Measurement of Direct Photon Production in $p + p$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV
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Cross sections for midrapidity production of direct photons in $p+p$ collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) are reported for transverse momenta of $3 < p_T < 16 \text{ GeV/c}$. Next-to-leading order perturbative QCD (pQCD) describes the data well for $p_T > 5 \text{ GeV/c}$, where the uncertainties of the measurement and theory are comparable. We also report on the effect of requiring the photons to be isolated from parton jet energy. The observed fraction of isolated photons is well described by pQCD for $p_T > 7 \text{ GeV/c}$. 
The production of direct photons, i.e., photons not from hadronic decays, in hadron-hadron collisions has been recognized as providing direct access to the gluon distributions in the hadron, both unpolarized and polarized [1,2]. The process of direct-photon production is described, at high energy and high momentum transfer, by perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD). Three parton-parton subprocesses dominate at lowest order: Compton scattering \( g + q \rightarrow \gamma + g \), annihilation \( q + \bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma + g \), and parton-parton hard scattering with the scattered quark or gluon fragmenting to a photon, where \( g (q) \) represent gluon (quark) states. At next-to-leading order (NLO), bremsstrahlung emission of photons from the quarks undergoing hard scattering also contributes to the direct-photon signal. The annihilation process is suppressed for \( q \bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \) in \( s > 100 \text{ GeV}^2 \), but below this energy, it can be significant. At NLO, bremsstrahlung processes will produce photons in the vicinity of parton jets. Therefore, a requirement that the photon be isolated from parton jet activity can emphasize the Compton graph. Here, only the gluon distribution is unknown, particularly for the polarized case, and direct-photon production therefore provides direct access to this (polarized) gluon distribution.

Comparisons of data to theory test our understanding of direct-photon production in hadron-hadron collisions. Previous experiments have shown significant disagreement between data and theory at fixed target energy, \( \sqrt{s} < 40 \text{ GeV} \), and good agreement at collider energy, \( \sqrt{s} > 60 \text{ GeV} \) [3,4]. Results from the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) for \( p + p \) collisions cover intermediate energy and momentum transfer, overlapping CERN Intersecting Storage Rings, and Super anti-Proton Proton Synchrotron collider kinematics, and address the robustness of the pQCD prediction for direct-photon production. In addition, the comparison of the direct-photon rate using \( p \bar{p} \) data provides insight into the pQCD prediction for directed-energy loss.

Furthermore, direct-photon production in \( p + p \) collisions provides a valuable baseline for the interpretation of direct-photon data from heavy ion (A + A) collisions. Jet-quenching models attribute the strong suppression of high-\( p_T \) hadrons in central A + A collisions to energy loss of scattered quarks and gluons in the hot and dense medium created in these collisions [5]. Since photons interact with the medium only electromagnetically, they provide a monitor of the initial parton flux and therefore test a crucial assumption of these models.

In this Letter, we present cross sections for direct-photon production in \( p + p \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV} \), from the 2003 run of RHIC, at midrapidity for \( 3 < p_T < 16 \text{ GeV}/c \). An earlier measurement [6] from the 2002 run of RHIC covered a much smaller region of \( p_T \). Unpolarized cross sections are reported, obtained by averaging over the spin states of the beams, with \( <1\% \) residual polarization.

The data were collected by the PHENIX detector [7]. The primary detector for this measurement is an electromagnetic calorimeter (EMCal), consisting of two subsystems, a six sector lead-scintillator (PbSc), and a two sector lead glass (PbGl) detector, each located 5 m radially from the beam line. Each sector covers a range of \( |\eta| < 0.35 \) in pseudorapidity and 22.5° in azimuth. The EMCal has fine granularity. Each calorimeter tower covers \( \Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi \sim 0.01 \times 0.01 \), and a tower contains \( \sim 80\% \) of the photon energy hitting the center of the tower. Two photons from \( \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \) decays are clearly resolved up to a \( \pi^0 \) rate of 12 GeV/c, and a shower profile analysis extends the \( \gamma / \pi^0 \) discrimination to beyond 20 GeV/c. The energy calibration of each tower is obtained from minimum-ionizing tracks and from the reconstructed \( \pi^0 \) mass. The uncertainty on the energy scale is less than 1.5%.

Beam-beam counters (BBC) positioned at pseudorapidities \( 3.1 < |\eta| < 3.9 \) provide a minimum bias (MB) trigger. Events with high \( p_T \) photons are selected by a level-1 trigger that requires a minimum energy deposit of 1.4 GeV in an overlapping tile of \( 4 \times 4 \) towers of the EMCal in coincidence with the MB trigger. The MB trigger cross section is \( \sigma_{\text{BBC}} = (23.0 \pm 2.2) \text{ mb} \), about 50% of \( \sigma_{\text{inel}} \). The efficiency bias due to the MB trigger in the 2003 run, \( \epsilon_{\text{bias}} = 0.79 \pm 0.02 \), is determined from the ratio of the yield of high \( p_T \pi^0 \) with and without the MB trigger. An integrated luminosity (\( L \)) of 240 nb\(^{-1} \) after a vertex cut of \( \pm 30 \text{ cm} \) is used in this analysis.

The first step in the analysis is to cluster the hit towers. If there are two tower energy maxima and at least one lower-energy tower between them, the cluster is split into two, with the energy of each tower divided between the two clusters according to electromagnetic shower profiles associated with the clusters. Photons are identified by a shower profile cut that was calibrated using test beam data, identified electrons, and decay photons from identified \( \pi^0 \). The cut rejects \( \sim 50\% \) of hadrons depositing \( E > 3 \text{ GeV} \) in the EMCal and accepts \( \sim 98\% \) of real photons. The charged particle veto of the photon sample is based on tracks in drift chambers 2 m from the beam line, and hits in the pad chamber (PC3) immediately in front of the EMCal. Loss of photons from conversions in material before the EMCal and from the PC3 veto. Remaining nonphoton background, including converting neutral had-
rons and albedo from the magnet yokes, is also estimated from the GEANT simulation at \( \sim 1\% \).

The experimental challenge in direct-photon measurements is the large photon background from decays of hadrons, primarily from \( \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \) (\( \sim 80\% \) of the decays) and \( \eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \) (\( \sim 15\% \)). We use two techniques described below to subtract the decay background: a \( \pi^0 \)-tagging method and a cocktail subtraction method.

In the \( \pi^0 \)-tagging method, a candidate photon is tagged as a \( \pi^0 \) decay photon if it forms a pair with another photon in the mass range \( 105 < M_{\gamma \gamma} < 165 \text{ MeV} \) (\( M_{\pi^0} \pm 3\sigma \)), with \( E_\gamma > 150 \text{ MeV} \). A fiducial region for direct-photon candidates excludes 10 towers (0.1 rad) from the edges of the EMCal, while partner photons are accepted over the entire detector, to improve the probability of observing both decay photons from the \( \pi^0 \).

This method overestimates the yield of photons from \( \pi^0 \) decays, \( \gamma_{\pi^0} \), due to combinatorial background. A \( p_T \) dependent correction (\( \sim 10\% \)) is estimated from a fit to the \( \pi^0 \) sidebands, with \( \pm 3\% \) uncertainty. The yield of direct photons, \( \gamma_{\text{dir}} \), is obtained from the inclusive photon yield, \( \gamma_{\text{incl}} \), using the equation

\[
\gamma_{\text{dir}} = \gamma_{\text{incl}} - (1 + \delta_{h/\pi^0}^d)(1 + R_{\pi^0}^{\text{miss}})\gamma_{\pi^0},
\]

where \( R_{\pi^0}^{\text{miss}} \) is the correction for missing photon partners to \( \pi^0 \), \( (1 + R_{\pi^0}^{\text{miss}})\gamma_{\pi^0} \) represents the total contribution of photons from \( \pi^0 \) decays in each \( p_T \) bin, and \( \delta_{h/\pi^0} \) is the fraction of photons from hadrons other than \( \pi^0 \).

To estimate \( R_{\pi^0}^{\text{miss}} \), a Monte Carlo simulation is used that includes the acceptance, energy resolution, and our measured \( \pi^0 \) spectrum [9] as input. Figure 1 shows \( R_{\pi^0}^{\text{miss}} \) from the simulation. The largest uncertainty is from the calibration of the EMCal at low energy. \( \delta_{h/\pi^0} \) is estimated by a simulation of hadron decays based on the \( \eta/\pi^0 \) [10] and \( \omega/\pi^0 \) [11] ratios from our measurements: \( \delta_{h/\pi^0} = 0.24 \), with \( \delta_{\eta/\pi^0} = 0.19 \) and \( \delta_{\omega/\pi^0} = 0.05 \). The contribution from other hadrons is less than 0.01. A small \( p_T \) dependence is assumed to follow \( m_T \) scaling [12]. The inset of Fig. 1 shows the fraction of photons from \( h, \pi^0 \), and \( \gamma_{\text{dir}} \) to \( \gamma_{\text{incl}} \). The direct-photon fraction ranges from 10% at low \( p_T \) to 50% for \( p_T > 10 \text{ GeV} \).

In the cocktail method [6,13], the spectrum of decay photons is simulated utilizing our measured \( \pi^0 \) spectrum and applying \( m_T \) scaling in order to account for other hadronic contributions. The effect of shower merging is also taken into account in the simulation. A double ratio \( R_\gamma = (\gamma/\pi^0)^{\text{data}}/(\gamma/\pi^0)^{\text{sim}} \) is calculated for each \( p_T \) bin. \( R_\gamma > 1 \) indicates a direct-photon signal. The direct-photon yield is extracted as \( \gamma_{\text{dir}} = (1 - R_\gamma^{-1})\gamma_{\text{incl}} \).

A summary of the systematic uncertainties is presented in Table I. Uncertainties of similar contributions are grouped together: global quantities (a), the inclusive photon yield (b), and the direct-photon background (c)–(e). The categories (a)–(d) apply to both analysis methods. Category (a) includes the uncertainties of the energy scale, luminosity, and geometrical acceptance. The main contribution to category (b) is the uncertainty of the nonphoton background estimation. The uncertainty of the charged particle veto is based on a study of the cluster vs track matching in the EMCal and the tracking detectors. The uncertainty in the neutron hadron contamination is estimated from identified charged hadrons. We assign the estimate of the albedo contribution as its uncertainty. Category (c) includes uncertainties of the correction for combinatorial background as estimated by different parameterizations of the background shape and the uncertainties of the \( \pi^0 \) reconstruction efficiency. Category (d) refers to the uncertainty of contributions from hadronic decays other than \( \pi^0 \)'s, derived from our measurement of the hadron production ratios. Finally, category (e) combines all remaining uncertainties separately for the two analysis methods.

**TABLE I.** Relative systematic uncertainties of the direct-photon spectra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( p_T ) [GeV/c]</th>
<th>4.5–5</th>
<th>7.5–8</th>
<th>10–12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signal fraction</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Global</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Inclusive photons</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Photons from ( \pi^0 )</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Other hadrons</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Nonlinearity (+ remaining)</td>
<td>42.7%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (e_1) \pi^0 ) tagging</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (e_2) ) cocktail</td>
<td>71.6%</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 1** (color online). Correction for missing photon partners to the \( \pi^0 \) \((R_{\pi^0}^{\text{miss}})\) vs \( p_T \). Dashed lines show the systematic uncertainty. Inset: Different contributions to the inclusive photon spectrum. Solid (dashed) lines represent all hadronic (\( \pi^0 \)) decay contributions. The data points show the remaining photon contributions.
methods. Nonlinearity effects in the energy calibration affect the minimum energy cut in the $\pi^0$-tagging method ($e_1$) and distort the $\pi^0$ spectra in the cocktail method ($e_2$). After the individual calibration, a difference in the $\gamma/\pi^0$ ratio of PbGl and PbSc remains (5%–7%). This is used to assign a systematic uncertainty of the nonlinear part of the energy scale. Because of the small signal fraction at low $p_T$, this translates into the large relative uncertainty in the direct-photon spectra in Table I. In addition, the uncertainty of the shower profile analysis of the $\gamma/\pi^0$ discrimination at high $p_T$ is included in this category. The two uncertainties ($e_1$, $e_2$) are combined by averaging the squared uncertainties, and then all uncertainties were added in quadrature.

The results from the $\pi^0$-tagging and cocktail method, obtained from independent analyses, agree within systematic uncertainties. We report an average of the results and uncertainties of the two methods giving equal weight to the two analysis methods.

The invariant cross section of direct-photon production is calculated by the following formula:

$$E d^3\sigma/dp^3 = \frac{1}{2\pi p_T} \frac{\gamma_{\text{dir}}}{\Delta y} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\text{bias}}},$$

where $\epsilon$ includes geometrical acceptance and the smearing effect from the energy resolution. The data points are plotted at the bin centers, with a correction to take into account the effect of finite bin sizes. The uncertainty of this correction is small compared to other systematic uncertainties.

Figure 2(a) shows the measured invariant cross section for midrapidity direct-photon production at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV. In addition, a NLO pQCD prediction [14–19], using CTEQ 6M parton distribution functions (PDF) [20] and the BFGII parton to photon fragmentation function (FF) [21], is shown with three theory scales ($\mu$). Brackets around data points show systematic errors. (b) Comparison to the NLO pQCD calculation for $\mu = p_T$, with upper and lower curves for $\mu = p_T/2$ and $2p_T$.

The curves are predictions from NLO pQCD, for the parton distribution and fragmentation functions as in Fig. 2, and for an additional parton to photon fragmentation function. The observed ratio is $\sim$90% for $p_T > 7$ GeV/c, and it is well described by pQCD. An additional loss of $\sim$15%

FIG. 2. (a) Direct-photon spectra with NLO pQCD calculations for three theory scales, $\mu$. Brackets around data points show systematic errors. (b) Comparison to the NLO pQCD calculation for $\mu = p_T$, with upper and lower curves for $\mu = p_T/2$ and $2p_T$.

Figure 3 presents the results of the isolation cut for photons from the $\pi^0$-tagging method. Solid circles show the fraction of isolated direct photons to all direct photons.
described by pQCD for isolated photons to all nonhadronic decay photons is well demonstrated by a PYTHIA [24] simulation. Finally, for comparison, the open circles show the ratio of isolated photons from $\pi^0$ decays to all photons from $\pi^0$ decays. This indicates significantly less isolation than in the direct-photon sample.

In summary, invariant cross sections for direct-photon production at midrapidity have been measured up to $p_T = 16$ GeV/$c$ in $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV $p+p$ collisions. The data are well described by NLO pQCD predictions for $p_T > 5$ GeV/$c$ where the uncertainties of the measurement and theory are comparable. When these data are combined with fixed target and Tevatron collider data, these measurements demonstrate the robustness of the pQCD description of direct-photon production [24]. In addition, the ratio of isolated photons to all nonhadronic decay photons is well described by pQCD for $p_T > 7$ GeV/$c$.

Based on the comparison of high $p_T$ direct-photon data from Au + Au collisions at RHIC with a $p + p$ reference from NLO pQCD, the origin of the observed suppression of high-$p_T$ hadrons in central Au + Au collisions can be attributed to properties of the hot and dense matter created in the Au + Au collision [13]. The measurements presented here confirm this conclusion and put it on a firm experimental basis. Furthermore, the successful description of direct-photon production at RHIC is a necessary test for the extraction of the gluon polarization from direct-photon production in collisions of longitudinally polarized protons.
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