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#### Abstract

Transition probabilities were evaluated for the $\widetilde{X}^{1} A_{1}-\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}$ and $\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}-\widetilde{B}^{1} A_{1}$ systems of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ to analyze the $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow \widetilde{A} \rightarrow \widetilde{B}$ photoexcitation. The Franck-Condon factors (FCFs) and Einstein's $B$ coefficients were computed by quantum vibrational calculations using the three-dimensional potential energy surfaces (PESs) of the $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}\left(\widetilde{X}^{1} A_{1}, \widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}, \widetilde{B}^{1} A_{1}\right)$ electronic states and the electronic transition moments for the $\widetilde{X}-\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{X}-\widetilde{B}$, and $\widetilde{A}-\widetilde{B}$ system. The global PESs were determined by the multireference configuration interaction calculations with the Davidson correction and the interpolant moving least-squares method combined with the Shepard interpolation. The obtained FCFs for the $\widetilde{X}-\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{A}-\widetilde{B}$ systems exhibit that the bending mode is strongly enhanced in the excitation since the equilibrium bond angle greatly varies with the three states; the barrier to linearity is evaluated to be $21900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $\tilde{X}$ state, $6400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $\tilde{A}$ state, and $230-240 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $\widetilde{B}$ state. The theoretical lifetimes for the pure bending levels of the $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ states were calculated from the fluorescence decay rates for the $\tilde{A}-\tilde{X}, \widetilde{B}-\tilde{A}$, and $\widetilde{B}-\widetilde{X}$ emissions.


© 2005 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1876112]

## I. INTRODUCTION

The silylene radical is one of the simplest molecules containing silicon. Interest in silylene became greater recently because of the important role of silicon hydride molecules in fabricating amorphous silicon. Very many data are accumulated on the $\widetilde{X}^{1} A_{1}$ and $\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}$ states by both experimental and theoretical methods, whereas there has been only a little amount of information about the $\widetilde{B}$ state. ${ }^{1,2}$ Very recently, the $\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}-\widetilde{B}^{1} A_{1}$ absorption spectrum of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ has been analyzed in the $9500-12000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ using IR double resonance spectra of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2} / \mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ prepared by photodissociation of phenyl silane. ${ }^{3}$ To analyze these spectra we need several spectroscopic constants that depend on the vibrotational state for both electronic states.

Many theoretical studies treated $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$, which represents the strong Renner-Teller (RT) interaction. ${ }^{4}$ We would like to review here only some of the important studies related to the present work. Rice and Handy, ${ }^{1}$ and Gordon ${ }^{5}$ reported the equilibrium structures and potential energy surfaces (PES) for several electronic states of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ obtained by multiconfiguration self-consistent field (MCSCF) calculations. Balasubramanian and McLean, ${ }^{6}$ and Yamaguchi et al. ${ }^{2}$ calculated the equilibrium structures and a singlet-triplet splitting in $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ by complete active space self-consistent field-second order configuration interaction (CASSCF-SOCI) method. Allen and Schaefer $\mathrm{III}^{7}$ obtained the harmonic vibrational frequencies based on the configuration interaction singles
and doubles (CISD) energies. Koseki and Gordon ${ }^{8}$ calculated the vibrational structure of the $\tilde{X}-\widetilde{A}$ absorption spectrum based on two-dimensional MCSCF/6-31G ${ }^{* *}$ PESs of the lowest three states. Gabriel et al. ${ }^{9}$ obtained the rotationalvibrational spectrum of the $\widetilde{X}^{1} A_{1}$ and $\tilde{a}^{3} B_{1}$ states based on the three-dimensional PES and electric dipole moment functions.

Unfortunately, the published data regarding vibrational energies have been found to be inadequate especially for the $\widetilde{B}$ state. We therefore obtained these data by a threedimensional calculation in the present study. Threedimensional PESs of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B})$ ions were obtained by an $a b$ initio molecular orbital (MO) configuration interaction (CI) method, and three-dimensional transition moments were obtained for the $\widetilde{X}-\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{X}-\widetilde{B}$, and $\widetilde{A}-\widetilde{B}$ systems. Next, we carried out quantum vibrational calculations on these PESs to obtain the vibrational eigenvalues and eigenfunctions and acquired the Franck-Condon factors (FCFs) and the transition probabilities for the $\widetilde{X}-\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{X}-\widetilde{B}$, and $\widetilde{A}-\widetilde{B}$ excitations. We also obtained those for $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$. The present paper outlines first the $a b$ initio MO CI calculations used in our determination of the PESs, as well as quantum vibrational calculations. Subsequently, we describe the PESs for the $\widetilde{X}^{1} A_{1}, \widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}$, and $\widetilde{B}^{1} A_{1}$ states and also vibrational states for each electronic state. Finally, the obtained FCFs and Einstein's $B$ coefficients are summarized and the fluorescence lifetimes evaluated from
both Einstein's $B$ coefficients and the transition frequencies are compared with other data.

## II. THEORETICAL CALCULATIONS

## A. $A b$ initio MO Cl calculations

Determination of the global PES is quite important for calculations of the higher vibrational states, as it is necessary to take into account the anharmonicity of the PES, especially for the electronic excited states. Details in calculation of PESs and vibrational energies were reported previously. ${ }^{10}$ The basis set used in the present work was the aug-cc-pVQZ (diffusion-function-augmented, correlation consistent, polarized valence, quadruple zeta) of Woon and Dunning. ${ }^{11}$ The MOs were determined by CASSCF calculations. After determining the MOs, multireference configuration interaction (MRCI) calculations were carried out.
$\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ is a 16 -electron system, and the ground state for the valence is approximated by a two-configuration wave function ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}[\text { core }]\left(4 a_{1}\right)^{2}\left(2 b_{2}\right)^{2}\left(5 a_{1}\right)^{2}-C_{2}[\text { core }] \\
& \times\left(4 a_{1}\right)^{2}\left(2 b_{2}\right)^{2}\left(2 b_{1}\right)^{2} \widetilde{X}^{1} A_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left|C_{1}\right|>\left|C_{2}\right|$.
The first excited singlet state is an open shell and may be written as

$$
[\text { core }]\left(4 a_{1}\right)^{2}\left(2 b_{2}\right)^{2}\left(5 a_{1}\right)^{1}\left(2 b_{1}\right)^{1} \widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}
$$

The second and final excited singlet state studied in this work is again a closed shell and may be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{2}[\text { core }]\left(4 a_{1}\right)^{2}\left(2 b_{2}\right)^{2}\left(5 a_{1}\right)^{2}+C_{1}[\text { core }] \\
& \times\left(4 a_{1}\right)^{2}\left(2 b_{2}\right)^{2}\left(2 b_{1}\right)^{2} \widetilde{B}^{1} A_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The two lowest $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{A}$ states are derived from ${ }^{2} \Pi_{u}$ from the RT interaction, and correlate with the $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(X^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)$ $+\operatorname{Si}\left({ }^{1} D_{g}\right)$ system in the dissociation limit. The $\widetilde{B}$ state correlates with the same $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(X^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)+\operatorname{Si}\left({ }^{1} D_{g}\right)$ system. Moreover, the $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{A}$ states correlate with the $\mathrm{SiH}\left(X^{2} \Pi\right)+\mathrm{H}\left({ }^{2} S\right)$ channel, which is 1.7 eV higher than the $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(X^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)+\mathrm{Si}\left({ }^{1} D_{g}\right)$ channel, whereas the $\widetilde{B}$ state correlates with the $\operatorname{SiH}\left(A^{2} \Delta\right)$ $+\mathrm{H}\left({ }^{2} S\right)$ channel. To obtain the MOs necessary to describe these electronic states, the state-averaged CASSCF calculations were carried out with inclusion of a full valence as the active space orbitals. The active space of the MRCI calculations is the same as that of CASSCF, and the configuration state functions were generated by single and double excitations with respect to the reference configurations used in the CASSCF calculation, except for the frozen-core orbitals, $1 s$, $2 s$, and $2 p(\mathrm{Si})$. Moreover, the Davidson correction for the MRCI calculation was employed to include the correlation energy due to higher excitations. ${ }^{12-14}$ The total number of configurations for the final internally contracted MRCI calculations was typically around 523000 for the $A^{\prime}$ symmetry and 262000 for the $A^{\prime \prime}$ symmetry. ${ }^{15,16}$ In the present work, the potential energies for the electronic ground state, $1^{1} A^{\prime}$, and the next two electronic excited states, $1{ }^{1} A^{\prime \prime}$ and $2^{1} A^{\prime}$,
were finally obtained in the $C_{s}$ symmetry. The transition dipole moments between these states were evaluated from the CI wave functions. All calculations were carried out with the MOLPRO program package. ${ }^{17}$ The computer was an SGI Origin 2800 owned by the Research Center for Computational Science at Okazaki.

Jacobi coordinates were employed to describe the relative positions of the three nuclei in the body fixed plane; $r$ is the distance between the two H atoms, $R$ is the distance between the Si atom and the center of mass of two H atoms, and $\theta$ is the angle between the vectors $R$ and $r$. Potential energies and transition dipole moments for $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ were calculated at 6500 geometries and were interpolated by the interpolant moving least-squares method combined with the Shepard method ${ }^{18-20}$ to carry out a quantum vibrational calculation on the PESs. The parameters to determine the weight functions [see Eq. (6) of Ref. 18] were chosen to be $a=0.03$ and $p=4$.

## B. Quantum vibrational calculations

Since some of the highly vibrational excited states could be characterized with the unlocalized wave function that does not look like the zero-point vibrational wave function, we performed a quantum vibrational calculation based on the discrete variable representations. ${ }^{21-24}$ The Hamiltonian matrix and associated wave functions are represented with evenly spaced grids in $R$ and $r$, and associated Legendre polynominals to describe $\cos \theta$. The grid parameters were suitable for the radial part: $\left(N_{R}=109, R_{\min }=0.0 a_{0}, R_{\text {max }}\right.$ $\left.=8.0 a_{0}\right)$ and $\left(N_{r}=79, r_{\min }=2.0 a_{0}, r_{\max }=8.0 a_{0}\right)$, where $N$ is


FIG. 1. Potential energies for the $\widetilde{X}^{1} A_{1}, \widetilde{A}^{1} A 2$ and $\widetilde{B}^{1} A_{1}$ states in eV as a function of $\alpha ; r_{\text {SiH }}$ is fixed at the equilibrium length of $1.5187 \AA$ for the ground state, and the solid and dashed curves represent the $A^{\prime}$ and $A^{\prime \prime}$ states, respectively. The vertical line near $92^{\circ}$ represents the equilibrium angle for the ground state.

TABLE I. Equilibrium geometries of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and electronic energies; the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length is in angstrom, the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{H}$ angle $\alpha$ in degrees, and the energy difference from the $\widetilde{X}^{1} A_{1}$ state $\left(\Delta E_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ in eV .

| State | $r_{\text {SiH }}(\AA)$ | $\alpha$ (deg) | $\Delta E_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tilde{X}^{1} A_{1}$ | 1.5187 | 92.27 |  | MRCI, Present |
|  | 1.5148 | 92.42 |  | CISD/B2 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | 1.5168 | 92.04 |  | $\mathrm{CEPA}^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | 1.5124 | 92.74 |  | CISD ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | 1.5141 | 92.08 |  | IR absorption ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
|  | 1.5140 | 91.98 |  | IR absorption ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| $\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}$ | 1.4904 | 122.70 | 1.9218 | MRCI, Present |
|  | 1.4833 | 122.53 | 2.0372 | CISD/B2 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | 1.4814 | 122.87 | 1.9936 | CISD ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | 1.4853 | 122.44 |  | IR absorption ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
|  |  |  | $1.9256^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{LIF}^{\text {g }}$ |
| $\widetilde{B}^{1} A_{1}$ | 1.4690 | 164.83 | 3.3480 | MRCI, Present |
|  | 1.4577 | 162.27 | 3.5556 | CISD ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| $\widetilde{a}^{3} B_{1}$ | 1.4825 | 117.77 | 0.9121 | MRCI, Present |
|  | 1.4768 | 118.30 | 0.8478 | CISD/B2 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | 1.4793 | 118.43 | 0.8626 | CEPA ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | 1.4760 | 118.24 | 0.8856 | CISD ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  |  |  | $0.91 \pm 0.03^{\text {a }}$ | PIMS ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| ${ }^{3} A_{1}$ | 1.6486 | 180.0 | 3.1818 | MRCI, Present |

${ }^{\overline{\mathrm{a}} \Delta E_{0} \text { value. }}$
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Reference 7.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Reference 9.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Reference 2.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Reference 27.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Reference 28.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Reference 29.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Reference 30.
the number of grid points and $a_{0}$ is the Bohr radius. The number of Legendre functions was 60 for the angular part. The method adopted for the diagonalization of the Hamiltonian matrix is the implicitly restricted Lanczos method. ${ }^{25}$ In the present work, assuming the total angular momentum $J=0$ and 1, the lowest 200 vibrational states were obtained for the three electronic states of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$. The FCFs were then evaluated as the square of the overlap integrals between the initial and final states for the $\tilde{X}-\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{X}-\widetilde{B}$, and $\widetilde{A}$ $-\widetilde{B}$ systems, and the electronic transition probabilities for photoabsorption ${ }^{26}$ were also evaluated as the square of the transition moments that depend on both the initial and final vibrational states.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## A. Potential energy surfaces

The equilibrium geometry of each state was calculated via polynomial fit for the potential energy data. Table I summarizes the geometries thus determined compared with the published data. Theoretical geometries obtained in this study are in good agreement with both the experimental ${ }^{27,28}$ and recent theoretical data, ${ }^{2,7,9}$ and our excitation energies to the $\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}$ and $\widetilde{a}^{3} B_{1}$ states agree with the observed $\Delta E_{0}$ values ${ }^{29,30}$ better than the other theoretical values. ${ }^{2,7,9}$ Figure 1 shows the potential energy curves for the five lowest states in $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$, where $r_{\mathrm{SiH}}$ is fixed at the equilibrium length of $1.5187 \AA$ for the $\widetilde{X}$ state. It is clearly seen that there is a

Renner-Teller pair of the $\tilde{X}^{1} A_{1}$ and $\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{l}$ states at around the linear conformation. Potential energy surfaces of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ obtained by the present study are very similar to those obtained for $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}^{+}$calculated by the SOCI method reported by Mort et al. ${ }^{31}$ despite of the different spin state. Although the $\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}$ and ${ }^{1} A_{2}$ states cross each other at around $\alpha=70^{\circ}$, these states avoid crossing due to the same symmetry $A^{\prime \prime}$ because the molecular symmetry comes to the lowest symmetry $C_{\mathrm{s}}$. Thus, the vibrational states of the $\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}$ and ${ }^{1} A_{2}$ states can be mixed due to the nonadiabatic transitions. Furthermore, the rovibrational mixing of the $\widetilde{X}^{1} A_{l}$ and $\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{l}$ states can occur due to the RT coupling, which is also a nonadiabatic process. However, in the present work, keeping the adiabaticity of the electronic states, we applied the Franck-Condon analysis to this system for understanding the photoexcitation mechanism.

Figure 2 shows the PESs of the $\tilde{X}, \tilde{A}$, and $\widetilde{B}$ states, where $r$ is fixed at the equilibrium for each state. The energy zero of each electronic state is taken to be at the global energy minimum of the corresponding state; $\widetilde{X}^{1} A_{1}, \widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}$, and $\widetilde{B}^{1} A_{1}$ are -290.183 908, -290.113 285, and $-290.060870 E_{\mathrm{h}}$, respectively. The equilibrium $R$ distances for the excited states are shorter than that for the $\tilde{X}$ state as shown in Fig. 2, indicating that the bending progression should be dominant in the excitation from the $\tilde{X}$ state according to the Franck-Condon principle.

The values for the electric dipole moment of the $\tilde{X}, \tilde{A}$,


FIG. 2. Potential energy surfaces for the (a) $\tilde{X}$, (b) $\tilde{A}$, and (c) $\widetilde{B}$ states; $r$ is fixed at the equilibrium distance for each state. The asterisk represents the potential minimum. Narrow and thick contours are shown in the interval of 0.2 and 1.0 eV , respectively.
and $\widetilde{B}$ states at the equilibrium geometry for the ground state are $0.0762,-0.1888$, and -0.3736 a.u., respectively. Figure 3 shows the dependence of the electronic dipole and transition dipole moments $M$ on $\alpha$ with $r_{\mathrm{SiH}}=1.5187 \AA$, which is the equilibrium length for the $\tilde{X}$ state; $M_{\underset{\sim}{x}}^{\mathrm{XX}}, M_{y}^{\mathrm{AA}}$, and $M_{y}^{\mathrm{BB}}$ are the electric dipole moments for the $\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{A}$, and $\widetilde{B}$ states, respectively, and $M_{z}^{\mathrm{XA}}$ and $M_{z}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ are the transition dipole moments for the $\widetilde{X}-\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{A}-\widetilde{B}$ systems, respectively. The dependence of the electric dipole moments on $\alpha$ and their magnitude are mainly originated in the contribution of the $5 a_{\mathrm{I}}$ orbital. $M_{y}^{\mathrm{BB}}$ suddenly changes at $\alpha<70^{\circ}$ because of the avoided crossing as shown in Fig. 1. $M_{z}^{\mathrm{XA}}$ and $M_{z}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ decrease at $\alpha \geqslant 80^{\circ}$ and become zero at $\alpha=180^{\circ}$. Although $M_{z}^{\mathrm{XA}}$ and $M_{z}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ increase with decreases in $r$, the contribution from these areas to the pure bending transitions is expected to be small since the potential energies for all the states increase steeply with deviating $r$ from the equilibrium distance.

## B. Vibrational analysis

The $\tilde{A}$ state exhibits a conical intersection around $r_{\mathrm{SiH}}$ $=1.5 \AA, \alpha=68^{\circ}, 3.6 \mathrm{eV}$ above the $\tilde{X}$ state minimum. Since there is considerable mixing between the diabatic state in a


FIG. 3. Electric dipole (dashed curve) and transition dipole (solid curve) moments as a function of $\alpha$, with $\theta=90^{\circ}$ and $r_{\mathrm{SiH}}=1.5187 \AA$; the $M_{y}^{\mathrm{XX}}, M_{y}^{\mathrm{AA}}$, and $M_{y}^{\mathrm{BB}}$ are the electric dipole moments for the $\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{A}$, and $\widetilde{B}$ states, respectively, and the $M_{z}^{\mathrm{XA}}$ and $M_{z}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ are the transition moments for the $\tilde{X}-\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{A}-\widetilde{B}$ systems, respectively.
region around the intersection region, vibrational energies with $J=0$ and 1 were calculated up to $10000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ from the potential minimum of the $\widetilde{A}$ state. Those energies for the $\widetilde{B}$ state were calculated up to $9000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ since the $\widetilde{B}$ state correlates to the dissociating $\mathrm{Si}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{D}\right)+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ channel. For all the states of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$, the vibrational quantum numbers $\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}\right)$ have been assigned up to 80 levels. To certify the assignment, a least-square analysis was applied to the vibrational frequencies of the levels with $\nu_{1}+\nu_{3} \leqslant 4$ using the well-known formula for three normal vibrations including anharmonicity constants; the vibrational frequency in reference to the vibrational ground state is given by ${ }^{32}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \omega_{i}^{0} \nu_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{k \geqslant i}^{3} x_{i k}^{0} \nu_{i} \nu_{k} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the $\widetilde{B}$ state, the degenerate formula was applied for the bending modes $\nu_{2}$. For all the states the vibrational levels with $\nu_{1}+0.5 \nu_{2}+\nu_{3} \leqslant 2$ were successfully analyzed, whereas the $\nu_{1}+0.5 \nu_{2}+\nu_{3} \geqslant 3$ levels were deviated definitely from this formula. Therefore, we added the third-order anharmonicity constants $y_{222}$ to Eq. (1) and considered Fermi and Darling-Dennison-type resonances. ${ }^{32,33}$ The vibrational levels for the $\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{A}$, and $\widetilde{B}$ states of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ up to 80 levels were successfully fitted by the analysis.

We should take Coriolis coupling into account for the rigorous analysis since the calculated vibrational energies of the states with $J=1$ include the Coriolis interaction. For $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}(\widetilde{X})$, Yamada et al. ${ }^{27}$ derived that the interaction matrix element between $\nu_{2}$ and $\nu_{3}$ is $0.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and that between $\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{3}$ is $9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Although we did not find any experimental data of the Coriolis coupling constants for the $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ states, the interaction may not be large especially between $\nu_{2}$ and $\nu_{3}$. Therefore, we neglected the effect of Coriolis interaction in the vibrational analysis because our goal of this study is to describe the feature of the $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow \widetilde{A} \rightarrow \widetilde{B}$ excitation as a whole.

TABLE II. Comparison of calculated and observed vibrational energies in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the nonrotating $\tilde{X}^{1} A_{1}$ state of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$; the symmetric stretching $\nu_{1}$, bending $\nu_{2}$, and antisymmetric stretching $\nu_{3}$ modes.

| $\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Present | Expt. | Obs.-Calc. | Theor. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Present | Expt. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Obs.-Calc. |
| $(0,1,0)$ | 987 | $998.6{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12 | 997 | 719 | 719.8 | 1 |
| $(0,2,0)$ | 1954 | $1987.7^{\text {b }}$ | 34 | 1992 | 1423 | 1444.6 | 22 |
| $(0,3,0)$ | 2911 | $2998.6^{\text {c }}$ | 88 | 3023 | 2122 |  |  |
| $(0,4,0)$ | 3855 | $3997.5^{\text {c }}$ | 143 | 4043 | 2814 |  |  |
| $(0,5,0)$ | 4786 |  |  |  | 3499 |  |  |
| $(0,6,0)$ | 5708 |  |  |  | 4177 |  |  |
| $(0,7,0)$ | 6625 |  |  |  | 4847 |  |  |
| $(0,8,0)$ | 7536 |  |  |  | 5513 |  |  |
| $(0,9,0)$ | 8441 |  |  |  | 6178 |  |  |
| $(0,10,0)$ | 9338 |  |  |  | 6840 |  |  |
| $(0,0,1)$ | 1987 | $1992.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ | -6 |  | 1449 | 1439.1 | -10 |
| $(1,0,0)$ | 1999 | $1995.9^{\mathrm{b}}$ | -3 | 2015 | 1454 | 1426.9 | -27 |
| $(1,1,0)$ | 2972 | $2952.7^{\text {c }}$ | -19 | 2973 | 2165 |  |  |
| $(1,2,0)$ | 3927 | $3923.3^{\text {c }}$ | -4 | 3960 | 2865 |  |  |
| (1,3,0) | 4876 |  |  |  | 3549 |  |  |
| $(1,4,0)$ | 5816 |  |  |  | 4228 |  |  |
| $(1,5,0)$ | 6712 |  |  |  | 4908 |  |  |
| $(1,6,0)$ | 7631 |  |  |  | 5582 |  |  |
| $(1,7,0)$ | 8547 |  |  |  | 6246 |  |  |
| $(1,8,0)$ | 9458 |  |  |  | 6908 |  |  |
| $(0,0,2)$ | 3908 | $3952.0^{\text {b }}$ | 44 |  | 2855 |  |  |
| $(1,0,1)$ | 3918 |  |  |  | 2866 |  |  |
| $(2,0,0)$ | 3986 | $3976.8^{\text {c }}$ | -7 | 4008 | 2900 |  |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Reference 27.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Reference 34.
${ }^{c}$ Reference 35.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Reference 9.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Reference 36.

To examine the accuracy of the PESs, especially concerning the bending mode, the vibrational frequencies obtained in this study are compared with other data. Table II summarizes the vibrational energies for the ground state with $J=0$ of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ compared with data obtained by experiments ${ }^{27,34-36}$ and the other theoretical study. ${ }^{9}$ The present result reproduces the condition $\nu_{1}>2 \nu_{2}$ established by Hirota and Ishikawa. ${ }^{34}$ Although the difference between our results and the observed result for the bending states seems to increase with the vibrational energy, the difference is roughly estimated to be within $10 \%$ up to the $(0,10,0)$ level. These differences may not cause serious problem because we are interested in the FCF from the lower vibrational levels of the $\tilde{X}$ state.

Table III summarizes the vibronic energies for the $\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}$ state with $J=0$ comparing with observed data; we did not find any theoretical value except harmonic frequencies. ${ }^{7}$ The term value $T_{\mathrm{e}}$ calculated for the $\tilde{A}$ state seems to be slightly larger than the observed $T_{0}$ value. ${ }^{29}$ For the $T_{\mathrm{e}}$ value, we should mention that we have adopted $15400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which was subtracted by $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ from the calculated values to compare the vibronic energies with the observed data. ${ }^{29,37,38}$ The present vibronic energies are in fairly good agreement with the observed values despite of the deviation to the higher side. The deviation does not seem to be accumulated for higher levels. When $J=0(K=0)$, the RT coupling is rig-
orously neglected, whereas the RT interaction should be included in the states with $J>0$ in the rigorous treatment of the vibrational energy and the transition probability. Duxbury et $a l .{ }^{4}$ successfully reproduced the observed vibronic energies for the $\tilde{A}$ state including the RT coupling and the spin-orbit coupling with the $\tilde{a}^{3} B_{1}$ state. In preliminary calculation with the same method reported by Goldfield et al., ${ }^{39}$ the RT effect on the vibronic energies was estimated for the states with $J$ $=1$ of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$. Table IV lists the results including the RT coupling compared with those neglecting the RT effect and the observed data. ${ }^{4,40,41}$ The RT coupling is found to be not large for the states with $\nu_{2} \leqslant 2$, while the agreement with the observed vibrational states with $\nu_{2} \geqslant 3$ is improved by including the RT interaction. This feature may originate in their geometry. The $\tilde{A}$ state is characterized with the bend structure $\left(\alpha=123^{\circ}\right)$ as seen in Fig. 1. Although the RT effect is small for low bending states, the effect cannot be neglected with increasing the quantum number for the bending mode. The difference of the vibrational energy spaces for the lower states might be due to spin-orbit coupling, which should be mixed with the RT effect.

Although such a complex problem is one of the challenging systems which should be solved, our interest is a bit different from such a viewpoint; our purpose is to analyze the spectra related with the highly vibrational states and not to concentrate on the lower states. Of course, it should be

TABLE III. Comparison of calculated and experimental vibronic energies in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the nonrotating $\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}$ state of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$.

| $\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Present | Expt. | Obs.-Calc. | Present | Expt. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Obs.-Calc. |
| $(0,0,0)$ | 15454 | $15546.0^{\text {a }}$ | 92 | 15425 | 15550 | 125 |
| $(0,1,0)$ | 16390 | $16405.7^{\text {a }}$ | 16 | 16124 | 16168 | 44 |
| $(0,2,0)$ | 17307 | $17247.8^{\text {a }}$ | -60 | 16794 | 16778 | -16 |
| $(0,3,0)$ | 18202 | $18095.5^{\text {a }}$ | -107 | 17458 | 17390 | -68 |
| $(0,4,0)$ | 19070 | $18935.8^{\text {a }}$ | -134 | 18112 | 18001 | -111 |
| $(0,5,0)$ | 19901 | $19776.0^{\text {a }}$ | -125 | 18751 | 18608 | -142 |
| $(0,6,0)$ | 20678 | $20618.8^{\text {a }}$ | -59 | 19375 | 19206 | -169 |
| $(0,7,0)$ | 21384 | $21468.0^{\text {a }}$ | 84 | 19980 | 19808 | -172 |
| $(0,8,0)$ | 22071 | $22328.0^{\text {b }}$ | 257 | 20562 | 20406 | -156 |
| $(0,9,0)$ | 22834 |  |  | 21099 | 21003 | -96 |
| $(0,10,0)$ | 23647 |  |  | 21582 | 21604 | 22 |
| $(0,11,0)$ | 24510 |  |  | 22097 |  |  |
| $(1,0,0)$ | 17487 |  |  | 16901 |  |  |
| $(0,0,1)$ | 17634 |  |  | 17045 |  |  |
| $(1,1,0)$ | 18411 |  |  | 17593 |  |  |
| $(1,2,0)$ | 19315 | $19203.23^{\text {c }}$ | -112 | 18260 |  |  |
| $(1,3,0)$ | 20193 | $20036.37^{\text {c }}$ | -157 | 18919 |  |  |
| $(1,4,0)$ | 21041 | $20867.83{ }^{\text {c }}$ | -173 | 19565 |  |  |
| $(1,5,0)$ | 21846 | $21699.46^{\text {c }}$ | -147 | 20194 |  |  |
| $(1,6,0)$ | 22609 |  |  | 20806 |  |  |
| $(1,7,0)$ | 23325 |  |  | 21394 |  |  |
| $(2,0,0)$ | 19444 |  |  | 18337 |  |  |
| $(1,0,1)$ | 19538 |  |  | 18446 |  |  |
| $(0,0,2)$ | 19721 |  |  | 18623 |  |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Reference 37.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Reference 38.
${ }^{c}$ Reference 29.
needed to calculate the vibrational energies until much higher states both to make sure such an explanation and to calculate the transition probabilities more accurately on this convenient approximation for the RT effect. Unfortunately, the $(0,4,0)$ level for the $\widetilde{A}$ state corresponds to the 515th state in the calculation and then the calculation including much higher states may exceed our available computational resource. Therefore, we neglected the RT effect in the calculation for the $J=1$ state since the difference between the vibronic energy neglected the RT effect and the observed does not seem to increase above the $(0,4,0)$ state.

Tables V and VI summarize the vibronic energies for the levels with $J=0$ and 1 , respectively, of the $\widetilde{B}^{1} A_{l}$ states compared with observed data. ${ }^{3}$ Although there is not enough of the observed vibrational levels to compare the energy with the present data, the accuracy of the vibronic energies for the $\widetilde{B}$ state seems to be sufficient up to the $(0,15,0)$ state in this calculation.

The barrier to linearity, which is the difference between the electronic energy for the equilibrium geometry and that for the optimized under linear constraint, is evaluated to be

TABLE IV. Calculated and observed rovibronic energies $T_{0}$ of the states with $J=1$ and $K=0$ for the $\operatorname{SiH}_{2}(A)$ state.

| $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ | No RT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{RT}^{\text {b }}$ |  | Obs. ${ }^{\text {c }}$$T_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $T_{0}$ | Obs.-Calc. | $T_{0}$ | Obs.-Calc. |  |
| $(0,0,0)$ | 15570 |  | 15542 |  |  |
| (0,1,0) | 16506 | -94 | 16500 | -88 | 16412 |
| $(0,2,0)$ | 17424 | -167 | 17411 | -154 | 17257 |
| (0,3,0) | 18321 | -214 | 18198 | -91 | 18107 |
| $(0,4,0)$ | 19193 | -249 | 18931 | 13 | 18944 |
| $(0,5,0)$ | 20030 | -245 |  |  | 19785 |
| $(0,6,0)$ | 20825 | -195 |  |  | 20630 |

[^0]TABLE V. Comparison of calculated vibronic energies in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the $\Sigma$ levels with $J=0$ of the $\widetilde{B}^{1} A_{1}$ state of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ with experiment; $\nu_{2}=2 \nu_{2}^{\text {bent }}+K$.

| $\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}\right)$ | $\frac{\mathrm{SiH}_{2}}{\text { Present }}$ | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Present | Expt. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Obs.-Calc. |
| $(0,0,0)$ | 27055 | 27015 | 27214 | 199 |
| $(0,2,0)$ | 28114 | 27780 | 27865 | 85 |
| $(0,4,0)$ | 30204 | 28561 | 28631 | 70 |
| $(0,6,0)$ | 30330 | 30361 |  |  |
| $(0,8,0)$ | 31488 | 30179 |  |  |
| $(0,10,0)$ | 32678 | 31017 |  |  |
| $(0,12,0)$ | 33890 | 31872 |  |  |
| $(0,14,0)$ | 35120 | 32743 |  |  |
| $(0,16,0)$ |  | 33625 |  |  |
| $(1,0,0)$ | 30095 | 28500 |  |  |
| $(0,0,1)$ | 30220 | 28603 |  |  |
| $(1,2,0)$ | 30169 | 30273 |  |  |
| $(1,4,0)$ | 31259 | 30057 |  |  |
| $(1,6,0)$ | 32373 | 30855 |  |  |
| $(1,8,0)$ | 33515 | 31668 |  |  |
| $(1,10,0)$ | 34684 | 32498 |  |  |
| $(1,12,0)$ | 35873 | 33343 |  |  |
| $(2,0,0)$ | 30979 | 30910 |  |  |
| $(1,0,1)$ | 31097 | 30014 |  |  |
| $(0,0,2)$ | 31393 | 30233 |  |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Reference 3.
$21900 \pm 170 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $\tilde{X}$ state, $6400 \pm 170 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $\tilde{A}$ state, and $230-240 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $\widetilde{B}$ states. The barrier for the $\widetilde{B}$ state is about twice as that of $125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ obtained from the spectroscopic analysis of $\mathrm{SiD}_{2} .^{3}$ This difference suggests that the double minimum for the $\widetilde{B}$ state is overestimated in this calculation. The theoretical term value for the $(0,0,0)$ level of $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ is remarkably lower than the observed compared with those for the excited bending levels. This deviation is evidently caused by the overestimation of the barrier to linearity.

## C. Franck-Condon factors and transition probabilities for the $\tilde{X}$ - $\tilde{A}$ system

To evaluate the vibrational distribution of the $\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}$ state in the photoexcitation of the ground state of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$, the FCFs were calculated for the band from the $m$ state with $\left(\nu_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \nu_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \nu_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ to the $n$ state with $\left(\nu_{1}^{\prime}, \nu_{2}^{\prime}, \nu_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ of the $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ excitation. Moreover, the Einstein's $B$ coefficients for the same transition were calculated based on the three-dimensional

TABLE VI. Same as Table V but for the $\Pi$ levels with $J=1$.

| $\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Present | Expt. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Obs.-Calc | Present | Expt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Obs.-Calc. |
| $(0,1,0)$ | 27585 | 27650 | 65 | 27397 | 27455 | 58 |
| $(0,3,0)$ | 28657 | 28745 | 88 | 28168 | 28219 | 51 |
| $(0,5,0)$ | 30763 | *30896 | 133 | 28957 | 30033 | 76 |
| $(0,7,0)$ | 30904 |  |  | 30764 |  |  |
| $(0,9,0)$ | 32078 |  |  | 30591 |  |  |
| $(0,11,0)$ | 33279 |  |  | 31436 |  |  |
| $(0,13,0)$ | 34499 |  |  | 32307 |  |  |
| $(0,15,0)$ | 35733 |  |  | 33172 |  |  |
| $(0,17,0)$ |  |  |  | 34058 |  |  |
| $(1,1,0)$ | 30636 | 30785 | 149 | 28887 |  |  |
| $(1,3,0)$ | 30715 |  |  | 30664 |  |  |
| $(1,5,0)$ | 31815 |  |  | 30453 |  |  |
| $(1,7,0)$ | 33042 |  |  | 31256 |  |  |
| $(1,9,0)$ | 34093 |  |  | 32076 |  |  |
| $(1,11,0)$ | 35274 |  |  | 33012 |  |  |
| $(1,13,0)$ |  |  |  | 33762 |  |  |

[^1]transition dipole moment. In this study, Einstein's $B$ coefficients for the $m \rightarrow n$ band in $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~J}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$ units is given by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n m}=1.21667 \times 10^{20}\left|M_{n m}\right|^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where the transition moment $M_{n m}$ is in atomic units.
Since the $\tilde{X}-\tilde{A}$ excitation is of a type C with $\Delta K= \pm 1$, the FCFs and Einstein's $B$ coefficients are calculated for the $J$ $=0 \rightarrow 1(K=0 \rightarrow 1)$ band from the $\left(0, \nu_{2}^{\prime \prime}=0-9,0\right)$ levels for $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$. The results are listed in Table A-I in the Appendix. ${ }^{42}$ In the same manner Table A-II in the Appendix lists those from the $\left(0, \nu_{2}^{\prime \prime}=0-10,0\right)$ for $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$. In the $\tilde{X}-\tilde{A}$ transition the change in $r_{\text {SiH }}$ in equilibrium is only $0.028 \AA$, while that in $\alpha$ is $30^{\circ}$. Therefore, the main band is the $\nu_{2}^{\prime}$ progression. The bands to the $\left(1, \nu_{2}^{\prime}=0-8,0\right)$ levels are next important especially in the excitation from the higher bending levels. The FCFs to the $\left(\nu_{1}^{\prime}, \nu_{2}^{\prime}, \nu_{3}^{\prime}=\right.$ odd $)$ states are zero since their wave functions have a node on the $R-r$ plane at $\theta=90^{\circ}$. The FCFs and Einstein's $B$ coefficients of the $J=1 \rightarrow 0(K=1 \rightarrow 0)$ band coincide sufficiently well with the corresponding values for the $J=0 \rightarrow 1(K=0 \rightarrow 1)$ band. In this study the transition probabilities to the $\left(0, \nu_{2}^{\prime}, 0\right)$ levels from the $(0,0,0)$ level of the $\tilde{X}$ state has a peak around $\nu_{2}^{\prime}=3-4$, which is slightly shifted to the higher side than those calculated by Koseki and Gordon. ${ }^{8}$ The transition dipole moment depends on the molecular geometry as described at Sec. III A, and then may depend on the vibrational bands. Nevertheless, we found that the dependence is not so serious and then estimated the transition probabilities averaged over the pure bending states $\quad\left(0, \nu_{2}^{\prime}=0-10,0\right)$. The averaged $|M|^{2}$ value is


FIG. 4. Theoretical and experimental lifetimes for the pure bending states $\left(0, \nu_{2}, 0\right)$ of (a) $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}(\widetilde{A})$ and (b) $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}(\widetilde{A})$ : the open circles and squares are theoretical values for the $J=0$ and $1(K=1)$ levels, respectively, obtained in this study, the filled circles with error bar are the observed for the $0_{00}$ level taken from Fukushima et al. (Ref. 37), the filled diamonds are those taken from Francisco et al. (Ref. 38), and the solid and broken curves are calculated values for $K=0$ and 1, respectively, taken from Duxbury et al. (Ref. 4).
$(0.23 \pm 0.02) e^{2} a_{0}^{2}$, which agrees reasonably with the value of $0.26 e^{2} a_{0}^{2}$ deduced by Fukushima et al., ${ }^{37}$ and that of $0.30 e^{2} a_{0}^{2}$ calculated by Duxbury et al., ${ }^{4}$ but is fairly smaller than that of $0.40 e^{2} a_{0}^{2}$ calculated by Koseki and Gordon. ${ }^{8}$

Einstein's $A$ coefficients $A_{n m}$ for the $n \rightarrow m$ band of the $\widetilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ emission, which are proportional to the cube power of the transition energy, were calculated from Einstein's $B$ coefficients and the differences of the vibronic energies between the two states (see Tables A-I and A-II). ${ }^{42}$ The $A_{n m}$ summed up with $m$ of the $\tilde{X}$ state corresponds the fluorescence decay rate of the $n$ level of the $\widetilde{A}$ state; for the $J=0$ state $m$ was summed up to 200 , and for the $J=1$ state $m$ up to 180. Figure 4 shows the theoretical lifetimes for $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$, which are the inverse of the fluorescence decay rate compared with the observed lifetimes ${ }^{37,38}$ and the calculated lifetimes. ${ }^{4}$ The agreement of the theoretical lifetimes with the experimental data is very satisfactory except those for $\nu_{2}$ $\leqslant 1$. The observed lifetime for $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ decreases rapidly for $\nu_{2} \geqslant 6$ compared with the theoretical value because of predissociation. ${ }^{37,38}$ Duxbury et al. ${ }^{4}$ studied the RT coupling between the $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{A}$ states and the spin-orbit coupling with the lowest triplet state, which cause strong rotational perturbations and anomalous fluorescence lifetimes. There is no RT effect for levels with $K=0$. Our lifetimes for levels with $K=1$ neglecting the RT interaction are rather shifted to lower side than those with $K=0$ at higher $\nu_{2}$. This tendency is contrary to those obtained by Duxbury et al. ${ }^{4}$ It is concluded that the RT interaction considerably increases the fluorescence lifetimes of the $K=1$ levels for $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ with $\nu_{2} \geqslant 6$. Nevertheless, the effect to those for $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ with $\nu_{2} \geqslant 8$ seems to be not so serious.

## D. Franck-Condon factors and transition probabilities for the $\tilde{A}-\tilde{B}$ system

To evaluate the vibrational distribution of the $\widetilde{B}{ }^{1} A_{1}$ state in the photoexcitation of the $\widetilde{A}^{1} B_{1}$ state of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$, the FCFs and the Einstein's $B$ coefficients were calculated for the $\left(\nu_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \nu_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \nu_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(\nu_{1}^{\prime}, \nu_{2}^{\prime}, \nu_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ band of the $\widetilde{A} \rightarrow \widetilde{B}$ transition. For $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$, Table A-III in the Appendix ${ }^{42}$ lists the calculated FCFs and Einstein's $B$ coefficients of the $J=0 \rightarrow 1(K=0$ $\rightarrow 1)$ band from the $\left(0, \nu_{2}^{\prime \prime}=0-10,0\right)$ level, and Table A-IV lists those of the $J=1 \rightarrow 0(K=1 \rightarrow 0)$ band. Those for $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ are summarized in Tables $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{V}$ and $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{VI} .^{42}$ In this transition the change in $r_{\text {SiH }}$ in equilibrium is only $0.021 \AA$, while that in $\alpha$ is $42^{\circ}$. Therefore, the main band is the $\nu_{2}^{\prime}$ progression. The bands to the $\left(1, \nu_{2}^{\prime}=1-11,0\right)$ and $\left(1, \nu_{2}^{\prime}=1-12,0\right)$ levels are next important for the excitation with $\Delta K=1$ and -1 , respectively. The transition probability was found to strongly depend on the vibrational state.

Einstein's $A$ coefficients for pure bending levels of the $\widetilde{B}$ state were evaluated for the $\widetilde{B}-\widetilde{A}$ emission from Einstein's $B$ coefficients and transition energies. The $A_{n m}$ summed with $m$ up to 200 for $J=0$ and up to 180 for $J=1$ of the $\tilde{A}$ state. In the same manner, Einstein's $A$ coefficients for pure bending levels were calculated for the $\widetilde{B}-\widetilde{X}$ system, which has a transition moment in the molecular plane. Figure 5 shows the


FIG. 5. Theoretical decay rates and radiative lifetimes for the pure bending states $\left(0, \nu_{2}, 0\right)$ of (a) $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}(\widetilde{B})$ and (b) $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}(\widetilde{B})$; open circles and squares are Einstein's $A$ coefficients in $10^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the $\widetilde{B}-\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}-\widetilde{X}$ emission, respectively, and filled diamonds are the radiative lifetimes in microseconds. The values of the states with $\nu_{2}=$ even are for the $J=0$ level and those with $\nu_{2}=$ odd are for the $J=1(K=1)$ level.
transition probabilities for the spontaneous emission of the $\widetilde{B}-\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}-\widetilde{X}$ systems and the fluorescence lifetimes for the pure bending levels of the $\widetilde{B}$ state for $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$. The fluorescence lifetime of the $\widetilde{B}$ state rapidly decreases with increases in $\nu_{2}$, and becomes shorter than those for the $\tilde{A}$ state at higher bending levels probably because of the contribution from the $\widetilde{B}-\widetilde{X}$ emission. The $\widetilde{B}$ state correlates with the $\mathrm{Si}\left({ }^{1} D\right)+\mathrm{H}_{2}(X)$ channel. Therefore, the lifetimes of the higher vibrational levels are expected to be shorter than the theoretical values because of predissociation. Muramoto et $a l .{ }^{3}$ observed a line broadening of some rotational transitions in the $\widetilde{A}-\widetilde{B}$ absorption spectrum. To clarify the origin of this broadening we are now studying theoretically the dynamics in the $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}(\widetilde{B}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}\left({ }^{1} D\right)+\mathrm{H}_{2}(X)$ dissociation.

## IV. CONCLUSION

The PESs of the $\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{A}$, and $\widetilde{B}$ states of the silylene radical were obtained from the CASSCF/MRCI method with the aug-cc-pVQZ basis sets in this study. The vibrational energies were calculated up to 200 levels for these electronic states of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ and the vibrational states were assigned up to 80 levels. The accuracy of the vibrational energies calculated for the $\tilde{X}$ state is estimated to be very good. The vibronic energies for the $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ states agree well with the observed data. A comparison of the calculated vibrational energy for the $(0,0,0)$ level with those of the observed suggests that the double minimum in the PES of the $\widetilde{B}$ state are slightly overestimated around the potential minimum since
the calculated value for the barrier to linearity is about twice the observed value. Nevertheless, we believe that the accuracy for the vibronic energies is adequate for calculating the FCFs and the transition probability for the $\widetilde{X}-\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{X}-\widetilde{B}$, and $\widetilde{A}$ $-\widetilde{B}$ systems.

In the $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ excitation, the peak of Einstein's $B$ coefficients among the same vibrational level for the $\tilde{A}$ state shifted to lower levels of the $\tilde{X}$ state than the corresponding FCFs. This originates in that the transition moment decreases with the increase of $\alpha$ and that the higher bending levels have larger $\alpha$ in average. In the same way, the peak of Einstein's $B$ coefficients for the $\widetilde{A} \rightarrow \widetilde{B}$ excitation among the same vibrational level for the $\widetilde{B}$ state shifts to lower levels of the $\tilde{A}$ state than the corresponding FCFs. On the other hand, the peak among the same vibrational level for the $\tilde{A}$ state shifts to higher levels of the $\widetilde{B}$ state than the corresponding FCF. Fluorescence decay rates for the $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ states are evaluated from Einstein's $B$ coefficients and the transition energies calculated in this study. The inverse of the sum of fluorescence decay rates for the pure bending levels of the $\widetilde{A}$ state agrees well with the observed lifetimes. This agreement would result in that the predicted lifetime for the $\widetilde{B}$ state is reliable. Current procedures are able to predict the vibrational transitions among the three electronic states of $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ with a sufficient accuracy.
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