(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | 52.1 | 3.29 (s) | 24.1 | 1.27 (s) |

$a$ Multiplicity and $J$ in Hz are recorded in parenthesis.
Fig 2.


Table 8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR Spectral Data for Bicyclic $\gamma$-Lactones. ${ }^{a}$

| positio | 12 | 16 | 18 | 23 | 25 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | $(\mathrm{n}=5)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=6)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=7)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=9)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=10)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=11)$ |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 3.07-3.08 \\ (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.83-2.87 \\ (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.85-2.92 \\ (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{m})}{2.83-2.92}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.84-2.88 \\ (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.81-2.89 \\ (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 5.66 \\ (\mathrm{ddd} ; 10.0,5.0, \\ 2.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.64 \\ \text { (ddd; } 10.0,4.5,2.5 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.70 \\ \text { (ddd; } 9.9,4.4,2.2 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.70 \\ \text { (ddd; } 10.4,4.4,2.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.68 \\ \text { (ddd; } 10.2,4.4,2.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.66 \\ \text { (ddd; } 10.3,4.4,2.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 5.81 \\ \text { (br d; 10.0) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.75 \\ \text { (br d; 10.0) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.84 \\ \text { (br d; 9.9) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.85 \\ \text { (ddd; } 10.4,2.2,2.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.83 \\ \text { (ddd; } 10.2,1.9,1.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.82 \\ \text { (ddd; 10.3, 1.6, 1.6) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} 2.39-2.43 \\ (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.35-2.39 \\ (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.40-2.46 \\ (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{m})}{2.37-2.50}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.38-2.43 \\ (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.36-2.42 \\ (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} 1.41 \\ (\mathrm{dd} ; 13.5,11.3) \\ 1.71 \\ (\mathrm{dd} ; 13.5,5.0) \end{gathered}$ | 1.43 (dd; 13.5, 11.0) 1.71 (dd; 13.5, 5.0) | $\underset{(\mathrm{m})}{1.50-1.77}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.64-1.75 \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \\ 4.44, \\ (\mathrm{dd} ; 8.8,8.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{m})}{1.62-1.70}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{m})}{1.18-1.70}$ |
| $1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.77 \\ \text { (dd; } 10.2,8.7) \\ 4.41 \\ (\mathrm{dd} ; 8.7,8.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.76 \\ (\mathrm{dd} ; 10.0,8.5) \\ 4.39 \\ (\mathrm{dd} ; 8.5,8.5) \end{gathered}$ | 3.86 (dd; $8.8,8.8$ ) 4.44 (dd; $8.8,8.8)$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.85 \\ \text { (dd; } 8.8,8.8) \\ 4.44 \\ \text { (dd; } 8.8,8.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.83 \\ \text { (dd; } 8.8,8.8) \\ 4.42, \\ \text { (dd; } 8.8,8.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.82 \\ \text { (dd; } 8.8,8.8) \\ 4.41 \\ (\mathrm{dd} ; 8.8,8.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| 4-CH2 | $\begin{gathered} 3.46,3.49 \\ \text { (each dd; } 9.7,6.5 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.42-3.53 \\ (\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.52,3.57 \\ \text { (each dd; } 11.0, \\ 6.0 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{m})}{3.50-3.60}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.50,3.52 \\ \text { (each dd; } 13.0,10.5 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.48-3.52 \\ (2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ |
| $1^{\prime}-(\mathrm{n}-4)^{\prime}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.78 \\ (\mathrm{dt} ; 14.0,6.3) \\ 1.93 \\ (\mathrm{dt} ; 14.0,7.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.46-1.56 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1.58-1.68 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{m})}{1.50-1.77}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{m})}{1.20-1.65}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{m})}{1.20-1.59}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{m})}{1.18-1.70}$ |


| $(\mathrm{n}-3)^{\prime}$ | $3.68-3.76$ | $3.42-3.58$ | 3.63 | 3.61 | 3.58 | 3.56 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m})$ | $(\mathrm{m})$ | $(\mathrm{t} ; 6.3)$ | $(\mathrm{t} ; 6.0)$ | $(\mathrm{t} ; 6.3)$ | $(\mathrm{t} ; 6.6)$ |
| R | $0.07(\mathrm{~s})$ | $0.05(\mathrm{~s})$ | 2.08 | 1.88 | 2.21 | 2.49 |
|  | $0.08(\mathrm{~s})$ | $0.06(\mathrm{~s})$ | $(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$ | $(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$ | $(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$ | $(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$ |

$a$ The numberings shown in Fig. 2 were used for convenience.
Table 9. ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR Spectral Data for Bicyclic $\gamma$-Lactones. ${ }^{a}$

| position | $\mathbf{1 2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=5)$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=6)$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=7)$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=9)$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=10)$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=11)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 38.9 | 39.0 | 39.2 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 39.0 |
| 2 | 124.2 | 124.0 | 125.1 | 125.0 | 125.2 | 125.0 |
| 3 | 130.8 | 130.9 | 130.5 | 130.5 | 130.5 | 130.4 |
| 4 | 35.1 | 34.9 | 35.2 | 35.1 | 35.2 | 35.1 |
| 5 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 28.9 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 |
| 6 | 42.7 | 43.7 | 44.1 | 44.0 | 44.1 | 44.0 |
| $1^{-\mathrm{CH}_{2}}$ | 70.8 | 70.7 | 71.0 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 70.9 |
| $4-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 66.3 | 66.1 | 66.0 | 65.8 | 65.9 | 65.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 181.0 | 180.9 | 181.7 | 181.7 | 181.6 | 181.7 |
| $1^{\prime}-(\mathrm{n}-4)^{\prime}$ | 35.1 | $27.2,29.4$ | $20.3,32.9,33.8$ | $23.9,25.5,29.7$ | $23.9,25.7,29.2$ | $24.0,25.7,29.3,29.4$ |
| $(\mathrm{n}-3)^{\prime}$ | 58.5 | 62.6 | 62.4 | $32.6,34.0$ | $30.0,32.8,34.2$ | $29.9,32.7,34.1$ |
| R | $-0.4,-0.5$ | $-0.4,-0.5$ | - | 62.7 | 63.0 | 62.9 |

$a$ The numberings shown in Fig. 2 were used for convenience.
Table 10. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR Spectral Data for Spirolactones. ${ }^{a}$

| positio | 11 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 24 | 26 | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=7)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=9)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=10)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=11)$ |
| 1 | 2.24-2.28 | 2.38-2.48 | 2.92-2.95 | 2.83-2.88 | 2.89-2.94 | 2.75-2.76 | 2.71-2.72 |
|  | (m) | (m) | (br m) | (br m) | (br m) | (br m) | (br m) |
| 2 | 5.72 | 5.57 | 5.45 | 5.77-5.87 | 5.79 | 5.79 | 5.75 |
|  | (dddd; $10.5,4.52 .0,2.0)$ | (br ddd; $10.4,3.3,2.2)$ | (ddd; $10.5,3.0,3.0)$ | (m) | (br d; 10.1) | (br ddd; <br> $10.0,1.0,1.0)$ | (br d; 10.8) |
| 3 | 10.5, 5.77 | 10.4.72 | 10.5 5.70 | overlapped | 5.85 | 5.84 | 5.84 |
|  | (br d; 10.5) | (br ddd; | ${ }_{10.5,3.0,30)}$ | with H-2 | (ddd; | (dddd; 10.0 | (ddd; |
|  |  | 10.4, 2.2, 2.2) | 10.5, 3.0, 3.0) |  | 10.1, 4.6, 1.6) | 5.1, 2.2, 2.2) | 10.8, 4.9, 2.0) |
| 4 | 2.34-2.35 | 2.38-2.48 | 2.41-2.44 | 2.63-2.70 | $2.54-2.60$ | $2.46-2.50$ | 2.42-2.44 |
|  | (m) | (m) | (br m) | (br m) | $\stackrel{(\text { br m) }}{\text { NA }}$ ( | (br m) $1.65-1.71$ | $\stackrel{\text { (br m) }}{1.21-1.85}$ |
| 5 | (dd; 13.5, 5.5) | (dd; 14.3, 5.5) | (dd; 14.0, 4.5) | (dd; 13.7, 9.9) |  | (m) | (m) |
| 1-CH2 | $1.68$ |  | $2.01$ | $2.12$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.10 \\ (\mathrm{dd} ; 13.2,6.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.02 \\ (\mathrm{dd} ; 13.1,6.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.98 \\ \text { (dd: } 13.2,6.6 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $4-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | (dd, 13.50 , 11.5 ) | (dd, $14.3,7.1)$ | (dd, $14.0,8.0)$ | ( $\mathrm{dd}, 13.5,7.1)$ | (dd, $3.5 .2,6.6)$ | ( $\mathrm{dd}, 13.5$, 6.5) | (dd, 13.70 , 6.6) |
|  | (dd; 10.0, 6.5) | (dd; 12.9, 7.7) | (dd; 10.5, 8.0) | (dd; 10.4, 4.4) | (dd; 10.4, 4.4) | (dd; 10.2, 4.2) | (dd; 11.5, 3.8) |
|  | 3.48 | 3.50 | 3.47 | 3.64 | 3.65 | 3.63 | 3.78 |
|  | (dd; 10.0, 6.5) | (dd; 12.9, 10.1) | (dd; 10.5, 8.5) | (dd; 10.4, 4.9) | (dd; 10.4, 4.9) | (dd; 10.2, 4.7) | (dd; 11.5, 4.4) |
| $1^{\prime}-(\mathrm{n}-4)^{\prime}$ | 2.09-2.12 | 1.98 | 1.72-1.83 | 1.62-1.85 | 1.25-1.79 | 1.42-1.52 | 1.21-1.85 |
|  | (m) | (dd; 12.9, 6.0) | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m) |
|  |  | 2.38-2.48 | 1.92-2.00 |  |  | 1.74-1.81 |  |
|  |  | (m) | (m) |  |  | (m) |  |
| $(\mathrm{n}-3)^{\prime}$ | 4.27-4.32 | 4.23 | 4.24 | 4.19-4.33 | 4.20 | 4.16 | 4.09 |
|  | (m) | (dd; 8.2, 6.0) | (ddd; 10.5, 4.0) | (m) | (ddd; | (dddd; | (ddd |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10.7, 6.24.4) | 11.1, 8.0, 4.0) | 11.1, 7.1, 1.6) |
| R | 0.08 (s) | 0.05 (s) | 0.07 (s) | 2.09-2.16 | 1.25-1.79 | 1.90 | 1.21-1.85 |
|  | 0.10 (s) | 0.06 (s) | 0.08 (s) | (br s) | (brs) | (brs) | (brs) |

$a$ The numberings shown in Fig. 2 were used for convenience. $b$ not assigned.
Table 11. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR Spectral Data for Spirolactones. ${ }^{a}$

| position | $\mathbf{1 1}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=5)$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=5)$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=6)$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=7)$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=9)$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=10)$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ <br> $(\mathrm{n}=11)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 36.2 | 36.2 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 36.7 | 36.5 | 36.8 |
| 2 | 126.9 | 126.9 | 126.8 | 128.8 | 129.4 | 129.4 | 129.4 |
| 3 | 129.6 | 129.2 | 128.0 | 131.5 | 131.9 | 131.3 | 131.2 |
| 4 | 42.7 | 42.4 | 42.7 | 40.9 | 40.6 | 40.8 | 40.6 |
| 5 | 30.4 | 30.6 | 32.7 | 30.8 | 30.5 | 31.7 | 31.9 |
| 6 | 43.1 | 42.9 | 43.6 | 50.0 | 48.8 | 47.8 | 49.4 |
| $1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 66.5 | 63.4 | 62.7 | 62.4 | 62.5 | 62.4 | 62.7 |
| $4-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 63.6 | 66.0 | 66.1 | 65.8 | 66.2 | 66.0 | 66.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 180.2 | 180.8 | 176.5 | 178.7 | 177.2 | 176.7 | 176.3 |


| $1^{\prime}-(\mathrm{n}-4)^{\prime}$ | 65.1 | 65.3 | 69.8 | 68.7 | 64.8 | 66.0 | 64.9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{n}-3)^{\prime}$ | 35.7 | 32.1 | $20.1,26.1$ | $23.3,28.7,34.2$ | $19.2,22.2,27.2$ | $21.2,24.1,25.0$ | $19.8,21.7,23.3,25.0$ |
| R | $-0.3,-0.4$ | $-0.4,-0.5$ | $-0.3,-0.5$ | - | $29.8,36.5$ | $25.2,26.0,33.4$ | $26.6,26.7,33.3$ |

$a$ The numberings shown in Fig. 2 were used for convenience.
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