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ABSTRACT

To evaluate the importance of periphyton to nitrogen dynamics in the discharge from wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), we examined changes in total and inorganic nitrogen content downstream from a WWTP on the Kurose River in Hiroshima Prefecture, Japan. At 0.7 km downstream of the WWTP (point A), NH$_4^+$-N was the dominant form of inorganic nitrogen, but concentrations decreased rapidly to 5 km downstream (point B). In contrast, no significant change in the [NO$_2^-$ + NO$_3^-$]-N concentration was observed between the two points. Total nitrogen (TN) load decreased significantly between the two points, suggesting that sorption and/or denitrification occurred in the river channel. Potential rates of nitrogen sorption and transformation by periphyton were determined in a laboratory experiment in which changes in the nitrogen content of river water were examined in an acrylic chamber with periphyton. Nitrification and nitrogen removal occurred mainly in the periphyton. The contributions of periphyton activity to TN and NH$_4^+$-N decrease in the field, as estimated from the results of the laboratory experiments, were 6%–18% and 23%–72%, respectively. These results suggest that periphyton plays an important role in decreasing NH$_4^+$-N concentration in the discharge from wastewater treatment plants.
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INTRODUCTION

Discharge from wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) is one of the most important sources of nitrogen, especially ammonium nitrogen ($\text{NH}_4^+$-N), in rivers in urbanized areas (Brion and Billen, 2000; Féray and Montuelle, 2003; Cébron et al., 2004; Drolc et al., 2007). This nitrogen, especially as un-ionized ammonia (NH$_3$), has a substantial influence on water quality and the health of fish and other aquatic organisms in the receiving waters (e.g. Arthur et al., 1987; van Katwijk et al., 1997; Schuytema and Nebeker, 1999). Nitrogen input also stimulates algal growth in seas and lakes, ultimately leading to eutrophication (Ryther and Dunstan, 1971). In addition, oxidation of the $\text{NH}_4^+$-N (nitrification) results in an increase in biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) downstream of WWTPs (Deai et al., 1991; Brion and Billen, 2000; Ogura et al., 2006).

Nitrogen compounds in river water are transformed or removed by biological processes. Several authors have pointed out that periphyton is especially abundant in river channels downstream of WWTPs (Montuelle et al., 1996; Teissier et al., 2002; Ange et al., 2006). Periphyton is a complex matrix of algae and heterotrophic microbes attached to submerged substrata and is therefore likely to affect nitrogen dynamics in several ways. Abe et al. (2003) reported that algal biofilm removed inorganic nitrogen from wastewater in an experimental study. However, little is known about the role of periphyton in the nitrogen dynamics in the discharge from WWTPs in the field.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the importance of periphyton to the nitrogen dynamics in the discharge from WWTPs. First, we examined changes in total and inorganic nitrogen content downstream of a WWTP. Second, we determined the rates of nitrogen sorption and transformation by periphyton in a laboratory experiment. Using these data, we estimated the contribution of periphyton to the changes in total and inorganic nitrogen content observed in the field.

STUDY SITE AND METHODS

Study site

The study site was situated in the middle reach of the Kurose River in Hiroshima Prefecture, Japan (34°23′N, 132°43′E). The Kurose River (length, 50.6 km) has a catchment area of 238.8 km$^2$ and flows southward into the Seto Inland Sea. Higashi-Hiroshima WWTP receives wastewater from about 53 000 inhabitants in Higashi-Hiroshima City, and in 2005 it treated about $24.3 \times 10^3$ m$^3$ of sewage daily by a
classic activated sludge process (Higashi-Hiroshima City, 2007); the effluent was discharged into the Kurose River. The wastewater discharge of the Higashi-Hiroshima WWTP has been increasing because the population in Higashi-Hiroshima City has increased steadily during the last decade (Ogura and Nakatsubo, 2004).

**Nitrogen dynamics in the field**

To examine the changes in total and inorganic nitrogen content in the river channel, we collected water samples at two points, A and B, which were located 0.7 and 5.7 km, respectively, downstream of the WWTP. The average depth and width of the channel were 0.47 and 27.5 m at point A, and 0.58 and 13.8 m at point B. There were a few stream inflows between points A and B, but the effect of these inflows was assumed to be negligible.

Samples were collected at points A and B on 27 June, 19 July, and 31 July 2007. The surface water samples were collected with polyethylene bottles (100 mL) and brought back to the laboratory on each sampling date. Total nitrogen (TN) in un-filtered samples was determined by the ultraviolet absorption spectroscopic method coupled with oxidation by potassium peroxodisulphate (Nydahl, 1978). The samples were filtered through glass fiber filters (GC-50, Advantec, Tokyo, Japan) with a nominal particle retention size of 0.45 μm, and stored at 5 °C until measurement of inorganic nitrogen (within 6 days). NH₄⁺-N was analyzed by the indophenol blue method (Ivančič and Degobbis, 1984). Nitrite nitrogen (NO₂⁻-N) was analyzed by the diazotization method (Bendschneider and Robinson, 1952). Nitrate nitrogen (NO₃⁻-N) was analyzed with an ion chromatograph (PIA-1000, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) with a Shim-pack IC-A1 column (Shimadzu GLC Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). In all samples, the concentration of NO₂⁻-N was much lower than that of NO₃⁻-N. In the following text, therefore, we present the sum of NO₂⁻-N and NO₃⁻-N ([NO₂⁻ + NO₃⁻]-N). Nitrogen loads were calculated from the concentrations and the river flow. River flow rate was measured by an electric velocity meter (Kamiyama-seisakusho Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan).

**Laboratory experiments**

Nitrogen sorption and transformation potentials by periphyton were determined by a simple experimental system composed of an acrylic incubation chamber (200 × 200 × 150 mm) and a pump (Fig. 1).

Stones (approximately 100–150 mm in diameter) with attached periphyton were collected on each sampling date at point A. Enough stones were placed in the experimental chamber to cover the bottom (usually 4 stones per chamber). The chamber
and connecting tubes were filled with river water (about 5–6 L) collected on each sampling date at point A. The water was circulated with the pump at a flow rate similar to that at the point of collection (approximately 12 L min\(^{-1}\)). Water temperature in the chamber was controlled at the average water temperature in the field (15 °C from April to May and 25 °C from June to July). Unless otherwise noted, light was provided by a 1000 W mercury lamp. Photon flux density (PFD) just above the chamber was about 1000 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). At 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 h after the beginning of the experiment, an aliquot of the water (100 mL) was collected from the chamber and replaced with the same amount of deionized water. Total and inorganic nitrogen concentrations in the water samples were measured by the same procedures described above. A similar experiment without stones was carried out as a control. The experiments were conducted on 27 April, 9 July, and 19 July 2007.

To study the effect of light on the activity of periphyton, another set of experiments was conducted under light and dark conditions. These experiments were conducted on 16 May, 27 June, and 31 July 2007.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Nitrogen dynamics in the field**

At point A (0.7 km from WWTP), NH\(_4^+\)-N was the dominant form of nitrogen with an average concentration of 7.6 mg N L\(^{-1}\), which is about 4 times larger than the [NO\(_2^-\) + NO\(_3^-\)]-N concentration. This agrees with previous studies of discharges from WWTPs by the classic activated sludge process (Brion and Billen, 2000; Ogura et al., 2006). The NH\(_4^+\)-N concentration dropped to 2.0 mg N L\(^{-1}\) at point B (5.7 km from WWTP). In contrast, there was no significant change in the [NO\(_2^-\) + NO\(_3^-\)]-N concentration between points A and B (\(t\)-test; Fig. 2a). Total nitrogen (TN) concentrations also decreased from points A to B (Fig. 2a) suggesting that sorption, denitrification, or both, occurred in the river channel.

Changes in nitrogen load (concentration × river flow) showed patterns similar to those of the nitrogen concentrations: the TN and NH\(_4^+\)-N load dropped significantly between points A and B, whereas no significant change in the [NO\(_2^-\) + NO\(_3^-\)]-N load was observed (Fig. 2b). However, the river flow at point B was about 25% less than that at point A (Fig. 2b). Therefore, the decreases in the TN and NH\(_4^+\)-N load were partly due to the decline in the river flow. Saito et al. (2005) reported similar nitrogen missing in a coastal alluvial fan catchment, in which they attributed the missing nitrogen to runoff into groundwater.
**Laboratory experiments**

In the laboratory experiment conducted on 27 April 2007, we found that, in the chambers with stones, the NH$_4^+$-N concentration decreased whereas [NO$_2^-$ + NO$_3^-$]-N concentration increased significantly with time (one-way ANOVA, $p < 0.05$), suggesting that nitrification took place during the 6-h experimental period (Fig. 3a). TN concentrations also decreased in the chamber with stones (Fig. 3a). This indicates that nitrogen removal due to nitrogen sorption and/or denitrification occurred in this chamber. In contrast, there were no significant changes in any form of nitrogen in the control chamber (without stones) (Fig. 3b). These results suggest that nitrification took place mainly in the surface of stones (periphyton). The other two experiments conducted on 9 July and 19 July 2007 showed almost the same trends (data not shown).

Light conditions had little effect on the dynamics of inorganic nitrogen: NH$_4^+$-N concentration decreased with time (one-way ANOVA, $p < 0.05$) and the [NO$_2^-$ + NO$_3^-$]-N concentrations increased significantly both in light and dark conditions (Fig. 4). However, TN concentration decreased with time only under light conditions (one-way ANOVA, $p < 0.05$). This light dependence of nitrogen removal may be explained partly by the activity of microalgae in periphyton. Microscopic observation revealed that microalgae were among the most numerous components of the periphyton at our study site. Pizarro et al. (2002) reported that algal turf removed TN from dairy wastewater at a rate of 0.72 g N m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$. The rate of nitrogen removal in our laboratory experiment (1.19–2.58 g N m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$), calculated from Table 1 was similar to the value reported by Pizarro et al. (2002).

**Contribution of periphyton to the nitrogen dynamics in river water**

The results of the laboratory experiments showed that periphyton on the stone surfaces played an important role in the nitrogen dynamics in river water. From these results, we estimated the contribution of periphyton activity to nitrogen removal from wastewater flowing through point A to point B. It takes about 6 h (3.9–8.8 h) for the river water to flow from point A to B (Nobuso et al., 1978). The amount of nitrogen removed or transformed during this period, $Q_f$ (mg N), was calculated as:

$$Q_f = F_f T (C_A - C_B)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $F_f$ is river flow rate (L h$^{-1}$), $T$ is the time to flow from point A to B (6 h), $C_A$ is the nitrogen concentration at point A (mg N L$^{-1}$), and $C_B$ is the nitrogen concentration at point B (mg N L$^{-1}$).

The amount of nitrogen removed by periphyton activity in laboratory experiments, $Q_e$
(mg N), was calculated as:

\[ Q_e = F_e(C_i - C_a) \]  

(2)

where \( F_e \) is the volume of water in the chamber (L), \( C_i \) is the initial nitrogen concentration in the chamber (mg N L\(^{-1}\)), and \( C_a \) is the nitrogen concentration in the chamber after 6 h (mg N L\(^{-1}\)). Therefore, the contribution of periphyton activity to the nitrogen decrease \( R \) (%) was calculated as:

\[ R = \frac{Q_e}{Q_f} \times \frac{A_f}{A_e} \times 100 \]  

(3)

where \( A_f \) is the area of the river bottom between points A and B (120 × 10\(^3\) m\(^2\)), and \( A_e \) is the bottom area of the acrylic chamber (0.04 m\(^2\)). The values used for these calculations are summarized in Table 1. For these calculations, the river flow at point B was used as the value for \( F_f \) to avoid the effect of the river flow decrease between points A and B.

The contribution of periphyton (substratum) activity to the TN removal from river water flowing from point A to B was estimated to be 6%–18% (Table 1). This result shows that periphyton activity only partly explains the TN decrease observed in the field. Another factor that might contribute to the TN decrease is dilution by river–groundwater exchange. Saito et al. (2005), who discussed the nitrate transport process in a coastal alluvial fan catchment, suggested that the NO\(_3\)–N load in the stream water declined as a result of incorporation into ground water. It is also likely that NH\(_4\)+-N was adsorbed to cation-exchange sites on the surfaces of organic sediments and plants (Wittgren and Tobiason, 1995). Aquatic and emergent macrophytes were relatively rare at our study site; therefore, their contribution to the TN removal is likely to be small. Volatilization of NH\(_4\)+-N may also contribute to the TN decrease, although Mahne et al. (1996) determined a maximum of 6% ammonia volatilization from highly nitrogenous (1600 mg NH\(_4\)+-N L\(^{-1}\)) wastewater.

On the other hand, 23%–72% of the NH\(_4\)+-N decrease can be attributed to periphyton activity (Table 1). Periphyton could affect the NH\(_4\)+-N concentration in several ways: physical adsorption to cation-exchange sites, biological absorption, and nitrification. The results of the laboratory experiments indicate that nitrification is more important than physical adsorption and biological absorption in decreasing NH\(_4\)+-N concentrations.

In conclusion, periphyton plays a major role in decreasing NH\(_4\)+-N in the discharge from wastewater treatment plants, whereas the periphyton contribution to TN removal is limited. However, recent studies indicate that a significant amount of nitrogen is denitrified after it is incorporated into ground water (Spalding and Parrott, 1994; Dillon et al., 2007). Therefore, rapid nitrification by periphyton may promote removal of
nitrogen at the watershed level.
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Table 1. Values used for the calculation of the contribution of periphyton activity to nitrogen dynamics in the river channel. The values are from both field measurements and laboratory experiments on 27 June, 19 July, and 31 July 2007.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NH$_4^+$-N</th>
<th></th>
<th>TN</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 Jun</td>
<td>19 Jul</td>
<td>31 Jul</td>
<td>27 Jun</td>
<td>19 Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{A}$: nitrogen concentration at point A (mg N L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>6.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{B}$: nitrogen concentration at point B (mg N L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{r}$: river flow rate (at point B; L h$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>4.52×10$^6$</td>
<td>10.38×10$^6$</td>
<td>2.43×10$^6$</td>
<td>4.52×10$^6$</td>
<td>10.38×10$^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{f}$: nitrogen removed or transformed in 6 h in the field (mg N)</td>
<td>1.74×10$^8$</td>
<td>2.02×10$^8$</td>
<td>1.06×10$^8$</td>
<td>1.59×10$^8$</td>
<td>2.19×10$^8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory experiments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{i}$: initial nitrogen concentration in chamber (mg N L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>6.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{a}$: nitrogen concentration of chamber after 6 hours (mg N L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>5.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{e}$: volume of chamber (L)</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{e}$: nitrogen removed by periphyton activity in 6-h laboratory experiments (mg N)</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>25.39</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$: contribution of periphyton activity to nitrogen decrease (%)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Experimental system for the measurements of effect of periphyton activity on nitrogen dynamics in river. System for open-flow method: The sample water circulates through round the system at flow rate of 12 L min\(^{-1}\).
Figure 2: Difference in nitrogen concentration (a), nitrogen load and river flow rate (b) between point A and B (5 km intervals) in the Kurose River. Each value is the mean of 3 measurements (June 27, July 19, and July 31, 2007). Vertical bars represent standard error (n=3). Significance levels (t-test): ** $P<0.01$, * $P<0.05$. 
Figure 3: Variation in nitrogen concentration for 6 hours in acrylic chamber fill with river water and periphyton (stones) (a), and without stone (b) in light condition. The value measured in April 27, 2007. Vertical bars represent standard error (n=3). Significance levels (ANOVA): ** $P<0.01$, * $P<0.05$. 
Figure 4: Variation in nitrogen concentration for 6 hours in acrylic chamber filled with river water and periphyton (stone), in light condition (a) and in dark condition (b). The value measured in May 16, 2007. Vertical bars represent standard error (n=3). Significance levels (ANOVA): ** $P<0.01$, * $P<0.05$. 
Table 1: Calculation value for the contribution of periphyton activity to nitrogen dynamics in river channel. The value is measured in June 27, July 19, and July 31, 2007, which performed both field measurements and laboratory experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NH$_4^+$-N</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Total-N</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 June</td>
<td>19 July</td>
<td>31 July</td>
<td>27 June</td>
<td>19 July</td>
<td>31 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field measurements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_A$: nitrogen concentration of point A (mg N L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>13.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_B$: nitrogen concentration of point B (mg N L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>7.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_f$: river flow rate (at point B) (L h$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$4.52 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$10.38 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$2.43 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$4.52 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$10.38 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$2.43 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_f$: amount of nitrogen removed or transformed in 6 hours at fields (mg N)</td>
<td>$1.74 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$2.02 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$1.06 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$1.59 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$2.19 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$0.98 \times 10^8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laboratory experiments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_i$: initial nitrogen concentration of chamber (mg N L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>11.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_a$: nitrogen concentration of chamber after 6 hours (mg N L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>10.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_e$: volume of chamber (L)</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>5.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_e$: amount of nitrogen removed by periphyton activity in 6 hours at laboratory experiments (mg N)</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>25.39</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$: contribution of nitrogen decrease by periphyton activity (%)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>