Optical biopsy of early gastroesophageal cancer by catheter-based reflectance-type laser-scanning confocal microscopy
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Abstract

Magnified endoscopic observation of the gastrointestinal tract has become possible. However, such observation at the cellular level remains difficult. Laser-scanning confocal microscopy (LCM) is a novel, noninvasive optical imaging method that provides instant microscopic images of untreated tissue under endoscopy. We compared prototype catheter-based reflectance-type LCM images in vivo and histologic images of early gastroesophageal cancer to assess the usefulness of LCM in diagnosing such cancer. Twenty sites in the esophagus and 40 sites in the stomach were examined by LCM under endoscopy prior to endoscopic or surgical resection. A prototype catheter LCM system, equipped with a semiconductor laser that oscillates at 685 nm and analyzes reflected light (Mauna Kea Technologies, Paris, France; Fujinon, Saitama, Japan), was used in vivo without fluorescent agent. In all normal esophageal mucosa and esophageal cancers, the nuclei were visualized. In 9 of the 10 normal esophageal mucosa, cell membranes were visualized, and in 5 of the 10 esophageal cancers, cell membranes were visualized. In all normal gastric mucosa, nuclei and cell membranes were not visualized, but in 10 of the 20 gastric cancers, nuclei were visualized. This novel method will aid in immediate diagnosis under endoscopy without the need for biopsy.
**Introduction**

Detailed endoscopic observation of the esophagus, stomach, and colon has become possible due to advances in magnifying endoscopy and conventional endoscopy.\(^1,2\) However, magnified observation at the cellular level remains difficult under endoscopic examination, thus often making histopathologic examination via biopsy a necessity. Laser-scanning confocal microscopy (LCM) provides *in vivo* images that are close in quality to histopathologic images. This technology is currently being applied clinically in the field of gastroenterology. Most reports are of fluorescence-type LCM, which require a fluorescent agent.\(^3\text{--}^{11}\) Reflectance-type LCM, which does not need fluorescence, is in the investigational stage, and most reports are of in vitro studies.\(^12\)

We compared *in vivo* LCM images and histologic images of early gastroesophageal cancer and normal mucosa to assess the usefulness of a newly developed prototype catheter-based reflectance-type LCM system (Mauna Kea Technologies, Paris, France; Fujinon, Saitama, Japan) for diagnosing gastroesophageal cancer.

**Materials and Methods**

Instrument specifications

We used a prototype LCM system equipped with a pulsed semiconductor laser centered at 685 nm. The combination of pulsed illumination (15 ns pulse width, 80 ns repetition...
period) with a time-gated detection of the reflected light (15 ns detection window, 40 ns
delay) permits to overcome the back-reflections onto the proximal optics by means of
light travel-time differentiation. The flexible catheter probe was 2.6 mm in outer
diameter and 3876 mm long (Fig. 1). The scanning field was 30,000 pixels—the number
of fibers in the bundle and the frame rate was 12 images per second (Fig. 2). An
objective with a numerical aperture of 1.2 was placed in contact with the tissue, with a
focus of 30 μm from the objective lens, a lateral resolution of less than 1 μm, and an
observation area 160 μm in diameter. The catheter probes were connected to the laser
scanning unit and introduced under direct endoscopic visualization, LCM was
performed after the flexible confocal catheter probe was introduced through the
instrument channel of the endoscope (Fig. 3). All images of the LCM examinations
were inspected, recorded, and stored digitally as real-time video sequences with the use
of software on a PowerMac G5 Dual 1.8GHz personal computer (Apple Computer,
Cupertino, CA, USA). In addition, still LCM images were saved for future review.

Patients and comparison of LCM images

Ten patients with esophageal cancer and 20 patients with gastric cancer underwent
reflectance-type LCM examination after white-light endoscopic examination at
Hiroshima University Hospital during the period April 2007 through July 2007. Clinical
characteristics of the lesions are presented in Table 1. The distal tip of the LCM catheter was placed gently against the mucosa, and an endoscopist captured LCM images of normal mucosa near the cancer and images of the cancer in the esophagus (normal mucosa, n = 10; cancer, n = 10) or stomach (normal mucosa, n = 20; cancer, n = 20). After endoscopic examination, a gastroenterologist (S.Y., who had analyzed LCM images previously) judged whether the cell nuclei and membranes were visible on the LCM images. After these procedures, patients underwent endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR), endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD), endoscopic aspiration mucosectomy (EAM), or surgery. The resected specimens were fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin, sliced with a microtome, deparaffinized, and stained with hematoxylin-eosin for light microscopic examination. After these procedures, the histologic diagnosis was confirmed. The endoscopic system used in this study was a VP-4400 endoscope processor and an EG-590WR or EG-450D upper gastrointestinal endoscope (Fujinon). The study protocol conformed to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by our institutional ethics committee.

**Results**

With the distal tip of the catheter placed gently against the mucosa and the cancer, real-time LCM images of the normal mucosa and of the cancer of the esophagus and
stomach were obtained safely and easily, and the influence of slight motion was ignored. Even few water or blood was existed in the normal mucosa and cancer, the influence of water or blood was also ignored. The time required for scanning each normal and cancer site ranged between 16 and 390 seconds.

Normal esophageal mucosa

In LCM images of normal esophageal mucosa, high-reflectivity spots were observed near the center of honeycomb-like structures of high reflectivity. These high reflectivity spots and structures in the LCM images appeared to correspond to nuclei and cell membranes, respectively, in the histologic images of hematoxylin-eosin-stained sections (Fig. 4).

Esophageal cancer

In LCM images of esophageal cancer, high-reflectivity spots that were considered nuclei were observed. The nucleus-to-cytoplasm (N/C) ratio was much increased, and honeycomb-like structures of high reflectivity, considered cell membranes, were not observed (Fig. 5).

Normal gastric mucosa

In LCM images of normal gastric mucosa, cell membranes and nuclei were not visualized. However, the crypt cells were arranged like flower petals surrounding the
gastric pit (Fig. 6).

Gastric cancer

In LCM images of differentiated adenocarcinoma of the stomach, cell membranes were not visualized, and a disorganized configuration of glands with high-reflectivity spots that were considered nuclei was observed (Fig. 7). In LCM images of undifferentiated adenocarcinoma, no ductal structure was recognized; only a amorphous structure was seen. Cell membranes and nuclei were not visualized (Fig. 8).

Visualization of nuclei and cell membranes in LCM images in relation to histologic diagnoses is shown in Table 2. In all normal esophageal mucosa and esophageal cancers, the nuclei were visualized. In 9 of the 10 (90%) normal esophageal mucosa, cell membranes were visualized, and in 5 of the 10 (50%) esophageal cancers, cell membranes were visualized. In all normal gastric mucosa, nuclei and cell membranes were not visualized, but in 10 of the 20 (50%) gastric cancers, nuclei were visualized. The storoma was visualized as high reflectivity. In some case, low-reflectivity spots were also observed in the LCM images which was considered mucin in goblet cells in the hematoxylin-eosin-stained specimen.

Discussion

Recent advances in endoscopic technology have afforded high-quality, detailed
diagnosis of gastrointestinal diseases. To confirm the presence of malignancy, however, snip biopsy is often performed under endoscopy when endoscopic examination reveals an abnormality. Thus, biopsy is performed for many lesions that are subsequently determined not be malignant. Histologic analysis of biopsy material remains the gold standard for the final diagnosis of a gastrointestinal lesion. Histologic diagnosis via biopsy involves the following process: formalin fixation of the specimen, cutting the specimen into small columns, paraffin embedding, ultra-thin slicing, deparaffinization, staining, glass slide, mounting, and finally light microscopic observation. Moreover, it takes several days to obtain a diagnosis. Also, snip biopsy is associated with bleeding, apparent endoscopic disappearance of cancer cells after biopsy, and artificial ulceration, which make endoscopic treatment, e.g. EMR, ESD, and EAM, difficult. In addition, because of the bleeding, biopsy cannot be easily performed in patients taking anticoagulants.

Being able to accurately image a lesion \textit{in vivo} at the time of endoscopic examination without biopsy allows for prompt diagnosis and treatment. Fluorescence-type LCM is reported to be a promising tool for \textit{in vivo} histopathologic examination during endoscopy and might overcome the disadvantages associated with conventional biopsy.\textsuperscript{3-11} In recent years, there have been several reports describing the ability to
obtain an LCM image that corresponds precisely to the histopathologic tissue diagnosis in cases of gastrointestinal tract disease.\textsuperscript{3-12} However, many of the reports were based on observations made on excised specimens or with fluorescence-type LCM. We too have previously used probe-based reflectance-type LCM to obtain images that are close to histopathologic specimens \textit{in vitro}.\textsuperscript{12} In the present study, however, we conducted examinations in vivo using catheter-based reflectance-type LCM, which enabled us to insert the microscope through the instrument channel of endoscope and to capture images at a single depth of 30 microns below the tissue surface. In our LCM observations of the esophagus, nuclei were detected at both sites of normal mucosa and cancer. In our LCM observations of the stomach, nuclei were not recognized in normal mucosa but were recognized in 50\% of cancer sites. Because the slice of LCM was very thin, we assumed that the nuclei in the normal esophageal mucosa were easily visualized because the cells were composed of stratified squamous epithelial cells. Likewise, because the N/C ratio increased, we assumed that the nuclei of the esophageal cancer and gastric cancer were visualized, whereas the nuclei of the normal gastric mucosa were not visualized. Although further prospective and large number study, e.g., immediate diagnosis of neoplasia versus inflammation, is needed, we have shown that catheter-based reflectance-type LCM can provide images at the cellular level \textit{in vivo}.\textsuperscript{9}
suggesting the possibility of immediate cancer diagnosis under endoscopic observation without the need for biopsy.

A fluorescence-type LCM system that uses a catheter was recently developed by Mauna Kea Technologies.\textsuperscript{3-8} This LCM system has the capability to provide dynamic (12 frames/second) ultrahigh resolution images at the cellular level on a field of view as wide as 260×260 μm with 1.5 lateral and 10-μm axial resolutions, at 60 μm working depth. To overcome the limits of the field of view, an image reconstruction algorithm that uses video mosaicing has been developed.\textsuperscript{3}

One advantage of catheter-based LCM is that it allows the capture of an image during conventional endoscopic examination without changing to a specialized scope. The catheter-based reflectance-type LCM used in this study is of a size and flexibility to pass through the endoscopic instrument channel and to be placed accurately on the mucosa with guidance from the white-light endoscopic image. Furthermore, there is a report of \textit{in vivo} acquisition of real-time and dynamic histologic images of the peritoneum, liver, and spleen during a novel, minimally invasive transgastric approach to surgery termed natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES).\textsuperscript{4} Compared to reflectance-type LCM, fluorescence-type LCM can provide images with higher signal-to-noise ratios (although a fluorescence agent is needed). The fluorescence-type
LCM device used for diagnosing cancer, visualizing lymphoepithelial lesions in gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue-type lymphoma, detecting angiodysplasia, visualizing *Helicobacter pylori*, diagnosing lymphocytic colitis, diagnosing a dysplasia-associated lesional mass or adenoma-like mass in patients with ulcerative colitis, and for functional examinations that provide moving images with visualization of blood flow through microvessels. Unlike fluorescence-type LCM systems, reflectance-type LCM collects and counts the reflective laser beam and therefore requires no staining process. The fluorescence-type LCM requires some staining to obtain clear images, but the acquired image is of high quality, and signal-to-noise ratio is better than with the reflectance-type LCM. Further comparison of the two systems is needed but we believe that these two instruments complement each other.

In summary, this feasibility study showed that catheter-based reflectance-type LCM can be used in clinical practice to provide instant images that correspond well with hematoxylin-eosin-stained microscopic images. Therefore, we expect that this novel method will aid in immediate diagnosis under endoscopy without the need for biopsy.

**Disclosure**

System control software and prototype confocal catheter probes were provided on loan by Mauna Kea Technologies, Paris, France, and Fujinon, Saitama, Japan at no charge.
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Figure legends

Fig. 1. Catheter-based reflectance-type laser-scanning confocal microscope (Mauna Kea Technologies, Paris, France; Fujinon, Saitama, Japan).

Fig. 2. Schema of the catheter-based reflectance-type laser-scanning confocal microscopy.

Fig. 3. LCM examination for early gastric cancer under endoscopy.

Fig. 4. Images of normal esophageal mucosal. a, Laser-scanning confocal microscopy image. b, Hematoxylin-eosin-stained tissue from the same specimen.

Fig. 5. Images of esophageal cancer. a, Laser-scanning confocal microscopy image. b, Hematoxylin-eosin-stained tissue from the same specimen.

Fig. 6. Images of normal gastric mucosa. a, Laser-scanning confocal microscopy image. b, Hematoxylin-eosin-stained tissue from the same specimen.

Fig. 7. Images of differentiated adenocarcinoma of the stomach. a, Laser-scanning confocal microscopy image. b, Hematoxylin-eosin-stained tissue from the same specimen.

Fig. 8. Images of undifferentiated adenocarcinoma of the stomach. a, Laser-scanning confocal microscopy image. b, Hematoxylin-eosin-stained tissue from the same specimen.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Characteristics of the lesions</th>
<th>Esophagus</th>
<th>Stomach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>22.8±7.5</td>
<td>16.3±10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>8-35</td>
<td>10-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localization of tumor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antrum</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardia</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous cell carcinoma</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signet ring cell</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Visualization of nuclei and cell membrane in LCM images in relation to histologic diagnoses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histologic diagnosis</th>
<th>Nucleus</th>
<th>Cell membrane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal esophageal mucosa (n=10)</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>9 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal cancer (n=10)</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal gastric mucosa (n=20)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric cancer: well (n=10)</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moderately (n=6)</td>
<td>4 (66.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>papillary (n=1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poorly (n=1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>signet ring cell (n=2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number (and percentage) of samples are shown