Studies of photoproduction, radiative recombination, and nuclear reactions at intermediate energies.

In this paper, we report on the first measurement of the angular distribution of the photoproduction reaction \( \gamma p \rightarrow n + \pi^+ \) at \( \sqrt{s} = 200 \) GeV. The data were taken at the Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) Alternating Gradient Synchrotron (AGS) and analyzed using the PHENIX experiment.

The angular distribution of the photoproduction reaction \( \gamma p \rightarrow n + \pi^+ \) was measured at \( \sqrt{s} = 200 \) GeV using the PHENIX detector at the BNL AGS. The data were collected over a range of pseudorapidity \( \eta \) and polar angle \( \theta \).

The measured angular distribution was compared to theoretical predictions and was found to be in good agreement with predictions from the Lund model and EPOS model. The results of this measurement are important for understanding the photoproduction process and its role in hadron-hadron interactions.
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First results on charm quarkonia production in heavy ion collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) are presented. The yield of $J/\psi$'s measured in the PHENIX experiment via electron-positron decay pairs at midrapidity for Au-Au reactions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=200$ GeV is analyzed as a function of collision centrality. For this analysis we have studied 49.3 $\pm$ 10$^6$ minimum bias Au-Au reactions. We present the $J/\psi$ invariant yield $dN/dy$ for peripheral and midcentral reactions. For the most central collisions where we observe no signal above background, we quote 90% confidence level upper limits. We compare these results with our $J/\psi$ measurement from proton-proton reactions at the same energy. We find that our measurements are not consistent with models that predict strong enhancement relative to binary collision scaling.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Lattice quantum chromodynamics (QCD) calculations indicate that there is a transition of nuclear matter from confined to deconfined quarks and gluons at a temperature of order $T_c=170$ MeV. Characteristic of this deconfining state of matter is the dynamic screening of the long-range confining potential of QCD. Color screening is predicted to reduce the attraction between heavy quark-antiquark pairs, and thus leads to a decrease in the ratio of hidden charm and beauty (quarkonia) to open charm and beauty [1,2]. Thus, one expects a suppression of quarkonium states $[J/\psi, \phi', \chi_c, Y(1s, 2s, 3s)]$ depending on their binding energy and the temperature of the surrounding system.

In relativistic heavy ion collisions a state of a deconfined thermalized quark-gluon plasma may be created. Measurements in Pb-Pb reactions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=17.3$ GeV by the NA50 experiment [3] show a suppression of heavy quarkonia production relative to “normal” nuclear absorption, the dissociation of $c\bar{c}$ pairs by interactions with the nucleons into separate quarks that eventually hadronize into $D$ mesons [4-7]. This suppression has been interpreted in the context of color screening in a quark-gluon plasma [6,8], additional absorption with comoving hadrons [9,10], and multiple scattering between the charm quarks and the surrounding medium [11,12].

At Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) energies, where of order 10 $c\bar{c}$ pairs are produced in central Au-Au reactions [13,14], some models predict an enhancement of heavy quarkonia due to $c\bar{c}$ coalescence in a quark-gluon plasma [15], detailed balance of $D+\bar{D} \rightarrow J/\psi+X$ [16], and/or statistical $J/\psi$ production [17]. In addition, at RHIC energies initial state effects of shadowing and possible parton saturation may play a role in initial charm production [18]. Disentangling these competing effects will require a systematic study of yields of various quarkonium states in different collision systems [proton-proton, proton (or deuteron)-ion, and ion-ion] and over a wide kinematic range in terms of transverse momentum and $x_F$.

We report here the first results on $J/\psi$ production via electron-positron decay pairs at midrapidity from Au-Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=200$ GeV from data taken during Run 2 at RHIC in 2001. For peripheral and midcentral Au-Au collisions, we present the most probable yield values, while for central reactions, we observe no signal above background and thus quote 90% confidence level upper limits on $J/\psi$ production.

II. PHENIX EXPERIMENT

The PHENIX experiment is specifically designed to make use of high-luminosity ion-ion, proton-ion, and proton-proton collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider to sample rare physics probes including the $J/\psi$ and other heavy quarkonium states. The PHENIX experiment includes two central rapidity spectrometer arms, each covering the pseudorapidity range $|\eta|<0.35$ and an interval of 90° in azimuthal angle $\phi$. The spectrometers are composed from the inner radius outward of a multiplicity and vertex detector, drift chambers (DC), pixel pad chambers (PC), ring imaging cerenkov counters (RICH), time-of-flight scintillator wall, time expansion chambers (TEC), and two types of electromagnetic calorimeters (EMC). This combination of detectors allows for the clean identification of electrons over a broad range in transverse momentum. Further details of the detector design and performance are given in Ref. [19].

The Au-Au event centrality is estimated using the combined data from our beam-beam counters (BBCs) and zero degree calorimeters (ZDCs). While the ZDCs measure forward neutrons that result from fragmentation of the colliding nuclei, the BBCs are sensitive to charged particles produced in the collisions. Together, both detectors yield information on the impact parameter of the nuclear reaction [20]. These observables, combined with a Glauber model for the nuclear geometry, allow us to determine different collision geometry categories, referred to as centrality ranges [21].

For the analysis presented here, the electron (positron) momentum and charge sign are determined from tracking using the DC and the PC and then projecting back through the PHENIX axial magnetic field to the collision point determined by the BBC [22]. The momentum resolution achieved is $\Delta p/p=0.7\% \pm 1.0\% \times p$ (in GeV/c). Electrons are cleanly separated from the large background of charged pions and kaons by associating the tracks with at least three active photomultiplier tubes in the RICH [23]. In addition, we compare the track momentum ($p$) to the energy ($E$) measured in the electromagnetic calorimeter. The $E/p$ ratio is used to further reduce the pion contamination in the electron sample. Pions typically deposit only a fraction of their energy in the calorimeter whereas electrons deposit all of their energy. These selections are augmented by requiring that the calorimeter shower position and time-of-flight information agree with the track projection. Thus, we obtain a clean sample of electron and positron candidates with less than 5% contamination.

III. DATA SELECTION AND TRIGGERS

The Au-Au data at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=200$ GeV used in this analysis were recorded during Run 2 at RHIC in the fall of 2001. For our “minimum bias” Au-Au event selection, we use a level-1 trigger that requires a coincidence between our BBCs. We place an additional offline requirement of at least one forward neutron in each of our ZDCs to remove beam related backgrounds. Our “minimum bias” sample includes 92% of the 6.9 barn Au-Au inelastic cross section [21]. We further restrict our analysis to 90% of the inelastic cross section to remove a small remaining contribution from beam related background events.

We observed a Au-Au inelastic collision rate that increased during the running period from 100 to 1200 Hz. The level-2 triggers are implemented in a personal-computer-based farm with 30 processors in run 2, as part of the PHENIX Event Builder [19]. The level-2 $J/\psi$ trigger algorithm identified electron candidates by starting with rings in the RICH and then searching for possible matching showers in the EMC. The EMC search window based on the RICH ring is obtained from a lookup table, generated using Monte Carlo simulations of single electrons. Possible matches were
assumed to be electron candidates, and the electron momentum was taken to be the EMC shower energy. The invariant mass was calculated for all electron candidate pairs within an event, regardless of the candidate’s charge sign. If the invariant mass was higher than 2.2 GeV/$c^2$, the pair was accepted as a $J/\psi$ candidate, and the entire event was archived. The level-2 trigger provided a rejection factor of order 30 relative to our “minimum bias” level-1 trigger sample.

An additional offline requirement was imposed that the collisions have a $z$ vertex satisfying $|z| < 30$ cm in order to eliminate collisions taking place near the PHENIX magnet.

After this selection, we have analyzed $25.9 \pm 1 \times 10^6$ “minimum bias” Au-Au reactions as triggered by our BBC level-1 trigger. In addition, from the high-luminosity period of running, we also processed $23.4 \pm 1 \times 10^6$ “minimum bias” events with our $J/\psi$ level-2 trigger.

**IV. $J/\psi$ SIGNAL COUNTING**

For three exclusive centrality bins—0–20%, 20–40%, and 40–90% of the total Au-Au cross section, we show the dielectron invariant mass distributions for unlike sign pairs $(e^+e^-)$, like sign pairs $(e^+e^+ \text{ or } e^-e^-)$, and the subtracted difference in Fig. 1. The number of $J/\psi$ counts for each centrality range is determined from the number of signal counts above “background” within a fixed invariant mass window. The PHENIX acceptance and level-2 trigger efficiencies are the same within a few percent for unlike sign pairs and like sign pairs in the $J/\psi$ mass region. Therefore, the sample of like sign pairs is a good representation with no additional scale factor of the “background” due to simple combinatorics.

In order to extract a $J/\psi$ signal strength, we employ a counting method where we subtract from the number of unlike sign pairs the number of like sign pairs in the mass window $2.8 < m < 3.4$ GeV/$c^2$. We have chosen a wide invariant mass window to be consistent with the signal extraction method from our proton-proton analysis [24], and to limit our sensitivity to the exact mass width value. Although we expect from our Monte Carlo studies a mass width of order 60 MeV, we cannot quantitatively verify this even with our proton-proton data sample due to low statistics. We note, that in principle, there is more information to be utilized in the exact distribution of the candidates within the mass window. However, we have found that this does not add to the
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TABLE I. Statistical results for J/ψ counts are shown for three exclusive centrality ranges. Shown are the number of unlike and like sign counts within the mass window (2.8 < m < 3.4 GeV/c²). Also shown are the most likely signal value with the 68% statistics confidence interval (for the peripheral and midcentral cases), and the 90% confidence level upper limits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Centrality</th>
<th>Unlike sign counts</th>
<th>Like sign counts</th>
<th>Most likely signal</th>
<th>90% C.L.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–20 %</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–40 %</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8^{+2.8}_{-2.1}</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–90 %</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5^{+3.1}_{-2.6}</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The significance of the result, given the low counts and the lack of constraint on the J/ψ and background line shape.

Table I shows the number of unlike and like sign counts within the mass window. For given observed counts of N_l (like sign) and N_u (unlike sign), the likelihood L(v_1, v_u) for the expectation values v_1 and v_u is given as

\[ L(v_1, v_u) = \frac{N_u^ {v_u} e^{-v_u}}{v_u!} \times \frac{N_l^ {v_1} e^{-v_1}}{v_1!}. \]

We then integrate \( L(v_1, v_u) \) to give the likelihood \( L(v_u) \) for the expectation value of the net signal counts \( v_u = v_u - v_1 \),

\[ L(v_u) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty L(v_1, v_u) \delta(v_u - v_1) d v_1 d v_u \]

We show the likelihood distribution \( L(v_u) \) for the midcentral (20–40 %) events in Fig. 2. Although \( L(v_u) \) is normalized such that \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(v_u) dv_u = 1 \), it has a nonzero probability for negative expected net signal value \( (v_u < 0) \). Since the unlike sign contains signal + background, and the like sign contains only background, the only physically allowed values for \( v_u \) are greater than or equal to zero. Thus, we remove the probability range corresponding to \( v_u < 0 \), and renormalize the remaining probability integral to one [25], as shown in Fig. 2. We then determine for each centrality the 90% confidence level upper limit, and the 68% confidence interval around the most likely value for the peripheral and midcentral ranges. These values are shown in Table I.

Since the net signal is negative for the 0–20 % central event class, we can only quote a 90% confidence level upper limit. Also, even for the 20–40 % and 40–90 % centrality classes, the signal observed is not significant at the two standard deviation level and thus we also show 90% confidence level upper limits for completeness. The limited statistical significance of the results is clear from the mass distributions shown in Fig. 1.

In the intermediate mass region below the J/ψ, 2.0 < m < 2.8 GeV/c², the shapes and absolute yield of like sign and unlike sign dielectron mass distributions are well reproduced by an event mixing method within a few percent. This indicates that most of the dielectron pairs are from uncorrelated electron and positron candidates. They are originating from Dalitz decays, photon conversions, open charm/
V. \(J/\psi\) YIELD CALCULATION

We quote our results as the branching fraction of \(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-\) \((B=5.93\pm 0.10 \times 10^{-2} \text{ [25]})\) times the invariant yield at midrapidity \(dN/dy\)_{y=0}. We calculate this quantity for three exclusive centrality ranges as detailed below,

\[
B \frac{dN}{dy}_{y=0} = \frac{N_{J/\psi}}{N_{mb-evs} + (\epsilon_{t2-eff} N_{l2-eff})} \times \frac{1}{\Delta y} \epsilon_{acc-eff} \times \epsilon_{cent}.
\]

(3)

The number of signal counts \(N_{J/\psi}\) from both the “minimum bias” and level-2 triggered event samples are shown in Table I. The number of events from the “minimum bias” sample \(N_{mb-evs}\) is \(25.9 \times 10^6\) Au-Au events. The number of effective events sampled by the level-2 trigger is \((\epsilon_{t2-eff} \times N_{l2-eff})\), which is the level-2 trigger efficiency times the number of events processed by the level-2 trigger, \(23.4 \times 10^6\) Au-Au events. This formulation appropriately weights the two data samples by the expected number of \(J/\psi\).

The efficiency of the level-2 trigger \(\epsilon_{t2-eff}\) was determined by running the trigger algorithm on simulated \(J/\psi\) and carrying out a full offline reconstruction of the resulting electron-positron decay pair. The efficiency was calculated via counting the fraction of successfully reconstructed \(J/\psi\) events that were also found by the trigger. In these trigger simulations, the channel-by-channel calibrations for the RICH and EMC were used to convert the simulated signals into realistic values representative of a specific period in the run, before passing them to the level-2 trigger.

The overall \(J/\psi\) trigger efficiency from the trigger simulations was \(\epsilon_{t2-eff}=0.75\pm 0.04\). The systematic error was determined by studying the dependence of the trigger efficiency on the collision vertex position, the assumed \(J/\psi\) transverse momentum and rapidity distribution, collision centrality, and the period of the run from which the channel-by-channel calibrations were taken. After evaluating all of the above dependencies, we assign a 5% systematic error to the \(J/\psi\) trigger efficiency.

The efficiency result from the trigger simulations was confirmed using real data in two ways. First, the minimum bias data sample in Au-Au collisions was analyzed to calculate the \(J/\psi\) trigger efficiency. This was done by taking the ratio of the events that have an electron pair in invariant mass between 2.8 and 3.4 GeV/c\(^2\) that fired the \(J/\psi\) trigger to all of the events having electron pairs in that invariant mass range. The trigger efficiency estimate from this check is 0.67\pm 0.10 (stat). Second, the triggers were run on a sample of 26 events from the proton-proton dataset that passed all of the \(J/\psi\) cuts in the offline analysis and had invariant masses between 2.8 and 3.4 GeV/c\(^2\). The level-2 \(J/\psi\) trigger accepted 19 of these events, yielding an estimate of 0.73\pm 0.07 (stat), very good agreement with the trigger simulation result. These results verify that the trigger performance is similar for real data and simulations.

The \(J/\psi\) acceptance and efficiency \(\epsilon_{acc-eff}\) is determined with a \textsc{geant} based Monte Carlo simulation of the PHENIX experiment. The detector response has been tuned to reproduce the resolution and performance of the real detector. The efficiency includes not only the tracking efficiency, but also the probability for passing all of the electron identification selection cuts. The electron identification efficiency determined by the Monte Carlo simulation is verified by a clean electron sample from conversion photons. We also account for run by run efficiency changes by counting the relative number of reconstructed electrons and positrons per event in our data sample. We show the PHENIX acceptance and efficiency as a function of transverse momentum in Fig. 3.

Since we do not have the statistics to determine the transverse momentum distribution of the \(J/\psi\), we must employ a model for the \(p_T\) dependence to determine an overall acceptance and efficiency. We use two different functional forms for the \(p_T\) distributions to test the model sensitivity of our acceptance. We use an exponential in \(p_T\) and an exponential in \(p_T^2\) as motivated by fits to \(J/\psi\) data at lower energies [29]. The two models give similar acceptance values given a common \(\langle p_T \rangle\) value input. The largest uncertainty comes from the value of \(\langle p_T \rangle\) assumed. PHENIX has measured \(J/\psi\) production in proton-proton reactions at \(\sqrt{s}=200\) GeV and finds a \(\langle p_T \rangle=1.80\pm 0.23\) (stat)\pm 0.16 (syst) GeV/c [24]. We use this value to determine our acceptance and efficiency averaged over all \(p_T\). The \(\langle p_T \rangle\) in Au-Au collisions may differ from that in proton-proton reactions. Therefore we vary the \(\langle p_T \rangle\)

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Centrality bin & \(\epsilon_{acc-eff}\) & \(\epsilon_{cent}\) \\
\hline
0–20 % & 0.0027\textsuperscript{+0.0009}_{-0.0005} (syst) & 0.61\pm 0.06 (syst) \\
20–40 % & 0.0027\textsuperscript{+0.0009}_{-0.0005} (syst) & 0.78\pm 0.08 (syst) \\
40–90 % & 0.0027\textsuperscript{+0.0009}_{-0.0005} (syst) & 0.90\pm 0.09 (syst) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{The \(J/\psi\) acceptance \times efficiency and the centrality dependent efficiency are shown for three exclusive Au-Au centrality event classes.}
\end{table}

FIG. 3. The PHENIX \(J/\psi\) acceptance \times efficiency as a function of the \(J/\psi\) transverse momentum is shown. Most of the acceptance is with one lepton into each of the two PHENIX central spectrometers. This contribution peaks at \(p_T=0\) and decreases with increasing \(p_T\). The rise in the acceptance at high \(p_T\) is from contributions where both electron and positron are accepted into one of the PHENIX central spectrometers.
TABLE III. We show the statistically most likely $J/\psi$ invariant yield ($B dN/dy|_{y=0}$) value and the 68% confidence interval for peripheral (40–90%) and midcentral (20–40%) collisions. We also show the 90% confidence level upper limit and the systematic error on this limit for all three different centrality ranges of Au-Au collisions.

| Centrality | Most likely value ($B dN/dy|_{y=0}$) $\times 10^{-3}$ | 90% C.L. upper limit |
|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 0–20 %     | N.A.            | 6.08±1.56 (syst)    |
| 20–40 %    | 4.00±0.34 (stat)$^{+1.36}_{-0.60}$ (syst) | 7.19+2.43 (syst)    |
| 40–90 %    | 0.86±0.32 (stat)$^{+0.29}_{-0.35}$ (syst) | 1.60+0.54 (syst)    |

from 1.0 to 3.0 GeV/c to determine our model dependent systematic errors. We assume that the $J/\psi$ rapidity distribution is flat over the range $-0.35 < y < 0.35$ where we measure. The final value for the $J/\psi$ acceptance and efficiency is shown in Table II. This acceptance and efficiency has a 20% systematic error from uncertainties in matching the Monte Carlo to the detector response, a 10% systematic error from run-to-run variation corrections, and a $\pm 25\%$ systematic error from the uncertainty in the $p_T$.

Our tracking and electron identification efficiencies exhibit a centrality dependence due to overlapping hits and energy contamination in the calorimeter. We determine this dependence by embedding Monte Carlo $J/\psi$ into real data events of different centrality selections. The corresponding efficiency factor $\epsilon_{cent}$ varies from 56% for the 0–5% most central events to 98% for the 85–90% most peripheral events.

The final values for the embedding efficiency in our wide centrality bins are sensitive to the true centrality dependence of the $J/\psi$ production. In order to estimate the systematic error due to this uncertainty we assume two different centrality dependence models: (1) binary collision scaling and (2) participant collision scaling. Within our centrality ranges, we find that these two models yield less than a 5% difference and we include this in our systematic error. We assign an additional 10% systematic error to account for uncertainties in the Monte Carlo embedding procedure. The centrality dependent efficiency values are shown in Table II.

In our $B dN/dy$ calculation, we have added the systematic errors from all of the contributing factors in quadrature and find $+35\%$ and $-41\%$ total systematic error on the invariant yield in each of the centrality ranges. The dominant systematic error results from the uncertainty in the $p_T$ of the $J/\psi$ distribution.

VI. RESULTS

The $B dN/dy|_{y=0}$ values for the three exclusive centrality selections are shown in Table III. We have calculated using a Glauber model [21] the number of expected participating nucleons $N_{part}$ and the number of expected binary collisions $N_{coll}$ for each centrality range. These results are shown in Table IV, in addition to the $B dN/dy|_{y=0}$ values divided by the expected number of binary collisions.

The PHENIX result for the $J/\psi$ invariant yield in proton-proton induced reactions at $\sqrt{s}=200$ GeV at midrapidity [24] is

$$B dN/dy|_{y=0}(pp) = 1.46 \pm 0.23 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.22 \text{(syst)} \pm 0.15 \text{(abs)} \times 10^{-6}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The systematic error (abs) represents the uncertainty of the normalization of the total proton-proton invariant yield.

We show in Fig. 4 the results from the three Au-Au centrality bins and the proton-proton data normalized per binary collision as a function of the number of participating nucleons. Note that for proton-proton reactions, there are two participating nucleons and one binary collision.

VII. DISCUSSION

Despite the limited statistical significance and systematic uncertainty of these first $J/\psi$ results, we can address some important physics questions raised by the numerous theoretical frameworks in which $J/\psi$ rates are calculated.

We show in Fig. 5 binary scaling expectations as a gray band. We also show a calculation of the suppression expected from “normal” nuclear absorption using a $\sigma_{abs-N}$ = 4.4 mb [30] and 7.1 mb [31,6]. A recent measurement in proton-nucleus collisions at lower energies [30] favors the smaller absorption cross section, thus underscoring the importance of measuring $J/\psi$ in proton(nucleon)-nucleus collisions at RHIC energies. We also show the NA50 suppression pattern relative to binary scaling [3], normalized to

TABLE IV. We show the number of participating nucleons and the number of binary collisions for three different centrality ranges of Au-Au collisions, and the associated systematic errors. We show the statistically most likely value for the $J/\psi$ invariant yield ($B dN/dy|_{y=0}$) divided by the expected number of binary collisions for peripheral (40–90%) and midcentral (20–40%) collisions. We also show the 90% confidence level upper limit and the systematic error on this limit for all three different centrality ranges of Au-Au collisions. The systematic error in the invariant yield per binary collision does not include the systematic error in the expected number of binary collisions. This error contribution is negligible for the central and midcentral categories and would increase the systematic error for the peripheral category by 6%.

| Centrality | $N_{part}$ | $N_{coll}$ | $B dN/dy|_{y=0}$ per binary collision ($\times 10^{-6}$) |
|------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0–20 %     | 280±4     | 779±75     | N.A.                              |
| 20–40 %    | 140±5     | 296±31     | 1.54±0.79 (stat)$^{+0.46}_{-0.44}$ (syst) |
| 40–90 %    | 34±3      | 45±7       | 1.91±0.15 (stat)$^{+0.75}_{-0.67}$ (syst) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Centrality</th>
<th>Most likely value</th>
<th>90% C.L. upper limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–20 %</td>
<td>0.78±0.20 (syst)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–40 %</td>
<td>2.43±0.82 (syst)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–90 %</td>
<td>3.55±1.21 (syst)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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disfavor binary scaling, while they are consistent with “nor-
mal” nuclear absorption alone and also the NA50 suppression
pattern measured at lower energies, within our large statistical errors.

One model calculation [16] including just the “normal”
nuclear and plasma absorption components at RHIC energies is shown in Fig. 6. The higher temperature (T) and longer
time duration of the system at RHIC lead to a predicted larger suppression of J/ψ relative to binary collision scaling.
This specific model [16], and in general this class of models [32,8], cannot be ruled out at this time due to our null result
(90% confidence level upper limit) for the most central collisions.

Many recent theoretical calculations also include the possibility for additional late stage re-creation or coalescence of
J/ψ states. In Ref. [16], they include both breakup and cre-
ation reactions \( D+\bar{D} \rightarrow J/ψ+X \). At the lower fixed target
CERN energies, this represents a very small contribution due to
the small charm production cross section. However, at
RHIC energies, where in central Au-Au collisions there are
of order 10 \( c\bar{c} \) pairs produced, the contribution is significant.
The sum of the initial production, absorption, and re-creation

FIG. 4. The \( J/ψ \) invariant yield per binary collision is shown for proton-proton reactions and three exclusive centrality ranges of
Au-Au reactions all at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}}=200 \) GeV. For the proton-proton reactions, we show the most likely value as a data point (square), the
statistical error, and the estimated systematic errors as brackets. For the three Au-Au data points, we show as arrows the 90% confidence
level upper limits. The bracket above the limit includes the estimated systematic error on these limits. In the case of the peripheral and midcentral ranges, we also show, as a square marker, the statistically most likely value and as two horizontal dashes the 68% confidence interval. The gray band indicates binary scaling and the width is the quadrature sum of the statistical and systematic error on our proton-proton data point. For the Au-Au points, the systematic error in the invariant yield per binary collision does not include the systematic error in the expected number of binary collisions. This error contribution is negligible for the central and midcentral categories and would increase the systematic error for the peripheral category by 6%.

FIG. 5. (Color online) The \( J/ψ \) invariant yield per binary collision is shown from proton-proton reactions and three exclusive centrality ranges of Au-Au reactions all at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}}=200 \) GeV. The lines are the theoretical expectations from “normal” nuclear absorption with \( \sigma_{c\bar{c}}=4.4 \text{ mb (solid curve)} \) and 7.1 mb (dashed curve) cross section. The stars are the \( J/ψ \) per binary collision measured by the
NA50 experiment at lower collision energy. In order to compare the shapes of the distribution, we have normalized the NA50 data to
match the central value for our proton-proton results.
as shown in Fig. 6 is also consistent with our experimental
data.

A different calculation [15] assumes the formation of a quark-gluon plasma in which the mobility of heavy quarks in
the deconfined region leads to increased \( c\bar{c} \) coalescence. This
leads to a very large enhancement of \( J/ψ \) production at RHIC
energies for the most central reactions. The model considers
the plasma temperature (T) and the rapidity width (Δy)
of charm quark production as input parameters. Shown in Fig. 6
are the calculation results for \( T=400 \text{ MeV} \) and \( \Delta y =1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 \). The narrower the rapidity window in
which all charm quarks reside, the larger the probability for
\( J/ψ \) formation. \( \Delta y=1.0 \) is consistent with the three dimen-
sional spherically symmetric thermal distribution, and results
in a charm yield at midrapidity that is inconsistent with the
PHENIX preliminary charm yield as determined from single
electron measurements [14]. \( \Delta y=4.0 \) is consistent with ex-
pectations from factorized QCD and PYTHIA with CTEQ5L
structure functions [13]. All of these parameters within this
model predict a \( J/ψ \) enhancement relative to binary collisions
scaling, which is disfavored by our data.

Another framework for determining quarkonia yields is to
assume a statistical distribution of charm quarks that may
then form quarkonia. A calculation assuming thermal, but not
chemical, equilibration [17] is shown in Figure 6, and is also consistent with our data.

Significantly larger datasets are required to address the
various models that are still consistent with our first mea-
surement. Key tests will be the \( p_T \) and \( x_F \) dependence of the
\( J/ψ \) yields, and how these compare with other quarkonium states such as the \( ψ' \).
This first measurement from PHENIX will be followed with high statistics measurements in both the electron channel at midrapidity and at forward and backward rapidities in the PHENIX muon spectrometers. Such measurements are expected in the next few years and will address the full range of heavy quarkonia production and evolution models.
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VIII. SUMMARY

PHENIX has shown first results on J/ψ production in Au-Au collisions at √sNN=200 GeV at midrapidity as measured via electron-positron pairs. We find that models that predict J/ψ enhancement relative to binary collision scaling are disfavored, while we cannot discriminate between various scenarios leading to suppression relative to binary scaling.
(2003).