## Improved Measurement of the Left-Right $Z^0$ Cross Section Asymmetry

K. Abe,<sup>19</sup> K. Abe,<sup>30</sup> I. Abt,<sup>13</sup> T. Akagi,<sup>28</sup> N. J. Allen,<sup>4</sup> W. W. Ash,<sup>28,\*</sup> D. Aston,<sup>28</sup> K. G. Baird,<sup>16</sup> C. Baltay,<sup>34</sup> H. R. Band,<sup>33</sup> M. B. Barakat,<sup>34</sup> G. Baranko,<sup>9</sup> O. Bardon,<sup>15</sup> T. L. Barklow,<sup>28</sup> G. L. Bashindzhagyan,<sup>18</sup> A. O. Bazarko,<sup>10</sup> R. Ben-David,<sup>34</sup> A. C. Benvenuti,<sup>2</sup> G. M. Bilei,<sup>22</sup> D. Bisello,<sup>21</sup> G. Blaylock,<sup>16</sup> J. R. Bogart,<sup>28</sup> B. Bolen,<sup>17</sup> T. Bolton,<sup>10</sup> G. R. Bower,<sup>28</sup> J. E. Brau,<sup>20</sup> M. Breidenbach,<sup>28</sup> W. M. Bugg,<sup>29</sup> D. Burke,<sup>28</sup> T. H. Burnett,<sup>32</sup> P. N. Burrows,<sup>15</sup> W. Busza,<sup>15</sup> A. Calcaterra,<sup>12</sup> D. O. Caldwell,<sup>5</sup> D. Calloway,<sup>28</sup> B. Camanzi,<sup>11</sup> M. Carpinelli,<sup>23</sup> R. Cassell,<sup>28</sup> R. Castaldi,<sup>23,†</sup> A. Castro,<sup>21</sup> M. Cavalli-Sforza,<sup>6</sup> A. Chou,<sup>28</sup> E. Church,<sup>32</sup> H. O. Cohn,<sup>29</sup> J. A. Coller,<sup>3</sup> V. Cook,<sup>32</sup> R. Cotton,<sup>4</sup> R. F. Cowan,<sup>15</sup> D. G. Coyne,<sup>6</sup> G. Crawford,<sup>28</sup> A. D'Oliveira,<sup>7</sup> C. J. S. Damerell,<sup>25</sup> M. Daoudi,<sup>28</sup> R. De Sangro,<sup>12</sup> R. Dell'Orso,<sup>23</sup> P. J. Dervan,<sup>4</sup> M. Dima,<sup>8</sup> D. N. Dong,<sup>15</sup> P. Y. C. Du,<sup>29</sup> R. Dubois,<sup>28</sup> B. I. Eisenstein,<sup>13</sup> R. Elia,<sup>28</sup> E. Etzion,<sup>33</sup> S. Fahey,<sup>9</sup> D. Falciai,<sup>22</sup> C. Fan,<sup>9</sup> M. J. Fero,<sup>15</sup> R. Frey,<sup>20</sup> K. Furuno,<sup>20</sup> T. Gillman,<sup>25</sup> G. Gladding,<sup>13</sup> S. Gonzalez,<sup>15</sup> G. D. Hallewell,<sup>28</sup> E. L. Hart,<sup>29</sup> J. L. Harton,<sup>8</sup> A. Hasan,<sup>4</sup> Y. Hasegawa,<sup>30</sup> K. Hasuko,<sup>30</sup> S. J. Hedges,<sup>3</sup> S. S. Hertzbach,<sup>16</sup> M. D. Hildreth,<sup>28</sup> J. Huber,<sup>20</sup> M. E. Huffer,<sup>28</sup> E. W. Hughes,<sup>28</sup> H. Hwang,<sup>20</sup> Y. Iwasaki,<sup>30</sup> D. J. Jackson,<sup>25</sup> P. Jacques,<sup>24</sup> J. A. Jaros,<sup>28</sup> A. S. Johnson,<sup>3</sup> J. R. Johnson,<sup>33</sup> R. A. Johnson,<sup>7</sup> T. Junk,<sup>28</sup> R. Kajikawa,<sup>19</sup> M. Kalelkar,<sup>24</sup> H. J. Kang,<sup>26</sup> I. Karliner,<sup>13</sup> H. Kawahara,<sup>28</sup> H. W. Kendall,<sup>15</sup> Y. D. Kim,<sup>26</sup> M. E. King,<sup>28</sup> R. King,<sup>28</sup> R. R. Kofler,<sup>16</sup> N. M. Krishna,<sup>9</sup> R. S. Kroeger,<sup>17</sup> J. F. Labs,<sup>28</sup> M. Langston,<sup>20</sup> A. Lath,<sup>15</sup> J. A. Lauber,<sup>9</sup> D. W. G. S. Leith,<sup>28</sup> V. Lia,<sup>15</sup> M. X. Liu,<sup>34</sup> X. Liu,<sup>6</sup> M. Loreti,<sup>21</sup> A. Lu,<sup>5</sup> H. L. Lynch,<sup>28</sup> J. Ma,<sup>32</sup> G. Mancinelli,<sup>22</sup> S. Manly,<sup>34</sup> G. Mantovani,<sup>22</sup> T. W. Markiewicz,<sup>28</sup> T. Maruyama,<sup>28</sup> H. Masuda,<sup>28</sup> E. Mazzucato,<sup>11</sup> A. K. McKemey,<sup>4</sup> B. T. Meadows,<sup>7</sup> R. Messner,<sup>28</sup> P. M. Mockett,<sup>32</sup> K. C. Moffeit,<sup>28</sup> T. B. Moore,<sup>34</sup> D. Muller,<sup>28</sup> T. Nagamine,<sup>28</sup> S. Narita,<sup>30</sup> U. Nauenberg,<sup>9</sup> H. Neal,<sup>28</sup> M. Nussbaum,<sup>7</sup> Y. Ohnishi,<sup>19</sup> L. S. Osborne,<sup>15</sup> R. S. Panvini,<sup>31</sup> C. H. Park,<sup>27</sup> H. Park,<sup>20</sup> T. J. Pavel,<sup>28</sup> I. Peruzzi,<sup>12,‡</sup> M. Piccolo,<sup>12</sup> L. Piemontese,<sup>11</sup> E. Pieroni,<sup>23</sup> K. T. Pitts,<sup>20</sup> R. J. Plano,<sup>24</sup> R. Prepost,<sup>33</sup> C. Y. Prescott,<sup>28</sup> G. D. Punkar,<sup>28</sup> J. Quigley,<sup>15</sup> B. N. Ratcliff,<sup>28</sup> K. Reeves,<sup>28</sup> T. W. Reeves,<sup>31</sup> J. Reidy,<sup>17</sup> P. L. Reinertsen,<sup>6</sup> P. E. Rensing,<sup>28</sup> L. S. Rochester,<sup>28</sup> P. C. Rowson,<sup>10</sup> J. J. Russell,<sup>28</sup> O. H. Saxton,<sup>28</sup> T. Schalk,<sup>6</sup> R. H. Schindler,<sup>28</sup> B. A. Schumm,<sup>6</sup> J. Schwiening,<sup>28</sup> S. Sen,<sup>34</sup> V. V. Serbo,<sup>33</sup> M. H. Shaevitz,<sup>10</sup> J. T. Shank,<sup>3</sup> G. Shapiro,<sup>14</sup> D. J. Sherden,<sup>28</sup> K. D. Shmakov,<sup>29</sup> C. Simopoulos,<sup>28</sup> N. B. Sinev,<sup>20</sup> S. R. Smith,<sup>28</sup> M. B. Smy,<sup>8</sup> J. A. Snyder,<sup>34</sup> P. Stamer,<sup>24</sup> H. Steiner,<sup>14</sup> R. Steiner,<sup>1</sup> M. G. Strauss,<sup>16</sup> D. Su,<sup>28</sup> F. Suekane,<sup>30</sup> A. Sugiyama,<sup>19</sup> S. Suzuki,<sup>19</sup> M. Swartz,<sup>28</sup> A. Szumilo,<sup>32</sup> T. Takahashi,<sup>28</sup> F. E. Taylor,<sup>15</sup> E. Torrence,<sup>15</sup> A. I. Trandafir,<sup>16</sup> J. D. Turk,<sup>34</sup> T. Usher,<sup>28</sup> J. Va'vra,<sup>28</sup> C. Vannini,<sup>31</sup> E. Vella,<sup>28</sup> J. P. Venuti,<sup>31</sup> R. Verdier,<sup>15</sup> P. G. Verdini,<sup>23</sup> D. L. Wagner,<sup>9</sup> S. R. Wagner,<sup>28</sup> A. P. Waite,<sup>28</sup> S. J. Watts,<sup>4</sup> A. W. Weidemann,<sup>29</sup> E. R. Weiss,<sup>32</sup> J. S. Whitaker,<sup>3</sup> S. L. White,<sup>29</sup> F. J. Wickens,<sup>25</sup> D. A. Williams,<sup>6</sup> D. C. Williams,<sup>15</sup> S. H. Williams,<sup>28</sup> S. Willocq,<sup>28</sup> R. J. Wilson,<sup>8</sup> W. J. Wisniewski,<sup>28</sup> M. Woods,<sup>28</sup> G. B. Word,<sup>24</sup> J. Wyss,<sup>21</sup> R. K. Yamamoto,<sup>15</sup> J. M. Yamartino,<sup>15</sup> X. Yang,<sup>20</sup> S. J. Yellin,<sup>5</sup> C. C. Young,<sup>28</sup> H. Yuta,<sup>30</sup> G. Zapalac,<sup>33</sup> R. W. Zdarko,<sup>28</sup> and J. Zhou<sup>20</sup> (SLD Collaboration) <sup>1</sup>Adelphi University, Garden City, New York 11530 <sup>2</sup>INFN Sezione di Bologna, I-40126, Bologna, Italy <sup>3</sup>Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215 <sup>4</sup>Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middlesex, UB8 3PH, United Kingdom <sup>5</sup>University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106 <sup>6</sup>University of California at Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California 95064 <sup>7</sup>University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221 <sup>8</sup>Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523 <sup>9</sup>University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309 <sup>10</sup>Columbia University, New York, New York 10027 <sup>11</sup>INFN Sezione di Ferrara and Università de Ferrara, I-44100 Ferrara, Italy <sup>12</sup>INFN Lab. Nazionali di Frascati, I-00044 Frascati, Italy <sup>13</sup>University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801 <sup>14</sup>Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720 <sup>15</sup>Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 <sup>16</sup>University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003 <sup>17</sup>University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677 <sup>18</sup>Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, 119899 Moscow, Russia <sup>19</sup>Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 484 Japan <sup>20</sup>University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403 <sup>21</sup>INFN Sezione di Padova and Università di Padova, I-35100 Padova, Italy

© 1997 The American Physical Society

2075

0031-9007/97/78(11)/2075(5)\$10.00

 <sup>22</sup>INFN Sezione di Perugia and Università di Perugia, I-06100 Perugia, Italy
 <sup>23</sup>INFN Sezione di Pisa and Università di Pisa, I-56100 Pisa, Italy
 <sup>24</sup>Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08855
 <sup>25</sup>Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon, OX11 0QX United Kingdom
 <sup>26</sup>Sogang University, Seoul, Korea
 <sup>27</sup>Soongsil University, Seoul, Korea
 <sup>27</sup>Soongsil University, Seoul, Korea 156-743
 <sup>28</sup>Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309
 <sup>29</sup>University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996
 <sup>30</sup>Tohoku University, Sendai 980 Japan
 <sup>31</sup>Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235
 <sup>32</sup>University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195
 <sup>33</sup>University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706
 <sup>34</sup>Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511 (Received 21 November 1996)

We present a new measurement of the left-right cross section asymmetry  $(A_{LR})$  for Z boson production by  $e^+e^-$  collisions. The measurement was performed at a center-of-mass energy of 91.28 GeV with the SLD detector at the SLAC Linear Collider (SLC). The luminosity-weighted average polarization of the SLC electron beam was  $(77.23 \pm 0.52)\%$ . Using a sample of 93 644 Z decays, we measure the pole value of the asymmetry,  $A_{LR}^0$ , to be  $0.1512 \pm 0.0042(\text{stat}) \pm 0.0011(\text{syst})$ , which is equivalent to an effective weak mixing angle of  $\sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}} = 0.23100 \pm 0.00054(\text{stat}) \pm 0.00014(\text{syst})$ . [S0031-9007(97)02596-9]

PACS numbers: 14.70.Hp, 12.15.-y, 13.10.+q, 13.88.+e

In 1993, the SLD Collaboration performed a precise measurement of the left-right cross section asymmetry in the production of Z bosons by  $e^+e^-$  collisions [1]. In this Letter, we present a substantially improved measurement based upon new data recorded during the 1994–1995 run of the SLAC Linear Collider (SLC) with larger beam polarization and better control of systematic uncertainties.

The left-right asymmetry is defined as  $A_{LR}^0 \equiv (\sigma_L - \sigma_R)/(\sigma_L + \sigma_R)$ , where  $\sigma_L$  and  $\sigma_R$  are the  $e^+e^-$  production cross sections for *Z* bosons at the *Z*-pole energy with left-handed and right-handed electrons, respectively. The standard model predicts that this quantity depends upon the effective vector  $(v_e)$  and axial-vector  $(a_e)$  couplings of the *Z* boson to the electron current,

$$A_{\rm LR}^0 = \frac{2\nu_e a_e}{\nu_e^2 + a_e^2} \equiv \frac{2(1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W^{\rm eff})}{1 + (1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W^{\rm eff})^2}, \quad (1)$$

where the effective electroweak mixing parameter is defined [2] as  $\sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}} \equiv (1 - v_e/a_e)/4$ . Note that  $A_{\text{LR}}^0$  is a sensitive function of  $\sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}}$  and depends upon virtual electroweak radiative corrections including those which involve the top quark and Higgs boson and those arising from new phenomena. The recent measurement of the top quark mass [3] has, as a determination of a previously unknown parameter of the standard model, greatly enhanced the power of this measurement as a test of the prevailing theory.

We measure the left-right asymmetry by counting hadronic and (with low efficiency)  $\tau^+\tau^-$  final states produced in  $e^+e^-$  collisions near the Z-pole energy for each of the two longitudinal polarization states of the electron beam. The asymmetry formed from these rates,  $A_{LR}$ , must then be corrected for residual effects arising from pure photon exchange and Z-photon interference to extract  $A_{LR}^0$ .

The operator of the SLC with a polarized electron beam has been described previously [4]. In 1994, the beam polarization at the SLC source [5] was increased from 63% to ~80% by the use of a thinner (0.1  $\mu$ m) strained-lattice GaAs photocathode [6] which was illuminated by a pulsed Ti:sapphire laser operating at 845 nm. The circular polarization state of each laser pulse (and, hence, the helicity of each electron pulse) was chosen randomly. The electron spin orientation was manipulated in the SLC North Arc by a pair of large amplitude betatron oscillations to achieve longitudinal polarization at the SLC interaction point (IP) [7]. The maximum luminosity of the collider was approximately  $6 \times 10^{29} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \,\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ . The luminosity-weighted mean  $e^+e^-$  center-of-mass energy  $(E_{cm})$  is measured with precision energy spectrometers [8] to be 91.280  $\pm$  0.025 GeV.

The longitudinal electron beam polarization ( $\mathcal{P}_e$ ) is measured by a Compton scattering polarimeter [9] located 33 m downstream of the IP. After it passes through the IP and before it is deflected by dipole magnets, the electron beam collides with a circularly polarized photon beam produced by a pulsed frequency-doubled Nd:YAG laser of wavelength 532 nm operating at ~17 Hz. Since the accelerator produces electron pulses at 120 Hz, the polarimeter samples each seventh machine pulse. The scattered and unscattered components of the electron beam remain unseparated until they pass through a dipole-quadrupole spectrometer. The scattered electrons are dispersed horizontally and exit the vacuum system through a thin window. A multichannel Cherenkov detector observes the scattered electrons in the interval from 17 to 30 GeV/c.

The counting rates in each detector channel are measured for three combinations of electron and photon beam parameters: parallel electron and photon helicities, antiparallel helicities, and photon beam absent. The latter combination is used to measure detector background. The asymmetry formed from the background-subtracted counting rates is equal to the product  $\mathcal{P}_{e}\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}\mathcal{A}_{i}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$  is the circular polarization of the laser beam at the electronphoton crossing point and  $A_i$  is the analyzing power of the *i*th detector channel. The laser polarization was maintained at  $(99.6 \pm 0.2)\%$  by continuously monitoring and correcting phase shifts in the laser transport system. The analyzing powers of the detector channels incorporate resolution and spectrometer effects and differ slightly from the theoretical Compton asymmetry function at the mean accepted energy for each channel [10]. The minimum energy of a Compton-scattered electron for the initial electron and photon energies is 17.36 GeV. The location of this kinematic end point at the detector was monitored by frequent scans of the detector horizontal position during polarimeter operation. This technique determines and monitors the analyzing powers of each detector channel.

Polarimeter data are acquired continually during the operation of the SLC. The absolute statistical precision attained in a 3 minute measurement is typically  $\delta \mathcal{P}_e = 0.8\%$ . The systematic uncertainties that affect the polarization measurement are summarized in Table I. The total relative systematic uncertainty is estimated to be  $\delta \mathcal{P}_e/\mathcal{P}_e = 0.64\%$ .

In our previous Letter [1], we examined an effect that causes the beam polarization measured by the Compton polarimeter  $\mathcal{P}_e$  to differ from the luminosity-weighted beam polarization  $\mathcal{P}_e(1 + \xi)$  at the SLC IP. While the Compton polarimeter measures the polarization of the entire electron bunch, chromatic aberrations in the SLC final focus optics reduce the contribution of off-energy electrons to the luminosity. The on-energy electrons with larger average longitudinal polarization therefore contribute more to the total luminosity and  $\xi$  can be nonnegligible. To first order, the magnitude of  $\xi$  depends quadratically on the width of the beam energy distribution N(E), the energy dependence of the arc spin rotation  $d\Theta_s/dE$ , and the dependence of the luminosity per electron on beam energy  $d\mathcal{L}(E)/dE$ .

During the 1994–1995 run, a number of measures in the operation of the SLC and in monitoring procedures significantly reduced the size of this chromaticity correction and its associated error. The fractional rms beam energy spread was reduced to approximately 0.12% (0.20%) in 1993) and non-Gaussian tails in the beam energy distribution were reduced to a negligible level [11]. Optimization of the SLC arc spin transport system reduced the measured energy dependence of the spin rotation in the arc to  $d\Theta_s/dE = 1.4 \text{ rad/GeV}$  (2.5 rad/GeV in 1993). Finally,  $d\mathcal{L}(E)/dE$  was reduced by improvements in the SLC final focus optics [12]. Constraints on  $d\mathcal{L}(E)/dE$ were made directly from our data via a determination of the Z production rate as a function of beam energy, with consistent results obtained from the observed energy dependence of the beam size and from simulations of the final focus optics [12]. We then determine a contribution to  $\xi$  of +0.0020  $\pm$  0.0014 due to the chromaticity effect, which is smaller by a factor of 8 than it was in 1993. An effect of similar magnitude arises due to the small precession of the electron spin in the final focusing elements between the SLC IP and the polarimeter. This effect contributes  $-0.0011 \pm 0.0001$  to  $\xi$ . The depolarization of the electron beam by the  $e^+e^-$  collision process is expected to be negligible [13]. The contribution of depolarization to  $\xi$  is determined to be 0.000  $\pm$  0.001 by comparing polarimeter data taken with and without beams in collision. Combining the three effects described above, the overall correction factor is determined to be  $\xi = 0.0009 \pm 0.0017.$ 

The  $e^+e^-$  collisions are measured by the SLD detector which has been described elsewhere [14]. The trigger relies on a combination of calorimeter and tracking information; the event selection is based on the liquid argon calorimeter (LAC) [15] and the central drift chamber tracker (CDC) [16]. For each event candidate, energy clusters are reconstructed in the LAC. Selected

TABLE I. Systematic uncertainties that affect the  $A_{LR}$  measurement. The uncertainty on the electroweak interference correction is caused by the  $\pm 25$  MeV on the SLC energy scale.

Systematic uncertainty	$\delta \mathcal{P}_e/\mathcal{P}_e$ (%)	$\delta A_{ m LR}/A_{ m LR}$ (%)	$\delta A^0_{ m LR}/A^0_{ m LR}$ (%)
Laser polarization	0.20		
Detector linearity	0.50		
Analyzing power calibration	0.29		
Electronic noise	0.20		
Total polarimeter uncertainty	0.64	0.64	
Chromaticity and IP corrections $(\xi)$		0.17	
Corrections in Eq. (2)		0.06	
$A_{\rm LR}$ Systematic uncertianty		0.67	0.67
Electroweak interference correction			0.33
A <sup>0</sup> <sub>LR</sub> Systematic uncertainty			0.75

events are required to contain at least 22 GeV of energy observed in the clusters and to manifest a normalized energy imbalance of less than 0.6 [1,17]. The left-right asymmetry associated with final state  $e^+e^-$  events is expected to be diluted by the *t*-channel photon exchange subprocess. Therefore, we exclude  $e^+e^-$  final states by requiring that each event candidate contain at least four selected CDC tracks, with at least two tracks in each hemisphere defined with respect to the beam axis, or at least four tracks in either hemisphere (this track topology requirement excludes Bhabha events which contain a reconstructed gamma conversion). The selected CDC tracks are required to extrapolate to within 5 cm radially and 10 cm along the beam direction of the IP, to have a minimum momentum transverse to the beam direction of 100 MeV/c, and to form a minimum angle of 30° with the beam direction.

We estimate that the combined efficiency of the trigger and selection criteria is  $(89 \pm 1)\%$  for hadronic Z decays. Tau pairs constitute  $(0.3 \pm 0.1)\%$  of the sample. Because muon pair events deposit little energy in the calorimeter, they are not included in the sample. The residual background in the sample is due primarily to  $e^+e^-$  final state events. We use our data and a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate this background fraction to be  $(0.08 \pm 0.08)\%$ . The background fraction due to cosmic rays, two-photon events, and beam related processes is estimated to be  $(0.03 \pm 0.03)\%$ .

A total of 93 644 Z events satisfy the selection criteria. We find that 52 179  $(N_L)$  of the events were produced with the left-handed electron beam and 41 465  $(N_R)$  were produced with the right-handed beam. The measured left-right cross section asymmetry for Z production is  $A_m \equiv (N_L - N_R)/(N_L + N_R) = 0.11441 \pm 0.00325$ . We have verified that the measured asymmetry does not vary significantly as more restrictive criteria (calorimetric and tracking-based) are applied to the sample and that  $A_m$  is uniform when binned by the azimuth and polar angle of the thrust axis.

The measured asymmetry is related to  $A_{LR}$  by the following expression which incorporates a number a small correction terms in lowest-order approximation,

$$A_{\rm LR} = \frac{A_m}{\langle \mathcal{P}_e \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle \mathcal{P}_e \rangle} \bigg[ f_b (A_m - A_b) - A_{\mathcal{L}} + A_m^2 A_{\mathcal{P}} - E_{\rm cm} \frac{\sigma'(E_{\rm cm})}{\sigma(E_{\rm cm})} A_E - A_{\varepsilon} + \langle \mathcal{P}_e \rangle \mathcal{P}_p \bigg],$$
(2)

where  $\langle \mathcal{P}_e \rangle$  is the mean luminosity-weighted polarization for the 1994–1995 run;  $f_b$  is the background fraction;  $\sigma(E)$  is the unpolarized Z cross section at energy E;  $\sigma'(E)$  is the derivative of the cross section with respect to  $E; A_b, A_{\mathcal{L}}, A_{\mathcal{P}}, A_E$ , and  $A_{\varepsilon}$  are the left-right asymmetries [18] of the residual background, the integrated luminosity, the magnitude of the beam polarization, the center-ofmass energy, and the product of detector acceptance and efficiency, respectively; and  $\mathcal{P}_p$  is any longitudinal positron polarization which is assumed to have constant helicity [1].

The luminosity-weighted average polarization  $\langle \mathcal{P}_e \rangle$  is estimated from measurements of  $\mathcal{P}_e$  made when Z events were recorded,

$$\langle \mathcal{P}_e \rangle = (1 + \xi) \frac{1}{N_Z} \sum_{i=1}^{N_Z} \mathcal{P}_i = (77.23 \pm 0.52)\%, \quad (3)$$

where  $N_Z$  is the total number of Z events, and  $\mathcal{P}_i$  is the polarization measurement associated in time with the *i*th event. The error on  $\langle \mathcal{P}_e \rangle$  is dominated by the systematic uncertainties on the polarization measurement.

The corrections defined in Eq. (2) are found to be small. The correction for residual background contamination is moderated by a nonzero left-right background asymmetry  $(A_b = 0.055 \pm 0.021)$  arising from  $e^+e^-$  final states which remain in the sample. Residual electron current asymmetry ( $\leq 10^{-3}$ ) from the SLC polarized source was reduced by reversing a spin rotation solenoid at the entrance to the SLC damping ring twice during the 1994– 1995 run. The net luminosity asymmetry is estimated from the measured asymmetry of the rate of radiative Bhabha scattering events observed with a monitor located in the North Final Focus region of the SLC to be  $A_{\mathcal{L}}$  =  $(-1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$ . The polarization asymmetry is directly measured to be  $A_{\mathcal{P}} = (+2.4 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$ . The left-right beam energy asymmetry arises from the small residual left-right beam current asymmetry due to beam loading of the accelerator and is measured to be  $(+9.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-7}$ . The coefficient of the energy asymmetry in Eq. (2) is a very sensitive function of the center-of-mass energy and is found to be  $0.0 \pm 2.5$  for  $E_{\rm cm} = 91.280 \pm 0.025$  GeV. As was discussed in our previous publication [1],  $A_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_p$  are negligible. The corrections listed in Eq. (2) change  $A_{LR}$  by (+0.2 ± (0.06)% of the uncorrected value.

Using Eq. (2), we find the left-right asymmetry to be  $A_{LR}(91.28 \text{ GeV}) = 0.1485 \pm 0.0042(\text{stat}) \pm 0.0010 \times$ (syst). The various contributions to the systematic error are summarized in Table I. Correcting this result to account for photon exchange and for electroweak interference which arises from the deviation of the effective  $e^+e^-$  center-of-mass energy from the Z-pole energy (including the effect of initial-state radiation), we find the pole asymmetry  $A_{LR}^0$  and the effective weak mixing angle to be [19]

$$A_{LR}^0 = 0.1512 \pm 0.0042 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.0011 (\text{stat})$$
$$\sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}} = 0.23100 \pm 0.00054 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.00014 (\text{stat}),$$

where the systematic uncertainty includes the uncertainty on the electroweak interference correction (see Table I) which arises from the  $\pm 25$  MeV uncertainty on center-ofmass energy scale. Combining this value of  $\sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}}$  with our previous measurements [1,20] we obtain the values

$$A_{LR}^0 = 0.1543 \pm 0.0039,$$
  

$$\sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}} = 0.230\,60 \pm 0.000\,50\,.$$

This  $\sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}}$  determination is smaller by 2.5 standard deviations than the recent average of 23 measurements performed by the LEP Collaborations [21].

This work was supported by the Department of Energy, the National Science Foundation, the Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare of Italy, the Japan-U.S. Cooperative Research Project on High Energy Physics, and the Science and Engineering Research Council of the United Kingdom.

\*Deceased.

<sup>†</sup>Also at the Università di Genova, I-16146 Genova, Italy. <sup>‡</sup>Also at the Università di Perugia, I-06100 Perugia, Italy.

- [1] K. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 25 (1994).
- [2] We follow the convention used by the LEP Collaborations in Phys. Lett. B **276**, 247 (1992).
- [3] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2626 (1995); D0 Collaboration, S. Abachi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2632 (1995).
- [4] M. Woods, in *High Energy Spin Physics*, edited by K. J. Keller and S. L. Smith, Conf. Proc. No. 343 (AIP, New York, 1995), p. 230.
- [5] R. Alley *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 365, 1 (1995).
- [6] T. Maruyama et al., Phys. Rev. B 46, 4261 (1992).
- [7] T. Limberg, P. Emma, and R. Rossmanith, Report No. SLAC-PUB-6210, 1993.

- [8] J. Kent et al., Report No. SLAC-PUB-4922, 1989.
- [9] R. King, SLAC Report No. 452; changes to the polarimeter for the 1994–1995 SLD run are not described in this report and include a higher repetition rate Nd:YAG laser, improved laser polarization diagnostics, and the addition of a quadrupole magnet to the Compton spectrometer magnets.
- [10] See S. B. Gunst and L. A. Page, Phys. Rev. 92, 970 (1953).
- [11] F.-J. Decker, R. Holtzapple, and T. Raubenheimer, in Proceedings of the 17th International Linear Accelerator Conference, Tsukuba, Japan, 1994 (National Laboratory for High Energy Physics, Tsukuba, Japan, 1994), p. 47.
- [12] F. Zimmermann *et al.*, Report No. SLAC-PUB-95-6790, 1995.
- [13] P. Chen and K. Yokoya, in Proceedings of the Eighth International Symposium on High-Energy Spin Physics, Minneapolis, MN, 1988 (AIP, New York, 1989), p. 938.
- [14] The SLD Design Report, SLAC Report No. 273, 1984.
- [15] D. Axen *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **328**, 472 (1993).
- [16] M. Fero *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 367, 111 (1995).
- [17] Details of the calorimetric event selection can be found in J. Yamartino, SLAC Report No. 426, 1994.
- [18] The left-right asymmetry for a quantity Q is defined as  $A_Q \equiv (Q_L Q_R)/(Q_L + Q_R)$  where the subscripts L, R refer to the left- and right-handed beams, respectively.
- [19] The quantities  $A_{LR}^0$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}}$  are related by Eq. (1) and are completely equivalent. The correction for electroweak interference and pure photon exchange,  $A_{LR}^0 A_{LR}(91.280)$  is determined with the ZFITTER 4.9 program of D. Bardin *et al.* (Report No. CERN-TH. 6443/92, 1992) and is found to be 0.002 65  $\pm$  0.000 49.
- [20] K. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2515 (1993).
- [21] A. Blondel, in Proceedings of the XXVIIIth International Conference on High Energy Physics, Warsaw, Poland, 1996 (World Scientific, Sinapore, 1997).