# Evidence for the coexistence of two different mechanisms for the occurrence of anti-Kasha $S_2(1^1B_u)$ fluorescence from $\alpha, \omega$ -diphenylpolyenes

Takao Itoh<sup>a)</sup>

Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences, Hiroshima University, 1-7-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima City 739-8521, Japan

(Received 1 June 2004; accepted 19 July 2004)

Fluorescence, fluorescence excitation, and absorption spectra have been measured for diphenylpolyenes with the polyene double bond number (*n*) from three to seven in solvents with different polarizabilities at room temperature. These polyenes exhibit anti-Kasha  $S_2(1 {}^{1}B_u)$  fluorescence beside conventional  $S_1(2 {}^{1}A_g)$  fluorescence in room temperature solution. It is shown that there are two different mechanisms for occurrence of the  $S_2(1 {}^{1}B_u)$  fluorescence of diphenylpolyenes.  $S_2$  fluorescence occurs through the thermal population of the  $S_1$  state for the shorter diphenylpolyenes (n=3 and 4), while it occurs as prompt  $S_2$  fluorescence due to inefficient  $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$  internal conversion for the longer diphenylpolyenes (n=6 and 7). With diphenyldecapentaene (n=5),  $S_2$  fluorescence based on both mechanisms is observed depending on the polarizability of the solvent used. The present results provide deeper insight into the mechanism for the occurrence of anti-Kasha  $S_2$  fluorescence of polyenes. © 2004 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1791155]

### I. INTRODUCTION

Fluorescence of organic molecules originates normally only from their lowest excited singlet  $(S_1)$  state, irrespective of the photon energy used for the excitation. The generalization of this observation is referred to as Kasha's rule.<sup>1</sup> Although Kasha's rule applies to almost all emissive molecules, there are a few exceptions. Emission from higher excited singlet states,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$ ,..., is often called "anti-Kasha emission" in contrast to the normal emission which obeys Kasha's rule.

It has passed over 30 years since the discovery of the one-photon forbidden  $S_1 (2 {}^1A_g)$  state of linear polyenes.<sup>2,3</sup> It is now well established that for diphenylpolyenes with nover 3 the 2  ${}^{1}A_{g}$  state is  $S_{1}$  and that the one-photon allowed  $1^{1}B_{u}$  state is  $S_{2}^{\circ}, ^{4-7}$  although for diphenylbutadiene (n=2)these states are nearly isoenergetic so that the apparent order can differ in different experiments.<sup>8</sup> Recently it was revealed that  $\alpha, \omega$ -diphenylpolyenes [Ph—(CH=CH)<sub>n</sub>—Ph] with n from five to seven exhibit weak anti-Kasha  $S_2(1^{-1}B_u)$  fluorescence beside the  $S_1 (2 {}^1A_g)$  fluorescence.<sup>9–11</sup> Thus, it is of interest to investigate the spectroscopic properties of a series of diphenylpolyenes in more detail, since we can obtain information on the interaction of the two excited states directly through the measurement of dual fluorescence. Determination of mechanism for the occurrence of the anti-Kasha emission is of importance with respect to the molecular nonradiative transition theory as well as to the excited-state dynamic behavior of organic molecules. Linear polyenes of other types such as carotenoids also are known to show anti-Kasha  $S_2$  fluorescence.<sup>12–14</sup> Detailed information on the electronic structure of conjugated linear polyenes is fundamental to understand complicated processes of charge transport in conducting polymers as well as energy transfer in biological systems.<sup>15</sup>

In the present work, fluorescence, fluorescence excitation, and absorption spectra have been measured for diphenylpolyenes with n from three to seven in solvents with different polarizabilities at room temperature. Evidence is given for the coexistence of two different mechanisms for the occurrence of the  $S_2$  fluorescence from diphenylpolyenes. Although  $S_2(1 B_u)$  fluorescence is known to occur through thermal population of the  $S_1$  state for shorter diphenylpolyenes (n=3 and 4; DP3 and DP4, respectively),<sup>16–19</sup> it occurs as prompt fluorescence due to inefficient internal conversion from  $S_2$  to  $S_1$  for longer diphenylpolyenes (n=6 and 7; DP6 and DP7, respectively). With diphenyldecapentaene (n=5;DP5), the  $S_2$  fluorescence based on both mechanisms is observed: In solvents of low polarizability  $S_2$  fluorescence occurs promptly due to inefficient  $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$  internal conversion, but with increasing solvent polarizability the decrease in the  $S_1$ - $S_2$  energy separation leads to the thermal population of the  $S_2$  state for DP5. In both mechanisms the  $S_2/S_1$ fluorescence-intensity ratio depends significantly on solvent polarizability which controls the  $S_1$ - $S_2$  energy separation. These observations are interpreted on the basis of the coupling strength between the  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  states, i.e., the intensity borrowing, which depends mainly on the  $S_1$ - $S_2$  energy separation for DP6 and DP7, but are interpreted on the bases of thermal population of the  $S_2$  state for DP3 and DP4. The present results provide deeper insight not only into the polyene electronic state, but also into the mechanism for the occurrence of the anti-Kasha emission of organic molecules.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: titoh@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

#### **II. EXPERIMENT**

Diphenylhexatriene (n=3, DP3) and diphenyloctatetraene (n=4, DP4) obtained from Aldrich, USA were recystallized repeatedly from hexane. Diphenyldecapentaene (n = 5, DP5), diphenyldodecahexaene (n=6, DP6) and diphenyltetradecaheptaene (n=7, DP7) were synthesized according to the procedure reported by Spangler et al.<sup>20</sup> and recystallized from a mixture of dimethylformamide and toluene. Longer diphenylpolyene samples were found to contain trace amounts of shorter and more fluorescent diphenylpolyenes as impurities, which were removed by repeated recrystallizations. Special care was taken in the purification of DP5, since the  $S_2$  fluorescence origin of DP5 matches almost exactly the  $S_1$  fluorescence origin of highly fluorescent DP4. It was confirmed that the measured  $S_2$  fluorescence of DP5 does not originate from DP4, based on the measurements of the excitation spectrum, fluorescence spectral shape, as well as on the repeated purification-emission measurement cycles. Carbon tetrachloride, n-hexane, and carbon disulfide obtained from Wako Pure Chemicals, Japan, were used as received, after we confirmed that these solvents contained no impurities that were emitted under our experimental conditions. All these solvents were of spectroscopic grade.

Absorption spectra were measured with a Shimadzu UV-2550 spectrophotometer, and emission and excitation spectra were measured with a Spex Fluorolog-3 (Model 21-SS) spectrophotometer, equipped with a double-grating excitation monochromator, a high-pressure 450-W Xenon lamp as an excitation-light source, and a photomultiplier tube (Hamamatsu R928-P) in an electric-cooled housing operated in photon-counting mode. For most of the emission measurements square 10 mm path length quartz cells were used. Raman and Rayleigh scattering light from the solvents were subtracted from the measured emission spectra. Special care was taken to measure the emission spectra of the samples, and Raman and Rayleigh scattering spectra of the solvents under exactly the same conditions. Sample solution in a cell was changed using a filtered injection without replacing the cell in the cell holder. It was confirmed that the excitation spectrum of all the measured emission agrees well with the corresponding absorption spectrum.

Fluorescence spectra were corrected for the spectral sensitivity of the detection system by comparing the measured spectrum with the real spectrum using N,N-dimethylnitroaniline as a standard which shows emission ranging from 12 000 to 22 000 cm<sup>-1</sup> in a hexane-benzene mixture.<sup>21</sup> Fluorescence spectra ranging from 18 000 to 25 000 cm<sup>-1</sup> were corrected using quinine in sulfuric acid as a standard. Excitation spectra were corrected for the spectral intensity distribution of the exciting light with an aqueous solution of rhodamine B as a quantum counter. Emission spectral data were fitted by a least-square procedure.

## **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 1 shows absorption and corrected fluorescence spectra of diphenylpolyenes (DP3–DP7) in  $CCl_4$  at room temperature. The measured emission spectra are composed of blue and red spectra: The blue spectra are assigned to



FIG. 1. Fluorescence (left) and absorption spectra (right) of diphenylpolyenes, DP3 (bottom) to DP7 (top), in  $CCl_4$  at room temperature.

fluorescence from the  $S_2(1 B_u)$  state, as is indicated by a good mirror-image relationship between the fluorescence and the  $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$  absorption bands, while the red spectra are assigned to the fluorescence from the  $S_1(2^{-1}A_g)$  state. With DP3, DP4, and DP5, the  $S_2$  fluorescence is comparatively weak, so that it is observed only as a blue shoulder overlapping the onset of the strong  $S_1$  fluorescence. Further, the solubilities and fluorescence quantum yields of longer diphenylpolyenes are extremely low, so that the fluorescence spectra of these molecules are somewhat noisy. It was confirmed that the excitation spectra obtained by monitoring either the  $S_1$  or the  $S_2$  fluorescence emissions agree with the absorption spectra for all the molecules. One can see clearly in Fig. 1 that the relative intensity of the  $S_2$  fluorescence depends strongly on the polyene chain length. In order to treat the observed spectral intensity ratios quantitatively, only the second bands of the  $S_1$  fluorescence and the first band of the  $S_2$ fluorescence spectrum were reproduced using fitted Gaussians, and the intensities of the reproduced bands are treated as the  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  fluorescence intensities ( $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , respectively). An example of a fitted spectrum is shown in Fig. 2



FIG. 2. Observed (solid-line spectrum) and fitted fluorescence spectrum (broken-line spectrum) for DP6 in CCl<sub>4</sub> at room temperature. Used functional forms are indicated by solid-line Gaussians ( $S_2$  fluorescence) and dotted-line Gaussians ( $S_1$  fluorescence).

for DP6 in CCl<sub>4</sub> at room temperature. The spectral change shown in Fig. 1 is well visualized when the  $S_2$  to  $S_1$  fluorescence intensity ratio,  $I_2/I_1$ , is plotted as a function of *n* (Fig. 3). The value for  $I_2/I_1$  shows a minimum at n=5 and 4, respectively, in CS<sub>2</sub> and in CCl<sub>4</sub> at room temperature.

In order to analyze the relative fluorescence intensities systematically, the emission spectra of the diphenylpolyenes were measured in solvents with different polarizabilities. It is well known that the  $S_2$  energy of polyenes shifts to lower energy as the solvent polarizability is increased, while the  $S_1$ energy is almost unchanged. The dependence of the  $S_1$ - $S_2$ energy separation  $\Delta E$  on the solvent polarizability has been well rationalized for diphenylpolyenes.<sup>22</sup> In Figs. 4(a)–4(c), respectively, we show absorption and corrected fluorescence spectra of DP6, DP5, and DP4 in solvents with different



FIG. 3. The  $I_2/I_1$  intensity ratio plotted against the polyene double bond number *n* of diphenylpolyenes in CCl<sub>4</sub> (open circles) and in CS<sub>2</sub> (closed circles) at room temperature.



FIG. 4. (a) Absorption (1, 2, and 3) and corrected fluorescence spectra (4, 5, and 6) of diphenyldodecahexaene (DP6) in solvents with different polarizabilities at room temperature: In *n*-hexane (1 and 4), a *n*-hexane-CS<sub>2</sub> (1:1) mixture (2 and 5), and CS<sub>2</sub> (3 and 6). (b) Absorption (1, 2, and 3) and corrected fluorescence spectra (4, 5, and 6) of diphenyldecaheptaene (DP5) in solvents with different polarizabilities at room temperature: In *n*-hexane (1 and 4), a *n*-hexane-CS<sub>2</sub> (1:1) mixture (2 and 5), and CS<sub>2</sub> (3 and 6). (c) Absorption (1 and 2) and corrected fluorescence spectra (3 and 4) of diphenyloctatetraene (DP4) in solvents with different polarizabilities at room temperature: In *n*-hexane (1 and 3) and CS<sub>2</sub> (2 and 4).

polarizabilities at room temperature. It is clearly seen in Figs. 4(a)-4(c) that the relative intensity of the  $S_1$  fluorescence to the  $S_2$  fluorescence changes significantly depending on the solvent. However, the behavior of the fluorescence intensity upon changing the solvent polarizability for DP4 differs from those for DP5 and DP6. The relative  $S_2$  fluorescence intensity



FIG. 5. The  $I_2/I_1$  intensity ratio plotted against the  $S_1$ - $S_2$  energy gap  $\Delta E$  for DP3, DP4, DP5, DP6, and DP7 in solvents with different polarizabilities at room temperature.

sity increases for DP4, while it decreases for DP5 and DP6 both with increasing the solvent polarizability.

In Fig. 5 the relation between the  $S_2/S_1$  fluorescenceintensity ratio,  $I_2/I_1$ , and the  $S_2$ - $S_1$  energy separation  $\Delta E$  is presented, where the energy difference between the apparent  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  fluorescence origins is taken as the value for  $\Delta E$ . One can see clearly in Fig. 5 that the ratio  $I_2/I_1$  decreases significantly with increasing  $\Delta E$  for DP3 and DP4, while it increases monotonically with increasing  $\Delta E$  for DP6 and DP7. With DP5 two different behaviors of the fluorescence intensity ratio were observed depending on  $\Delta E$ : The ratio  $I_2/I_1$  decreases for  $\Delta E$  below about 2000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, but it increases for  $\Delta E$  over about 2000 cm<sup>-1</sup> both with increasing  $\Delta E$ . These observations indicate that the mechanism for the occurrence of the  $S_2$  fluorescence of DP6 and DP7 is different from that of DP3 and DP4.

With DP3 and DP4  $S_2$  fluorescence is known to occur as a result of thermal repopulation from the  $S_1$  state.<sup>16–19</sup> However, the  $S_2$  fluorescence of DP6 and DP7 is prompt fluorescence that occurs as a result of inefficient internal conversion from  $S_2$  to  $S_1$  due to a large energy separation between the two excited states.<sup>23</sup> In the former mechanism, i.e., the one for DP3 and DP4, the  $S_2/S_1$  intensity ratio  $(I_2/I_1)$  can be expressed by

$$I_2/I_1 \sim \exp(-\Delta E/kT),\tag{1}$$

where k is the Boltzmann constant and T is the absolute temperature.<sup>17,18</sup> Thus, at a given temperature the ratio  $I_2/I_1$  increases with decreasing  $\Delta E$ , which depends strongly on the solvent polarizability. It has already been demonstrated for DP3 and DP4 that  $I_2/I_1$  obeys Eq. (1) when the temperature is changed.<sup>18</sup> On the other hand, in the latter mechanism, i.e., the one for DP6 and DP7, the ratio  $I_2/I_1$  can be expressed by

since the  $S_1$  state is considered to borrow oscillator strength through the interstate coupling with  $S_2$ ,<sup>11,24</sup> and since the



FIG. 6. Values for  $-\ln(I_2/I_1)$  (for DP3 and DP4) or  $(I_2/I_1)^{1/2}$  (for DP6 and DP7) plotted against  $\Delta E$  at room temperature.

rate constant for the internal conversion from  $S_2$  is reported to be almost invariant for diphenylpolyenes with n from 3 to  $7.^{25}$  It has been demonstrated recently for DP7 that the observed  $S_2/S_1$  intensity ratio  $(I_2/I_1)$  is expressed approximately by  $I_2/I_1 \sim \Delta E^2/K^2$ , where K is the coupling constant between the  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  states.<sup>11,24</sup> This treatment is somewhat different from that for carotenoids, for which the change of  $I_2/I_1$  has been interpreted by enhancement of the  $S_2$  to  $S_1$ internal conversion rate with decreasing  $\Delta E$ .<sup>13</sup> The plots in Fig. 6 show clearly the difference between the two mechanisms. That is, the ratio  $I_2/I_1$  is almost proportional to  $\exp(-\Delta E/kT)$  for DP3 and DP4 at a given temperature, while it is almost proportional to  $\Delta E^2$  for DP6 and DP7. Further,  $S_2$  fluorescence based on both mechanisms is observed for DP5 whose  $S_1$ - $S_2$  energy separation ranges from 2000 to  $3500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  depending on the solvent used (see Fig. 5).

## **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

Diphenylpolyenes with n = 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 exhibit dual fluorescence from the  $S_1(2 A_g)$  and  $S_2(1 B_u)$  states, but the mechanism for the occurrence of the  $S_2$  fluorescence is different depending on n. S2 fluorescence occurs as a result of thermal repopulation from the  $S_1$  state due to small  $S_1$ - $S_2$ energy separations (1000-2500 cm<sup>-1</sup>) for diphenylpolyenes with n = 3 and 4. However,  $S_2$  fluorescence occurs as a result of inefficient internal conversion from  $S_2$  to  $S_1$  presumably due to large  $S_1$ - $S_2$  energy separations (2500–5500 cm<sup>-1</sup>) for diphenylpolyenes with n=5, 6, and 7. With DP5 whose  $S_1$ - $S_2$  energy separation ranges from 2000 to 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup>, both mechanisms were observed for the occurrence of  $S_2$ fluorescence. The series of diphenylpolyenes can be regarded as a rare example showing anti-Kasha emission based on two different mechanisms. Emission property of diphenylpolyenes is suggestive for considering the general rule for the occurrence of anti-Kasha emission.

<sup>1</sup>M. Kasha, Discuss. Faraday Soc. 9, 14 (1950).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>B. S. Hudson and B. E. Kohler, Chem. Phys. Lett. 14, 299 (1972).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>K. Sulten and M. Karplus, Chem. Phys. Lett. 14, 306 (1972).

- <sup>5</sup>B. S. Hudson, B. E. Kohler, and K. Shulten, Excited States 5, 1 (1982).
- <sup>6</sup>B. E. Kohler, Chem. Rev. (Washington, D.C.) 93, 41 (1993).
- <sup>7</sup>M. T. Allen and D. G. Whitten, Chem. Rev. (Washington, D.C.) **89**, 1691 (1989).
- <sup>8</sup>T. Itoh, Chem. Phys. Lett. **342**, 550 (2001).
- <sup>9</sup>S. M. Bachilo, C. W. Spangler, and T. Gillbro, Chem. Phys. Lett. 283, 235 (1998).
- <sup>10</sup>T. Itoh, Chem. Phys. Lett. **379**, 577 (2003).
- <sup>11</sup>T. Itoh, J. Chem. Phys. **119**, 4516 (2003).
- <sup>12</sup>P. O. Andersson, T. Gillbro, A. E. Aato, and R. S. H. Liu, J. Lumin. **51**, 11 (1992).
- <sup>13</sup>P. O. Andersson, S. M. Bachilo, C. R. Liang, and T. Gillbro, J. Phys. Chem. **99**, 16199 (1995).
- <sup>14</sup>R. L. Christensen, M. Goyette, L. Gallagher, J. Duncan, B. DeCoster, J.

- <sup>15</sup>Y. Koyama, M. Kuki, P. O. Andersson, and T. Gillbro, Photochem. Photobiol. **63**, 243 (1996).
- <sup>16</sup>P. C. Alford and T. F. Palmer, Chem. Phys. Lett. 86, 248 (1982).
- <sup>17</sup>T. Itoh and B. E. Kohler, J. Phys. Chem. **91**, 1760 (1987).
- <sup>18</sup>T. Itoh, Chem. Phys. Lett. **159**, 263 (1989).
- <sup>19</sup>T. Itoh, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **75**, 1973 (2002).
- <sup>20</sup>C. W. Spangler, R. K. McCoy, A. A. Dembek, L. S. Sapochak, and B. D. Gates, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1, 151 (1989).
- <sup>21</sup>E. Lippert, W. Nagele, I. S-Blankenstein, U. Staiger, and W. Voss, Fresenius' Z. Anal. Chem. **170**, 1 (1959).
- <sup>22</sup>J. R. Andrews and B. S. Hudson, J. Chem. Phys. 68, 4587 (1978).
- <sup>23</sup>R. Englman and J. Jortner, Mol. Phys. 18, 145 (1970).
- <sup>24</sup> R. M. Hochstrasser, Acc. Chem. Res. 19, 266 (1968).
- <sup>25</sup> Y. Hirata, K. Mashima, H. Fukumoto, K. Tani, and T. Okada, Chem. Phys. Lett. **308**, 176 (1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>B. S. Hudson and B. E. Kohler, J. Chem. Phys. 59, 4984 (1973).

Lugtenburg, F. J. Jansen, and I. Van der Hoef, J. Phys. Chem. A 103, 2399 (1999).