# Expressions of "Route" in Modern Greek* 
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

While discussing spatial expressions in English, Jackendoff (1983:161-187) classifies spatial concepts into 'PLACE' and 'PATH'. According to his classification, 'PATH' has a more varied structure than 'PLACE', since it can be further divided into three subcategories ( p .165 ), that is ${ }^{(1)}$ :
a. Bounded path ("from", "to") ${ }^{(2)}$
b. Direction ("toward", "away from")
c. Route ("to pass by", "to pass along")

This small study deals with the third category, 'route' and its linguistic realisations in Modern Greek (henceforth: MG). Jackendoff (p.165) defines 'route' as the class in which "the reference object or place is related to some point in the interior of the path." In MG, this concept is most commonly expressed by means of the verb пєрvá. However, this verb is idiosyncratic in that it co-occurs with the preposition ano for expressing the place in which motion is performed. In spite of its original meaning, amó is reasonably regarded as denoting a 'route' rather than a 'starting point', from the synchronic viewpoint ${ }^{(3)}$. This can be illustrated by an example such as (1), which includes two anó. The second anó indicates a 'starting point', while the first denotes a 'route'.
 а́лдо.
"pass: a place through which one may pass so as to go from one place to another." (4)

On the other hand, $\pi \in \rho u{ }^{\prime}$ can co-occur also in the two other collocation patterns:
$\pi \epsilon \rho r \tilde{u}^{+}$preposition $\sigma \epsilon+$ accusative noun of place

```
\pi\epsilon\rho\veeќ + accusative noun of place
```

In this paper, we will analyse semantic differences implied in these three collocations of пгрии. The discussion consists of two parts. In the first part, an attempt is made to find what kind of semantic criterion differentiates one collocation from another. The second part examines more complicated cases, that is, the collocations of $\pi \epsilon \rho v{ }^{\prime}$ which include local adverbs determining an object's position in space more specifically.

## 2. IIEPNS AND TIIE TIIREE PATTERNS OF COLLOCATION

As shown in the previous section, nєpuia admits the three collocation patterns. (X: accusative noun of place) :
(A) $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu \iota^{\prime}+\sigma \epsilon+\mathrm{X}$
(B) $\pi є \rho \nu \omega \dot{+}+a \pi o ́+X$
(C) $\pi \in \rho \nu \check{j}+X^{(s)}$

Since it is reasonably expected that the basic meanings of the prepositions themselves can be applied to the semantic differences in collocations (A-B), we shall begin from examining the two prepositions, of and $\alpha$ пó. When co-occurring with verbs of motion, they are generally regarded as possessing the basic meanings as follows ${ }^{(6)}$ :
anó : (i) starting point of motion
(ii) passing point of motion (= route)
$\sigma \epsilon$ : (i) goal of motion
(ii) place within which motion is performed

Examples (2-3) will be helpful to examine whether the same semantic criteria of $\sigma \in$ can be applied to its coHocations with $\pi \epsilon p r{ }^{\prime}$.

"Yiannis crossed over to the opposite pavement."
(3) Пє́рабє то аиө́́кк ото тє́то.
"He inserted the small flower into the lapel (= buttonhole)."
Between the two basic meanings of $\sigma \in$, (i) is clearly observed in (2-3) .Each noun in the prepositional
phrase indicates a 'goal' of motion, while a 'route', which connects a 'goal' with a 'starting point', is not explicitly expressed.

It is the internal aspectual structure of mfpuris that excludes the interpretation (ii) in the collocation ' $\pi r \rho v i \prime$ i $+\infty+$ accusative'. Пrprú is reasonably regarded as possessing in its semantic aspectual properties a certain point of time at which the motion described by the verb is completed. The situations referred by this verb are usually punctual. Verbs of such an aspectual feature have been classified as 'telic verbs' in opposition to 'atelic verbs' by semanticists'). Discussing how to differentiate telic verbs from atelic ones, Comrie (1976:45) cites a test for the classification :
"Si quelqu'un jouait, et tout en jouant a été interrompu, est-ce qu'il a joué ?" "Oui, il a joué."
: Then "jouer" is atelic.
"Si quelqu'un se noyait, et tout en se noyant a été interrompu, est-ce quill s' est noyé?" "Non, il n'est pas noyé." : Then "noyer" is telic. ${ }^{(8)}$

The verb пє $\rho \nu(0$ does not pass this test, with whichever collocation it may co-occur : "Eáv кánoos
 пє́paoє." ("If someone was passing to/across the desert but he stopped, did he pass to/across the desert ?" "No, he didn't."). Consequently, $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu \omega$ must be classified as a telic verb.

The internal aspectual property of this kind is not compatible with 'motion within a place' represented by $\sigma \epsilon$, because such motion continues for a certain temporal duration without any goal. This idiosyncratic aspectual structure will be clearer when it is compared with that of other types, namely 'atelic verbs'. As discussed in Kupıaкoпоúdou (1989: 436), such verbs as трéx $\omega$ ("run") do not necessarily presuppose a point of time at which the motion is completed. The motion can be performed without a terminal point. Even if the moving agent stops at a certain point, it can
 obligatory not to possess such a point. In this reason, $\sigma \in$-phrase co-occurring with $\tau p e x=\omega$ admits the interpretation not only of (i) but also of (ii), while ' $\pi \epsilon \rho \pi \alpha т \omega ́=\sigma \epsilon$-phrase' even excludes the interpretation (i) as shown in (4-5) ${ }^{(9)}$.
(4) 'Етрє $\xi \in$ ото Парі́о.
"He ran to Paris" or "He ran within Paris."
(5)Перпа́тпбє ато Парі́о.
"He walked within Paris."

It needs a closer observation to describe the semantic difference between the patterns ' $\pi \subset \rho v \omega^{\prime}+\alpha \pi \sigma^{\prime}$ $+X$ ' and ' $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu \omega$ + $X$ ', since both the cases fit Jackendoff's definition of 'route' cited above: "the reference object or place is related to some point in the interior of the path." We shall consider some examples of each pattern.

пєриш́ + aпо́ + X

"we must be careful when we walk along dark streets."

"The wind...was blowing through holes,"
 tou.
"he bored into the planks of the door a hole, through which he passed his gloved hand."
(9)To тре́vo перvá́c an' то тои́ved.
"The train is going through the tunnel."

"she walked along the far side of the hall,"
(11)'́va каро́ßı пои тépабє апо́ то $\nu \eta \sigma^{\prime}$,
"a ship which stopped by the island,"

"the hoopoes flew over our country and went away,"

```
тср\nuш́ + X
```

(13) пе́раба то дро́но
"I crossed the street."

"It was impossible for us to cross the desert on our own."
(15)ßonөá tous suo ávtpes va перáбouv rך Aá̉aoдa.
"He helps the two men to cross the sea."

"We would have managed to cross the border so as to enter Saudi Arabia,"
(17) пробпаөои́v va пєра́боиข є́vа пота́щ,
"They attempt to cross a river,"

"I crossed the olive grove and entered the kingdom,"
(19) п́́paoc tnv үéqupa
"He crossed the bridge."

"the suspect at last crossed the (pedestrian) crossing,"

"He crosses the countries of the Orient and reaches the border of Turkey."

Among these, the example which illustrates most clearly the semantic difference between the two collocations is $\delta \rho о ́ \mu о s$ ("street"). Example (6), which contains ' $\pi \in \rho \nu \dot{\omega}$ + amó', indicates 'motion along the street' as (D). On the other hand, example (13) with ' $\pi \in \rho \nu \omega$ ' то $\delta \rho \delta \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ' represents 'motion across the street' as (E).

(E)

Provided that the main function of a street is to offer a route passable 'along' it (and not 'across' it ), the above semantic explanation is likely to be applied to the other examples. The nouns following anó, as "hole (7,8)", "tunnel (9)" represent places which possibly permit someone or something which moves to pass through. In contrast, the nouns immediately following $\pi \in p v \omega$ without anó, as "desert (14)", "sea (15)", "border (16)" and "river (17)" indicate the places which may present possible obstacles to moving agents and whose natural disposition may not give positive permission to passing.

This semantic analysis of ' $\pi \epsilon \rho v_{0}+X$ ' might explain such temporal usages as (22-23), in which the nouns collocating with mepró indicate a span of time which is hard and difficult to tide over. The experiencers in these sentences must make efforts to terminate the duration of time.
(22) H крín $\pi о \nu \pi \in \rho \nu \alpha ́$ то Патрlархєio,
"The crisis that Patriarchate is passing through,"

"He is going through a difficult time."

However, this explanation depends too much on the semantic properties of the nouns collocating with $\pi \in \rho v{ }^{\prime}$ to be applied to the other examples. For instance, such cases as "the other side of the
hall (10)" or "island (11)" are neutral concerning the type of role they play for a moving agent, though they show the pattern 'm¢рvó + anó + X'. To the contrary, cases like "bridge (19)" or "pedestrian crossing (20)" can be regarded as places which assist a moving agent in passing, although they occur in the collocation ' $\pi \epsilon \rho v{ }^{\prime}+\mathrm{X}$ '. Besides, temporal usages are usually found in the pattern ' $\pi \in \rho v \omega$ + X ', even if the temporal duration denoted by X is not hard but favorable to the experiencer as in (24) :

"I enjoyed my holidays in Italy."

These observations suggest that the semantic properties of the nouns are not very relevant to semantic


We shall now reconsider the question in terms of constituents of the motion, especially 'goal' and its relation with the innate aspect of the verb.

Let us take the case of "пєрvó тпv є́p $\eta \mu$ (go across the desert)". The motion described here is completed immediately at the moment when the moving agent reaches the terminal point of "the desert". On the other hand, the motion depicted in "mepvó anó to toúvє (go through the tunnel)" may be regarded as terminating at the moment when the moving agent arrived at the end of "the tunnel". However, it implies, as a crucial difference from the first case, that the motion continues towards a further, final goal.

We shall examine again the case of $\delta \rho o ́ \mu o s$ (or osós) ("street"). In " $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu w$ то $\delta \rho \dot{\prime} \mu o^{\prime \prime}$, the motion is accomplished immediately when the moving subject arrives at the end of "the street". (The extra-linguistic, empirical knowledge that someone going across " the street " does not always stop there but continues moving towards a further point is irrelevant to the internal
 goal which is not explicitly expressed. In other words, the motion continues towards a goal, which does not coincide with any part of "the street".

This explanation suggests that even the direction of motion, which was discussed above, is not crucial to differentiate one from another.


Someone who arrived at the end of "A Street ", for example, is appropriately represented by "пє́ $\rho \alpha \sigma \epsilon \tau \eta \nu$ o $\delta \dot{\delta} \mathrm{A}^{\prime}$, which is figured by ( F ). On the contrary, someone moving towards a further destination can be expressed by "пє́paoє anó т $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ обó $\mathrm{A}^{\text {", even if his motion crosses at right angles }}$ to "A Street", as (G1 or G2).

The same explanation can be applied to "country", which occurs in both the collocations, ' $\pi \in \rho v{ }^{\prime}$ amó $+\mathrm{X}(12)$ ' and 'mepvó $+\mathrm{X}(21)$ '. Thus, the difference between 'motion along' and 'motion across' does not necessarily correspond to that between ' $\pi \varepsilon \rho v \omega$ ' $+\alpha \pi o ́+X$ ' and ' $\pi \in \rho v \omega$ + X '. Consequently, Mackridge (1985:212) rightly points out :

Note that MG often fails to distinguish between 'across' and 'along': motion across and along is normally expressed equally by $\pi \in \rho v a ́ \omega$.

The semantic criteria proposed above can be formulated as follows:
$\pi \in \rho \nu \omega \dot{+}+\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime}+\mathbf{X}$ : the goal of the motion does not coincide with any part of X but is located elsewhere.
$\boldsymbol{m e p v a i}+\mathbf{X}$ : the goal of the motion coincides with the end point of X .

The semantic criterion of $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu \omega^{+}+X$ can be supported by the observation that a sentence with this pattern is sometimes followed by a coordinate sentence which states that the moving agent has already reached a 'goal' or another phase of the motion.
 "We would have managed to cross the border so as to enter Saudi Arabia," (=16)

"I crossed the olive grove and entered the kingdom," (=18)

"He crosses the countries of the Orient and reaches the border of Turkey " (=21)

## 3. LOCAL ADVERBS AND THE PREPOSITIONS $\Sigma E$ AND A $\Pi$ O

In this section, we shall extend the examination into more complicated cases than those analysed in section 2. The question here is whether insertion of a local adverb affects the collocations of $\pi \in \rho v \omega$ with a preposition. In other words, which collocations of the three examined above can co-occur with local adverbs which position an object in space more specifically? The examination must be
empirically conducted because MG local adverbs show so asymmetrical patterns of combination with the prepositions ${ }^{(0) 1}$ that theoretical conjecture cannot immediately find a solution.

In the first place, the collocation ' $\pi \in \rho v \omega$ + X ' is excluded from co-occurring with local adverbs, because they are under the syntactic constraint which prevents them from forming a syntagmatic unit with an accusative noun as in (28) " ${ }^{\text {(11). }}$
(28)*п ${ }^{*} v \omega \tau \eta \nu \gamma^{\prime} \phi \cup р \alpha$.
"over/on the bridge"

Consequently, (29) is syntactically not well-formed.

"passed over/on the bridge"

On the other hand, collocation ' $\pi \in \rho \nu \omega$. $+\alpha \pi o ́$ ' admits very commonly co-occurring with local adverbs as in (30-35).

"A flock of birds flew over the wood."

"Two travellers walked by under the cliffs."

"An ambulance went by in front of my house."
(33)Kánolos тс́page móow апо́ то Ś́vтро.
"Someone went by behind the tree."

"he always walked across the fields."

"a young king goes by near the castle."
(30-35) show that it is the verb rather than the local adverbs which selects the preposition ano when these three elements form a syntagmatic unit in a sentence. This is clearly observed in the cases ко $\nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}(35)$ and $\mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \alpha ́(32)$. Although коขт $\alpha$ usually requires $\sigma \epsilon$ after verbs of location or motion, it co-occurs with anó after пєрvi. Mmpootá can be followed by both prepositions with a fine
semantic difference, though it prefers $\alpha$ пó when co-existing with $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu u$.
More problematic cases, however, are provided by nóv $\omega$, because, from the theoretical viewpoint, we have here two semantic criteria which clash, that is :
(H)the verb $\pi \in \rho v \omega$ co-occurs with anó-phrase which designates a 'passage'.
(I)the adverb $\pi \alpha{ }^{2} v_{0}$ co-occurs with $\sigma \epsilon$ when the situation involves 'contact'. (While it co-occurs with $\alpha$ пó, when 'contact' is not involved.)

A situation without 'contact', as in (30), would cause no problem in selecting the prepositions, because $\alpha$ mó is compatible with both the criteria. On the other hand, it is not so easy to predict immediately an appropriate expression for 'motion passing over an object in contact with it', since here the two criteria are antagonistic. While criterion (H) would nominate anó, (I) supports $\sigma \in$ because of 'contact' involved in the situation ${ }^{(2)]}$.

A questionnaire completed by ten informants ${ }^{(13)}$ shows that $a \pi o$ is preferred as in (36-37):

"The snake slides / slid over the fallen tree."

"Many camels cross / crossed the bridge."

Some informants accept $\sigma \epsilon$ as well as $\alpha$ mó. However, they point out the difference between the two collocations as follows: " $\pi \in p v \alpha ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \omega ~ \sigma \epsilon$ " implies that "the snake" or "the camels" are proceeding towards the bridge. Some of "the camels" may already be standing or lingering on the bridge.
 bridge.

The distinction between $\sigma \in$ and $a n o$ is more clearly observed with the verb in the aorist. While



This explanation suggests that the $\sigma \in$-phrase, if co-occurring with "пє $\rho v \omega$ пáv $\omega$ ", is interpreted as a 'goal' of motion in a similar way to " $\Pi \epsilon \rho v \omega$ ' $\sigma \epsilon$ " which has been discussed in section $2{ }^{(14)}$. Thus, between the two criteria, ( H ) predominates over (I). Consequently, the difference between the positions 'above and in contact' and 'above and not in contact' is neutralised when the verb пєрvui is


## 4. CONCLUSION

We have examined three collocation patterns of пєpru, which is most commonly used for expressing a 'route'. The prepositional phrase in 'пєр $\kappa \dot{\omega}+\sigma r+$ accusative noun' designates a 'goal' of motion. This interpretation of the preposition or from its two meanings is selected by the internal aspectual structure of the verb.

The second collocation ' $п є \rho \vee \underset{\sim}{+}+a n o ́+$ accusative noun' implies further continuance of motion beyond the end point of the place denoted by the noun, towards a final goal.

In the third pattern 'mepró + accusative noun', the motion is completed immediately at the end point of the place designated by the noun.

Insertion of a spatial adverb makes it possible to represent motion in space more specifically. In this case, the collocation ' $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu \omega$ + adverb + accusative noun' is excluded by a syntactic constraint. Between the other two collocations, ' $\pi \epsilon \rho r^{\prime} \omega$ + adverb + $\alpha \pi o$ + accusative noun' is preferred. The same pattern is used even to ' $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (motion above)' regardless as to whether 'contact' is involved in the situation. It can be said that the collocation of the verb has priority over that of the spatial adverbs. Although ' $\pi \epsilon \rho v \omega{ }^{\prime}+$ adverb $+\sigma \epsilon+$ accusative' is not impossible, this pattern tend to be interpreted as a 'goal' rather than a 'place within which motion is carried out'.

## NOTES

* This research was made possible through the generous financial assistance of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Japanese Junior Scientists.

My special thanks are also due to Dr.Peter Mackridge (Oxford University, UK) for helpful comments and criticisms.
(1)In the parentheses are shown linguistic realisations of each concept.
(2)The concept 'bounded path' and its expressions in MG have been analysed in Tachibana (forthcoming).
(3)The phenomenon that an ablative preposition means also a 'route' can be observed in some Balkan languages and their neighbouring ones. See Boretzky (1969/70).

The other examples in this paper have been collected from newspapers, magazines, novels and questionnaires by the author.
(5)Note that X is a noun denoting a place in which motion is carried out. Such an accusative noun as
 Therefore, sentences of this type should rather be categorised as ' $\pi \in \rho \nu \omega$ ' $+\alpha \pi o ́+X$ ', since the point of analysis here is the spatial concepts and their linguistic realisations.
(6)Each basic meaning is correctly described by the authoritive grammars, for example :
aпó : (i) starting point of motion
афєтпрía (Ţápŗ̧avos, 1989 : 183)
Its [= $\alpha$ nó ] prime meanings are 'from' (of place or time), 'out of ' (of place), (Mackridge, 1985:209)
anó : (ii) passing point of motion ( $=$ route)


its [ $=\alpha$ пó ] other important spatial sense is 'through' or 'past' (Mackridge, 1985:209)
ae : (i) goal of motion


progress towards a point in place or time (Mackridge, 1985:206)
$\sigma \epsilon:$ (ii) place within which motion is performed
 (Tそápт Zavos, 1989: 211)
position in place or time (Mackridge,1985:206)
More comprehensive description of $\alpha$ пó is found in 'Apavtos (1918).
(7)The opposition of telic / atelic verbs has been studied since Aristotle. Dah1 (1981: 80) has listed various terminology applied to approximately the same concepts.
(8)Originally proposed in H.G.Klein's Tempus, Aspekt, Aktionsart (Tübingen). A test given by Comrie himself is:"if a sentence referring to this situation in a form with imperfective meaning ... implies the sentence referring to the same situation in a form with perfect meaning..., then the situation is atelic; otherwise it is telic" (p.44).
(9)Based on the discussion and examples of Kuplaкопоúдоu (1989:436).
(10)See Tachibana (forthcoming).
(11)Note that this constraint is valid for MG. Interestingly, some texts in the late and post Byzantine periods provide us with the pattern 'spatial adverbs + accusative noun'.
e.g. єпоíŋбау үє́фирау єпа́ш ауто́v ( = тои потацо́v).
[Ecthesis Chronica. Sp.P.Lambros (ed.) p.73.]
(12)Joseph \& Philippaki-Warburton (1987:143) gives " ((a)pano +) se + ACC [= accusative noun]" as an expression for the motion at issue.
(13)The survey was conducted at Athens, Oxford and Almyros (Thessaly).
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 (Repr. of the 1963 version) $\Theta є \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu i к \eta$.

## 橘 孝司

現代ギリシャ語では，ある場所の通過を表現するのに最もよく用いられる動詞は $\pi \varepsilon \rho \nu \omega$ 「～を通る」であり，その通過場所は前置詞 $a \pi o ́+$ 対格名詞 によって表示される。しかし，この動詞は，前置詞 $\sigma \varepsilon+$ 対格名詞や前置詞 なしの対格名詞とも結合し得る。本稿の第一部では，これら三つの結合価夕 イプが $\pi \varepsilon \rho \nu \dot{\sigma}$ と共起する場合，どのような意味論差異を示すのかという問題 が検討される。前置詞 $\alpha \pi o ́ 又 は \sigma \varepsilon+$ 対格名詞の場合は，それそれの前置詞 の基本義が動詞句全体の意味解釈に適用され，対格名詞のみの場合は，anó＋対格名詞の意味と類似しているけれども，通過点と動作の終了点との関係が異なっている点が示される。

第二部では，通過場所をより細かに規定して表現する場合（基準点の「上部」の移動，「前部」の移動等），先に検討した三つの結合価のうちどれが
空間の副詞（ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ 「上に」，$\mu \pi \rho o \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} 「$ 前に」等）という結合バターンに後続し得るのは，$\underline{\sigma \varepsilon+\text { 対格名詞と } \alpha \pi \delta+\text { 対格名詞であり，（前置詞なしの対 }}$格名詞は統語上の制約により，受け入れられない），両者の意味差異は，両前置詞の基本義の差異に平行している。さらに複雑な，「基準点上部に接触 した移動（例えば，「橋の上を通る」）」といつた状況の表現では，「通過点」という意味条件（ $\alpha \pi$ ó を支持）と「接触」という意味条件（ $\sigma \varepsilon$ を支持） とが衡突するか，実際には前者が優先され，anóか選択される。

